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Vertical Profile and Temporal Variation of Chlorophyll in Maize Canopy: Quantitative “Crop Vigor” Indicator by Means of Reflectance-Based Techniques

Verónica Ciganda, Anatoly Gitelson,* and James Schepers

ABSTRACT
Chlorophyll (Chl) content is among the most important crop biophysical characteristics. Chlorophyll can be related to photosynthetic capacity, thus, productivity, developmental stage, and canopy stresses. The objective of this study was to quantify and characterize the temporal variation of Chl content in the vertical profile of maize (Zea mays L.) canopies by means of a reflectance-based, nondestructive methodology. A recently developed technique that relates leaf reflectance with leaf pigment content has been used for accurate leaf Chl estimation. The technique employs reflectance in two spectral bands: in the red edge (720–730 nm) and in the near infrared (770–800 nm). More than 2000 maize leaves were measured for reflectance and total and green area during a growing season. A bell-shaped curve showed a very good fit for the vertical distribution of Chl content regardless of crop growth stage. The parameters and coefficients of the bell-shape function were found to be very useful to interpret temporal changes in the vertical profile of each variable. Comparisons among Chl, leaf area index (LAI) and green LAI showed that Chl content was more sensitive to changes in the physiological status of maize than other biophysical characteristics. The quantification of Chl content in canopy should be seen as a useful tool to complement the information on green LAI or LAI. Its applicability will help to improve the understanding of the crop ecophysiology, productivity, the radiation use efficiency and the interplant competition.

PHYLOGICAL STATUS of a crop is commonly characterized through the LAI, defined as the total leaf area per unit area of ground beneath them (Watson, 1947), or the green LAI, defined as the green area of leaves per unit area of ground beneath them. These biophysical characteristics have been considered basic to growth analysis and important in current estimates of crop canopies’ potential photosynthesis (e.g., Francis et al., 1969).

Specifically for the case of maize, leaf area and the vertical leaf area profile influence the interception and utilization of solar radiation and, consequently, drive matter accumulation and grain yield (Valentinuz and Tollenaar, 2006). Also, the vertical distribution of leaf area within maize canopies, described using Gaussian (Boedhram et al., 2001; Yang and Alley, 2005) and bell-shape models (Dwyer et al., 1992; Keating and Wafuta, 1992; Valentinuz and Tollenaar, 2006), has been suggested as an important characteristic for estimating radiation interception in canopy photosynthesis modeling. However, both LAI and green LAI have some important restrictions in characterizing crop physiological status or vigor. In the case of LAI, it is not possible to differentiate among actual status of the leaves since either alive or green leaves are considered the same as dead or necrotic leaves. As a result, LAI can greatly overestimates the photosynthetic functional components, especially in reproductive and senescence phenological stages. Green LAI, distinguishing green from nongreen leaf tissue, is a more accurate expression of the actual photosynthetic functional components. However, since there is no quantitative threshold to use in this determination, green LAI is subjective. The importance of studying Chl content in vegetation has been recognized for decades (e.g., Danks et al., 1984). Long- or medium-term changes in Chl can be related to photosynthetic capacity (thus, productivity), developmental stage, and canopy stresses (e.g., Ustin et al., 1998). It was suggested that Chl could be the community property most directly relevant to the prediction of productivity (e.g., Lieth and Whittaker, 1975; Dawson et al., 2003). Foyer et al. (1982) further affirmed that “…all quantitative means for expressing photosynthetic rate in current use (for example, ground area, fresh weight) carry inescapable disadvantages. Chlorophyll is likely to remain the universal basis for expressing photosynthetic rate…” The energy absorbed by the canopy can only be transferred to the dark reaction of photosynthesis by Chl. Therefore, Chl content constitutes a surrogate for the amount of energy that can be transferred to the dark reaction of photosynthesis. Following this logic, it was shown that the Chl accounted for more than 98% of gross primary production variation in both maize and soybean (Gitelson et al., 2006a, 2008).

The Chl in leaves is responsible for the variability in greenness within a canopy; it reflects a wide range of photosynthetic activity from very dark green photosynthetically active leaves to pale green or almost senescent leaves. At the same time, the dis-
distribution of Chl among leaves ultimately depends on the canopy acclimation to light penetration (Kull, 2002). For example, acclimation to low irradiance reduces the electron transport capacity per unit of Chl, while it increases the proportion of Chl (Kull, 2002). This could be the case with lower leaves in a maize canopy during active photosynthetic periods, before senescence. In addition, phenology plays an important role in the variability of Chl among leaves. At the same stage, the Chl content of a recently emerged leaf is different from those that have already expanded or those that are senescing.

