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Abstract—This paper summarizes past and ongoing research in the area of the application of computational intelligence (CI) for control of wind turbine generators (WTGs). Several intelligent design approaches and control strategies, including optimal design of WTG controllers using particle swarm optimization (PSO) and mean-variance optimization (MVO) algorithms and adaptive critic design-based coordinated optimal adaptive control for wind plants and shunt FACTS devices, are presented for dynamic performance and fault ride-through enhancement of WTGs and the associated power grid. The effectiveness of these intelligent design approaches and control strategies are demonstrated by nonreal- and real-time simulations in PSCAD/EMTDC and RSCAD/RTDS, respectively.

Index Terms—Computational intelligence (CI), doubly fed induction generator (DFIG), dual heuristic programming (DHP), FACTS device, heuristic dynamic programming (HDP), particle swarm optimization (PSO), radial basis function neural network (RBFNN), wind turbine.

I. INTRODUCTION

DURING the last decade, there has been a worldwide rapid growth in the exploitation of wind energy. To better integrate this type of clean and renewable energy, much research effort has gone into the issues of control of wind turbine generator (WTG) systems. Different computational intelligence (CI) techniques, including particle swarm optimization (PSO), mean-variance optimization (MVO), fuzzy logics, neural networks, etc., have been applied to address challenging control issues (both transient dynamic and steady-state control) in WTG systems.

Most existing wind farms and those in planning employ the variable-speed wind turbines with either doubly-fed induction generators (DFIGs) with partially-rated power electronic converters (PECs) or synchronous generators with fully-rated PECs. Control of WTGs is achieved by controlling the PECs using a set of PI controllers. Tuning these PI controllers is tedious and it is difficult to obtain the optimal parameters due to the nonlinearity and the high complexity of the system.

Many WTGs are installed in remote, rural areas, which usually have electrically weak power grids, characterized by low short circuit ratios and under-voltage conditions. The successful integration of WTGs into some weak power grids will require dedicated local shunt FACTS devices [1], e.g., the STATCOM, to provide fast and smooth controllable reactive compensation and voltage support [2]. On the other hand, the WTGs can also be used to provide limited reactive power control, which reduces the demands of dynamic reactive compensation from the local FACTS devices. In order to achieve certain operational and economical benefits, it is necessary to coordinate the control actions of the WTGs and local FACTS devices so that the WTGs will behave like other traditional sources of generation to assist in maintaining grid voltage and frequency stability, particularly during transient conditions.

For WTGs to capture maximum wind power, it is necessary to have an effective maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control algorithm. Traditional MPPT schemes require the wind speed and turbine rotating speed as inputs. To achieve wind speed sensorless control, neural networks [3]-[7] and the Takagi-Sugeno-Kang fuzzy model [8] were designed to implement an inverse mapping of the WTG system and provide wind speed estimations. Others used hill-climb methods [9], [10] and fuzzy logic controllers [11], [12] to perform online searching of the maximum power point. In particular, the MPPT control schemes in [5] and [6] were designed for DFIG wind turbines and direct-drive permanent-magnet synchronous generator wind turbines, respectively, without the need for both wind speed and turbine/generator shaft speed measurements.

A higher-level WTG control involves providing frequency-droop characteristics and frequency regulation reserve to the power grids. A fuzzy logic controller was designed to coordinate the turbine pitch angle and the generator speed to provide primary frequency reserve for grid frequency deviation [13].

This paper presents several CI techniques to enhance the control and operating performance of grid-connected DFIGs wind turbines. First, two heuristics optimization approaches,
namely particle swarm optimization and mean-variance optimization (MVO), are presented for the optimal design of PI controllers for the rotor-side PWM converter (RSC) of a DFIG [14]. Next, a nonlinear optimal adaptive interface neurocontroller (INC) [15] is presented for the coordinated reactive power control of a large wind farm and a STATCOM, which is connected to the point of common connection between the wind farm and the utility network. The heuristic dynamic programming (HDP) method and radial basis function neural networks (RBFNNs) are used to design the INC. It enhances the fault ride-through capability of the wind farm and improves post-fault power oscillation damping of the power system. The proposed intelligent design approaches and control strategies are validated by nonreal- and real-time simulation studies in PSCAD/EMTDC and RSCAD/RTDS respectively.

