

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center

Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for

---

1982

## Book Review: Estimating the Size of Animal Populations

Douglas H. Johnson

*Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usgsnpwrc>



Part of the [Other International and Area Studies Commons](#)

---

Johnson, Douglas H., "Book Review: Estimating the Size of Animal Populations" (1982). *USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center*. 214.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usgsnpwrc/214>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

## BOOK REVIEWS

**Estimating the Size of Animal Populations.** By J. Gordon Blower, Laurence M. Cook, and James A. Bishop. George Allen and Unwin Ltd., London, U.K. 1981. 128pp. \$16.95.

The array of methods available to estimate animal populations is as bewildering as its literature is overwhelming. Consequently, a short book that promises to "outline the procedures involved" and give "a concise account of the theory" is warmly welcomed. This book offers all of that in a short text designed for both undergraduate and graduate students.

Because of its brevity, the book is perhaps easier to criticize for errors of omission than errors of commission. Of the 3 population estimation techniques that might be considered as most important—counts on sample areas, capture-recapture, and line transects—only the first 2 are covered. Moreover, the authors neglect to pose the initial question in Chapter 1: Is an estimate of the size of an animal population truly necessary? Quite often an index to the size will serve very nicely, and at far lower cost. Only a thoughtful examination of the purposes of the intended study will resolve this question. However, the authors neither raise the question nor address the needs of the biologist who can get by with an index.

If an index will not do, then perhaps the population can be counted in its entirety. Complete counts are not discussed in the book and are too hastily dismissed by stating that "places where absolute counts can be made are merely *samples* from which generalisations are made about larger places" (emphasis in the original). This statement is obviously not so for many populations, such as the whooping crane, a species that demands and receives closer attention.

Chapter 2 presents counts of animals made on sample areas. The authors devote a disproportionate amount of discussion to estimation of populations that are randomly distributed in space, a circumstance pleasant enough when it occurs, but one that a biologist can rarely know with enough certainty to use effectively. Nearly always, one must rely on methods that permit the spatial distribution of animals to be arbitrary. The discussion of stratification misses the point: gains can be made in accuracy if the area can be divided into strata that are relatively homogeneous (not perfectly homogeneous, as is implied). Moreover, the authors do not mention the substantial improvement that can be made by varying the intensity of the sampling according to the size of the stratum and the variance of the density of the animal within the stratum. The example the authors present (Fig. 2.3) represents a modified systematic sample, treated as a simple random sample, and not a stratified sample as they

claim. The variance they compute is not strictly valid. They also present a ratio estimator, animals seen per unit area, but claim that the relationship between these variables need only be linear; it should in fact be linear with an intercept of zero.

The fullest treatment is accorded mark-recapture methods. Chapter 3 presents basic principles of the technique, including a brief historical account, a lucid explanation of the logic of the Lincoln index for 2 trapping occasions, and an overview of the triple-catch. The authors do not, however, clearly state the critical assumption that all animals in a population have an equal chance of capture. Chapter 4, the longest in the book, presents mark-recapture methods appropriate for more than 3 occasions; the emphasis is on populations that are not closed, but may involve births, deaths, and migration. The methods discussed include Jackson's, Fisher and Ford's, Jolly's, and Manly and Parr's. I found it odd that the authors failed to cite Otis et al. (Statistical inference from capture data on closed animal populations, Wildl. Monogr. 62, 1978), which provides a comprehensive and modern survey. Although the monograph deals with closed populations, it is often possible to design a mark-recapture study so that the closure assumption is met and the population more accurately measured.

The presentation of the mark-recapture material is too "cookbookish"; undue emphasis is given to methods of recording data, which numbers go in which columns and rows, while the biological and statistical assumptions supporting each method are somewhat neglected. More useful to biologists would be a guide that describes the assumptions critical to a method, which would permit comparison to the biology of the animal under investigation, followed by a description of the method and instructions on its use. Students should be made aware of the need to examine assumptions critically, and not be permitted simply to plug numbers into given formulas.

Chapter 5, on time samples with constant sampling effort, presents estimators based on trapping and removal, frequency of capture, and change-in-ratio procedures. This material is generally well presented and the authors demonstrate that the same set of data can yield different results, depending on the model used and on the estimation technique, e.g., maximum likelihood vs. regression.

The discussion of error estimation (Chapter 6) presents a useful compendium of variance estimators associated with procedures described earlier in the book, together with some suggestions on how calculators can be used for some of the complex formulas.