In maize plants, the position and orientation of leaves seem to be more important in determining photosynthetic capacity of leaves than their chronological appearance. This concept is supported by several studies that have reported a top to bottom profile of leaf senescence in maize hybrids (e.g., Wolfe et al., 1988; Borras et al., 2003; Valentinuzzi and Tollenaar, 2004). These studies describe a profile of maize leaf senescence progressing from the bottom leaves upward, as well as from the top leaves downward, resulting in leaves positioned around the ear remaining green the longest. These leaves are not the youngest but the ones with the highest photosynthetic capacity: the longer a leaf lives and remains active, the greater the net capture of CO2 (Long, 1991).

Temporal changes in the vertical profile of canopy Chl are driven by the distribution of Chl within leaves: the Chl in an individual leaf varies during the stages of its life cycle: expansion, longevity, and senescence (Lizaso et al., 2003). At a specific growth stage, the distribution of Chl within maize leaves is, in general, quite homogeneous. However, either biotic or abiotic factors could induce stress in a plant affecting specific processes on individual leaves resulting both in a loss of Chl and in a change in its distribution pattern (Barton, 2000).

The Chl content of a canopy integrates the green leaf area and the intensity of greenness, that is, total canopy Chl is a quantitative measure of the size and the functionality of the photosynthetic components. Even though the relationship between leaf Chl and actual photosynthetic canopy area is well recognized, very little information is available about the vertical distribution of Chl and how it changes during the growing season.

While both destructive and nondestructive techniques have been used to estimate LAI and green LAI (e.g., leaf area meters, Montgomery’s formula, plant canopy analyzer using transmittance measurement, Montgomery, 1911; remote LAI estimation, Gitelson et al., 2003a), up to now the Chl content in a canopy has not been used as a feasible crop biophysical characteristic or as an accurate measure of crop “vigor” due to the lack of practical, fast, and nondestructive methodologies to quantify Chl. Recently, a semi-analytical model that relates reflectance and Chl content in leaves was developed (Gitelson et al., 2003a, 2006b). Using this model for estimation of Chl in leaves, Ciganda et al. (2008) developed a fast technique for estimating Chl in maize canopies under field conditions. The technique is based on nondestructive measurements of reflectance and the area of the collar or ear leaf. The objective of this study is to quantify and characterize the temporal variation of Chl content in the vertical profile of maize canopies across a range of agronomic growing conditions using a reflectance based, nondestructive technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Setups

This study took advantage of an established research facility, which is part of the Carbon Sequestration Program at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. The research facility consists of three agricultural fields of approximately 65 ha each. The cropping system was established in 2001 and differs among the three fields: field no. 1 is under continuous irrigated maize; field no. 2 is an irrigated maize-soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] rotation; and field no. 3 is a rainfed maize-soybean rotation. The study took place in the 2005 growing season. Field no. 1 and no. 2 were planted with maize hybrids Dekalb 6375 (D-6375) and Pioneer brand 33BS1 (P-33BS1), respectively, at 85,000 seeds ha⁻¹ with a row spacing of 76 cm. Field no. 3 was planted with maize hybrid Pioneer brand 31G68 (P-31G68) at 62,500 seeds ha⁻¹ with a row spacing of 56 cm.

Plant Sampling and Labeling Procedures

Throughout the 2005 growing season, three plants were sampled on 15 dates (from V3–R6) from hybrids D-6375 and P-31G68 and on 13 dates (from V6–R6) from hybrid P-33BS1. A total of 128 plants were sampled resulting in approximately 2000 leaves being measured. On each sampling date, plants considered representative of the growing stage of the entire field were selected randomly from an area close to where remote canopy reflectance measurements were taken. Once the plants were selected, the collar or ear leaf was identified. The collar leaf was defined as the uppermost leaf whose leaf collar is visible (Ritchie et al., 1993) while the ear leaf was defined as the leaf next to the maize ear. Positions of the other leaves on each plant were numerically labeled with respect to the collar or the ear leaf position during vegetative or reproductive stages, respectively. The collar or ear leaf was labeled as leaf no. 0. The leaves above or below leaf no. 0 were identified with a “+” or a “−” sign, respectively, followed by the correspondent position number. For example, the first leaf above the ear/collar leaf was identified as +1, the second one as +2, the third one +3, etc., up to the top leaf. On the contrary, the first leaf below the ear/collar leaf was identified as −1, the second as −2, the third one as −3 until the closest leaf to the ground was reached. After labeling, the leaves were cut from the stem, placed in a sealed plastic bag, and brought to the laboratory inside a cooler.