II. DFIG WIND TURBINE

The basic configuration of a DFIG wind turbine is shown in Fig. 1. The wound-rotor induction machine is fed from both stator and rotor sides. The stator is directly connected to the grid while the rotor is fed through a partial-rated variable-frequency converter (VFC). It consists of two four-quadrant IGBT PWM converters, a RSC and a grid-side converter (GSC), connected back-to-back by a dc-link capacitor.

Control of the DFIG is achieved by control of the VFC, which includes control of the RSC and control of the GSC [16]. The RSC control scheme (Fig. 2) is expected to achieve the following objectives: 1) regulating the DFIG rotor speed for maximum wind power generation, 2) controlling the stator-side reactive power of the DFIG. The objective of the GSC control scheme is to keep the dc-link voltage constant regardless of the magnitude and direction of the rotor power. The GSC control scheme can also be arranged to regulate the reactive power exchanged between the GSC and the grid.

III. COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES

Computational intelligence (CI) is the study of adaptive mechanisms to enable or facilitate intelligent behavior in complex and changing environments. These mechanisms exhibit an ability to learn or adapt to new situations, to generalize abstract, discover and associate. There are five main CI paradigms, which are artificial neural networks, artificial immune systems, fuzzy systems, evolutionary computation, and swarm intelligence [17], [22]. This section briefly describes several computational intelligence techniques presented in this paper.

A. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

PSO [18] is a population based stochastic optimization technique. It searches for the optimal solution from a population of moving particles, based on a fitness or performance measure function. Each particle represents a potential solution and has a position (vector \(x_i\)) and a velocity (vector \(v_i\)) in the problem space. Each particle keeps track of its individual best position \(x_{i,\text{best}}\), which is associated with the best fitness it has achieved so far, at any step in the solution. Moreover, the best position among all the particles obtained so far in the swarm is kept track of as \(x_{\text{gbest}}\). This information is shared by all particles. At each time step \(k\), a new velocity for particle \(i\) (\(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\)) is updated by:

\[
v_i(k+1) = w v_i(k) + c_1 \phi (x_{i,\text{best}}(k) - x_i(k)) + c_2 \phi (x_{\text{gbest}}(k) - x_i(k)) \tag{1}
\]

where \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are positive constants representing the weighting of the acceleration terms that guide each particle toward the individual best and the swarm best positions \(x_{i,\text{best}}\) and \(x_{\text{gbest}}\), respectively; \(\phi\) and \(\phi\) are uniformly distributed random numbers in [0, 1]; \(w\) is a positive inertia weight that controls a particle’s exploration and exploitation capabilities during a search; \(N\) is the number of particles in the swarm. Based on the updated velocity, each particle changes its position according to the following equation:

\[
x_i(k+1) = x_i(k) + v_i(k+1) \tag{2}
\]

The final value of \(x_{\text{gbest}}\) is regarded as the optimal solution of the problem.

B. Mean-Variance Optimization (MVO)

MVO is a new stochastic optimization algorithm [23]. MVO falls into the category of the so-called “population-based stochastic optimization technique.” The uniqueness of the MVO algorithm is based on the strategic transformation used for mutating the offspring based on mean-variance of the \(n\)-best dynamic population. The mapping function used transforms the uniformly distributed random variation into a new one characterized by the variance and mean of the \(n\)-best population attained so far. The searching space within the algorithm is restricted to the range - zero to one - which does not change after applying the transformation. Therefore the
variables are treated always in this band but the function evaluation is carried out in the problem range. The features of MVO make it a potentially an attractive algorithm for solving many real-world optimization problems such as the tuning of PI controllers on a DFIG.

