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Abstract

Development of sustainable agricultural management systems will depend, in part, on the ability to better use renewable resources, such as animal manure, and to synchronize the levels of soil available N with crop plant needs during the growing season. This study was conducted at the US Meat Animal Research Center in the central USA to determine whether differences in electromagnetic (EM) soil conductivity and available N levels over a growing season can be linked to feedlot manure/compost application and use of a green winter cover crop. A series of soil conductivity maps of a research cornfield were generated using global positioning system (GPS) and EM induction methods. The study site was treated over a 7-year period with manure and compost at rates matching either the phosphorus or the nitrogen requirements of silage corn (Zea mays L.). The plot was split for sub-treatments of a rye (Secale cereale L.) winter cover crop and no cover crop. Image processing techniques were used to establish electrical conductivity (EC) treatment means for each of the growing season surveys. Sequential measurement of profile weighted soil electrical conductivity (ECa) was effective in identifying the dynamic changes in available soil N, as affected by animal manure and N fertilizer treatments, during the corn-growing season. This method also clearly identified the effectiveness of cover crops in minimizing levels of available soil N before and after the corn-growing season, when soluble N is most subject to loss. The EM method for assessing soil condition provides insights into the dynamics of available N transformations that are supported by soil chemical analyses. This real-time monitoring approach could also be useful to farmers in enhancing N use efficiencies of cropping management systems and in minimizing N losses to the environment. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Evaluation of nutrient availability as a result of soil amendments such as livestock manure is difficult. Traditional methods of monitoring nutrients use soil cores to determine nutrient concentration at specific locations determined by conventional surveying methods. While this approach yields precision both in composition and position, it is expensive, time consuming, and may not account for spatial and temporal variability of measured attributes where animal manure is applied. Methods are needed to estimate the relative level of nutrients when manure is used. Geophysical methods have the potential to fulfill that need, with electrical conductivity (EC) as one geophysical tool that shows promise for agricultural applications.
Instruments that measure soil conductivity without the use of soil probes are available commercially. These instruments use electromagnetic (EM) induction as a noninvasive method of measuring earth conductivity. Profile weighted soil electrical conductivity (ECa) can provide an indirect measure of important soil properties (Sudduth et al., 2000). The EM instrument is sensitive to factors that influence soil conductivity, including: (1) soil moisture content; (2) amount and type of salts in solution; and (3) the amount and type of clays present (Brune and Doolittle, 1990). Electromagnetic techniques are well suited for mapping soil conductivity to depths useful for agriculturalists (McNeill, 1990). Electromagnetic terrain conductivity has been shown to be a very useful tool in locating seepage from animal waste lagoons (Ranjan et al., 1995). Sudduth and Kitchen (1993) used EM methods to estimate clay pan depth in soil. Electromagnetic methods have been used to map soil salinity hazards (Williams and Baker, 1982; Corwin and Rhoades, 1982). Electrical conductivity methods have generally been associated with determining soil salinity; however, EC also can serve as a measure of soluble nutrients (Smith and Doran, 1996) and is useful in monitoring the mineralization of organic matter in soil (De Neve et al., 2000). Doran et al. (1996) demonstrated the predictive capability of soil conductivity to estimate soil nitrate.

2. Methods

2.1. Site

A center-pivot irrigated field of silage corn (Zea mays L.) located at the US Meat Animal Research Center (USMARC) served as a comparison site for various manure and compost application rates for replacement of commercial fertilizer, with the same treatment assigned to field plots for 7 consecutive years. The soil series at this site is a Crete silt loam (fine, Montmorillonitic, Mesic Pachic Argiustolls), 0–1% slope. Five main plot treatments (6.1 m × 244 m) of manure and compost at rates matching either the phosphorus (P) or the nitrogen (N) requirements of the silage corn and a fertilizer N check at the recommended rate were replicated four times. The experimental field (244 m × 244 m) was arranged in a randomized complete block design with a split plot for winter cover crop (Secale cereale L.) versus no cover crop. Rates of application for the 1999 crop season are given in Table 1.

