Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction for detecting *Mycoplasma hyosynoviae* and *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* in pen-based oral, tonsillar, and nasal fluids

João Carlos Gomes Neto  
*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, carlos.neto@path.utah.edu*

Leslie Bower  
*Iowa State University*

Barbara Z. Erickson  
*Iowa State University*

Chong Wang  
*Iowa State University*

Matthew Raymond  
*Iowa State University*

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/foodsciefacpub](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/foodsciefacpub)

Part of the [Food Science Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/foodsciefacpub)

Gomes Neto, João Carlos; Bower, Leslie; Erickson, Barbara Z.; Wang, Chong; Raymond, Matthew; and Strait, Erin L., "Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction for detecting *Mycoplasma hyosynoviae* and *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* in pen-based oral, tonsillar, and nasal fluids" (2015). Faculty Publications in Food Science and Technology. 190.  
[https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/foodsciefacpub/190](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/foodsciefacpub/190)
Authors
João Carlos Gomes Neto, Leslie Bower, Barbara Z. Erickson, Chong Wang, Matthew Raymond, and Erin L. Strait
Quantitative real–time polymerase chain reaction for detecting *Mycoplasma hyosynoviae* and *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* in pen–based oral, tonsillar, and nasal fluids

João Carlos Gomes Neto¹²,⁎, Leslie Bower¹,⁎, Barbara Z. Erickson¹, Chong Wang¹, Matthew Raymond¹³, Erin L. Strait¹⁴

¹Department of Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50111, USA
²352 Food Science Complex, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA
³Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53715, USA
⁴Merck Animal Health, DeSoto, KS 66018, USA

*Mycoplasma* (*M.*) *hyorhinis* and *M. hyosynoviae* are pathogens known to cause disease in pigs post-weaning. Due to their fastidious nature, there is increased need for culture-independent diagnostic platforms to detect these microorganisms. Therefore, this study was performed to develop and optimize quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assays to rapidly detect *M. hyorhinis* and *M. hyosynoviae* in pen-based oral fluids as well as nasal and tonsillar fluids as proxies for samples used in swine herd surveillance. Two methods of genomic DNA extraction, automated versus manual, were used to compare diagnostic test performance. A wean-to-finish longitudinal study was also carried out to demonstrate the reproducibility of using pen-based oral fluids. Overall, pen-based oral and tonsillar fluids were more likely to be positive for both types of bacteria whereas only *M. hyorhinis* was detected in nasal fluids. DNA extraction protocols were shown to significantly influence test result. Although the initial detection time somewhat differed, both organisms were repeatedly detected in the longitudinal study. Overall, this study evaluated two qPCR methods for rapid and specific detection of either mycoplasma. Results from the present investigation can serve as a foundation for future studies to determine the prevalence of the two microorganisms, environmental load, and effectiveness of veterinary interventions for infection control.
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**Introduction**

*Mycoplasma* (*M.*) *hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* are ubiquitous pathogens of swine known to cause arthritis and polyserositis, respectively, in pigs post-weaning [7,30,33]. Transmission of both pathogens presumably occurs either vertically or horizontally after initial exposure [14,19,35]. *M. hyorhinis* is more likely to be found in pigs immediately following weaning [21,35]. After initial colonization of the upper respiratory tract, bacteremia may occur leading to the development of polyarthritis, polyserositis, and potentially pneumonia [10,17,26]. *M. hyosynoviae* primarily colonizes the tonsils of weaned pigs but clinical arthritis only develops around 3 to 6 months of age [13,25,29].

Despite our understanding of the clinical disease, the true prevalence, incidence, and overall dynamics of infection by these mycoplasmas in swine populations is relatively unknown. Given the re-emergence of *Mycoplasma*-associated clinical arthritis that impacts both animal well-being and the profitability of clinically-affected populations [11], more epidemiological data will be needed to effectively implement disease control strategies. Due to the difficulty with culturing these species of mycoplasma, development of rapid, accurate, and culture-independent methods for quantifying these pathogens in multiple sample matrices is crucial. Therefore, the current study was conducted to establish quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assays and test the ability of these methods to detect both *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* in pen-based oral fluid samples along with nasal and tonsillar fluids as proxies for diagnostic specimens commonly used in swine herd screening.
In addition, this study also verified the effects of an automated and a manual method of DNA extraction commonly performed in veterinary diagnostic laboratories on test result interpretation.

