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Abstract
We discuss the interactions of adsorbates with the organic ferroelectric copolymer poly(vinylidene fluoride (PVDF)–trifluoroethylene (TrFE)). Range of molecular adsorbates is discussed from the smaller polar molecules like water, which is small enough to both adsorb and absorb, to the larger macrocyclic metal–organic metal phthalocyanines. The changes in local dipole orientation may affect the strength of the coupling between adsorbate or absorbate and the copolymer poly(vinylidene fluoride–trifluoroethylene). The interface dipole interactions may also affect device properties. The dipole interactions are implicated at the interface between copper phthalocyanine and poly(vinylidene fluoride with trifluoroethylene) affecting the band offsets and the diode properties.
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1. Introduction
Surface dipole interactions have long been implicated as important in surface adsorption and surface catalysis [1–4]. The investigation of surface dipole interactions are, unfortunately, often complicated by the influence of the substrate (including band structure effects [5]) and strong perturbations due to surface charges that induce large surface dipoles on metal surfaces [6]. Ferroelectric materials provide a great opportunity to investigate dipole interactions with adsorbates [7–32], particularly as the surface electric dipoles are “reversible” [7, 8, 11–18, 27–29, 32–46].

Our preference for crystalline polymer ferroelectric materials [19–48] as a substrate for the study of adsorbate interactions with surface dipoles stems from their surprising simplicity compared to the complex surfaces formed with the inorganic ferroelectric materials. The complexities associated with inorganic ferroelectrics include surface compositional instabilities, an abundance of lattice defects (point defects, steps and grain boundaries), and
considerable difficulty in preparing a stable reproducible surface 
with well-ordered dipoles oriented along the surface normal. 
We have undertaken extensive investigations of adsorbate inter-
actions with poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF)–trifluoroethylene 
(TrFE) copolymers, a uniquely crystalline polymer system [19–21, 
24, 25, 27, 32–47]. Poly(vinylidene fluoride) [PVDF, -(CH₂–CF₂)–] copolymers 
with trifluoroethylene [TrFE, –(CHF–CF₂)–] can form highly or-
dered crystalline ferroelectric polymer ultrathin films as has been 
encoded by X-ray and neutron scattering [19, 20, 22, 35, 37, 38, 
40], scanning tunneling microscopy [27, 33–37, 39, 40, 42, 45, 46], 
low energy electron diffraction [36, 37] and band mapping [27, 36, 
37, 46]. Although not always evident in scanning tunneling micros-
copy (Figure 1), the band structure shows a characteristic super-pe-
riodicity dominated by –(CH₂–CF₂)– or –(CHF–CF₂)–(CHF–CF₂)–
“dimer” pairs [36, 37, 46] in the ferroelectric phase. The copolymer 
P(VDF–TrFE, 70:30), in spite of the low overall symmetry, does 
show all the characteristics of high local symmetry and symmetry 
selection rules, with the dipoles aligned along the surface normal 
[43, 44]. The effects are quite significant in photoemission [43, 44, 
47] and electron energy loss spectroscopy [38, 43, 44, 48]. 

Our goal has been to obtain a detailed understanding of dipole 
interactions between small adsorbates and the ferroelectric poly-
mer substrate. Fundamental surface science experiments are pos-
sible using our polymer systems because: (1) they are ultrathin 
vacuum compatible, (2) the surfaces of the polymers are crystall-
line with a well defined structure and orientation, (3) the polymer 
films can be grown thin and uniform so the surface can be uni-
formly heated (and cooled) to well defined temperatures, and (4) 
the ferroelectric polymer thin films can be grown without pinholes 
or a large mosaic spread of different structural domains. Based on 
our recent results, we have good reason to believe we can now 
go well beyond the recently developed fundamental understand-
ing that has come from our research efforts and construct organic 
multilayers systems that exhibit new phenomena like novel elec-
tronically driven phase transitions.

2. Experimental

Ultrathin ferroelectric films of copolymer 70% vinylidene flu-
c rode with 30% trifluoroethylene, P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) were fabric-
ated by Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) deposition techniques on graph-
hte substrates from the water subphase [19–25, 27–29, 31–45, 47]. 
The P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) films, nominally some 3–7 molecular lay-
ers thick (15–35 Å thick, as noted) were prepared in ultrahigh vac-
uum by annealing at 110 °C, which has proven to be an effective 
recipe in prior studies [19, 22–29, 31–41, 43–45, 47] and has been 
demonstrated to result in a surface free from impurities (including 
water) [48]. Thin films of short chain poly(vinylidene fluoride) 
were also prepared by vacuum evaporation [30, 48], particularly 
for the fabrication of the bimolecular heterostructures (i.e. PVDF/ 
metal phthalocyanine heterostructures), as described elsewhere 
[30]. Films used for this study were nominally 20 mL (10 nm) thick 
and grown on highly ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) sub-
strates, unless noted otherwise.

The thermal desorption spectra were obtained by annealing 
the graphite substrate resistively, and exploiting our ability to 
make films of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) sufficiently thin to obtain the 
necessary thermal conductivity through the polymer thin film. 
Angle-resolved thermal desorption measurements were taken as 
described elsewhere [19, 22, 25–27, 49, 50], with a differentially 
pumped mass spectrometer, and the angles denoted with respect 
to the surface normal.