C. Radial Basis Function Neural Network (RBFNN)

The RBFNN in this paper is a three-layer feedforward neural network with the Gaussian density function as the activation function in the hidden layer [15]. The overall input-output mapping for the RBFNN, \( f: X \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow Y \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is

\[
\hat{y}_i = b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{ij} \exp \left( - \frac{\| x - c_i \|^2}{\beta_i} \right) \tag{3}
\]

where \( x \) is the input vector, \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the center of the \( j^{th} \) RBF units in the hidden layer, \( n \) is the number of RBF units, \( b_i \) and \( v_{ij} \) are the bias term and the weight between hidden and output layers respectively, and \( \hat{y}_i \) is the \( i^{th} \) output.

D. Adaptive Critic Designs (ACDs)

Adaptive critic designs [20], is a neural network-based optimization and control technique which solves the classical nonlinear optimal control problem by combining concepts of approximate dynamic programming and reinforcement learning. In dynamic programming, an optimal control is obtained by solving the Bellman equation that optimizes some performance measure function. Generally, the cost-to-go function can be defined as follows:

\[
J(k) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \gamma^q U(k+q) = \gamma J(k+1) + U(k) \tag{4}
\]

where \( U(\cdot) \) is the utility function (user-defined function) which represents the one-stage cost of the system at each time step, and \( \gamma \) is a discount factor (0 < \( \gamma < 1 \)).

The ACD method determines optimal control laws for a system by successively adapting two artificial neural networks (ANNs), namely, a critic network and an action network. The critic network learns to approximate the cost-to-go function \( J(\cdot) \) in (4) and uses the output of the action network as one of its inputs, directly or indirectly; the action network provides the control action for the plant. The adaptation process starts with a nonoptimal control by the action network; the critic network then guides the action network towards the optimal solution at each successive adaptation. This adaptation uses the concept of reinforcement learning. During the adaptation, neither of the networks needs any information of the desired control trajectory, only the desired cost needs to be known. In ACDs, a model network might be required to provide a dynamic plant model for training the critic and action networks.

Different types of critics have been proposed. A critic that approximates \( J \) is called HDP; one that approximates the derivatives of \( J \) with respect to its states is called DHP [20].

IV. DESIGN OF OPTIMAL PI CONTROLLERS FOR DFIG WIND TURBINES USING PSO AND MVO

A. PSO-Based Design Approach

In the RSC control scheme (Fig. 2), there are four PI controllers. Each of them has a proportional gain and an integral time constant. In this section, the PSO algorithm is applied to find the optimal parameters of the four PI controllers, namely, four proportional gains \( (K_{sa}, K_{qb}, K_{da}, K_{qd}) \) and four integral time constants \( (T_{sa}, T_{qb}, T_{da}, T_{dq}) \), to optimize some performance measure function. Generally, the PI controller performance in the time domain can be measured by a set of parameters: the overshoot \( M_p \), the rise time \( t_r \), the settling time \( t_s \), and the steady-state error \( E_{ss} \). For example, if the objective is to minimize the over-current in the rotor circuit during grid faults, then a performance measure function can be defined as follows:

\[
f(x) = \beta \Delta I_{r,max} + (1 - \beta) (t_r - t_{0}) + \alpha |E_{ss}| \tag{5}
\]

where \( x = [K_{sa}, K_{qb}, K_{da}, K_{qd}, T_{sa}, T_{qb}, T_{da}, T_{dq}] \) represents the position vector of each particle; \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) are weighting factors; \( \Delta I_{r,max} \) is the maximum rotor current magnitude deviation of the DFIG; \( t_0 \) is the starting time of the disturbance.

The system in Fig. 3 is now considered. A large wind farm is connected to a power network through a step-up transformer and two parallel lines. The wind farm is represented by an aggregated model, in which over one hundred individual wind turbines and DFIGs are modeled as one equivalent DFIG driven by a single equivalent wind turbine, as shown in Fig. 1.