2.2. Field operations on the research cornfield

Field treatment nutrient application rates for each season were based on soil core analysis and plant chlorophyll measurements (Ferguson and Nienaber, 2000). Applications of two manure sources (beef feedlot manure and composted beef feedlot manure) were made each spring according to two strategies: (1) to approximately supply the total crop demand for N (252 kg N ha⁻¹ average annual uptake), denoted MN and CN for manure and compost, respectively; or (2) to supply the approximate crop removal of P (45 kg P ha⁻¹ annually), denoted MP and CP for manure and compost, respectively. Treatments MP and CP each had sufficient carry-over phosphorus in the 1999 season so that no manure or compost was applied to these treatment strips (6.1 m width of eight corn rows). Treatments MN and CN were the only treatments receiving manure/compost application on Julian Day (JD) 119–120 at total N rates of 249 and 222 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 1). These rates are much lower than the average annual application of total N over the 7 years of this study of from 740 to 808 kg N ha⁻¹ for MN and CN, respectively (Ferguson and Nienaber, 2000). The field was disked on JD
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment types</th>
<th>Dry matter application rates, and total and available N and P levels applied to irrigated cornfield in 1999</th>
<th>Dry matter</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>Available N</th>
<th>Total C</th>
<th>Total P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manure at N rate (MN)</td>
<td>+CC: cover crop; −CC: no cover crop.</td>
<td>+CC 39.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost at N rate (CN)</td>
<td>+CC 47.9</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer N (NCK)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure at P rate (MP)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost at P rate (CP)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer P (PK)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dry matter</th>
<th>Applied (mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total N (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Available N (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total C (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total P (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−CC</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dry matter</th>
<th>Applied (mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total N (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Available N (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total C (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total P (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−CC</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The percent solids of manure and compost were 53.3 and 74.8%, respectively.
2 N content of manure = 0.91% and compost = 0.51%; C content of manure = 8.3% and compost = 4.7%; P content of manure = 0.192% and compost = 0.323%.
3 Total N mineralized the first year assumed to be 35% for manure and 25% for compost; P mineralized the first year assumed to be 22% for manure or compost.
4 −CC: cover crop; +CC: no cover crop.
5 Average for with and without rye cover crop.

121, worked with a spring-tooth harrow on JD 133, and planted to corn on JD 134 (14 May). A commercial check (NCK) treatment received a side-dressed application of NH\(_3\) at 84 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (75 lb acre\(^{-1}\)) on JD 164. On JD 201 urea–ammonium N solution was applied with a high clearance applicator to MP, CP and NCK at a rate of 84 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (75 lb acre\(^{-1}\)) of available N on JD 201. The corn was chopped as silage on JD 251 (8 September). The new cover crop of wheat was drilled on JD 263.

2.3. Equipment

A commercial magnetic dipole soil conductivity meter \(^1\) (EM-38, manufactured by Geonics Ltd., 1992) \(^4\) was used in this study. This instrument was operated horizontally and had a response that varies with depth in the soil, yielding a profile weighted electrical conductivity, hereafter designated EC\(_a\), that was centered at a depth of about 0.75 m. Generally, at a transport speed of 6 m s\(^{-1}\), about 40 samples across the length of each plot were collected with the EM-38 for each pass. The 6.1 m width (eight corn rows) of each plot was about the soil width surveyed by the EM-38.

The EM-38 was transported through the field either mounted on a trailer that was pulled behind an all terrain vehicle (ATV) or pulled on a plastic sled by hand when the corn became too tall for the ATV. All reported EC\(_a\) measures in this paper have been corrected to the ground surface based measures (Eigenberg et al., 2000). Testing results of the reliability, repeatability, and sensitivity of the EM-38 in discerning field-generated measurements versus artifacts of instrument configuration are given by Eigenberg et al. (2000).

A Trimble PRO-XL GPS \(^1\) (global positioning system) unit was used to obtain positional data. The EM-38 was connected to the GPS unit through a small dedicated battery powered microcomputer (On-set Computer, Model IVa). The GPS unit collects and stores positional data and field EC\(_a\) values.

2.4. Soil sampling

Two soil cores (1.91 cm diameter) were taken throughout the growing season with a hand probe from depths of 0–23 cm and 23–46 cm at randomly selected sites within each treatment and cover crop combination. The cores were taken within one day of the EC\(_a\) surveys and were analyzed to determine total N, KCl extractable NH\(_4\) and NO\(_3\) and soil moisture content by a local commercial soil testing laboratory.
More extensive soil analyses for soil moisture; total and organic N; KCl extractable NO$_3$, NH$_4$, and NO$_2$; soil pH and electrical conductivity on 1:1 soil to water extracts; and Bray1-P, Ca–P sulfate, and CaNO$_3$ chloride were run on two sample sets, one before (16 March, JD 75) and one after (13 September, JD 252) the corn-growing season. Soil water-filled pore space (WFPS), which is synonymous with soil relative saturation, was calculated from soil gravimetric water content using the following relationship:

$$WFPS = \frac{\text{volumetric water content (cm}^3\text{ cm}^{-3})}{\text{total soil porosity (cm}^3\text{ pore space cm}^{-3}\text{ soil)}}$$

where volumetric water content = gravimetric water content (g H$_2$O g$^{-1}$ soil) $\times$ soil bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$ or mg m$^{-3}$), assuming 1 g H$_2$O = 1 cm$^3$ and soil porosity = [1 – (soil bulk density/2.65 (soil particle density)].