Laser-assisted thermal desorption, creating metastable excited 
states during thermal desorption, was also undertaken. These ex-
periments involved obtaining thermal desorption spectra while 
the surface was illuminated with a pulsed nitrogen gas laser 
(337 nm, 3.66 eV), at 20 Hz with an intensity of 120 µl/pulse [25, 
27, 50]. The incident 337 nm radiation was polarized using a UV 
polarizer and focused onto the entire surface area of the sample 
(less than 2 cm²). In our laser assisted thermal desorption experi-
ments, we compare two different incident light polarizations: lin-
early polarized light with the electric field vector E and the vec-
tor potential A of the incident radiation parallel with the surface 
and a polarization with the electric field vector E and the vector 
potential A of the incident radiation perpendicular to the surface, 
but with the same radiation intensity/area and no change in light 
incidence angle, as described elsewhere [25, 27, 50]. The desorb-
ing species were collected normal to the film for both thermal de-
sorption and photo-assisted thermal desorption studies, unless 
stated otherwise. Because of the very low UV flux densities, we 
employed a very low heating rate. The heating rates for obtain-
ing the thermal desorption spectra (TDS) were 0.5 °/s through-
out this work. Faster heating rates are possible, but the concerns 
about thermal conductivity through the thicker polymer films 
precluded their use.

Inverse photoemission (IPES) was undertaken using a variable 
energy electron gun producing electron kinetic energies from 5 to 
19 eV, incident normal to the surface. Photons were detected with 
a Geiger-Müller detector, as done in previous studies [22, 25, 27– 
31, 35–37, 41, 45, 50]. The instrumental line width for inverse 
photoemission is ~400 meV. Angle-resolved photoemission spectra 
were undertaken using synchrotron radiation generally at photon en-
ergies of 35 and 55 eV (unless otherwise stated), dispersed by a 3 m 
toroidal grating monochromator [51], at the Center for Advanced 
Microstructure and Devices in Baton Rouge, Louisiana [52], em-
ploying a hemispherical electron energy analyzer with an angular 
acceptance of ±1°. In both photoemission (UPS) and inverse photo-
emission (IPES) measurements, the binding energies are referenced

---

**Figure 1.** The surface structure of crystalline P(VDF-TrFE) Lang-
muir–Blodgett films as ascertained from scanning tunneling micros-
copy. The scanning tunneling microscope images, recorded at 295 K, 
are of nominally 2 monolayer thick film on graphite substrates, with 
4.4 nm × 4.4 nm image size. In the inset is the atomically resolved STM 
image of nominally 2 monolayer thick film on graphite substrates, with 
acceptance of ±1°. In both photoemission (UPS) and inverse photo-
emission is ~400 meV. Angle-resolved photoemission spectra were 
undertaken using synchrotron radiation generally at photon ener-
gies of 35 and 55 eV (unless otherwise stated), dispersed by a 3 m 
toroidal grating monochromator [51], at the Center for Advanced 
Microstructure and Devices in Baton Rouge, Louisiana [52], em-
ploying a hemispherical electron energy analyzer with an angular 
acceptance of ±1°. In both photoemission (UPS) and inverse photo-
emission (IPES) measurements, the binding energies are referenced
with respect to the Fermi edge of gold or tantalum, in intimate contact with the sample surface.

Water and bromoform exposures are denoted in Langmuirs where 1 L = 10⁻⁶ Torr s with exposure to P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) at 120–135 K. The metal (Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Cu²⁺) phthalocyanines were evaporated onto evaporated poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) substrates at room temperature, as described elsewhere [28–30, 53], except where otherwise noted.

3. Metastable interface states and photo-enhanced thermal desorption of water from an organic ferroelectric

The connection between the bulk ferroelectric phase transition and the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent was observed for water on 7–11 monolayers thin films of polyvinylidene fluoride [16, 17]. A capacitance model for the estimation of water uptake in polymer PVDF coatings and thin films was presented [15] that is consistent with our own studies of the dielectric properties of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) [19, 21]. Such studies implicate a dipole interaction between water and the ferroelectric polymer dipoles [27]. The surface plays a role nonetheless. Surface dipoles can affect the binding site of water species adsorbed at the surface and sterically hinder or enhance desorption of adsorbed and absorbed water. Perturbations of local surface dipoles can affect desorption of absorbed water [19, 25, 27]. As we are dealing with polymers, the absorption of water is persistent, even with largely hydrophobic polymers. The grand complication is that with the absorption of water, the polymer lattice strain plays an important role [49]. The polymer lattice strain [19] that occurs with the absorption of water into the crystalline lattice of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is not so noticeable with water desorption from the crystalline thin films of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) [27], as it is observed with other polymers [27, 49].