Estimation of Leaf Chlorophyll Content, Total and Green Leaf Area Index

Leaf Chl content (Chl_{leaf}) in mg Chl m⁻² per leaf area was estimated using a recently developed technique that relates leaf reflectance with leaf pigment content (Gitelson et al., 2003b, 2006b). Reflectance of each leaf was measured in the spectral range from 400 to 900 nm using a leaf clip, with a 2.3-mm diam. bifurcated fiber-optic cable attached to both an Ocean Optics USB2000 spectroradiometer and to an Ocean Optics LS-1 tungsten halogen light source. The leaf clip allows individual leaves to be held with a 60° angle relative to the bifurcated fiber-optic. A Spectralon reflectance standard (99% reflectance) was scanned before each leaf measurement. The software CDAP (CALMIT,
One of the models, the so-called Red Edge Chlorophyll Index (CI_red edge), was suggested for Chl retrieval in both anthocyanin-containing and anthocyanin-free leaves (Gitelson et al., 2003b, 2006b). This model is based on reflectance in the red edge (R_red edge) and near infrared (R_NIR) wavebands and defined as:

\[
\text{CI}_{\text{red edge}} = \left( \frac{R_{\text{NIR}}}{R_{\text{red edge}}} \right) - 1 \quad [1]
\]

where \( R_{\text{NIR}} \) is reflectance in the near infrared range from 770 through 800 nm and \( R_{\text{red edge}} \) is the reflectance in the red edge range from 720 to 730 nm.

The relationship between analytically determined Chl and CI_red edge for maize has been established by Ciganda et al. (2008). This relationship was described by a linear best fit function with root mean square error (RMSE) of Chl estimation of <51 mg Chl m\(^{-2}\) in the Chl range from 100 to more than 800 mg m\(^{-2}\) and coefficient of determination of \( r^2 > 0.94 \):

\[
\text{Chl}_\text{leaf} (\text{mg m}^{-2}) = 37.904 + 1353.7 \times \text{CI}_{\text{red edge}} \quad [2]
\]

The relationship Chl vs. CI_red edge (Eq. [2]) was validated by Ciganda et al. (2008) showing the ability to accurately estimate Chl and was used in this study to retrieve leaf Chl from leaf reflectance measured in two spectral bands.

Total amount of Chl in individual leaves (Chl\(_{\text{total}}\)) in gram of Chl in total area of individual leaves, was calculated following a methodology developed by Ciganda et al. (2008). The area of each leaf, \( S_{\text{leaf}} \), or the area of each leaf section (in the case of leaves with sections of different greenness), \( S_{\text{section}} \), was measured with a leaf area meter (Model LI-3100A, LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE). Total amount of Chl in individual leaves was calculated as the product of leaf area, \( S_{\text{leaf}} \) (in m\(^2\)) and its Chl content, \( \text{Chl}_\text{leaf} \) (in mg Chl m\(^{-2}\)) using the equation:

\[
\text{Chl}_{\text{total}} = \text{Chl}_\text{leaf} \times S_{\text{leaf}} \quad [3]
\]

In the case of leaves with two or more sections of different greenness (i.e., "m" sections), total amount of Chl of the entire individual leaf was calculated as the sum of the products for each section using the following equation:

\[
\text{Chl}_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{Chl}_{i\text{section}} \times S_{i\text{section}} \quad [4]
\]

Total leaf area was calculated as the sum of areas of all the sections of a leaf. Thus, leaf sections with Chl values including sections with Chl = 0 mg m\(^{-2}\) were included in the total leaf area. On the other hand, green leaf area was calculated as the sum of all the leaf sections with Chl values greater than zero. Finally, LAI and green LAI were calculated as a ratio of total leaf area and green leaf area, respectively, to ground area occupied by an individual plant.