The final chapter (7) concerns the choice of a method, compares the performance of selected

methods on simulated data with known parameters, and reiterates the conditions under which recapture methods are appropriate.

The authors neglect to discuss line transect methods, an omission that may reflect their emphasis on methods appropriate to terrestrial invertebrates and small mammals. The line transect is particularly important in studies of birds and large mammals. Recent developments in line transect methodology have greatly enhanced its usefulness and given biologists some confidence in the results. The monograph by K. P. Burnham, D. R. Anderson, and J. L. Laake (Estimation of density from line transect sampling of biological populations, Wildl. Monogr. 72, 1980) is perhaps the most valuable of several recent references on the topic.

I believe this book will be of limited value to students or practitioners of wildlife biology. For a brief overview of the various methods, the reader's time would be spent more profitably with appropriate chapters in either Graeme Caughley's book (Analysis of vertebrate populations, John Wiley & Sons, 1977) or the latest Wildlife Management Techniques Manual (The Wildlife Society, 1980). A more comprehensive treatment can be found in Seber (The estimation of animal abundance, 2nd ed., Griffin, 1980). For a biologist who has settled on a particular technique, e.g., mark-recapture, a direct appeal to references in Seber's book or in the present review will be rewarding.—**Douglas H. Johnson**, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center, Jamestown, ND 58401.

**Evolution for Naturalists: The Simple Principles and Complex Reality.** By Philip J. Darlington, Jr. John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y. 1980. xi, 262pp. \$19.95.

As suggested by the subtitle of this book, most scientists and biologically informed laymen regard organic evolution as a proven phenomenon. In one way or another, it accounts for all they see in the world of nature. However, the mechanisms involved are by no means fully understood and agreed upon. The oversimplifications and controversies marking this field of research are implied on page 1 by the author's humorous parody on the Indian folk tale about the blind men and the elephant:

*Four myopic evolutionists looked at evolution. One said, "It is survival of the fittest." One said, "It is differential reproduction." One said, "It is change in gene ratios." And one said, "It is a molecular process." They all saw something real, but each magnified what he saw, and none saw evolution as a whole.*

In writing a book to synthesize and update evolutionary concepts for naturalists and field biolo-

gists, P. J. Darlington has credentials as a biogeographer, and draws frequently upon his worldwide studies of carabid beetles. He classifies himself as both naturalist and biologist. A naturalist is one who sees nature, including communities and environments, and tries to understand them.

This book should be eminently useful to field biologists in relating the "Darwinian" (visible) manifestations of evolution to molecular, genetic, and cosmic processes. Without the "theory" of evolution, life scientists would have no encompassing, cohesive *systema naturae*. Each of us needs a conceptual organization to codify knowledge and against which to try unending hypothetical explanations of discoveries and observations. Darlington approves and abets this kind of holism in a work that draws upon his extensive familiarity with both literature and the field.

*Evolution for Naturalists* is in 4 parts, the first of which is an orientation dealing with history, the nature of evidence, methods, definitions, and viewpoints. Darwin was not the first to recognize indications of orderly development in nature. However, he properly has credit for conceiving the dynamic process in terms of its most evident mechanism—adaptation through selective elimination of individuals least fitted to survive. Much of this evidence was biogeographic, suggestively supported by the fragmented fossil record. Darlington notes that (p. 14) "Naturalists' observations continue; they have become in part modern numerical ecology, but non-numerical observations of the kind that Darwin made are still essential to emphasize the complexity of the real world, to criticize evolutionary mathematics and keep it in perspective . . ." He recognizes the essential need for reductionist approaches, quantification, and modeling in refining the scientific method; but he takes issue with the tendency to pursue and over-interpret specialized studies not adequately related to communities as they exist in nature.

Part II (Chapters 4–7), *Processes and Levels of Directional Change*, is a summary and critical analysis of evolution theory. Evolutionary changes are multilevel and take place by set selection. At the most elementary level in living systems are sets of atoms and molecules that constitute genes, and hence sets of genotypes and gene pools. Sets of cells lead to sets of individuals, social groups, populations, species, biotas, and finally the biosphere. "All these sets, and others, form a hierarchy, but not a simple or regular one . . . in which evolution continues at all levels . . ." (p. 54).

The gene-carrying "whole" individuals that are the basic units in Darwinian evolution are variable, overproduced (a "cost" of selection), subjected to competition, and differentially eliminated. Differential survival is a positive view of the same process. Within and among sets, diversity and complexity of organization tend to increase and evolve feedback and homeostatic controls.