From thermal desorption [21–27] and X-ray diffraction [19, 20, 22] studies, we find evidence that water is absorbed into the thin film bulk of the copolymers. The thermal desorption spectra of water from P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) are shown in Figure 2. Following exposure of water to crystalline thin films of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) at 120–135 K, the thermal desorption spectra are largely characterized by two desorption peaks, and reported elsewhere [21–25, 27]. Desorption of water at 150–160 K is characteristic of a water ice thin film sublimation (from the surface of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30). It is important to note that the desorption of this water ice like species is not observed with small exposures of water to the P(VDF–TrFE) thin film samples cooled to 130 K. For P(VDF–TrFE) samples roughly 15 Å thick, more than 10 Langmuirs are required for evidence of ice formation from thermal desorption, as seen in Figure 2. For thicker films, greater exposures to water are required for the formation of ice. This is evident from the thermal desorption spectra [21–25, 27], and indicated in Figure 2b for P(VDF–TrFE) samples roughly 35 Å thick. Because the desorption temperature of water from P(VDF–TrFE) above 280 K is associated with film thickness and water exposure, we associate this water species largely with absorbed water [22, 23, 25, 27], but a surface species cannot be completely excluded [23, 25, 27].

For the thinner PVDF–TrFE 70:30 samples roughly 15 Å thick or less, the thermal desorption spectra do provide an indication of a molecular water species at the surface that is significantly different from the absorbed water (Figure 2). There is a thermal desorption feature at 280–320 K in addition to a thermal desorption feature that begins at about 350 K, as seen in Figure 2 [22, 25, 27]. As the P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) sample thickness increases, the water thermal desorption feature at 280 K to 320 K is increasingly more difficult to observe [22, 25, 27], but for the thinner films of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30), this thermal desorption feature shows evidence of steric effects [19, 22, 25, 27]. By using thicker P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) thin films, where the steric effects in water desorption are not so readily apparent, there are several indicators that photo-enhanced thermal desorption of water from the ferroelectric P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is an activated process.

Evidence for the influence of either dipole interactions or the preferential orientation of an adsorbed water species can be found in laser assisted thermal desorption. As seen in Figure 3, the thermal desorption of water is seen to be dependent on the light polarization of the incident radiation on the P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) [25, 27]. With E of the incident light parallel with the surface, the thermal desorption of absorbed water is promoted [25] for smaller water exposures (200–250 L) to a 35 Å P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) thick film (following the initial adsorption at 120–135 K). The light enhanced thermal desorption occurs at a lower temperature with the incident light E parallel with the surface as compared to the light enhanced thermal desorption spectra of water taken with the E of the incident light parallel with the surface normal. With higher water exposures (and coverages) to P(VDF–TrFE 70:30), this effect with respect to the incident light polarization is lost (Figure 3c) and then reversed (Figure 3d). Since the UV laser light intensity is unaltered in these experiments, and only the light polarization is changed, light polarization is clearly an important factor. It is likely that changes in local dipole orientation and the formation of long-lived metastable states affects the heats of adsorption and desorption [25, 27]. The photo-excitation and subsequent electron attachment to water or the polymer substrate surface to form
H$_2$O$^-$ or [–CH$_2$–CF$_2$–] may also occur, though energy considerations make this unlikely for the absorbed water directly [25].

This use of a UV laser to excite electrons from the graphite substrate into the ferroelectric polymer P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) implicates dipole orientation and dipole excitations in water thermal desorption [25, 27]. This light polarization dependent promotion of water desorption from P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is not simply a light induced effect alone [25, 27]. The light enhanced thermal desorption of absorbed water from another dipole oriented polymer, poly(methylvinylidene cyanide) where dipole rotation is sterically hindered, shows only a small light polarization dependence in the light enhanced thermal desorption of water [27, 50]. In the case of poly(methylvinylidene cyanide), the light polarization dependence in the UV light enhanced thermal desorption of water might well be due to Fresnel boundary conditions [50], while such Fresnel boundary considerations can be excluded as having a dominant role in the case of water desorption from P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) in the low water exposure regime [25].

We find that water desorption from P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is not generally an activated process. UV light enhanced thermal desorption of water from P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is an activated process judging from the angle-resolved thermal desorption studies, consistent with our expectations [27]. The integrated desorption intensities of absorbed water from a thin, nominally 5 monolayer thick (25 Å thick), P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) film are presented in Figure 4, as a function of desorption angle. In the absence of UV illumination, the normalized integrated water desorption intensity peaks along the surface normal (i.e. near 0° with respect to the surface normal) for the slightly thicker 25 Å thick P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) films. Integrated water desorption from P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) follows the $\cos^n \theta$ distribution expected from simple phonon mediated desorption. We find the best fit corresponding to $n = 1.38$ (Figure 4).

The preference for the adsorbed water species to desorbs normal to the surface is enhanced when angle resolved thermal desorption is undertaken in the presence of UV illumination (Figure 4). In contrast, the integrated water desorption intensities obtained from the thermal desorption spectra with and without the 337 nm UV illumination suggests that UV illumination causes deviations from the expected $\cos^n \theta$ distribution [54–56]. The result is an angular dependence of water desorption, from P(VDF–TrFE) following an exponential decaying function $\exp(-\theta/\xi)$, with $\xi = 26$. Deviations

Figure 3. Laser assisted thermal desorption for: (a) 200 L, (b) 250 L, (c) 300 L, and (d) 350 L of water exposure. The experiments were undertaken with incident light polarized with $E$ parallel with film surface (black) and $E$ parallel with the surface normal (red). Desorption of water was measured along the surface normal. Adapted from [25, 27].