Total amount of Chl in the canopy (Chl\(_{\text{canopy}}\)) expressed as the amount of Chl per unit of ground area (i.e., g Chl m\(^{-2}\)), was calculated as the sum of the total amount of Chl of individual leaves of each plant divided by the ground area beneath one plant \( S_g \):

\[
\text{Chl}_{\text{canopy}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Chl}_{i\text{leaf}}}{S_g} \quad [5]
\]

where \( n \) is number of leaves in each plant.

**Vertical Distribution of Chlorophyll, Leaf Area Index, and Green Leaf Area Index in Canopy**

The vertical distribution of Chl, LAI, and green LAI in the canopy profile was described using a slightly skewed bell-shape model (Dwyer and Stewart, 1986; Keating and Wafula, 1992; Valentiz and Tollenaar, 2006) in the form

\[
Y_n = Y_0 \times \exp\left( a \times (x_n - x_0)^2 + b \times (x_n - x_0)^3 \right) \quad [6]
\]

where \( Y_n \) is the variable (i.e., Chl, LAI, or green LAI) for the \( n \)th leaf position; \( x_n \) is the \( n \)th leaf position; \( x_0 \) is the leaf position with a maximum value of \( Y = Y_0 \) (i.e., it is the inflection point of the bell-shaped curve); and \( a \) and \( b \) are empirical variables that control, respectively, the degree of breadth and skewness of the vertical distribution of the fitted variable. The coefficients \( a \), \( b \), and \( x_0 \) of the bell-shaped function were estimated for each sampling date and hybrid using a nonlinear regression performed using PROC N-LIN SAS (SAS Institute, 2004).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Seasonal Trend of the Vertical Distribution of Leaf Area Index, Green Leaf Area Index, and Chlorophyll**

The diverse cropping systems among the three fields plus the intrinsic differences among hybrid physiologies were reflected in different values of LAI but not in the shape of its vertical distribution (Fig. 1). A bell shape and symmetric vertical distribution of LAI was consistently observed across hybrids and throughout most of the growing season with a maximum around leaf no. 0 and minimum for top and bottom leaves. During the vegetative period and until tasseling (VT), increase in LAI was observed due to both the emergence of new leaves and the expansion of the already emerged leaves. Most of the senescent leaves remained on the plants through the end of the growing season. Thus, LAI was not greatly affected by leaf aging or the senescence processes.

The differences in the shape of the vertical distribution of green LAI among hybrids were minimal (Fig. 2). The vertical profile of this characteristic showed a slight skew after tasseling. The skewness became stronger after physiological maturity due to the intensification of the senescence process of the bottom leaves. Maximum values of green LAI were observed around leaf no. 0, that is, collar or ear leaf during vegetative or reproductive periods, respectively. From V6 to tasseling, LAI increased about 2.6-fold. Maximum values remained relatively high up to stage R4. The maximum magnitude decreased by 2.5-fold through the end of the growing season. Green LAI was minimal in both top and bottom leaves. Top
leaves are the youngest and with smaller areas than leaves farther down in the canopy. In contrast, bottom leaves are the oldest but they do not expand as much as center leaves (around leaf no. 0) and they lose green tissue due to senescence beginning relatively early in the growing season.

The shape of vertical profile and values of LAI and green LAI were similar during vegetative period up to tasseling (Fig. 1 and 2). After this stage, however, the distribution of green LAI skewed toward the higher leaves on initiation of senescence in lower leaves (Fig. 2). Vertical distribution of chlorophyll was also a bell shape.

Fig. 1. Vertical distribution of leaf area index (LAI) in canopy at 15 selected growth stages (V3–R6) of three maize hybrids D-6375, P-31G68, and P-33B51. The y axis represents leaf position: the collar or ear leaf was labeled as leaf no. 0. The leaves above or below leaf no. 0 were identified with a “+” or a “-” sign, respectively, followed by the corresponding position number. For example, the first leaf above the ear/collar leaf was identified as +1, the second one as +2, the third one +3, etc., up to the top leaf. On the contrary, the first leaf below the ear/collar leaf was identified as -1, the second as -2, the third one -3 until the closest leaf to the ground was reached. Horizontal bars represent the standard deviation of the average of LAI three plants. Solid lines represent the fit of the bell-shape function for each hybrid and growing stage.
The distribution skewed toward the top leaves from tasseling until the end of the growing season. The senescence process (i.e., the loss of Chl) strongly affected the variability in Chl vertical distribution. Similar to LAI and green LAI, maximal Chl content was observed around leaf no. 0 (i.e., collar or ear leaf during vegetative or reproductive periods, respectively). From V6 until tasseling, maximal values of Chl content increased up to four-fold. The Chl remained relatively high up to R4 and then decreased during late reproductive stages.