Collaborating researchers also sampled soil to a depth of 1.5 m to determine if N or P had leached below the root zone (Ferguson and Nienaber, 2000).

2.5. Data handling and processing

Map data were transferred to a PC after each survey, with the stored files converted to ASCII format suitable for input into a contouring and 3-D mapping Surfer® program (Golden Software Inc., 809 14th Street, Golden, CO, 80401-1866). Maps were generated using an inverse distance interpolator.

The scanned points of each treatment strip were formatted (Eigenberg et al., 2000) to be compatible with statistical software (SAS, 1985). The effects of treatment, cover crop and treatment $\times$ cover were analyzed using Proc GLM (SAS, 1985). Additionally, correlations of EC$_a$ with NO$_3$, soil EC$_{1:1}$, and soil water content were computed using Proc Corr (SAS, 1985).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. EC$_a$ maps

Presented in Fig. 1 is an EC$_a$ image of the cornfield that was produced at the midpoint (June 14, JD 165) of

![Fig. 1. A representative image of the EC$_a$ map of the cornfield made in the middle of the corn-growing season (JD 165). The cover crop areas are shown and some treatment strips are apparent in the image indicating conductivity differences for the treatments.](image)
the corn-growing season. When viewed in sequence, the series of maps illustrates the field dynamics with overall EC values rising uniformly with time (images not shown). The application of manure and compost produces clearly visible changes in map appearances. Subsequent darkening occurs (lower EC values) in the later maps (JD 180 and beyond) as crop uptake and nutrient transport dominate the image. What is suggested in the images is more evident in the mean values extracted from the image data and illustrated in Fig. 2, that represents average values for each treatment (40 or more readings) averaged across four replicates and the two cover crop treatments. The asterisks in Fig. 2 indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) in treatments as compared to the commercial fertilizer check treatment (NCK). The EC values of MN and CN treatments trended higher than other treatments for a 2-month period (JD 119–180) after application of manure and compost on JD 110 (20 April), and were significantly greater for more than one month (JD 144–180). This likely resulted from mineralization of residual and freshly applied N from manure and compost and the addition of salts and available N as NH₄ and NO₃. Treatment effects were similar between cover crop treatments except, as discussed by Eigenberg et al. (2000), EC values for the portion of the field receiving the CN treatment without cover were significantly different from the NCK from the beginning of the season (JD 75) through crop harvest on JD 236 (data not shown).

3.2. Soil electrical conductivity as an indicator of biophysical changes in plant available N

Seasonal changes in soil electrical conductivity for silage corn with and without a rye cover crop for 1999 are shown in Fig. 3. In general, EC for all treatments increased from mid-March (JD 75) through mid-June...
Fig. 3. Comparison of EM-38 measured soil electrical conductivities with and without a rye winter cover crop. The presence of a rye cover crop resulted in significantly lower soil electrical conductivity levels through periods of the year when the cover crop was growing and corn was not in the active growth phase. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the rye cover crop and no cover crop for each date are indicated by an asterisk (*) above the plot lines. Also, shown are relevant management and corn growth events during the crop-growing season at MARC in 1999. (a) JD 165, fertilizer applied to NCK plots only; (b) JD 201, fertilizer applied to NCK, CP and MP plots.

