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Abstract—International granting agencies need to show that they award grants to the top researchers and avoid unwarranted regional or country-specific bias. This paper shows a method for testing regional or country-specific bias, even when the countries have greatly different numbers and percentages of top researchers and universities. A case study of the international research grants of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research is used to illustrate the method, which shows that the Air Force Office of Scientific Research has favored, as expected, the higher ranked universities in all countries where it operates with only a slight positive bias for the countries in which it has offices.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The fairness of scientific research grant funding is receiving increased scrutiny [1,2,5] as the competition for research funds intensifies due to a faster growth in the number of scientists and engineers than in the available research funds. Agencies that award research grants internationally, such as the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR), have to justify their funding distributions among the numerous worldwide universities and researchers. These international funding agencies need foremost a method to test whether their awarding of scientific research grants shows any regional or country specific bias, which is a common concern of potential principal investigators and policy makers in the countries where they operate. This paper proposes such a bias testing method and applies the method to international grant funding data from AFOSR, which has international offices in Arlington, Virginia, London, UK, Santiago, Chile, and Tokyo, Japan. Prior to this work some researcher were concerned that AFOSR was giving funding preferentially to those countries where it operates. This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the data sources and collection. Section III describes our method of testing for country specific bias in grant distributions. Section IV presents some conclusions and direction for further work.

II. AFOSR INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH GRANTS DATA

From the London office 7,194 international grant records for 3,814 principal investigators (PIs) and 1,942 institutes and from the Tokyo office 11,391 records for 1,564 PIs and 586 institutes, including 322 universities, were collected. Source data conflicts were handled using arbitration principles [4].
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Fig. 1. On top Japanese (red) and other Asian (blue) and on bottom UK (red) and other European (blue) universities compared by QS rank and number of AFOSR principal investigators between 1994 and 2013.