The Chl content was minimal in both top and bottom leaves. As a result of the adaptation of the top leaves to high incoming irradiance, these leaves are usually low in Chl content (Kull, 2002).
Also, these leaves are the youngest and have smaller areas than lower leaves. In contrast, bottom leaves are the oldest and a loss of Chl occurred relatively early in the season. Top leaves clearly begin to senesce after physiological maturity (R5), while leaf no. 0 and the ones immediately above remained green much longer. After tasseling, Chl distribution began to skew due to the decrease in Chl of leaves positioned near the bottom of the canopy. The skewness markedly increased and the total amount of Chl in all leaves decreased after physiological maturity (R4).
Seasonal Pattern of the Fitted Bell-Shape Curve Parameters

In general, the bell-shaped curve showed a very good fit with the vertical distributions of LAI and green LAI. Total canopy Chl, expressed in g Chl m\(^{-2}\), was also very well described by this function. The parameter \(Y_0\), that is, the maximum value of the fitted parameter, showed a similar temporal behavior for LAI, green LAI, and Chl from early vegetative growth up to tasseling (Fig. 4a, 4d, and 4g).

The LAI reached maximum values after tasseling and remained virtually invariable until the end of the growing season and insensitive to any change in plant greenness or Chl content (Fig. 4a). In contrast, during reproductive and senescence stages the maximum value for green LAI and Chl decreased significantly reaching values near zero at the end of the growing season. Such decline is mainly attributed to the loss of photosynthetic tissue and, thus, Chl.

The magnitude of the parameter \(Y_0\) varied among hybrids. This variation can be attributed both to hybrid characteristics and growing conditions (Fig. 4a, 4d, and 4g). Remarkably, the magnitudes of the variation between hybrids were very different for biophysical characteristics measured. At stages of maximum greenness (from tasseling through R3), the difference in \(Y_0\) between hybrids P-31G68 and D-6375 for LAI and green LAI was <7% (Fig. 4d), while for Chl it exceeded 30% and reached 37% at later reproductive stages. These results showed that Chl was more sensitive to the physiological status of maize than LAI or green LAI.

Coefficient \(a\) describes the breadth of the curve and its value shows how sharp the curve rises or falls: low values of \(a\) indicate that the biophysical variable rises sharply or falls sharply (Keating and Wafula, 1992). During the vegetative stages, all three biophysical characteristics (LAI, green LAI, and Chl) showed an increase in the breadth of the curve (i.e., an increase in \(a\)) with very similar values (Fig. 4b, 4e, and 4h). At stages of maximum greenness, the breadth of the curve remained almost constant for the three variables indicating their almost invariable temporal behavior at this stage. During the reproductive stage, however, the value of coefficient \(a\) remained constant for LAI while it noticeably declined for green LAI and Chl. In the case of LAI, most of the senescent leaves remained on the plants through the end of the growing season. Thus, LAI was not greatly affected by leaf aging or senescence processes. In contrast, the decline in coefficient \(a\) for green LAI and Chl is caused by the senescence of the lower leaves followed by the senescence of the top leaves (Wolfe et al., 1988; Borras et al., 2008).

Fig. 4. Temporal progress of the parameter \(Y_0\), \(a\), and \(b\) of the bell-shape function (Eq. [4]) fitted for the vertical distribution of leaf area index (LAI), green LAI, and total canopy chlorophyll (Chl) content for hybrids D-6375 (filled circle), P-33B51 (rectangle), and P-31G68 (triangle) during the growing season. \(Y_0\) indicates the maximum value of the fitted variable (LAI, green LAI, and Chl), \(a\) is the breath of the curve, and \(b\) indicates the position of \(Y_0\) with respect to 0-leaf.
The relationship between LAI and total canopy Chl per ground area showed hysteresis; these biophysical characteristics were related in a very different way during the vegetative stage and the reproductive and senescence stages (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the same behavior has been found for relationships between Chl and fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) as well as between Chl and PAR absorbed by photosynthetically active (“green”) vegetation (Viña and Gitelson, 2005). Note that during the vegetative period the increase in LAI was followed by a corresponding increase in Chl. Specifically, during this period Chl and LAI were positively associated; Chl and LAI increased almost synchronically up to LAI values that reached 5.0 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\), and Chl values of \(-2.5\) g m\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5A). After this point, Chl continued to increase while LAI did not increase significantly and the slope of the relation LAI vs. Chl decreased notably. During reproductive stages and senescence, however, a drastic reduction of Chl occurred while LAI only slightly reduced (from \(-5.5\) to \(-4.5\) m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\)) (Fig. 5A). Thus, LAI remained almost invariable while a significant decline in Chl occurred during reproductive stages and until the end of the senescence period.