The PI controllers in Fig. 2 are initially designed (but not optimal) at a specific operating point. The parameters of the RSC controllers are then optimized at this operating point using PSO with 30 trial runs by applying a 100 ms three-phase short circuit at the receiving end of line 2. The initial values and the optimal values found by PSO for the RSC controller parameters are listed in Table I.

| Initial and Optimal Parameters of the RSC Controllers |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | \( K_s \) | \( K_q \) | \( K_d \) | \( T_s \) | \( T_q \) | \( T_d \) | \( T_{dq} \) |
| Initial design  | 8.48  | 0.01  | 2.89  | 1.79  | 0.081 | 2.0  | 0.028 | 0.060 |
| Optimal design | 18.23 | 0.001 | 3.05  | 4.87  | 0.038 | 1.0  | 0.056 | 0.21  |

B. PSO Simulation Results

Different grid faults are applied to the power system in Fig. 3 to compare the performance of the optimal RSC controllers with that without the optimal design.

1) Case Study I: A 100 ms temporary three-phase short circuit is applied to the receiving end of line 2 at \( t = 5.0 \) s. Fig. 4 shows the magnitudes of the DFIG rotor current for both...
designs. The rotor current peaks at only 14 kA when applying the optimal design, which is much smaller than that of 19 kA when using the initial design. The reduction of the over-current in the rotor circuit avoids the blocking of the RSC and therefore achieves continuous operation of the WTGS during this grid fault. Due to the stator flux oscillations during the transient state, the rotor current oscillates with a frequency near the synchronous frequency.

2) Case Study II: The two designs are compared for another case, in which a three-phase short circuit is applied to the sending end of line 2 and 100ms thereafter line 2 is tripped off from the system. In this case, the system in Fig. 3 operates at a new operating point after the fault is cleared. Fig. 5 compares the magnitudes of the DFIG rotor current for both designs. Again, the magnitude of the post-fault rotor current peaks at only 13.5 kA when applying the optimal design, which is much smaller than the peak post-fault rotor current when applying the initial design. It is concluded that the optimal design reduces the over-current in the rotor circuit effectively over a wide operating range.

C. MVO-Based Design Approach

Since the introduction of DFIGs for wind turbine generators, the technology of variable-speed wind turbines has been subjected to extensive research. However, with the variations in wind speed there is a change in the transient response of the Wind Turbine Generator System (WTGS). When a DFIG based WTGS is integrated to a multimachine power system consisting of SmartParks [24] (large number of plug-in electric vehicles in a parking lot) is subjected to severe disturbances, the resulting transients depending on the controller parameters can lead to a system collapse, especially when the wind power penetration is significant. When a fault is introduced, the variable-frequency converter (VFC) is the most susceptible part in the DFIG based wind generators. The VFC is controlled by a set of Proportional Integral (PI) controllers. The parameters of the PI controllers are very difficult to tune using traditional controllers due to the nonlinearity of the DFIG and increasing complexity of the smart grid. Therefore, there is need for the application of a heuristic method that is capable of intelligently tuning the PI controllers for the rotor-side converter (RSC) of the DFIG.

Reference [25] presents details on this study carried out on the real-time digital simulator (RTDS) using MVO to tune the PI controllers of the RSC of the DFIG in a multimachine power system with SmartParks. When three-Phase 10 cycle (167 ms) fault at the wind turbine generator bus, the optimization not only improves the stability of the DFIG at a wind speed of 13 m/s system but also improves the stability of the other two generators in a 12 bus multimachine power system. Fig. 6 shows the speed of a generator with optimized and non optimized RSC PI controllers on the DFIG. With optimized parameters the speed of the generator settles down after fault introduction and hence the entire system is stable. However, with non optimized parameters, this is not the case. Similar results have been observed with wind speed fluctuations.