The trends observed for EC$_a$ during the growing season generally paralleled changes in soil temperature throughout the year, particularly for the 5 cm soil depth (Fig. 4). Soil microbial activity doubles with each 10 $^\circ$C increase in temperature between 10 and 35 $^\circ$C (Parkin et al., 1996). Thus, the increases in EC$_a$ with increasing temperature apparently followed a trend similar to that for microbial activity. The peaks in soil temperature throughout the year, however, were out of phase with those for conductivity, with the conductivity peaks lagging the soil temperature peaks by 5–7 days. If conductivity is a good indicator of the dynamics of soil available NO$_3$ levels, as suggested by Smith and Doran (1996), this may have resulted from the two-step process of organic N mineralization. The formation of the first product (NH$_4$) is brought about by many different microorganisms over a wide range of soil conditions. The second step, the oxidation of NH$_4$ to NO$_3$, is brought about by a select group of aerobic bacteria that are more sensitive to soil temperature, soil water content, and oxygen availability. Another explanation for the out of phase nature of temperature and EC$_a$ is the fact that sudden declines in soil temperature are associated with rainfall events, especially during the early growing season. The delayed declines in EC$_a$ observed may be associated with the loss of NO$_3$ from soil due to leaching or denitrification that occur under wet soil conditions after rainfall (Fig. 4).

The declines in EC$_a$ (Fig. 3) that were observed between JD 121 and 134 and between JD 145 and 159 were apparently related to soil conditions which...
Fig. 4. Soil temperatures and precipitation events (rainfall and center-pivot irrigation) for the manure management plots at MARC, Clay Center, NE for 1999.

approached saturation during these periods (Eigenberg et al., 2000). Although fluctuations in soil water content were partially associated with oscillations in $EC_a$, it is obvious that water and nutrient uptake characteristics of growing corn were the major factors controlling soil electrical conductivity later in the growing season. This period in the growing season occurred between JD 165, when commercial fertilizer was applied to the N check when corn was about 30 cm tall, and on JD 251 when corn silage was harvested (Fig. 3). It is interesting to note that the downward trend in soil $EC_a$ was reversed between JD 193 and 215 at which time the corn was 2–3 m tall and in the silking stage. Also, as mentioned earlier, 84 kg N ha$^{-1}$ urea-ammonium N solution was applied on JD 201 to MP, CP and NCK treatments. Researchers have noted that during silking of corn there is very little uptake of N, regardless of soil moisture condition and plant stress (James Schepers, personal communication, November 1999 (Schepers, 1999)).

The soil $EC_a$ values observed in this study, 52–78 mS m$^{-1}$ (0.52–0.78 dS m$^{-1}$), were generally below the threshold of 0.8–1.0 dS m$^{-1}$ (soil:water, 1:1), above which the growth and activity of plants and microorganisms can be significantly altered (Smith and Doran, 1996). However, the results of this study suggest that soil electrical conductivity may serve as a useful indicator of available N in soil as suggested by Gajda et al. (2000) and Patraquin et al. (1993). Throughout the year, when corn was not in an active growth phase, the presence of rye as a growing cover crop resulted in significantly lower levels of $EC_a$ (Fig. 3). In general, the lower soil $EC_a$ values with the growing cover crop were also associated with lower levels of NO$_3$ in the soil (Eigenberg et al., 2000). However, after disking and incorporation of the cover crop on (JD 121), soil NO$_3$ levels increased intermittently until JD 180 in cover crop plots, especially those receiving manure and compost (MN and CN). During this period two declines in conductivity after JD 119 and 144 were associated with brief rainfall periods which resulted in the soil approaching or exceeding saturation. Under these conditions, soluble NO$_3$–N would be expected to be lost due to leaching or denitrification. Parkin et al. (1996) demonstrated that considerable N can be lost from soil by denitrification when soil water content exceeds 80% water-filled pore space (80% relative saturation).

It appears from this study that the mineralization and loss of available N from soil can be reasonably...
estimated from soil electrical conductivity values. The average change in soil ECa between JD 110, when compost and manure were added to soil, and JD 165, before corn started removing appreciable available N, with and without a winter cover crop averaged 0.14 and 0.12 dS m$^{-1}$ (14 and 12 mS m$^{-1}$). This equates to 19.6 and 16.8 ppm available mineral N, respectively (Smith and Doran, 1996; EC (dS m$^{-1}$) $\times$ 140 ppm N (dS m$^{-1}$) $^{-1}$ = microgram of available N g$^{-1}$ soil). Assuming an average soil bulk density of 1.35 g cm$^{-3}$ and a soil depth of 60 cm, 159 and 136 kg N ha$^{-1}$ of gross N was mineralized over 55 days for the cover and no cover treatments, respectively. Declines in ECa occurred between JD 119 and 133, and between JD 144 and 159 were 0.063 and 0.055 dS m$^{-1}$, respectively. These losses, apparently associated with N losses due to leaching and or denitrification, represented NO$_3$–N losses of 71 and 62 kg N ha$^{-1}$ for cover crop and no cover, respectively. Subtraction of ECa estimated N losses from the ECa estimated gross N mineralized during this 55 day period results in an estimated net N available to corn plants of 83 and 56 kg N ha$^{-1}$, respectively. This equates to an average mineralization rate of 1.5 and 1.0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ per day. From this, ECa appeared to be a reliable indicator of soluble N gains and losses in soil, and should serve as a reliable indicator of sufficiency of available N for corn early in the growing season and as an indicator of N surplus after harvest.