The relationship between green LAI and total canopy Chl content per ground area was essentially nonlinear and the best fit was found to be a fourth order polynomial (Fig. 5B) whereas for each of the growing periods, a quadratic model was found to be best (Table 1). The coefficients of determination between the two variables were 0.984 and 0.964 for the vegetative and reproductive periods (including senescence), respectively. The relationship still was hysteretic while it was much less pronounced than for the LAI vs. Chl relationship. For the same value of green LAI, the canopy Chl content was significantly smaller during the reproductive period than the vegetative period. Thus, the loss of Chl content that began during the reproductive period was not detected by measurements of green LAI.

Residuals of the relationship canopy Chl vs. green LAI were positive during the vegetative stages when LAI < 4 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\), while during the senescence stage they are negative. For the same green LAI values, the difference in Chl reached 80 to 90%. Even at the end of the vegetative stage and during the reproductive stage when green LAI exceeded 4 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) and Chl > 1.5 g m\(^{-2}\), the difference reached 50%. Thus, for the same green LAI the difference in Chl content exceeded 1.0 g m\(^{-2}\) for Chl ranging from 0 to 3.5 g m\(^{-2}\).

It is important to note that the canopy Chl vs. green LAI relationship was characterized by a pronounced decrease in slope for moderate-to-high Chl values. Thus, Chl continued to significantly increase (from 2 to more than 3.5 g Chl m\(^{-2}\)) as green LAI reached its maximal values and became virtually insensitive to the crop physiological status (“greenness”).

---

**Table 1. Intercept \((b_0)\), linear term \((b_1)\), quadratic term \((b_2)\), and coefficient of determination \((r^2)\) of second order polynomial relationship (Green LAI = \(b_0 + b_1 \times Chl + b_2 \times Chl^2\)) between green leaf area index and total canopy chlorophyll content for two growth periods: the vegetative growth period (V3–VT) and the reproductive and senescence period (R2–R6).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growing period</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(r^2)</th>
<th>(b_0)</th>
<th>(b_1)</th>
<th>(b_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative (V3 through VT)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>-0.0303</td>
<td>2.7462</td>
<td>-0.2395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive and senescence (R2 through R6)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>2.985</td>
<td>-0.413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Note: The vertical profile of the variable is symmetrical around leaf no. 0 (i.e., coefficient \(a\) was very close among the three hybrids. Thus, while there were some differences in the magnitude of \(\beta_0\) among hybrids for each variable, the shape of the vertical distribution (coefficient \(a\)) was similar for the three hybrids. Coefficient \(b\) is the indicator of the skewness of the bell-shaped fitted curve. Negative values of \(b\) are associated with biophysical variables that are skewed toward the bottom leaves (i.e., toward leaves positioned below leaf no. 0). Positive values of \(b\) result in profiles that are skewed toward the top leaves (i.e., \(x_o > x_n\)). A value of zero means that the vertical profile of the variable is symmetrical around \(x_o\) (Keating and Wafula, 1992). The \(b\) value showed some variability at early vegetative stages for the three biophysical variables. Later in the growing season and almost up to the end, coefficient \(b\) for LAI was equal to zero indicating symmetry around leaf no. 0 (Fig. 4c, 4f, and 4i). The vertical profiles of green LAI and Chl during the vegetative and early reproductive periods were also symmetrical around leaf no. 0 (i.e., coefficient \(b\) is equal to zero). However, at late reproductive stages, the profiles clearly skewed toward the upper leaves. Such skewness is due to the progress of senescence from the bottom leaves. Only few leaves above the ear leaf remained green a little bit longer with low values of Chl content.

