

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center

Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for

10-2017

An Unparalleled Opportunity for an Important Ecological Study

L. David Mech

USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center, david_mech@usgs.gov

Shannon M. Barber-Meyer

USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center, sbarber-meyer@usgs.gov

Juan Carlos Blanco

Biology Consultants, jc.blanco2503@gmail.com

Luigi Boitani

University of Rome, luigi.boitani@uniroma1.it

Ludwig Carbyn

University of Alberta, lcarbyn@ualberta.ca

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usgspwrc>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#), [Behavior and Ethology Commons](#), [Biodiversity Commons](#), [Environmental Policy Commons](#), [Recreation, Parks and Tourism Administration Commons](#), and the [Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons](#)

Mech, L. David; Barber-Meyer, Shannon M.; Blanco, Juan Carlos; Boitani, Luigi; Carbyn, Ludwig; Delgiudice, Glenn; Fritts, Steven H.; Huber, Djuro; Liberg, Olof; Patterson, Brent; and Thiel, Richard R., "An Unparalleled Opportunity for an Important Ecological Study" (2017). *USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center*. 373.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usgspwrc/373>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

L. David Mech, Shannon M. Barber-Meyer, Juan Carlos Blanco, Luigi Boitani, Ludwig Carbyn, Glenn Delgiudice, Steven H. Fritts, Djuro Huber, Olof Liberg, Brent Patterson, and Richard R. Thiel

An Unparalleled Opportunity for an Important Ecological Study

L. DAVID MECH, SHANNON BARBER-MEYER, JUAN CARLOS BLANCO, LUIGI BOITANI, LUDWIG CARBYN, GLENN DELGIUDICE, STEVEN H. FRITTS, DJURO HUBER, OLOF LIBERG, BRENT PATTERSON, AND RICHARD R. THIEL

Wolves (*Canis lupus*) and moose (*Alces americanus*) have been studied since 1958 on 540-square-kilometer Isle Royale National Park, in Lake Superior. Wolves arrived there across the ice around 1949, and the population once increased to about 50, averaging about 25 annually (Mech 1966, Jordan et al. 1967, Vucetich and Peterson 2009). However, for various reasons, wolf numbers there have now dwindled to 2 nonbreeders, and the US National Park Service has proposed reintroducing 20–30 wolves over 3 years (National Park Service 2016). This situation offers an unparalleled opportunity to promote science-based management of this unique national park. The park has long been in the public eye for its world-renowned wolf and moose populations. Visitors to this island wilderness are especially interested in the scientific studies it has yielded and in maintaining its ecosystem.

The proposed wolf reintroduction is also an unprecedented chance to conduct an important new chapter in the long-term investigation of wolves, moose, and their interactions. In addition, these studies will allow the continuation of the all-important investigation of the role of wolves as the apex predator in trophic cascades (Ripple et al. 2016), a recent area of considerable ecological interest (but see Peterson et al. 2014, Allen et al. 2017).

Isle Royale features several unique advantages for this new phase of the wolf study: (a) It is a large, isolated, federally protected ecosystem with little human interference. (b) It hosts a single, large prey species with no

other large carnivore. (c) Six decades of data on the wolves and moose and five decades of data on the plant community have been published (Mech et al. 1966, Jordan et al. 1967, Vucetich and Peterson 2009). (d) Long-term, *in situ* research biologists intimately familiar with the system are still studying it. In addition, the proposed wolf reintroduction offers an opportunity to select the number, sex, age, main prey, and genetics of the reintroduced wolves, as well as the reintroduction schedule. The weights, ages, measurements, antibodies to various pathogens, possible parasites, and genomes of each wolf to be reintroduced would all be known. All or some of the reintroduced wolves could be radio-collared with standard radio collars or global positioning system (GPS) radio collars. GPS collars offer an increasing array of new research techniques and opportunities that could be employed in the new phase of the study.

Examples of many possible reintroduction scenarios include the following: (a) a single pair of young wolves, closely mimicking the probable original colonization of the island; (b) as many as 30 unrelated wolves in the first year; or (c) enough wolves to establish a functioning population of 10–15 in the first 2 years and then one to several more wolves a year later. Young maturing wolves that would soon disperse would tend to live the longest after reintroduction, but mature, experienced wolves might succeed better in their new environment. Genetic sources could be all from the same well-established population or from extreme ends of

a candidate population. For source-animal location, wolves from either Minnesota or Ontario could be used, and those could be from areas where the main prey is moose or where it is white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) or caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*). If the source is from the latter, much could be learned about how quickly wolves with a history of preying on white-tailed deer or caribou learn to hunt moose.

