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The World of Charles J. Kappler: A Digital Portrait

Charles D. Bernholz
Robert J. Weiner, Jr.

ABSTRACT. Charles J. Kappler (1868–1946) is known almost exclusively for his Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties compilation, yet his life and his career were much fuller. Besides serving as Clerk for the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, he was co-counsel for the Pious Fund of the Californias v. Mexico proceedings before the Permanent Court of Arbitration in The Hague and, in one role or another, played a substantial part in many significant federal Indian law cases before the United States Supreme Court in the years leading up to the establishment of the Indian Claims Commission. A digital Web site has been developed to present lesser known aspects of his personal and professional life.
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THE MAN

The final treaty recognized by the United States Department of State, between American Indians and the federal government, is ratified treaty number 374, the Treaty with the Nez Perces, 1868.1 Charles J. Kappler (Fig. 1) was born on November 16 of that year—just 95 days after this transaction—to German immigrants Anton and Suzanna, a shoemaker and housewife living in Washington, DC.² With two brothers and two sisters
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to round out the family, Kappler “graduated from public and parochial schools of the District” and then studied stenography and typing with Theodore F. Shuey, considered at that time to be the dean of Congressional reporters. Later, an LL.B. degree in 1896 and an LL.M. the following year were attained from the Law School at Georgetown University. Admission to the Bar occurred in 1896 and records indicate his admittance to the United States Supreme Court.

During the 57th and 58th Congresses between the years 1901 and 1905, Kappler served as Clerk to the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs and became more involved with federal Indian law. Part of the accomplishments of this committee was legislation to bring together for congressional use the final texts of all recognized treaties created between American Indians and the federal government. Treaty-making with the tribes had terminated.
in 1871 (16 Stat. 544, 566), but these documents, as the law of the land, were scattered throughout 10 volumes of the Statutes at Large.

Kappler’s Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties, familiar to all law librarians, was the ultimate product of this mandate.\(^9\) Five volumes emerged between 1903 and 1941, with the first two from 1903 expanded and reissued the following year.\(^10\) The remaining three tomes were developed after Kappler left federal employment in 1905\(^11\) and opened a legal office. All but nine of the treaties acknowledged by the Department of State were collected in volume 2 of this set, providing a convenient source for these materials.\(^12\) In the 1970s, the second volume was reproduced alone,\(^13\) and the entire ensemble was republished by a commercial vendor as well as by the federal government.\(^14\) Finally, the Oklahoma State University Library created a digital portal for these documents.\(^15\)

In the legal sphere, Kappler’s skills as an attorney are revealed by a portfolio of 91 cases from 1904 through 1945. Among these were state appearances as well as presentations before the federal Court of Claims and Courts of Appeal, and the United States Supreme Court. Twenty-eight of these actions concerned federal Indian law, but there were other interesting legal challenges as well.

**THE WEB SITE**

These life and career experiences have been brought together in a World Wide Web portrait at [http://kappler.unl.edu](http://kappler.unl.edu), under the title Charles J. Kappler—A Life Beyond Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties.\(^16\) Within this description is a broader account of his marriages and family life; of his service for the Senate’s Irrigation and Reclamation of Arid Lands Committee and its Committee on Indian Affairs; of his performance in 1902 as co-counsel at the first case before the Permanent Court of Arbitration in The Hague, *Pious Fund of the Californias v. Mexico*;\(^17\) of his legal career and his representations of various tribes before diverse jurisdictions; and of his adoption by the Crow in 1931 for his assistance to that tribe. It was a rewarding passage and typified the spirit of hard-working immigrant families in America.

His efforts before the courts are summarized in two tables, with expanded remarks for six special situations. The first list enumerates his 91 cases, and reference is made to those specific suits that have been cited in five frequently used handbooks of federal Indian law: Felix S. Cohen’s *Handbook of Federal Indian Law*; the Department of the Interior’s *Federal
Indian Law; the second edition of Cohen; the American Indian Law Desk-
book; and the third version of Cohen’s work.\textsuperscript{18} Shoshone Tribe of Indians
v. United States (299 U.S. 476 [1937]) and United States v. Shoshone Tribe
of Indians (304 U.S. 111 [1938]) were two Supreme Court actions noted
by all five of these sources.