Figure 4. The integrated intensity of water desorbing from P(VDF–TrFE) as a function of the angle with respect to the surface normal is shown following 5 Langmuirs of water exposure to 25 Å thick P(VDF–TrFE) thin film and follows the expected behavior from a simple phonon model: $\cos^n \theta$, with the best fit corresponding to $n = 1.38$ (red). The curves corresponding to $\cos^n \theta$, where $n \in [1,2,3]$ are also shown for comparison. The integrated intensity of water desorbing from P(VDF–TrFE) enhanced by illumination with 337 nm UV radiation, with $E$ in the plane of the film as shown in black follows an exponential decay with increasing angle. In the inset is seen P(VDF–TrFE) polymer CH$_2$–CF$_2$–CHF–CF$_2$ 70%:30% by molecular percent, where C, blue; F, yellow; H, white. Adapted from [27].
from the expected cosθ distribution (where θ is the angle off normal and n is the critical coefficient, which may vary between 1 and 4.5 [55, 56], and with values possibly as high as 9 [54]) in angle-resolved thermal desorption, can be indicative of an activated process. Given that strong light polarization effects in ultraviolet laser enhanced thermal desorption of absorbed water from poly(vinylidene fluoride–trifluoroethylene) have been observed [25], as discussed above, deviations from the expected cosθ distribution should be expected with water desorption from P(VDF-TrFE) in the presence of the same UV radiation. Water desorption from P(VDF-TrFE) should be an activated process in the presence of 337 nm radiation, when the electric vector is in the plane of the surface. We can now call this an extrinsic activation of water desorption [27].

The 3.66 eV photon energy used in the UV light enhanced thermal desorption of water from P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) is more than enough to excite electrons into the unoccupied states of P(VDF-TrFE) or H2O from the Fermi level of graphite. Such excitations from a conducting substrate to a molecular adsorbate are predicted for CO on Pt(1 1 1) [57] and water on graphite [58], for example. The cross-section for the absorption of UV radiation by both P(VDF-TrFE) [59] and water [60] is low, hence our expectation that the electron initial state is from graphite. UV light induced photoisomerization, likely due to excitation formation or an isolated carrier excitation, is known in other systems as in the photoisomerization from trans-stilbene and cis-stilbene [61]. This UV light induced photoisomerization is similar to the transition from the all trans configuration of ferroelectric P(VDF-TrFE) to the trans-gauche configuration of paraelectric P(VDF-TrFE).

We believe that either change in the dipole orientation or the changes in electronic structure caused by UV irradiation induced excitations enhance the desorption of water from the surface of P(VDF-TrFE). If this is the case, then the likely mechanism for the polarization dependent light stimulated thermal desorption of absorbed water is electron (carrier) excitation from the graphite substrate accompanied by a metastable dipole reorientation of the polymer [25, 27] and this should be accompanied by a change in the density of states, as is observed [25]. We know from scanning tunneling microscopy studies that changing the applied bias will alter the dipole orientation [33, 34] and this observation is at least consistent with our belief that charge attachment may well lead to long-lived state with dipole reorientation(s).

There is evidence of a UV light induced change in the density of states. The combined photoemission and inverse photoemission spectra of P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) are consistent with a wide band gap insulator and theoretical expectations [25]. Strong alterations of the P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) electronic structure are not observed with water absorption, as seen in Figure 5. With semiempirical molecular orbitals calculations by PM3-NDO (neglect of differential overlap) of hydrogen terminated (to prevent excessive folding) P(VDF-TrFE) short chains, we have recovered much of the detail observed in the combined photoemission and inverse photoemission [25, 27]; such qualitative success has been noted with other polymers [27, 45, 62] and large adsorbed molecular systems [28–30, 63]. It is under the illumination of UV radiation that changes in the density of states are observed at the conduction band edge, shown in Figure 6.

As seen in Figure 6, the illumination of 337 nm (3.66 eV) light on the ferroelectric P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) thin films induces a small increase in the density of states at the conduction band edge. With UV irradiation the unoccupied density of states moves closer to the Fermi level as observed in inverse photoemission (Figure 6a). With the absorption of water, the increase in the unoccupied density of states near the Fermi level is even more pronounced in inverse photoemission (Figure 6b). We cannot yet conclusively assign the origin of the increase in the unoccupied density of states near the Fermi level due to UV irradiation. With about 1 photon per surface dipole per 4 ns pulse, in the geometry of our inverse photoemission experiment, the fact that any change is observed in the inverse photoemission spectra with illumination is quite surprising. The observation of a change in the density of states (however small), with illumination of the sample, strongly suggests the excitation of long-lived metastable states given that the UV laser source is both pulsed and has a relatively weak flux per unit area [25].

The fact that there is a greater increase in the unoccupied density of states near the Fermi level under UV illumination with absorbed water than without is consistent with a more facile local dipole reorientation in P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) when water is present, and very long-lived metastable states that involve dipole reorientation. Again, water absorption does create distortions of the P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) chains leading to a reduction of crystallinity [19, 20, 22] and a distortion of the ferroelectric dipoles away from the surface normal in the immediate proximity to the water molecule [23]. This could also lower the activation barrier to dipole reversal (or just a significant dipole or multiple dipole reorientation) with electronic or dipole excitations. The long-lifetime suggests that multiple dipoles may “switch” with a single electron excitation, and this is consistent with steric hindrance (structural complexities) for single dipole reorientation.

