

2015

Estimation of efficacy functions for products used to manage corn rootworm larval injury

N A. Tinsley

Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois, tinsley@illinois.edu

P. D. Mitchell

University of Wisconsin–Madison, pdmitchell@wisc.edu

Robert Wright

University of Nebraska--Lincoln, rwright2@unl.edu

Lance J. Meinke

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, lmeinke1@unl.edu

R. E. Estes

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Entomology Commons](#)

Tinsley, N A.; Mitchell, P. D.; Wright, Robert; Meinke, Lance J.; Estes, R. E.; and Gray, M. E., "Estimation of efficacy functions for products used to manage corn rootworm larval injury" (2015). *Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology*. Paper 409.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub/409>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

N A. Tinsley, P. D. Mitchell, Robert Wright, Lance J. Meinke, R. E. Estes, and M. E. Gray

Estimation of efficacy functions for products used to manage corn rootworm larval injury

N. A. Tinsley,¹ P. D. Mitchell,² R. J. Wright,³ L. J. Meinke,³ R. E. Estes,¹ and M. E. Gray¹

¹ Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA

² Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA

³ Department of Entomology, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

Corresponding author — Nicholas A. Tinsley, Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, S. Goodwin Ave., Urbana, IL 1102, USA; email tinsley@illinois.edu

Abstract

Maize, *Zea mays* L., is an economically important crop grown throughout the world. Corn rootworm, *Diabrotica* spp. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), larvae constitute a significant economic threat to maize production in the United States, where yield losses and management costs associated with corn rootworm species exceed \$1 billion annually. Furthermore, the introduction of the western corn rootworm, *D. virgifera virgifera* LeConte, into maize-producing regions of Europe has made managing corn rootworm larval injury an international concern. Larvae injure maize plants by feeding on root tissue and are the primary target of management activities. Products commonly used to protect root systems from injury include chemical insecticides (seed or soil applied) and genetically modified maize hybrids expressing toxins derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner (Bt). The confirmation of field-evolved resistance to various Bt toxins in populations of the western corn rootworm presents a significant management challenge. We performed a meta-analysis to provide a broad understanding of the relative efficacy of the primary products currently being used to manage corn rootworm larval injury, including insecticidal seed treatments, soil insecticides and Bt hybrids (with and without the addition of soil insecticide). Our analysis is unique in the breadth of locations and years included — we analyzed 135 individual trials conducted from 2003 through 2014 at multiple sites in both Illinois and Nebraska. Panel data were produced by pairing the mean node-injury rating for each treatment of a given trial with the mean node-injury rating for untreated maize. Linear regression models were developed to estimate the relationship between the potential for corn rootworm larval injury and product performance. For a given level of injury potential, the parameters estimated reveal differences in the degree of root protection offered by the various product categories analysed. Implications for developing long-term, integrated, and sustainable practices for managing this important pest of maize are discussed.

Keywords: *Diabrotica barberi* Smith & Lawrence, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte, maize, northern corn rootworm, western corn rootworm, *Zea mays* L

Introduction

Maize, *Zea mays* L., is an economically important crop grown throughout the world. An estimated 177 million ha of maize were planted in 2013; the United States ac-

counted for approximately 20% of this area (USDA NASS 2013). Corn rootworm larvae, *Diabrotica* spp., represent a significant and widespread economic threat to maize production in the United States (Gray et al. 2009). In the Midwest, the corn rootworm complex is dominated by two

species: the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte, and the northern corn rootworm, *Diabrotica barberi* Smith & Lawrence (Spencer et al. 2009). Metcalf (1986) estimated that yield losses and management costs associated with corn rootworm species exceed \$1 billion annually in the United States, although Dun et al. (2010) suggested that an updated estimate may be significantly greater.

Although corn rootworm adults feed on silk tissue and may interfere with pollination at high densities, larval feeding activity is the primary target of management activities (Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1991). Larvae injure maize plants by feeding on root tissue, which can reduce photosynthetic activity (Godfrey et al. 1993; Riedell and Reese 1999; Urías-López et al. 2000); inhibit the utilization of soil moisture (Godfrey et al. 1993) and nitrogen (Spike and Tollefson 1989); and lead to colonization by phytopathogenic or saprophytic fungi (Bryson et al. 1953; Kurtz et al. 2010). Environmental factors (e.g. moisture stress or soil compaction) play a role in determining the severity of root injury (Spike and Tollefson 1988; Ellsbury et al. 1994). When root injury is severe, plants may become lodged and difficult to harvest, reducing yield by up to 34% (Spike and Tollefson 1991). Gray and Steffey (1998) noted that yield loss due to root injury and lodging is variable and influenced by a host of biological or environmental factors. For every node (i.e. circle of roots) consumed, a yield loss of approximately 15–18% can be expected (Dun et al. 2010; Tinsley et al. 2013b).

Chemical insecticides have played a critical role in managing corn rootworm larval injury (van Rozen and Ester 2010). Soil insecticides are applied while planting and include granular or liquid formulations. The efficacy of soil insecticides can be affected by various environmental and operational factors (e.g. Musick and Fairchild 1967; Mayo 1980; Sutter et al. 1989; Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1991). In the United States, maize hybrids are commonly treated with a low rate (e.g. 0.25 mg active ingredient/seed) of a neonicotinoid insecticidal seed treatment to prevent injury from so-called secondary pests (Gray 2011); examples include wireworm, Elateridae spp. or grape colaspis, *Colaspis brunnea* (F.) larvae. However, when applied at a higher rate (e.g. 1.25 mg active ingredient/seed), insecticidal seed treatments do provide marginal protection against corn rootworm larval injury (Cox et al. 2007).

Genetically modified maize hybrids that express insecticidal proteins derived from the soil-borne bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner (Bt) were first commercialized in 1996 to manage damage caused by the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (Head and Ward

2009). Since 2003, maize hybrids expressing one or two corn rootworm-active Bt toxin(s) have been commercialized (Head and Ward 2009). These toxins have been described in much detail (Moellenbeck et al. 2001; Ellis et al. 2002; Schnepf et al. 2005; Vaughn et al. 2005; Raybould et al. 2007; