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\begin{abstract}
A “what-if” scenario where biological agents are accidentally or deliberately introduced into a water system was generated, and artificial neural network (ANN) models were applied to identify the pathogenic release location to isolate the contaminated area and minimize its hazards. The spatiotemporal distribution of \textit{Escherichia coli} 15597 along the water system was employed to locate pollutants by inversely interpreting transport patterns of \textit{E. coli} using ANNs. Results showed that dispersion patterns of \textit{E. coli} were positively correlated to pH, turbidity, and conductivity ($R^2 = 0.90–0.96$), and the ANN models successfully identified the source location of \textit{E. coli} introduced into a given system with 75% accuracy based on the pre-programmed relationships between \textit{E. coli} transport patterns and release locations. The findings in this study will enable us to assess the vulnerability of essential water systems, establish the early warning system and protect humans and the environment.
\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}
Sources for biological agents causing health-related risks range from naturally occurring contaminants to those accidentally or intentionally dispersed by humans. Many potential entry points exist, including waste disposal processes (toilet flushing), cleaning (e.g., bathing, hand washing), and indoor/outdoor sanitary activities (e.g., kitchen sink use, storm water collection, irrigation with reclaimed water), and water distribution systems (e.g., fire hydrants, storage tanks, irrigation channels) (Decker, 1990; Mark et al., 1998; Choi et al., 2003). Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, large and small utilities have also been concerned about the deliberate contamination of water distribution systems with chemical, radiological, and biological agents. When real-time sensors are available for water systems, the use of early warning algorithms and prediction models based on networked real-time data are imperative.

The intrusion of biological agents into water systems can pose serious public health risks because these agents cannot be easily detected and can remain hidden until a widespread contamination exists. Most cases with known causes have demonstrated considerable delay by authorities to perceive a risk and respond to the situation. For instance, the largest waterborne outbreak in the US resulted in massive illness among 403,000 people in Milwaukee (Ford and MacKenzie, 2000). Ford and MacKenzie (2000) noted that water was contaminated for at least 2 weeks before oocysts were identified in stool samples and water contamination was finally suspected. In instances like this, it is difficult to manage the contamination event rapidly and efficiently as well as to minimize further health impacts without first knowing the source and location of pathogen release. If the geographical location and release records of biological contaminants were known at a site, further transmission of...
contaminants could be intercepted with minimal health risk. This early detection results in faster completion of remediation methods and risk assessments for the contamination site.

Identification of contaminant sources is not an easy task. At present, many cost-effective sensors for real-time monitoring of water quality exist that allow for a chemical fingerprint. These sensors measure important water quality parameters such as pH, free chlorine, total organic carbon, and total oxygen. The fingerprints based on these parameters are designed to alert utilities of a possible intrusion event in real-time when a contaminant is injected into the distribution system. Additionally, a few technologies are commercially available and are being evaluated for the identification of specific biological agents for water. The use of monitoring and prediction tools in conjunction with these sensors will greatly assist in decision-making within a short time period in the event of water contamination.

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) were proposed in this study as a decision-making tool, along with a computational flow model (Model Of Urban Sewers, or MOUSE) supported by experimental data. The literature on the applications of ANNs is extensive and excellent summaries in science and engineering can be found in Basheer and Hajmeer (2000) and Maier and Dandy (2000).

A key idea for the present study is that unique dispersion patterns extracted from a contaminant’s release curve provide valuable information to track the time and distance of the release. For example, the plume of a contaminant introduced at a distance far from the monitoring site would have a longer travel time, greater spread of contaminant plume, and a lower peak concentration than a contaminant introduced closer to the monitoring site. Interpreting patterns is a critical factor for the development of a reliable model that can be used to isolate the intrusion location of contaminants in a water system.

The specific objectives of this study are (1) estimation of time series of E. coli concentration data using water quality parameters (pH, turbidity, and conductivity); (2) pattern extraction from E. coli breakthrough curves; (3) training ANNs to recognize the uniqueness of each microbial transport pattern depending on its injection location; (4) comparison of pre-recognized patterns with unidentified transport patterns; and (5) determination of contaminant release locations.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Description of field study and hydraulic monitoring

A scale model of an open water system was designed and built at the Agricultural Research Center at the University of Arizona in Tucson, AZ (Fig. 1). The key experimental design parameters (e.g., dimensions, flow speed, etc.) were carefully examined with MOUSE. PVC pipes of 10.16 and 1.27 cm diameter. Feeding pipes were constructed from interconnected circular PVC pipes of 10.16 and 1.27 cm diameter.

Solenoild valves regulated the water flow for each inlet point. The valves were controlled using a CR10X datalogger (Campbell Scientific Incorporation, Logan, UT). The datalogger was programmed using LoggerNet (Campbell Scientific Incorporation, Logan, UT) and used both as a data-storage device for pressure transducer readings and also as a controller for the solenoid valves. Steady-state flow conditions (q = 31.56, 63.10, 94.65, and 126.20 cm³/s) were generated by solenoid valves and confirmed by a calibrated pressure transducer at the outlet point.

2.2. Transport phenomena of microorganisms

Prior to the microbial transport study using E. coli 15597, a conservative tracer test using sodium chloride (NaCl) was conducted to characterize the hydraulic and transport properties of the system. The fecal coliform indicator bacterium, E. coli 15597, was used because it is associated with enteric pathogens. A background test was conducted prior to the release of the E. coli solution in order to confirm the absence of any naturally occurring microorganisms.

The microbial transport study procedure is as follows: 500 mL of E. coli suspension (~10⁸ colony-forming units per milliliter, cfu/mL) was introduced into inlet 1 (In 1) for about 40 s. At specific time intervals (t = 120, 140, 160, 210, 240, 360, 600, 1800, 3600, and 7200 s), 30 mL samples of water from the outlet site were collected in pre-sterilized 50 mL plastic centrifuge tubes. This procedure was then repeated for the remaining inlet points (In 2, 3, 4, and 5). All samples were stored in an ice chest and immediately delivered to the Environmental Microbiology Laboratory at the University of Arizona for processing. All samples containing E. coli were diluted and cultured onto plates of eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar using the spread plate method (American Public Health Association, 1991) under aseptic conditions. A 0.1 mL aliquot of selected dilutions of the sample was uniformly spread on top of the EMB agar with a sterile glass rod. After plating, the samples were incubated overnight at 35 °C, allowing the bacteria to multiply into isolated colony-forming units (cfu) (Maier et al., 2000). In addition, pH and turbidity readings from each water sample were measured within 24 h, using a Corning 445 pH meter and a Hach 2100AN turbidimeter (San Diego, CA, USA), respectively. All measurements of microbial concentration, pH, turbidity, and EC were repeated three times and their average values were used to plot the transport patterns over time.

2.3. Prediction of microbial transport behavior using ANNs

ANNs estimate an output vector, Y, for a given input vector X, such as Y = g(X) for a given function g(·) and then provide an approximation to any function of the input vector X (Gallant and White, 1988; Irie and Miyake, 1988). This function of ANNs expressed using backpropagation (BP) and generalized regression neural network (GRNN) was applied in this study to define the relationship between the microbial transport and its corresponding water quality parameters.

Because each input and output variable measured different phenomena, each had very different ranges, i.e., conductivity...
(76–350), pH (7.095–8.350), turbidity (0.139–11.555), and 
E. coli concentrations (100–108). As a pre-processing step, all input
(three water quality parameters) and output (E. coli concentrations) data require one normalization (scaling) within a
uniform range (0–1). Without rescaling, the network becomes
highly sensitive to the processing element (PE) with a larger
value, because the magnitude was related to its unit of
measurement, not the relative importance.

After normalizing values, selecting the most appropriate
input–output datasets is another important consideration in
determining the success or failure of a neural network
application. Approximately 90% of the time and effort
involved in computation was devoted to this step (Warner
and Misra, 1996). Data to be used for training should be
sufficiently large to cover the possible known variations in the
problem domain (Swingler, 1996). Therefore, a total of 488
data were divided into three subsets, and 72% (351), 8% (39),
and 20% (98) data were used for training, validating, and
testing networks, respectively. All data were randomly
divided into \( k = 10 \) subsets of equal size, and different
network architecture and training parameters were selected
and trained. Each time one of the subsets from the training
was left out during the training, but the omitted subset was
used for validation. This procedure was repeated until no
further decrease in error occurred among 10 subsets. After
training and validation, the network architecture having the
smallest error over 10 subsets was selected and evaluated
using the test set to complete the procedure.

There are numerous factors used to achieve the best model
performance for BP, which include the number of hidden
layers, the number of hidden PEs, transfer function (sigmoid,
tan-sigmoid, etc.), learning algorithms (Delta, extended DBD,
etc.), and learning parameters (learning rate, momentum
factor, and initial weights). Detailed information about each
parameter (definition, function, range, etc.) is provided in
Basheer and Hajmeer (2000) and Maier and Dandy (2000).

Depending on the problem being solved, the success of
training varies with the selected factors, and a trial-and-error
procedure is normally preferred. The root mean of square
error and the classification ratio (correlation coefficient, \( R \)),
which assessed the fitness of each performance, were
provided by NeuralWorks Professional II/PLUS (Carnegie, PA,
USA) software, version 5.22.

Contrary to the BP algorithm, GRNN is capable of approx-
imating any arbitrary function from historical data, as its
name implies. The foundation of the GRNN operation is
essentially based on the theory of nonlinear (kernel) regres-
sion. The smoothing factor, \( \sigma \), is the most important
computing parameter for the GRNN’s performance. Theore-
tically, it is not possible to determine the true \( \sigma \) because the

Fig. 1 – Plan view of the experimental layout using interconnected PVC pipes with various diameters (slope 0.7%).
underlying parent distribution is not known. However, the optimum $\sigma$ for a GRNN built from a training data set can be automatically approximated using the “holdout method” (Specht, 1991; Chtioui et al., 1999). In this study, the $\sigma$ value began at 0.005 with a certain interval, and the final $\sigma$ value was determined by the trial-and-error method. GRNN was created with the Neural Network Toolbox for MATLAB (The Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA).

2.4. Source tracking with ANNs

The simulation of the output state of a model based on known input variables is called “the forward problem.” By contrast, the inverse problem is intended to find the unknown input variables that give rise to a partially known output state (Liu and William, 1999). In the source identification of a contaminant, the injection locations are unknown. In order to represent data more efficiently, the most important features in the data set must be extracted from microbial release curves. The crucial task is feature extraction, which uses characteristic features to find unknown regularities, meaningful categorizations, and patterns in the presented input data. In other words, transport characteristics obtained from a breakthrough curve when $E. coli$ was injected into In 1 must be such that points in a single class (In 1) are close to each other and points from different classes are farther apart (characteristics from releasing event through In 2, 3, 4, and 5).

A total of 13 carefully inspected parameters were extracted, characterized, and categorized depending on the releasing location (In 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). Parameters included the following: hydraulic factor (flow rate), geometric parameters (distance from inlet to outlet), and microbial quality data (total amount of $E. coli$ introduced, time variable concentrations at time = 120, 140, 210, 240, 360, 600, 1800, 3600, and 7200 s) under three different flow conditions ($q = 31.56$, $63.10$, and $94.65 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$).

While many different types of neural networks have been developed, a BP design was chosen because of its demonstrated effectiveness at classifying nonlinear data sets.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Field data

Each experiment was repeated twice, and all readings were averaged and normalized for a better description as shown in Fig. 2. An $E. coli$ suspension was injected into In 1, which is the farthest inlet point from the outlet. Time-dependent water samples were used to quantify $E. coli$ concentrations, pH, and turbidity levels over time. Shortly after the injection event, significant changes were observed from the baseline values of pH and turbidity depending on the flow conditions ($q = 31.56$, $63.10$, $94.65$, and $126.20 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$). Measurement errors were observed at $63.10 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$, and the $E. coli$ concentration curve began about 15 s earlier. Turbidity and pH readings were measured within 24 h after sample collection. When using tryptic soy broth as a growth medium for $E. coli$, metabolic

Fig. 2 – Plots of time-series $E. coli$ and other water quality parameters under various flow conditions: (a) $E. coli$, (b) conductivity, (c) pH, and (d) turbidity.

acids produced by bacteria and microbial activities influenced the change in pH and turbidity to a certain degree over time.

3.2. Model performance

Two algorithms, BP and GRNN, were applied and compared to predict the transport behavior of E. coli using the three water quality parameters. Time-series measurements for E. coli concentration and water quality parameters (pH, turbidity, and conductivity) were entered into BP and GRNN as input and output variables, respectively. Simulation results are plotted in Fig. 3 using experimental measurements from the three different flow conditions.

Several data points were excluded from the curves because pH and turbidity readings were not sensitive enough to recognize changes in E. coli concentrations, creating unnecessary errors. Partial data sets significantly improved the model performance: $R^2 = 0.61-0.92$ (BP) and 0.60-0.90 (GRNN)

Fig. 3 – Prediction of E. coli concentrations with the aid of its surrogates, pH, turbidity, and conductivity data under various flow rate conditions: (a) 31.56, (b) 63.10, and (c) 94.65 cm$^3$/s.

for the entire data sets and $R^2 = 0.92–0.96$ (BP) and 0.90–0.92 (GRNN) for the partial data sets. As shown here, the overall model resulted in a predicted quantity of E. coli that consistently matched the actual concentrations fairly well. This indicates that conductivity, pH, and turbidity are positively correlated with E. coli concentrations.

The BP algorithm showed the best performance with a feed-forward connection type and 3–1–0–1 (numbers of PEs in the input layer, the first hidden layer, the second hidden layer, and the output layer, respectively) architecture. The learning rate and momentum were experimentally determined as 0.3 and 0.4, respectively. The optimal smoothing factor for GRNN was determined as 0.015. The performance of each algorithm is summarized in Table 1.

In the source identification problem, the challenge was the feature extraction of concentration profiles. Useful parts of each curve were travel time, time of peak concentration, amount of peak concentration, and variation of concentration over time. In contrast to the first and prominent peak of the curve used as the feature extraction, it was difficult to separate pattern features from the rest of the curve. For this experiment, unique transport patterns of E. coli injected from different inlets (In 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5) under three different flow conditions (31.56, 63.10, and 94.65 cm$^3$/s) were categorized based on the inlet location. A total of 15 variables were used for training and testing purposes to classify the transport characteristics. The best model performance was achieved using the BP algorithm with the architecture of 15–1–0–1 (numbers of PEs in each layer), the Delta learning rule, the TanH transfer function, and 50,000 iterations by the trial-and-error method. Tables 2 and 3 show the classification results from the best-performing architecture of BP with the aforementioned parameters. The classification results were reasonably good. In the training process, only two out of 11 variables failed to classify into the correct class (82% correct identification). When four input variables were used to test the network architecture, only one case failed (75% correct identification).

### 4. Conclusions

A scale model of an open water system was designed using a computer model (MOUSE®) and constructed to produce the necessary experimental data. Pre-defined concentrations of NaCl and E. coli were introduced into each inlet, and water samples were collected from the outlet at designated time intervals. Water quality parameters (pH, conductivity, and turbidity) were measured to assess their feasibility as relevant parameters of E. coli concentrations. Two algorithms in ANNs (BP and GRNN) were compared to evaluate their potential capabilities as universal approximating and decision-making tools.

A positive correlation between E. coli concentration and the three selected water quality parameters was demonstrated, indicating that ANNs successfully estimated E. coli concentrations as a function of pH, turbidity, and conductivity. For source identification of a contaminant, unique characteristics from E. coli concentration profiles were extracted and classified into each classifier (inlet location). The approach used in this study proved promising. Nevertheless, additional studies are needed to ascertain which combinations of parameters can be universally applied.

This study clearly proved that source locations and release histories at water system sites can be identified and reconstructed. This will allow for remediation system design and risk assessment studies to be completed within a shorter

---

**Table 1 – Compared performance of BP and GRNN models against field data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BP</th>
<th></th>
<th>GRNN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q$ (cm$^3$/s)</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>63.10</td>
<td>94.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean square error (MSE)</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of determination ($R^2$)</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 – Training results for source identification problem**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Training set</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target inlet location</td>
<td>1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed inlet location</td>
<td>2 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resulta</td>
<td>IC C C IC C C C C C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Correctly identified (C), incorrectly identified (IC).*

**Table 3 – Testing results for source identification problem**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Testing set</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>2 3 4 5</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed</td>
<td>1 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resulta</td>
<td>IC C C C C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Correctly identified (C), incorrectly identified (IC).*

---

period of time under various contamination events. This proposed methodology, which uses ANNs, has additional benefits that can be applied to any type of water distribution system (e.g., drinking water and agricultural irrigation systems) and water collection system (e.g., sewer systems). In addition, standard water quality models (e.g., MOUSE) can be integrated with a geographic information system for accurate spatial analysis, enabling the model to better utilize the open database model along with hydraulic and water quality simulation results.

Resilient self-monitoring water infrastructure has become a new paradigm, and real-time sensors and event-monitors are being developed and tested by private companies, academic institutions, and local and federal agencies in the United States. These sensors and a sensor-network can provide specific threat information through appropriate linkages to a SCADA system. A decision-making computer model can help local utilities respond with adequate protective measures to mitigate any public health risk and isolate the contaminated areas for an immediate decontamination operation. If the threat is proven to be real, a key element in responding to the event is to identify the location and time of the contaminant release. Source-tracking algorithms based on ANNs can play a significant role as a part of the decision-making procedure.
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