### 3.3. Soil electrical conductivity (ECa) as influenced by available N and soil water content

At the beginning of the growing season (JD 75), the levels of NH$_4$ and NO$_3$ in the 0 to 46 cm soil layer ranged from 19 to 39, and from 3 to 17 kg N ha$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 2). The NO$_3$ levels in the cover crop soils were significantly lower than those without a cover crop, indicating that the cover crop had utilized N remaining in the soil after harvest of the previous corn crop. Also, the proportion of the total soil electrical conductivity that was due to NO$_3$–N was higher without (8–20%) than with a cover crop (3–13%). The proportion of the total conductivity due to total available N (NH$_4$ and NO$_3$) was higher and ranged from 34–58% across cover treatments as compared to no winter cover. For soil samples taken on 13 September (JD 252), at the end of the growing season, NH$_4$ levels were similar between all treatments but NO$_3$ levels tended to be slightly higher where there was no cover crop (Table 3). Also, the proportion of conductivity that was due to NO$_3$–N and (NH$_4$–N + NO$_3$–N) tended to be slightly higher where there was no cover crop and ranged from 9 to 31%, and from 14 to 40%, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>NH$_4$–N (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NO$_3$–N (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>1:1 Electrical conductivity (dS m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>% of EC$_1$*</th>
<th>% of EC$_1$*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+CC / CC</td>
<td>+CC / CC</td>
<td>+CC / CC</td>
<td>+CC / CC</td>
<td>+CC / CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure @ N rate (MN)</td>
<td>27.2 / 28.5</td>
<td>3.0 / 15.6</td>
<td>0.64 / 0.61</td>
<td>3.1 / 14.4</td>
<td>33.6 / 51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost @ N rate (CN)</td>
<td>23.9 / 29.0</td>
<td>1.8 / 16.8</td>
<td>0.53 / 0.62</td>
<td>2.5 / 19.5</td>
<td>34.7 / 53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure @ P rate (MP)</td>
<td>38.8 / 29.6</td>
<td>2.0 / 12.3</td>
<td>0.50 / 0.52</td>
<td>3.0 / 16.9</td>
<td>58.4 / 57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost @ P rate (CP)</td>
<td>19.7 / 30.8</td>
<td>9.8 / 13.8</td>
<td>0.53 / 0.59</td>
<td>13.2 / 16.6</td>
<td>39.8 / 54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer N (NCK)</td>
<td>19.2 / 25.3</td>
<td>1.5 / 5.4</td>
<td>0.45 / 0.47</td>
<td>2.5 / 8.1</td>
<td>37.0 / 55.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The 1:1 electrical conductivity attributable to NO$_3$ or NO$_3$ + NH$_4$ is calculated by dividing the total amount (µg g$^{-1}$) of each species by 140 (µg dS m$^{-1}$) conductivity.
Table 3
Soil NH$_4$–N and NO$_3$–N levels, electrical conductivity (1:1, soil:water), and the proportion of conductivity from NO$_3$ and NH$_4$ in samples from the 0 to 46 cm layer sampled on 13 September 1999 (JD 252) with (+CC) and without (−CC) a rye winter cover crop on the manure management plots at MARC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>NH$_4$–N (µg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NO$_3$–N (µg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>1:1 Electrical conductivity (dS m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>% of EC$_{1:1}$ NO$_3$</th>
<th>% of EC$_{1:1}$ NH$_4$ + NO$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>–CC</td>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>–CC</td>
<td>+CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure @ N rate (MN)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost @ N rate (CN)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure @ P rate (MP)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost @ P rate (CP)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer N (NCK)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was interesting to note that the ‘background’ total soil electrical conductivity (EC$_{1:1}$) tended to decline from the beginning (Table 2) to the end (Table 3) of the growing season, and more so in treatments that did not receive recent organic amendments. The EC$_{1:1}$ of MN and CN treatments had declined slightly from an average of 0.60 dS m$^{-1}$ in mid-March to a value of 0.53 dS m$^{-1}$ in mid-September. However, the EC$_{1:1}$ of treatments not receiving recent organic amendments (MP, CP, and NCK) decreased from 0.51 to 0.37 dS m$^{-1}$ over the same period. Under field conditions, decreases in ‘background’ conductivity are due in part to reductions in soil water content and available soil organic levels as the season progresses.