Materials and Methods

Genomic DNA extraction protocols

As a part of the diagnostic test validation, two distinct methods for total DNA extraction from pen-based oral, nasal, and tonsillar fluids were compared: magnetic beads (MB, automatic) and spin column (SC, manual). The MB (MagMAX Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit; Applied Biosystems, USA) and SC (High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit; Roche, USA) DNA extraction protocols were performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. For the MB procedure, DNA was extracted using a semi-automated nucleic acid purification system (KingFisher 96 magnetic particle processor; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). All DNA samples were frozen at −20°C until qPCR analysis.

Primer selection and design

The M. hyosynoviae-specific primer pair, herein called “Lauerman qPCR”, was used for validation. This primer set was originally presented by Lauerman [18], but its validation and diagnostic test performance were never formally published. These primers were used in the current study for validation and verification of diagnostic performance without discarding the initial primer pair development. A new primer set was produced to detect M. hyorhinis. All primers used for this study targeted sequences within the hypervariable region of the 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) of the bacteria. For initial design and confirmation of specificity across all swine mycoplasmas, the 16S partial sequences for swine mycoplasmas were aligned. DNA sequence alignment was performed using a ClustalW algorithm available with commercially available software (DNASTAR Lasergene software ver. 8.0; DNASTAR, USA). The Lauerman qPCR primer sequences were 5’-CAGT TGAGGAAATGCAACTG-3’ (forward) and 5’-TAGCTGCG TCAGTGATTGG-3’ (reverse). The M. hyorhinis qPCR primer sequences were 5’-GCATGTTGAACGGGATGTAGCAAT-3’ (forward) and 5’-TGAAGCTGTGAAGCTCCTTTATTA CTC-3’ (reverse). Specificity of the two primer pairs was subsequently re-confirmed in silico by checking them against a bacterial ribosomal database [4] and a probeCheck platform [23].

qPCR

qPCR specific for M. hyosynoviae and M. hyorhinis was performed using a fluorescence-based assay consisted of 2× commercial master mix (QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix; Qiagen, USA) at a final concentration of 1×, each forward and reverse primer (0.4 μM final concentration), 2.5 μL template DNA, and nuclease-free water (up to 25 μL of the total reaction volume). All qPCR assays were performed with a commercial platform (ABI 7500 Fast Real-time PCR system; Life Technologies, USA). The assays were run under the following conditions: 15 min at 95°C followed by 45 cycles of 15 sec of denaturing at 94°C, 30 sec of annealing at 63°C (M. hyosynoviae) or 59°C (M. hyorhinis), and 30 sec of extension at 72°C; and a final melt curve from 95°C to 59°C. Assays for M. hyosynoviae and M. hyorhinis were performed in separate reactions given the difference in annealing temperature. All samples were tested in duplicate. In the case of discordant results (i.e., one positive and one negative), the sample was re-tested twice to achieve a consensus.

qPCR data interpretation

Analysis of the cycle threshold (Ct) value was performed using on-board qPCR software (ABI 7500 Fast software; Life Technologies) by setting the threshold manually at 0.04 with the baseline set from cycles 3–15. A sample was considered positive for M. hyosynoviae if the amplification curve did not exceed a Ct value of 35 and had a melting temperature (Tm) of 81.5 ± 0.5°C. Samples were positive for M. hyorhinis only if the limit of detection did not exceed a Ct value of 38 and had a melting temperature of 75.9 ± 0.5°C. Samples collected after an experimental challenge with all four major swine mycoplasmas, including the two tested in the present study, were used as in-house positive and negative controls for pen-based oral, nasal, and tonsillar fluids [12].

Assay specificity

To measure the specificity of each primer pair, a collection of bacterial isolates commonly found in swine that had been previously identified was utilized [34]. The collection included both mycoplasmas and non-mycoplasma species present in the swine respiratory tract, joints, or peritoneal cavities. This panel was specifically chosen due to its representativeness of known swine pathogens that could possibly be a confounding factor for development of the assays. More importantly, the panel had also been previously used to develop M. hyopneumoniae-specific PCR primers, therefore indicating its validity.

Analytical sensitivity

Two plasmids were synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies, USA) as quantitative standards. One contained the 160-base pair target region of M. hyorhinis 16S rDNA and the other contained the 392-base pair target region of M. hyosynoviae 16S rDNA. Insert sequences were synthesized into pIDTSMART plasmids containing restriction sites flanking each end of the insert sequences. Both plasmids were linearized and prepared in ten 10-fold serial dilutions ranging from 1 × 10⁷ to 1 copy for sensitivity testing and standard curve analysis. Standard curves were generated in triplicate to determine the limit of detection.
and efficiency of each reaction. In order to estimate the total number of bacterial genome copies (genome equivalents), one copy of the 16S rDNA gene was used for either mycoplasma by taking into consideration data available from the ribosomal RNA database [20]. DNA isolated from serially diluted cultures of either mycoplasma was used to confirm results predicted by the plasmid standard curves. Mycoplasma culture procedures were performed as previously described [31]. Ultimately, the plasmid standard curves were used throughout the study for consistent quantification of bacterial load in the diagnostic specimens.

Diagnostic specimens for qPCR performance testing

For diagnostic performance verification using field samples that can serve as proxies for swine herd screening, five commercial finishing sites that received pigs from a common sow herd were selected for sampling. Each site had 2,000 to 4,000 18- to 24-week-old pigs housed in one or two barns. Sites were selected based on the clinical history reported by the consultant veterinarian of Mycoplasma-associated arthritis in previous groups of pigs produced from the same groups of sows in the herd. The clinical history was confirmed by the Iowa State Veterinary diagnostic laboratory and used as a defining criterion for the presence of both pathogens in piglets produced in the herd. The clinical history was confirmed by the Iowa State Veterinary diagnostic laboratory and used as a defining criterion for the presence of both pathogens in piglets produced in the herd. Animal care and management protocols remained unchanged compared to routine practices. No indication of clinical disease was observed at the time of sampling. Diagnostic specimens were collected within one day at each of the five sites. A total of 340 specimens were analyzed in the study, including 60 pen-based oral fluid samples, 81 nasal swabs, and 49 tonsil scrapings. At each site, pen-based oral fluid samples were collected as previously described by leaving a rope for pigs coming into contact with it and yielding 30 mL of sample [27]. Pigs for individual sampling (nasal swabs and tonsil scrapings) were selected from the same pens from which oral fluids were collected. Nasal swabs were obtained from each naris and placed into sterile 5-mL polystyrene round bottom snap-cap tubes (BD Biosciences Discovery Labware, USA) containing 2 mL of sterile 1× phosphate buffer solution (PBS; Gibco, USA). Tonsil scrapings were collected and placed into sterile 5-mL tubes containing 3 mL of sterile 1× PBS (Gibco) as previously described [35]. All samples were stored at −20°C until assayed by qPCR.

Field longitudinal study

A longitudinal study was ultimately carried out in one wean-to-finish barn with pigs placed at approximately 4–5 weeks of age. The barn housed 220 animals in each of the 11 pens with a total of 2,420 animals. The pig unit was selected as part of the same production complex from where samples were collected to evaluate the initial diagnostic test performance. During placement, five pens were randomly selected, and pen-based oral fluid samples were collected by caretakers and the herd veterinarian at six time points: 0, 28, 58, 88, 118, and 148 days post-placement (DPP). The average age of the animals at the start of the study was 28 days.

Animals and animal care

All animal experimental protocols were approved by the Iowa State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (no. 7-11-7181-S).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis and graphic depiction of data were performed with GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, USA) along with its online software for calculating Kappa Cohen’s coefficient (QuickCals; GraphPad Software) and McNemar’s test (QuickCals; GraphPad Software). qPCR test results are presented as the number and percentage of positives in addition to the log10 of bacterial load shown in a box-and-whisker plot. Kappa Cohen’s coefficient and McNemar’s test (p < 0.05) were used to determine the agreement among qPCR test results when using different methods of genomic DNA extraction across multiple diagnostic specimens. An unpaired T-test (p < 0.05) using Welch’s correction for different variance was used to compare the total bacterial yield per specimen between the two methods of genomic DNA extraction.

