University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research
Center

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural
Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

1996

Use of the polymerase chain reaction for Salmonella detection

J. Kwang
USDA-ARS

E. T. Littledike
USDA-ARS

J. E. King
USDA-ARS

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hruskareports>

Kwang, J.; Littledike, E. T.; and King, J. E., "Use of the polymerase chain reaction for Salmonella detection" (1996). *Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center*. 295.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hruskareports/295>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Use of the polymerase chain reaction for *Salmonella* detection

J. Kwang, E.T. Littledike and J.E. Keen

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Roman L. Hruska US Meat Animal Research Center, Clay Center, NE, USA

FS/273: received 13 April 1995 and accepted 13 July 1995

J. KWANG, E.T. LITLEDIKE AND J.E. KEEN. 1996. A primer set of oligonucleotides (S18 and S19) from the *ompC* gene of *Salmonella* has been evaluated for specific detection of *Salmonella* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). This primer set successfully amplified 40 *Salmonella* serovars (60 isolates), but not 24 non-*Salmonella* bacteria (42 isolates) that have been tested so far. The uniqueness of these primer sequences was also confirmed. The sensitivity of PCR detection in extracted chromosomal DNA for *Salm. typhimurium* was 1 pg. The sensitivity for boiled whole bacteria was 400 cells. The detection of *Salm. typhimurium* in ground beef samples required 4–6 h enrichment with an initial inocula of 100 bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Salmonellae are Gram-negative, facultative, intracellular parasites that invade the mucous membrane and are spread primarily by faecal oral transmission. There are more than 2200 different *Salmonella* serotypes (Pelzer 1989) and most of these serotypes are human pathogens. Cattle and poultry have been implicated as major sources of *Salmonella*-contaminated food products that cause human salmonellosis. However, *Salmonella* infection in food-producing animals is often clinically not apparent, which may result in non-detection of *Salmonella*-infected animals at pre- and post-harvest inspections (Pelzer 1989).

Several polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods of detecting *Salmonella* have been published utilizing specific gene sequences for targeting (Widjoatmodjo *et al.* 1991; Doran *et al.* 1992; Rahn *et al.* 1992; Aabo *et al.* 1993; Cohen *et al.* 1993; Way *et al.* 1993; Stone *et al.* 1994). The outer membrane proteins are major structural proteins of *Salmonella* and other Gram-negative bacteria. It has been shown that the *ompC* nucleotide sequences for *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* have 77% homology (Mizuno *et al.* 1983). A pair of unique sequences have been identified from the *ompC* gene

of *Salmonella*. This report describes the usefulness of these unique sequences for PCR detection of *Salmonella* organisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains

In this study, 40 *Salmonella* serovars (60 isolates) and 24 non-*Salmonella* Gram-negative bacteria (42 isolates) were used. These cultures were collected from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, Atlanta, GA), National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL, Ames, IA) and National Animal Disease Center (NADC, Ames, IA) (Tables 1 and 2).

Isolation of chromosomal DNA from bacteria

All chromosomal DNA were prepared as previously described (Cohen *et al.* 1993).

Oligoprimers and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Three sets of PCR primers (S18–19, S21–22 and S29–30) were degenerated from the *Salmonella ompC* gene (Puentes *et al.* 1989). The sequences and function of each primer set and their locations are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 1. PCR amplification was performed in a 100 µl reaction using 100 ng of genomic DNA. Reagents used for PCR were purchased

Correspondence to: Dr J. Kwang, US Meat Animal Research Center, PO Box 166, Clay Center, NE 68933, USA.

Mention of a trade name, proprietary product or specific equipment is necessary to report factually on available data; however, the USDA neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of the product, and the use of the name by USDA implies no approval of the product to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable.