4. Dipole interactions or exciton decay?

To illustrate further the difficulties in disentangling the possible interplay between dipole excitations and long-lived excitons in the ferroelectric polymer P(VDF-TrFE 70:30), let us consider the bromoform adsorption on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30). Reversible bromoform adsorption on crystalline P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) is both associative and reversible [31]. Molecular bromoform adsorption appears to be an activated process at 120 K with enhanced adsorption following the initial adsorption of bromoform. Strong intermolecular interactions are also implicated in the presence of a weak shake off or screened photoemission final state, whose intensity scales with the unscreened photoemission final state [31], as seen in Figure 7.

With bromoform adsorption on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30), the observed X-ray photoemission features of the bromine 3d core level.
are approximately at a binding energy of 72.1 ± 0.1 eV with low bromoform exposure and increase to 72.6 ± 0.1 eV with higher exposures at 120 K [31]. These bromine 3d core level binding energy features are a signature of molecular bromoform [31, 32]. There is also a much smaller intensity feature at a 63 eV binding energy, which is more difficult to assign.

A 9–10 eV chemical shift to smaller binding energies (72 eV reduced to about 63 eV) is difficult to associate with fragment formation on a polymer surface, or an absorbed fragment [31, 32], as this should lead to an increase in binding energy, not a decrease. The smaller bromine feature at 63 eV binding energy has far too small or too large a binding energy to be explained by the weak bromine Auger electron lines at 1203 eV (roughly 51 eV binding energy with Mg Kα) and 1167 eV (roughly 87 eV binding energy with Mg Kα) kinetic energies and is not present in the bromine 3d XPS spectra of photo-dissociated bromoform [31, 32] or 1-bromo,4-iodobenzene [31]. The apparent Br 3d core level feature, at 63 eV binding energy, is unlikely to be the result of a bromine Auger electron emission line. This feature is also evident at about 63.5 eV for very high coverages of bromoform adsorbed on graphite, at two different photon energies 1486.6 eV (Al Kα) and 1253.6 eV (Mg Kα) [31], suggesting that this is the result of bromoform, no bromine adsorption.

The observed bromoform associated core level photoemission feature at much lower binding energies than the expected Br 3d cores (at 63 eV binding energy) may well be a consequence of some combinations of different final state effects. Other explanations for this very low binding energy Br 3d XPS feature, like a photoemission final state shake-up or two hole bound state feature, are fairly easy to exclude, as these phenomena also lead to apparent increases in the apparent core level binding energy, not a decrease in binding energy, as observed here.

The ratio of the 63 eV satellite to the main bromine 3d core photoemission feature is constant with coverage, for bromoform adsorbed on P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) [31]. This suggests one possibility for the 63 eV bromine feature is a screened bromine 3d photoemission final state for molecular bromoform, not present for photo-dissociated bromoform on P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) [31]. Although P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is an excellent dielectric (as is bromoform), the polymer substrate does have dipoles that can rotate, as we have now noted numerous times. A strong interaction between adsorbate species and the substrate could lead to charge transfer in the photoemission final state giving rise to a final state akin to the screened final state of molecular nitrogen or carbon monoxide on many metal substrates [64, 65]. For both CO and N₂, a very large difference in the XPS core level binding energies is observed between the screened and unscreened final states, as is observed for bromoform [31]. Unlike adsorbed CO and N₂ on many metal substrates, for bromoform ad-

Figure 6. Inverse photoemission of clean P(VDF–TrFE) (curve 1, in panel a) and with absorbed water (curve 1, in panel b). Inverse photoemission of the unoccupied states with the excitation of metastable states of clean P(VDF–TrFE) (a) and following 100 Langmuirs of water exposure at 190 K (b), undertaken with incident UV laser light (337 nm) polarized with E parallel with film surface (curves 2) and parallel with the surface normal (curves 3). The arrows in the figure indicate some of the regions of apparent additional unoccupied density of states occurring with simultaneous UV irradiation. Values are in eV. Adapted from [25].

Figure 7. The sequence of X-ray photoemission spectra of a 5 monolayer thick P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) film at 120 K film before and after CHBr₃ exposure (10, 20, and 30 L). Bromine 3d₃/₂ and 3d₅/₂ are superposed around 73 eV and the possible screened final state feature is seen at 63 eV binding energy. Spectrum A is after annealing to room temperature showing that molecular adsorption of bromoform on P(VDF–TrFE) is reversible. The screened (s) and unscreened (u) final states are indicated.
sorption on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) and graphite, it is the unscreened final state (higher binding energy) features that are favored, while for CO and N\textsubscript{2}, the screened final state is favored.