There are any number of variations on these examples, but the best scenario probably would be one that helps shed more light on multiple subjects, such as genetics, wolf–moose relations, and the social organization of recolonizing wolves, while most effectively and efficiently addressing ecosystem concerns such as reducing the unusually high moose population and influencing that species' health status.

Much has been learned from previous and ongoing wolf reintroduction efforts—for example, in Yellowstone and central Idaho (Bangs and Fritts 1996). Because of the many possible research questions and reintroduction scenarios, as well as the uniqueness of this opportunity, considerable informed thought and discussion need to be brought into any final decision about the reintroduction scenario. To support this process, we propose that a panel be appointed of experienced wolf biologists, wolf geneticists, and moose biologists, including the scientists doing the current study, to discuss all of the possible objectives and reintroduction scenarios and to present a prioritized list of reintroduction plans to be considered.

References cited

- Allen BL, et al. 2017. Can we save large carnivores without losing large carnivore science? Food Webs. doi:10.1016/j.fooweb.2017.02.008
- Bangs EE, Fritts SH. 1996. Reintroducing the gray wolf to central Idaho and Yellowstone National Park. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 24: 402–413.
- Jordan, PA, Shelton, PC, Allen, DL. 1967. Numbers, turnover, and social structure of the Isle Royale wolf population. *American Zoologist* 7: 233–252.
- Mech LD. 1966. The Wolves of Isle Royale. National Parks Fauna Series, no. 7. US Government Printing Office.
- National Park Service. 2016. Draft Environmental Impact Statement to Address the Presence of Wolves. National Park Service. (13 July 2017; <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/document.cfm?parkID=140&projectID=59316&documentID=76680>)
- Peterson RO, Vucetich JA, Bump JM, Smith DW. 2014. Trophic cascades in a multi-causal world: Isle Royale and Yellowstone. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 45: 325–345.
- Ripple WJ, Estes JA, Schmitz OJ, Constant V, Kaylor MJ, Lenz A, Motley JL, Self KE, Taylor DS, Wolf C. 2016. What is a trophic cascade? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 31: 842–849.
- Vucetich JA, Peterson RO. 2009. Wolf and moose dynamics on Isle Royale. Pages 35–48 in Wydeven AP, van Deelen TR, Heske E, eds. *Recovery of Gray Wolves in the Great Lakes Region of the United States: An Endangered Species Success Story*. Springer.
-
- L. David Mech (david_mech@usgs.gov) is a senior research scientist for the US Geological Survey and an adjunct professor at the University of Minnesota who conducted the first 3 years of the Isle Royale study. Shannon Barber-Meyer (sbarber-meyer@usgs.gov), a research wildlife biologist with the US Geological Survey, studies wolves and deer in Minnesota and helped reintroduce wolves into the southwestern United States. Juan Carlos Blanco (jc.blanco2503@gmail.com) is a wolf-management biologist for Conservation Biology Consultants, in Madrid, Spain. Luigi Boitani (luigi.boitani@uniroma1.it), a professor at the University of Rome, studies wolves in Italy. Ludwig Carbyn (lcarbyn@ualberta.ca) is a professor with the University of Alberta who has studied wolves and bison in Canada. Glenn DelGiudice (glenn.delgiudice@state.mn.us) is a moose, wolf, and deer research scientist with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. Steven H. Fritts (shfritts@yahoo.com), a retired wildlife biologist with the US Fish and Wildlife Service, was a coleader of the Yellowstone wolf reintroduction. Djuro Huber (huber@vef.hr) is a professor at the University of Zagreb who studies wolves in Croatia. Olof Liberg (olof.liberg@ekol.slu.se), a professor at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, coordinates the Scandinavian Wolf Research Project. Brent Patterson (Brent.Patterson@Ontario.ca) is a research scientist who studies wolves, moose, and deer for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. Richard R. Thiel (old2toes@gmail.com) studied the natural recovery of wolves in Wisconsin, retired from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, and is a member of the Timber Wolf Information Network.*

doi:10.1093/biosci/bix095