Table 1 Non-*Salmonella* bacteria strains tested by PCR

Strains	Source
<i>Actinobacillus suis</i>	ATCC
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	ATCC
<i>Alcaligenes</i> (patent strain)	ATCC
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis faecalis</i>	ATCC
<i>Cedecea lapagei</i>	ATCC
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	ATCC
<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	ATCC
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	ATCC
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (15)*	NVSL and ATCC
<i>Haemophilus somnus</i>	ATCC
<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	ATCC
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	ATCC
<i>Leminorella grimonii</i>	ATCC
<i>Pasteurella haemolytica</i>	ATCC
<i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Type-A	ATCC
<i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Type-D (5)*	ATCC and NADC
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	ATCC
<i>Pr. vulgaris</i>	ATCC
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	ATCC
<i>P. stuartii</i>	ATCC
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	ATCC
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	ATCC
<i>Shigella sonnei</i>	ATCC
<i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i>	ATCC

*Indicates number of strains tested.

from Perkin-Elmer (Norwalk, CT) and mixtures were prepared according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The cycling reaction was programmed for 30 cycles and set to heat at 95°C for 30 s, cooled to 56°C for 45 s, and then incubated at 72°C for 60 s.

DNA sequencing

Nucleotide sequencing was done by using a fmol DNA sequencing system according to the manufacturer's specifications (Promega, Madison, WI).

Southern hybridization

Southern transfer was performed by using Zeta-probe blotting membrane according to the supplier's instructions (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA). Probe labelling and hybridization were performed by using the Dig DNA labelling and detection kit according to their recommended protocol (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany).

Table 2 *Salmonella* spp. tested by PCR

<i>Salmonella</i> serovars	Source
var. 9, 12:non-motile	NVSL
<i>agona</i> (2)*	NVSL and CDC
<i>anatum</i>	NVSL
<i>arizonae</i>	ATCC
<i>berta</i>	CDC
<i>braenderup</i>	CDC
<i>brandenburg</i>	CDC
<i>broughton</i>	NVSL
<i>cerro</i>	NVSL
<i>choleraesuis</i>	ATCC
<i>choleraesuis</i> var. <i>kunzendorf</i> (2)*	ATCC and NADC
<i>derby</i> (2)*	NVSL and CDC
<i>dublin</i> (2)*	NVSL and ATCC
<i>duesseldorf</i>	NVSL
<i>enteritidis</i> (2)*	ATCC and CDC
<i>enteritidis</i> , phage Type-8	NVSL
<i>gallinarum</i>	ATCC
<i>give</i>	NVSL
<i>hadar</i>	NVSL
<i>heidelberg</i> (2)*	NVSL and CDC
<i>infantis</i>	CDC
<i>istanbul</i>	NVSL
<i>javiana</i>	CDC
<i>kentucky</i>	NVSL
<i>johannesburg</i>	NVSL
<i>montevideo</i>	CDC
<i>muenchen</i>	CDC
<i>newport</i> (2)*	ATCC and CDC
<i>ohio</i>	NVSL
<i>oranienburg</i>	CDC
<i>paratyphi</i> -A	ATCC
<i>poona</i>	CDC
<i>pullorum</i>	ATCC
<i>reading</i>	CDC
<i>saintpaul</i>	CDC
<i>thompson</i>	CDC
<i>typhi</i>	CDC
<i>typhimurium</i> (4)*	NADC
<i>typhimurium</i> LT2 (wild type)	ATCC
<i>typhimurium</i> var. <i>copenhagen</i> (6)*	NVSL and NADC

*Indicates number of serovars tested.

Sensitivity of PCR detection

Salmonella typhimurium bacteria and extracted chromosomal DNA were used to evaluate the sensitivity of detection in the PCR assay. A bacterial culture of 4×10^8 cell ml⁻¹ was serially diluted 10-fold to 4×10^1 cell ml⁻¹. These cell suspensions were then centrifuged ($10\,000 \times g$) for 1 min. The pellets were then resuspended in 100 µl of water and boiled for 10 min. Ten microlitres of each dilution were added directly

Primer code	Sequences (5' → 3')	Position
S18-19	ACC GCT AAC GCT CGC CTG TAT AGA GGT GGA CGG GTT GCT GCC GTT	730-888
S21-22	AAC GGC GAT CGC GCC ACG GTT GGT ACC AAA ACG GGT AGC GTT	754-861
S29-30	CAG TAT CAG GGC AAA AAC GGC TTC AAA GTT CTG CGC TTT GTT	562-921

Primer sequence is cited from Puente *et al.* (1989).

to the PCR mixture. *Salmonella typhimurium* chromosomal DNA, measured by spectrophotometry, was diluted 10-fold in water from 1 µg to 100 fg/100 µl. Ten microlitres of each diluted DNA were used for PCR amplification.