Dipole rotation leading to increased screening in the final state could occur from transient dipole rotation in both the ferroelectric P(VDF-TrFE) substrate as well as by adjacent bromoform molecules [31], if there is sufficient intermolecular interaction. This dipole rotation in adjacent bromoform molecules, leading to increased photoemission final state screening might explain why this far smaller binding energy XPS feature is also seen for very high bromoform adsorption coverages on graphite [31], although weaker in intensity than observed for bromoform on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30). An interaction with the substrate and adjacent bromoform molecular dipoles could lead to very different final states if the dipoles reverse during the photoemission process [31]. Although photoemission is a relatively fast process with respect to single dipole reversal (about a nanosecond for P(VDF-TrFE)), a realignment of an adjacent dipole could bring more charge in close proximity to the bromoform ion final state leading to a more “screened” final state, and effectively decrease the binding energy. The energy difference between screened and unscreened finals states can be quite large [64], and indeed larger than many chemical shifts. While other intermolecular interactions between adsorbates could also lead to different final states, the large HOMO-LUMO gap suggests that such effects like charge transfer to unoccupied states is unlikely, although the large frontier orbitals of bromine would tend to enhance such interactions. The effects of electronic excitations which are seen with the just described photo-enhanced thermal desorption of water from P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) may be similar, but for adsorbed water, the electronic excitations are associated with the P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) polymer substrate, not the adsorbate [25, 27] as may be the case with bromoform adsorption on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30).

The problem with this model of final state screening due to dipole rotation as described for P(VDF-TrFE) as well as adjacent bromoform is slow compared to the photoemission process. In the case of adjacent bromoform screening the photoemission final states, there is no need for complete dipole reversal and realignment, as partial molecule reorientation of an adjacent molecule could have a profound effect. Bromoiodobenzene being far larger would have any rotation or molecular realignment more readily hindered by steric effects and thus might address why this weak core level feature at 9–10 eV smaller binding energies is not observed at all with bromoiodobenzene and other larger bromine functionalized organics on non-ferroelectric substrates [31].

Another possible dipole excitation could be invoked to explain the Br 3d photoemission feature. If there is a large population of long-lived exciton states in adsorbed bromoform, then collapse of the exciton during the photoemission process could impart that energy to the outgoing photoelectron. Given the size of the bromoform HOMO-LUMO gap, even when adsorbed on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) (Figure 8), this explanation is consistent with the nearly 10 eV additional kinetic energy needed for a 63 eV binding energy Br 3d satellite. The change in the density of states of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) and the P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) with absorbed water, seen with UV irradiation as discussed in the previous section, is not seen here. This suggests that such excitons would be at least extrinsic to P(VDF-TrFE 70:30). This surmise is at least consistent with the attribution of the observed changes in the density of states for P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) with the bromoform adsorption in photoemission and inverse photoemission (Figure 8) to molecular bromoform.

Following bromoform adsorption on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) at 120 K, there is a clear suppression of the P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) photoemission and inverse photoemission features, while features attributable to bromoform are added. Very evident in the inverse photoemission spectra is the suppression of the unoccupied density of states due to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital contributions of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) with increasing bromoform coverages. The new features introduced by bromoform adsorption on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) match the expected molecular orbitals of bromoform, as seen in Figure 8. This tends to suggest that bromoform adsorption does “wet” the surface of P(VDF–TrFE) [31]; the bromoform must cover the P(VDF–TrFE) polymer surface. The features associated with P(VDF–TrFE) are quickly suppressed with exposure, so that we can exclude an adlayer island like growth mode for bromoform on P(VDF–TrFE) polymer surface.

Unlike water, where absorption initially dominates over adsorption on P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) [19–27], an absorbed phase of bromoform in P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) is not evident in photoemission. Bromoform adsorption does not explain the approximately 9–10 eV energy difference in the two possible Br 3d XPS core features (Figure 7), given this is also seen with bromoform adsorption on graphite [31]. In any case, a 9–10 eV shift between the two features, seen in the XPS spectra, is too large for a bromine chemical shift for largely associative absorption of bromoform on or in a dielectric polymer.

5. Molecular orientation

The factors that determine adsorbate molecular orientation are, in large measure, interplay and balance among competing forces that include the chemical interaction and the interface dipole. This is particularly true at a hetero-molecular interface. This is very evident in the differences between metal phthalocyanine (MPC; M = Cu, Co) to PVDF heterojunction diodes.

The origin of the huge differences in band gap and molecular orbital position between CuPc on Au and CuPc on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) indeed suggests that CuPc does not lie flat on P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) [28, 29] or short chain evaporated PVDF [30], as seen in Figure 9 and Figure 10, respectively. The low-lying CuPc unoccupied molecular orbitals, along with the highest occupied molecular orbitals have strong Cu and N weight. Therefore, molecules lying well...
out of the plane of the surface are expected to have molecular orbitals with strong Cu and N weight suppressed in a highly surface sensitive spectroscopy like inverse photoemission while molecular orbitals with stronger ligand weight would not be so strongly suppressed, as observed in Figure 9 and Figure 10. This is consistent with the fact that CuPc tends to lie flat on metal substrates and stand on oxide and insulator surfaces [66]. Overall, the effects of final state screening as well as molecular orientation can both significantly affect the unoccupied states of adsorbed metal phthalocyanines (MPc; M = Cu, Ni, Co), as noted below and elsewhere [30].