**Leaf Area Index and Green Leaf Area Index vs. Chlorophyll**

The relationship between LAI and total canopy Chl per ground area showed hysteresis; these biophysical characteristics were related in a very different way during the vegetative stage and the reproductive and senescence stages (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the same behavior has been found for relationships between Chl and fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) as well as between Chl and PAR absorbed by photosynthetically active (“green”) vegetation (Viña and Gitelson, 2005). Note that during the vegetative period the increase in LAI was followed by a corresponding increase in Chl. Specifically, during this period Chl and LAI were positively associated; Chl and LAI increased almost synchronically up to LAI values that reached 5.0 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\), and Chl values of \(-2.5\) g m\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5A). After this point, Chl continued to increase while LAI did not increase significantly and the slope of the relation LAI vs. Chl decreased notably. During reproductive stages and senescence, however, a drastic reduction of Chl occurred while LAI only slightly reduced (from \(-5.5\) to \(-4.5\) m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\)) (Fig. 5A). Thus, LAI remained almost invariable while a significant decline in Chl occurred during reproductive stages and until the end of the senescence period.

The relationship between green LAI and total canopy Chl content per ground area was essentially nonlinear and the best fit was found to be a fourth order polynomial (Fig. 5B) whereas for each of the growing periods, a quadratic model was found to be best (Table 1). The coefficients of determination between the two variables were 0.984 and 0.964 for the vegetative and reproductive periods (including senescence), respectively. The relationship still was hysteretic while it was much less pronounced than for the LAI vs. Chl relationship. For the same value of green LAI, the canopy Chl content was significantly smaller during the reproductive period than the vegetative period. Thus, the loss of Chl content that began during the reproductive period was not detected by measurements of green LAI.

Residuals of the relationship canopy Chl vs. green LAI were positive during the vegetative stages when LAI < 4 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\), while during the senescence stage they are negative. For the same green LAI values, the difference in Chl reached 80 to 90%. Even at the end of the vegetative stage and during the reproductive stage when green LAI exceeded 4 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) and Chl > 1.5 g m\(^{-2}\), the difference reached 50%. Thus, for the same green LAI the difference in Chl content exceeded 1.0 g m\(^{-2}\) for Chl ranging from 0 to 3.5 g m\(^{-2}\).

It is important to note that the canopy Chl vs. green LAI relationship was characterized by a pronounced decrease in slope for moderate-to-high Chl values. Thus, Chl continued to significantly increase (from 2 to more than 3.5 g Chl m\(^{-2}\)) as green LAI reached its maximal values and became virtually insensitive to the crop physiological status (“greenness”).
CONCLUSIONS

Using a fast and nondestructive reflectance-based technique it was possible to quantify and characterize the temporal variation in the vertical profile of maize Chl content. Vertical distributions of LAI, green LAI, and Chl were characterized by a bell-shaped function regardless of hybrid or crop growth stage. While similar bell-shaped distributions for green LAI have also been reported by Dwyer and Stewart (1986), Keating and Wäfåla (1992) and Valentinuz and Tollenaar (2006), to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on vertical distribution of Chl in a maize canopy. The parameters and coefficients of bell-shape functions were found to be very useful to interpret temporal changes in the vertical profile of each variable.

Bell-shaped function coefficients $a$ and $b$ for green LAI and Chl described very well the bottom to top senescence pattern of maize and the shift of its maximum values toward the upper leaves at very late reproductive stages. The relationships between total leaf area and green leaf area vs. leaf Chl content at tasseling and beyond changed from linear to quadratic. This change highlighted the greater sensitivity of Chl content to quantitatively describe the performance of green stands.

Relationships “LAI vs. Chl” and “green LAI vs. Chl” showed that the photosynthetic capacity of a canopy could differ for an equal or similar amount of leaf area measured in the canopy. It became clear that LAI greatly overestimated the photosynthetic capacity of the canopy after tasselling because it contains living, senescent, and necrotic leaf area. Green LAI quantifies the amount of green area but there is no distinction among the functionality of that green area. Differences in the photosynthetic capacity of green vegetation are mainly related to the amount of Chl pigments present in those tissues. Thus, those differences were possible to retrieve by quantifying the amount of Chl present in the canopy.

The quantification of Chl content should be seen as a useful tool to complement the information on green LAI or LAI. Its applicability will help to improve the understanding of the ecophysiology of a canopy, the radiation use efficiency, the interplant competition, and its productivity.
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