Table 4
Pearson’s correlation coefficients of surface soil electromagnetic conductivity measured with the EM38 and soil NO$_3$–N and H$_2$O (relative saturation) contents for soil depths of 0–23 and 23–46 cm with (+CC) and without (−CC) a rye winter cover crop at 18 times during the growing season on the MARC manure management plots in 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cover crop</th>
<th>0 to 23 cm NO$_3$–N (µg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Soil depth soil H$_2$O WFPS*</th>
<th>23 to 46 cm NO$_3$–N (µg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Soil depth soil H$_2$O WFPS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>0.79**</td>
<td>0.49**</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure @ N rate (MN)</td>
<td>–CC</td>
<td>0.48**</td>
<td>0.58*</td>
<td>0.39**</td>
<td>0.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost @ N rate (CN)</td>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>0.71***</td>
<td>0.59**</td>
<td>0.46**</td>
<td>0.59**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure @ P rate (MP)</td>
<td>–CC</td>
<td>0.48**</td>
<td>0.50*</td>
<td>0.46***</td>
<td>0.72**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost @ P rate (CP)</td>
<td>+CC</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>–0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer N (NCK)</td>
<td>–CC</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.58*</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.55*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Soil WFPS is synonymous with relative saturation.

** Indicate significant correlations at $P < 0.01$, respectively.

*** Indicate significant correlations at $P < 0.001$, respectively.
The utility of soil electrical conductivity as a measure of the mineralization and release of soil available N depends on several factors, including seasonal changes in soil water content and the relative proportion of the ‘background’ conductivity signal, which is attributable to mineralized available N (De Neve et al., 2000). Our analyses for 1999 did not permit a complete evaluation of the proportion of the soil electrical conductivity signal resulting from NO3 and (NO3 + NH4) throughout the entire growing season. However, preliminary results from the year 2000 indicated that, among soil anions, NO3 accounts for 25–35% of the soil conductivity signal (EC 1:1) followed in order of predominance by HCO3 (25–30%), SO4 (10–25%), Cl (10–15%), and PO4 (2–5%).

4. Conclusions

Field measurement of soil electrical conductivity (ECa) identified the effects of manure, compost, fertilizer N, and cover crop treatments on changes in available N levels before, during, and after the corn-growing season (Figs. 2 and 3). Recently applied compost and manure at the N rate resulted in consistently higher conductivity and levels of available N followed by compost and manure at the P rate, which hadn’t been applied since 1997. The N fertilizer treatment (NCK), unlike manure and compost treatments, tended to have the lowest soil conductivity and least residual effect after application. Ferguson and Nienaber (2000) reported that average corn silage yield over 7 years, with application of organic residues, was equal to or greater than that from inorganic N fertilizer. With the 1999 crop continuing the same trend, the 1999 yields for the MN and CN treatments were observably higher than MP and CP, and NCK resulted in the lowest yield. Sequential measurement of profile weighted soil electrical conductivity (ECa) was effective in identifying the dynamic changes in available soil N, as affected by animal manure and N fertilizer treatments, during the corn-growing season. This method also clearly identified the effectiveness of cover crops in minimizing levels of available soil N before and after the corn-growing season, when soluble N is most subject to loss. Ferguson and Nienaber (2000) reported that use of a winter cover crop was effective in reducing NO3 accumulation and leaching from high rates of organic applications (MN and CN) on this experimental field. In 1999, the winter cover crop significantly reduced residual NO3–N at all depths below 0.15 m to a depth of 1.5 m; from 76 kg N ha−1 with no cover crop to 34 kg N ha−1 where a rye winter cover crop was planted. Time sequence ECa maps provided insights into temporal soil dynamics revealing identifiable differences in rates of change of soil conductivity, and apparently available N, among treatments. Soil conductivity appeared to be a reliable indicator of soluble N gains and losses in soil and may serve as a measure of N sufficiency for corn early in the growing season. Soil conductivity may also be used as an indicator of N surplus after harvest when N is prone to loss from leaching and/or denitrification.
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