Detection of *Salmonella* in ground beef

Ground beef (5 g) was added to 45 ml of trypticase soy broth and heat-treated at 60°C for 1½ h to kill any possible *Salmonella* bacterial contamination. The mixture was then inoculated with 100 viable bacteria and incubated at 37°C for 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 22 h. One millilitre of samples from each incubation time was boiled for 10 min and centrifuged at

10 000 × *g* for 1 min. The 10 µl of supernatant fluid were used for PCR amplification.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The PCR amplified product of 159 bp by S18-19 primer was present in samples from all 40 *Salmonella* serovars (60 isolates) tested. However, the primers did not amplify any specific fragment from the 24 non-*Salmonella* bacteria (42 isolates). These data suggest that S18-19 primers could be unique to *Salmonella* sequences, which are not conserved in non-*Salmonella* organisms. Representative agarose gels for analysing these PCR products are shown in Fig. 2a.

To verify the identification of the S18-19 PCR product from *Salmonella*, 7 µl of each of the PCR products were analysed by Southern hybridization with a digoxigenin labelled probe. This DNA probe was derived from S21-22 amplified 107 bp fragments by using *Salm. typhimurium* genomic DNA as a template. As shown in Fig. 2b, the probe unanimously detected all *Salmonella* spp. and confirmed that the PCR products were indeed generated from target *Salmonella ompC* gene.

In order to confirm that the S18-19 primer sequences were unique to *Salmonella* but not to non-*Salmonella* bacterial genomes, nucleotide sequencing of S29-30 amplified products from *Salm. typhimurium*, *Salm. enteritidis*, *E. coli* 23513 and *Enterobacter cloacae* were performed.

Comparison of the S18-19 primer sequences among these organisms (*E. coli* K12 *ompC* gene sequence also included) showed that S18-19 were highly conserved between *Salmonella* isolates. In contrast, non-*Salmonella* bacteria showed either deletion or insertion of residues or were highly variable as compared to *Salmonella* S18-19 primer sequences (Table 4). Thus, these regions were poorly conserved between *Salmonella* and non-*Salmonella* bacteria.

The sensitivity of PCR detection after 30 cycles amplification of the target sequences in extracted chromosomal DNA from *Salm. typhimurium* was 1 pg (Fig. 3a). The sensitivity of PCR detection from the boiled bacterial cells was

Fig. 1 Schematically illustrated three sets of PCR primers and their related location. On the *ompC* gene, the S21-22 amplified product is located inside of the S18-19. S29-30 amplified product is located outside the S18-19. S18-19 primers used for specific detection of *Salmonella*. S21-22 primers were used to amplify a 107 bp fragment and served as an internal probe for *Salmonella* sequence identification. S29-30 primers amplified products used as template for DNA sequencing analysis to confirm the uniqueness of the S18-19 primer

Fig. 2 (a) Agarose gel electrophoresis of the representative PCR products amplified with primers S18–19. Lanes: 1, ϕ X174 RF DNA/*Hae*III fragments molecular standard; 2–15, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Salm. cerro*, *Salm. typhimurium* var. *copenhagen*, *Salm. anatum*, *Salm. dublin*, *Salm. enteritidis*, *Salm. kentucky*, *Salm. montevideo*, *Salm. newport*, *Salm. choleraesuis*, *Salm. choleraesuis* var. *kunzendorf*, *Salm. derby*, *Salm. heidelberg*, *Salm. agona*; 16, *Citrobacter freundii*. The predicted amplification product of 159 bp was seen only in *Salmonella* DNA (arrow). (b) Southern blot of gel in (a)

Fig. 3 Sensitivity of the PCR detection after 30 cycles amplification. (a) Extracted *Salmonella typhimurium* chromosomal DNA used as template. Lanes: M, ϕ X174 RF DNA/*Hae*III fragments molecular standards; 1–8, PCR product from 100 ng, 10 ng, 1 ng, 100 pg, 10 pg, 1 pg, 100 fg, 10 fg. (b) Boiled *Salm. typhimurium* bacterial used as template. Lanes: M, ϕ X174 RF DNA/*Hae*III fragments molecular standards; 1–8, 4×10^7 , 4×10^6 , 4×10^5 , 4×10^4 , 4×10^3 , 4×10^2 , 4×10^1 , 4×10^0

400 cells of *Salm. typhimurium* (Fig. 3b). These detection limits are more sensitive in comparison with other methods such as an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Curiale *et al.* 1990). The detection of *Salm. typhimurium* in ground beef

samples required 4–6 h enrichment with an initial inoculum of 100 bacteria.