Results

Analytical sensitivity and specificity

*M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* primer sets were shown to be species-specific. The analytical sensitivity analysis using the plasmid DNA standard curve revealed linearity with *M. hyosynoviae* (y = −3.4667 + 38.333/R² = 0.998) and *M. hyorhinis* (y = −3.6167 + 41.861/R² = 0.999). qPCR efficiencies were 94% and 89% for *M. hyorhinis* and *M. hyosynoviae*, respectively. The assay limit of detection was found to be 10 genome equivalent copies for both *M. hyosynoviae* (Ct value of 35) and *M. hyorhinis* (Ct value of 38).

Comparative analysis of DNA extraction methods for qPCR test performance

*M. hyosynoviae* was less likely to be detected in all diagnostic specimens used in this study with a maximum of 37% positive test results for tonsillar fluids and 28% for pen-based oral fluids. None of the nasal fluid samples were positive for *M. hyosynoviae*. In contrast, *M. hyorhinis* was detected in all specimens with a maximum of 96%, 75%, and 77% for tonsillar fluids, pen-based oral fluids, and nasal fluids, respectively.
Overall, the spin column method of DNA extraction increased test sensitivity for both *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* (Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2).

**Longitudinal study**

Results of the longitudinal study revealed distinct patterns of detection for *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* in serially collected pen-based oral fluids. *M. hyosynoviae* was not found until 88 DPP when only 40% of the pens were positive (2 of 5) with all samples testing positive thereafter. *M. hyorhinis* was initially detected in 60% of the samples on day 28 DPP (3 of 5) and subsequently in all five pens. Quantification of mycoplasma load in oral fluids showed that the overall bacterial load was low over time (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

*M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* can be isolated from the tonsils and nasal cavity of experimentally inoculated and naturally exposed animals using standard culture procedures [9,15,16,24]. However, standard culture techniques are often complicated by overgrowth of other fast-growing bacteria [8,22,35]. Therefore, qPCR assays were developed and validated in the current study to be used as high-throughput and rapid diagnostic alternatives for detecting and quantifying *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* in specimens used as proxies for field samples routinely used in swine population screening.

Since *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* are presumably long-term colonizers of the upper respiratory tract of pigs, it was hypothesized that pen-based oral, tonsillar, and nasal fluids would yield positive test results provided that these organisms were known to be circulating in the population [3,13,17,32]. This criterion was established while taking into consideration

### Table 1. Summary of Mycoplasma (*M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis*) real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) positive results (%) according to diagnostic specimen and extraction protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic specimen</th>
<th>Number of positive results for the relevant extraction protocol</th>
<th>Kappa coefficient</th>
<th>p value McNemar’s test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB Pos (%)</td>
<td>SC Pos (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. hyosynoviae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS (n = 49)</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td>18 (37%)</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF (n = 60)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>17 (28%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS (n = 81)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. hyorhinis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS (n = 49)</td>
<td>45 (92%)</td>
<td>47 (96%)</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF (n = 60)</td>
<td>22 (37%)</td>
<td>45 (75%)</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS (n = 81)</td>
<td>60 (74%)</td>
<td>77 (95%)</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The total number of tested samples per diagnostic specimen is indicated in parentheses. **Pos (%)** represents the number and percentage of positive samples. The genomic DNA extraction methods used in this study were magnetic beads (MB) and a spin column (SC). †Kappa Cohen’s coefficient was used to compare the degree of agreement between the two genomic DNA extraction methods based on qPCR results. §McNemar’s chi-square test was used to compare the percentage of agreement between the two genomic DNA extraction methods based on qPCR results (p < 0.05). TS: tonsil scraping fluid, NS: nasal swab fluid, OF: pen-based oral fluid.