Strong intermolecular and substrate interactions and final state screening of the metal phthalocyanines on Au(1 1 1) [28] are believed to decrease the size of the HOMO–LUMO gap inferred from combined photoemission and inverse photoemission spectra [28, 67]. There is clearly an increase in the HOMO–LUMO gap, as observed for CuPc on P(VDF–TrFE) (Figure 9), and CuPc on PVDF (Figure 10) compared to that of CuPc on Au(1 1 1) [28–30]. This increased HOMO–LUMO gap and the similarity of the HOMO–LUMO gap and the density of states for CuPc adsorbed on these organic ferroelectrics with the semiempirical PM3 theory (Figure 9) suggest that the electronic structure of CuPc on P(VDF–TrFE) or PVDF more closely resembles that of an isolated molecule, not the fully screened gap of an adsorbed molecule on a metal substrate.

While CuPc does not lie “flat” but rather adopts some upright configuration on PVDF, it might well be argued that evaporated PVDF is either a “technical surface” (like indium oxide, oxidized silicon or a sputtered metal thin film) or a good insulator [66]. The local possible roughness of the short chain evaporated PVDF surface does not apply to the Langmuir–Blodgett grown P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) surface, so the observed canted or upright bonding configuration for CuPc on the P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) surface [28, 29] argues for an affect on molecular orientation that is due to something other than surface roughness. What is common to both polymer substrates is that both are insulators with no free electrons. In this picture of reduced interaction with the substrate, a canted or upright orientation for the adsorbed CuPc is expected [28, 29]. With reduced interaction with a non-conducting polymer dielectric substrate, the simple view would be that CoPc should not exhibit a significantly different absorption behavior from other adsorbed metal (II) phthalocyanines, in terms of either orientation or interaction. What is surprising is that CoPc does adopt a bonding configuration that appears, in the combined photoemission and inverse photoemission, to be largely planar with both the Au(1 1 1) and PVDF surfaces. The observations for CoPc on PVDF [30] indicate that the details of the metal phthalocyanine (MPc; M = Cu, Ni, Co) interactions with an insulating substrate can play a bigger role than expected, overcoming the influence of local roughness and substrate screening (absence of substrate conductivity). CoPc exhibits far stronger interactions or charge transfer to Au(1 1 1) [53, 67] and Au(1 0 0) [68]. Such stronger interactions must be invoked to explain the planar molecular orientation on PVDF that results in such a significant difference in the apparent electronic structure of CoPc on PVDF (Figure 11) compared to CuPc on P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) (Figure 9) and PVDF (Figure 10) [30].

Gold is a good conductor, while PVDF is a good dielectric, thus strong interactions must be invoked to overcome the natural tendencies [29, 66] of CoPc to lie canted or tilted well out of the plane of the surface on PVDF. The differences between a conducting and non-conducting substrate can be compared for CoPc, as the orientation on both substrates appears to be similar, even for some-
what thicker (several molecular monolayer) CoPc films, as seen in Figure 11. While the same photoemission and inverse photoemission features, due to the CoPc molecular orbitals, are evident for CoPc on both Au(1 1 1) and PVDF, there are clear differences [30]. There is a decrease in the size of the HOMO–LUMO gap for CoPc on Au(1 1 1) compared to CoPc on PVDF, as inferred from combined photoemission and inverse photoemission spectra in Figure 11. An increase in the HOMO–LUMO gap, as observed for CoPc on PVDF, suggests less screening by the PVDF substrate than is the case for the Au substrate [30]. For CoPc on both PVDF and Au(1 1 1), the HOMO–LUMO gap still remains much smaller than expected of an isolated molecule, indicative of substrate interactions that close the HOMO–LUMO gap.

6. The hetero-molecular interface dipole

At the hetero-molecular interface, the molecular band offsets can be modified by changing the dipole orientation at the intermolecular interface. The combined photoemission and inverse photoemission studies, just discussed, reveal the changes in electronic structure due to changes in molecular orientation and substrate interaction. These changes in electronic structure have further significance: in heterojunction diode structure based on a hetero-molecular interface, the diode exhibits conduction properties that are altered in response to changes to the molecular band offsets. As a demonstration, thin film CuPc to crystalline ferroelectric copolymer poly(vinylidene fluoride with trifluoroethylene) heterojunction diodes, without additional dopants, show hysteretic behavior. While doping is a (more) conventional method for shifting the molecular orbital band offsets with respect to the Fermi level [69], changing molecular orbital alignment can be undertaken in other ways [28, 29]. One approach is to change the interface dipole alignment. This has been demonstrated for thin film copper phthalocyanine (CuPc) to crystalline ferroelectric P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) heterojunction diodes [28, 29].

Although we cannot ascertain the majority carrier for CuPc thin films from electron spectroscopies alone (i.e. is it n-type or p-type?), the band alignment for CuPc on P(VDF-TrFE) suggests that a heterojunction diode can be fabricated with P(VDF-TrFE 70:30), as illustrated in Figure 12. Similar heterojunction diode fabrication has been demonstrated for polyaniline and P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) [29, 70], as well. Indications of dipole interaction between 4 nm thick CuPc films and 3–4 nm thick films of the ferroelectric material P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) are evident in the electric field controlled p-n diode formed by combining these two molecular thin films together. By biasing the diode by +15 V to ensure dipole reversal in the ferroelectric polymer substrate, hysteresis is seen in the current versus voltage traces at low bias, as seen in Figure 13. Application of bias voltages up to ±15 V is more than sufficient to polarize the ferroelectric P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) [35, 39, 40], and/or flip the dipole direction of P(VDF-TrFE) (or both P(VDF-TrFE 70:30) and CuPc) thin films of this thickness, so this is not entirely unexpected [28, 29].