In this study, unique sequences for *Salmonella* in the *ompC* gene were identified and these sequences were conserved among all the *Salmonella* spp. that have been tested. These 40 *Salmonella* serovars (60 isolates) included those serotypes most frequently associated with disease in cattle, swine, humans, chickens and turkeys. The use of the PCR with a single primer pair, as described in this report, appeared to be highly specific for the *in vitro* detection of *Salmonella* organisms. Further investigations will concentrate on using these primers in an assay format suitable for *Salmonella* detection in biological samples, such as faecal material, carcass samples, lymph nodes and other tissues.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr Paula Cray (NADC), Dr E. Denis Erickson of the University of Nebraska (Lincoln), Drs B. Swaminathan and Timothy Barrett (CDC), and Dr Lee Ann Thomas (NVSL) for providing some of the *Salmonella* and *E. coli* isolates. Thanks are also due to Sandy Fryda-Bradley, Tammy Sorensen and Nancy Ferrell for their excellent technical assistance, Joan Rosch for the preparation of the manuscript, and Penny Bures for photographic assistance.

REFERENCES

- Aabo, S., Rasmussen, O.F., Rossen, L., Sorensen, P.D. and Olsen, J.E. (1993) *Salmonella* identification by the polymerase chain reaction. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 7, 171–178.
- Cohen, N.D., Neibergs, H.L., McGruder, E.D., Whitford, H.W., Behle, R.W., Ray, P.M. and Hargis, B.M. (1993) Genus-specific detection of salmonellae using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigations* 5, 368–371.
- Curiale, M.S., Klatt, M.J., Robison, B.J. and Beck, L.T. (1990) Comparison of colorimetric monoclonal enzyme immunoassay screening methods for detection of *Salmonella* in foods. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists* 73, 43–50.
- Doran, J.L., Collinson, S.K., Burian, J., Sarlos, G., Todd, E.C.D., Munro, C.K., Kay, C.M., Banser, P.A., Peterkin, P.I. and Kay, W.W. (1992) DNA-based diagnostic tests for *Salmonella* species targeting *agfA*, the structural gene for thin aggregative fimbriae. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 31, 2263–2273.
- Mizuno, T., Chou, M.-Y. and Inouye, M. (1983) A comparative study on the genes of three porins of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane: DNA sequence of the osmoregulated *ompC* gene. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 258, 6932–6940.
- Pelzer, K.D. (1989) Salmonellosis. *Journal of the Veterinary Medical Association* 195(4), 456–463.
- Puente, J.L., Alvarez-Scherer, V., Gosset, G. and Calva, E. (1989) Comparative analysis of the *Salmonella typhi* and *Escherichia coli ompC* genes. *Gene* 83, 197–206.
- Rahn, K., Degrandis, S.A., Clarke, R.C., McFwen, S.A., Galan, J.E., Ginocchio, C., Curtiss, R. and Gyles C.L. (1992) Ampli-

- fication on an *invA* gene sequence of *Salmonella typhimurium* by polymerase chain reaction as a specific method of detection of *Salmonella*. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* **6**, 271–279.
- Stone, G.G., Oberst, R.D., Hays, M.P., McVey, D.S. and Chengappa, M.M. (1994) Detection of *Salmonella* in clinical samples using a cultivation-polymerase chain reaction procedure. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **32**, 1742–1749.
- Way, J.S., Josephson, K.L., Pillai, S.D., Abbaszadegan, M., Gerba, C.P. and Pepper, I.L. (1993) Specific detection of *Salmonella* spp. by multiplex polymerase chain reaction. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **59**, 1473–1479.
- Widjojatmodjo, M.N., Fluit, A.C., Torensma, R., Keller, B.H.I. and Verhoef, J. (1991) Evaluation of the magnetic immuno PCR assay for rapid detection of *Salmonella*. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **10**, 935–938.