The second table links 38 recognized Indian treaties, referenced by
Kappler himself in 28 of his presentations, with the appropriate case ci-
tation(s). For example, he employed ratified treaty number 43, the Treaty
with the Sauk and Foxes, 1804\textsuperscript{19} as well as ratified treaty number 359, the
Treaty with the Sauk and Foxes, 1867\textsuperscript{20} in both Sac and Fox Indians of
Iowa v. Sac and Fox Indians of Oklahoma (45 Ct.Cl. 287 [1910]) and Sac
and Fox Indians of the Mississippi in Iowa v. Sac and Fox Indians of the
Mississippi in Oklahoma (220 U.S. 481 [1911]). Taken all together, such
Indian law representations served as important examples during the long
debate that preceded the 1946 creation of the Indian Claims Commission
(60 Stat. 1049), empowered to address for the last time any outstanding
tribal claims against the federal government. Indeed, this legacy ultimately
continued for more than half a century, ending only when the final Indian
Claims Commission case—filed in August 1951—was brought to a close
by a congressional settlement act in 2006 (120 Stat. 1218).

\textbf{CONCLUSIONS}

In 1928, the Senate Committee on Printing provided comments on, and
its recommendation for, the printing of Kappler’s fourth volume. In that
report,\textsuperscript{21} the chairman of the committee included correspondence between
the Secretary of the Interior and an earlier chairman of the Senate Commit-
tee on Indian Affairs. The secretary had remarked that “[t]he compilation
of Indian laws and treaties is constantly used and referred to in this de-
partment and the office of Indian Affairs, as well as at the several Indian
agencies, where the Statutes at Large are not always available. It has been
a most useful and convenient document. . . .”\textsuperscript{22} In a companion note, the
chairman of the House Committee on Indian Affairs declared, “I have
found this work very valuable and know that a similar attitude is held by
many others.”\textsuperscript{23} These favorable considerations were indicative of remarks
made during the printing history of the \textit{Indian Affairs} volumes.

Kappler’s enduring influence on the publication of such fundamental
Indian law documents, well after his departure in 1905 from federal service,
speaks much to the position and relevance of these materials in state
and Congressional activities and to their consistent deployment at almost
every judicial level. This focus on the treaties was associated with his own considerable legal efforts for the tribes and, with the exercise of his personal knowledge of these instruments, most certainly shaped in part by these successful compilation labors. Indeed, subsequent federal legislation led to the creation of an additional pair of volumes, as an update to laws through the beginning of 1971.24

The contents of Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties are still referenced today, as demonstrated in two recent cases: Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma v. United States (517 F. Supp. 2d 365, 368 [2007]) before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes v. Clinch (336 Mont. 302, 339 [2007]) in the Supreme Court of Montana. In a sense, such contemporary use reaffirms the perception that it has been difficult over the last century to separate Kappler from these American Indian treaties, and vice versa. His volumes—in paper, microform, or online—have functioned throughout as reliable conduits to these important texts, whether for use in or out of the courts. It is unfortunate, though, that there is no comprehensive biography of this man, that there is no vehicle to proclaim his many additional contributions and achievements outside the realm of federal Indian law. Perhaps this Web site, by bringing together other aspects of Kappler’s robust personal life and career, will contribute toward a better understanding of these parallel successes.

To further expedite access to the texts of American Indian treaties and to other relevant materials, the University of Nebraska–Lincoln’s Center for Digital Research in the Humanities has incorporated this Kappler portrait with links to the Oklahoma State University Library’s electronic treaty collection (http://digital.library.okstate.edu/kappler/); to the nine documents absent from that gathering (http://earlytreaties.unl.edu/); to the lexicon of the British and of the American transactions recognized by the Department of State (http://treatylexicon.unl.edu);25 and to an array of digitized journal articles regarding cases before various jurisdictions that have cited one or more of these instruments. This treaty portal is offered at http://treatiesportal.unl.edu.
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8. Compilation on Indian affairs, 35 *Congressional Record* 5619 (1902); Compilation on Indian affairs, 35 *Congressional Record* 5664–5665 (1902); Treaties, laws, etc., relating to Indian affairs, 37 *Congressional Record* 290 (1903).

9. See *Charles Kappler Is Authority on Indian Laws and Treaties* for a contemporary assessment.


16. This site has been cataloged; its OCLC accession number is 281739058.

17. See [http://www.pca-cpa.org/upload/files/Pious_Funds_Award.pdf](http://www.pca-cpa.org/upload/files/Pious_Funds_Award.pdf) for the 14 October 1902 conclusions of the Tribunal.


22. *Id.* at 2.

23. *Id.*


Received: 01/07/08

Revised and Accepted: 12/16/08