The dipole interaction could affect the diode properties in several ways. The local electric field due to the P(VDF-TrFE) substrate interactions that close the HOMO–LUMO gap.

Figure 11. The photoemission (left) and inverse photoemission (right) spectra of molecular multilayer CoPc films adsorbed on (a) Au(1 1 1) and (b) PVDF substrates at room temperature. Binding energies are in terms of $E - E_F$. Adapted from [30].

Figure 12. $I$–$V$ characteristics of diodes fabricated from 4 nm of CuPc deposited on 3 nm of P(VDF-TrFE), as indicated by the inset schematic structure. Schematic representations are also shown for P(VDF-TrFE) (upper right) and CuPc (lower right), with carbon (blue), fluorine (yellow), nitrogen (navy blue), and copper (green) indicated. For CuPc, the hydrogen has been left off to simplify the schematic. Adapted from [28, 29].

Figure 13. Reproducible current differences in the $I$–$V$ curves are seen at small bias (shown here) after applying larger voltages to ensure ferroelectric substrate dipole reversal from −15 to +15 V (blue) and +15 to −15 V (red) in heterojunctions formed from 4 nm of CuPc deposited on 3 nm of P(VDF-TrFE). A schematic of the expectation [75, 78] for a ferroelectric tunnel junction barrier are indicated in the inset as adapted from [75]. Adapted from [28, 29].
could align the CuPc’s dipoles and either change the molecular orientation(s) or change the molecular dipole alignment(s) at the interface, as is suggested by the difference in CuPc and CoPc bonding on PVDF [30]. A change in molecular orientation of CuPc in the PVDF/CuPc heterojunction could lead to a decrease or increase in the barriers to current [28, 29]. Changes in the barrier height can have dramatic changes in the tunneling current, and thus the changes could be due to behavior resembling a ferroelectric tunnel junction.

Given the fact that both the P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) and CuPc layers can be made very thin [28–30], it is also possible that these heterojunctions of Figure 12 and Figure 13 act also as ferroelectric tunnel junctions [28, 29, 71]. In ferroelectric tunnel junctions, the thin dielectric barrier layer is a ferroelectric. In such tunnel junction structures the screening of polarization charges is incomplete and consequently there is a non-vanishing depolarizing field inside the ferroelectric [71–73], as schematically illustrated in Figure 14. For ferroelectric tunnel junctions with asymmetric electrodes, as is the case here with Au on one side and CuPc on the other side, the electrostatic potential associated with the depolarizing field is different depending on the direction of the electric polarization [71–73].

Expectations on what should be the behavior of a ferroelectric tunnel junction barrier, based on the Brickman model [74], have been much discussed [71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78], and these expectations are borne out in the P(VDF–TrFE 70:30)–CuPc heterojunction device, as indicated in Figure 13. Definitive proof that this is in fact a ferroelectric tunnel junction does require further effort however.

7. Implications for the future

Organic heterojunction diodes [28, 29, 70, 79–81] and transistors [82–94] have been fabricated with the crystalline ferroelectric P(VDF–TrFE). As the copolymer poly(vinylidene fluoride with trifluoroethylene) is ferroelectric, transistors, both fully organic heterojunctions [82–94] and hybrid heterojunctions with an inorganic semiconductor [95–99], exhibit gate voltage dependent hysteresis, indicating the potential of P(VDF–TrFE) copolymers for nonvolatile random access memory devices. An example of this type of gate voltage dependent hysteresis for an organic heterojunction transistor fabricated with polyaniline as a p-layer [69, 70] on top of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) where the latter is the gate dielectric layer of thickness less than 10 nm. The source to drain bias is 0.2 V, and hysteresis is evident. Arrows indicate the direction of the gate voltage as it is swept from +4 to −4 V. 

**Figure 14.** Mechanisms affecting tunneling in ferroelectric tunnel junctions: (1) electrostatic potential at the interface, (2) interface bonding, and (3) the differential current. Adapted from Ref. [72], reproduced with permission.

**Figure 15.** Source to drain currents plotted as a function of gate voltage for a heterojunction transistor fabricated with polyaniline as a p-layer (of thickness less than 100 nm) on top of P(VDF–TrFE 70:30) where the latter is the gate dielectric layer of thickness less than 10 nm. The source to drain bias is 0.2 V, and hysteresis is evident. Arrows indicate the direction of the gate voltage as it is swept from +4 to −4 V.
interfaces with select organometallic compounds, it may be possible to design magneto-electric devices [101, 102] with larger induction constants ($\alpha$) as both molecular orientation and the concomitant magnetic coupling may be significantly altered. In the planar geometry or not, it seems clear that the metal phthalocyanines can be used to make rectifying molecular heterojunctions with PVDF, as in [28, 29], and illustrated in Figure 12.
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