![Fig. 1. *M. hyosynoviae* load in tonsillar, pen-based oral, and nasal fluids according to two distinct methods of genomic DNA extraction (magnetic beads versus spin column). The box-and-whisker plot includes only samples that were positive in order to demonstrate the range of bacterial load among diagnostic specimens and DNA extraction protocols. Asterisks indicate significant differences for the log10 number of bacteria when comparing the two methods of DNA extraction (p < 0.05). The limit of detection for *M. hyosynoviae*-specific qPCR is indicated in the figure. TSSC: tonsil scraping and spin column, TSMB: tonsil scraping and magnetic beads, OFSC: oral fluids and spin column, OFMB: oral fluids and magnetic beads, NSSC: nasal swab and spin column, NSMB: nasal swab and magnetic beads.](image-url)
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**Fig. 2.** *M. hyorhinis* load in tonsillar, pen-based oral, and nasal fluids according to two distinct methods of genomic DNA extraction (magnetic beads versus spin column). The box-and-whisker plot only includes samples that were positive in order to demonstrate the range of bacterial load among diagnostic specimens and DNA extraction protocols. Asterisks indicate significant differences for the log10 number of bacteria when comparing the two methods of DNA extraction ($p < 0.05$). The limit of detection for *M. hyorhinis*-specific qPCR is indicated in the figure.

**Fig. 3.** *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* load in pen-based oral fluids serially sampled from wean-to-finish pigs in a longitudinal study. Sampling was performed for the same five pens initially selected. The box-and-whisker plot includes only samples that were positive in order to demonstrate the range of bacterial load in the pen-based oral fluids over time. The limit of qPCR detection for both types of mycoplasma is indicated in the figure.

that a herd with a clinical history of *Mycoplasma*-associated arthritis was selected for sampling. As shown in the present investigation, both *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* could be detected in tonsillar and pen-based oral fluids whereas only *M. hyorhinis* was found in nasal fluids. To date, it is still unclear whether or not *M. hyosynoviae* has a differential tropism for tonsils compared to the nasal cavity, or if an ecological barrier exists that determines the inhabitance and ecology of these structures, or niches. It appears that *M. hyorhinis* is less likely to be influenced by anatomical location. While these results are intriguing, careful interpretation is warranted since confounding factors such as sampling, number of animals, true prevalence, dynamics of infection, and host immunity may alter data interpretation.

Comparison between two different genomic DNA extraction protocols performed in the current investigation was made based on a previous report in which significant differences were observed in the rate of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus detection in oral fluids using different methods [2]. Additionally, many veterinary diagnostic laboratories use automatic genomic DNA extraction procedures to expedite test results, thus increasing the relevance of the comparison here. In this study, the manual procedure using a spin column was shown to increase test sensitivity for both *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis*. As recently suggested [3], this step can affect detection of these mycoplasmas in pen-based oral fluids. As demonstrated here, this may be true for other specimens as well. Results of the present investigation suggest that the number of false negatives can be affected by the genomic DNA extraction protocol used depending on the study design and nature of sampling. Importantly, these findings should increase the awareness of veterinarians and diagnosticians to not only interpret the qPCR test results as (e.g., cycle threshold values as positive or negative), but to incorporate the clinical history of the farm, specifically the expected prevalence, to determine the positive and negative predictive values of the test.

While previous research has demonstrated that a variety of other swine pathogens may be found in porcine oral fluids [1,3,5,6,28], the current study is the first to show that *M. hyosynoviae* can also be detected by qPCR in pen-based oral fluid samples. In our longitudinal study, delayed detection of either mycoplasma may have been caused by multiple factors such as protective circulating maternal antibodies, low rate of transmission, few infected animals at the time of weaning, or unknown host factors that confer temporary immunity. This is assuming that group-based sampling reflects the individual status of pen mates, which is yet to be proven. In summary, this study provided a new molecular approach to be used in veterinary diagnostics for specific quantification of two re-emerging swine pathogens. Our findings can serve as a foundation for future studies to understand the true prevalence, incidence, and impact of production interventions for controlling *M. hyosynoviae* and *M. hyorhinis* infection in pig populations.
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