V. HDP-BASED COORDINATING REACTIVE POWER CONTROL FOR A LARGE WIND FARM AND A STATCOM

A. Wind Farm, STATCOM and Power System Model

The original 4-machine 12-bus benchmark power system in [21] is used as a platform system for studying FACTS device applications and integration of wind energy generation. Fig. 7 shows the single-line diagram of the extended 4-machine 12-bus power system which now includes a large wind farm and a STATCOM. The system covers three geographical areas. Area 1 is predominantly a generation area with most of its generation coming from hydro power (G1 and G2). Area 2, located between the main generation area (Area 1) and the main load center (Area 3), has a large 400 MW wind farm (G4). Area 3, situated about 500 km from Area 1, is a load center with some thermal generation (G3). The wind farm is
represented by an aggregated model as in Section IV. A STATCOM is placed at bus 6 to provide fast and smooth steady-state and transient voltage support for the wind farm.

B. Design of the Interface Neurocontroller (INC)

An ACD approach, the HDP, and RBFNNs are used to design an external interface controller for the coordinated reactive power control between the wind farm and the STATCOM, as shown in Fig. 8. The dashed line block denotes the plant to be controlled by the INC. The voltage deviation, \( \Delta V_6 \), at bus 6 and the active power deviation, \( \Delta P_{g4} \), of the wind farm are fed into the INC to produce two supplementary control signals, \( \Delta Q_s \) and \( \Delta Q_{C} \). They are then added to the steady-state fixed set-point values, \( Q_{s0} \) and \( Q_{C0} \), respectively, to form the total commanded values of the compensating reactive power, \( Q_s^* \) and \( Q_C^* \), at the input of the RSC and the STATCOM controllers. A basic principle is that by rapidly varying the amount of reactive power provided by the DFIG and the STATCOM during grid faults, it is possible to reduce the level of voltage sags at the PCC (bus 6 in Fig. 7) and therefore control directly the transient imbalances between the electrical output power \( P_{g4} \) and the mechanical input power that are responsible for over-current in the rotor circuit. Because of the direct coupling between voltage and reactive power, it is straight-forward to use the voltage deviation, \( \Delta V_6 \), as an input signal of the INC. In addition, the active power deviation \( \Delta P_{g4} \) contains the information of system oscillations and can therefore be used by the INC to damp power oscillations of the system.

C. Simulation Results

The wind farm initially operates at an operating point with DFIG rotor speed \( \omega_4 = 1.2 \) pu, output active power \( P_{g4} = 300 \) MW, and output reactive power \( Q_{g4} = 0 \). The voltage at bus 6 is regulated at \( V_6 = 1.02 \) pu. The compensated reactive power \( Q_C \) of the STATCOM is limited to 250 MVar. A 150 ms temporary three-phase short circuit is applied to the bus 1 end of line 1-6 at 1 s. The dynamic performance of the wind farm, reinforced with the INC, is compared to that without the INC.

Fig. 9 indicates that the maximum values of \( Q_C \) are limited to 250 MVar for both cases with and without the INC. However, the post-fault power oscillations of \( Q_C \) (Fig. 9) and \( P_{g4} \) (Fig. 10) in the case of the INC are damped more rapidly than for no INC. In addition, the peak value of the rotor current transient is reduced when using the INC, as shown in Fig. 11. These results confirm that the INC provides a smart coordinating control for the system. It improves the fault ride-through capability of the wind farm and power oscillation damping of the system during this transient disturbance.
VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has reviewed past and ongoing research in the application of CI techniques, e.g., PSO, MVO, fuzzy logics, neural networks, etc., for control of WTGs. Several intelligent design approaches and control strategies, including optimal design of WTG controllers using PSO and MVO algorithms and ACD-based coordinated optimal adaptive control for a wind plant and a STATCOM, have been presented. Non-real- and real-time simulations using PSCAD/EMTDC and RSCAD/RTDS have demonstrated the effectiveness of using these intelligent design approaches and control strategies for dynamic performance and fault ride-through enhancement of the WTGs. This paper has used DFIG wind turbines as an example to show the WTG control and integration improvement obtained from CI techniques. Similar approaches can be applied to other types of WTGs.
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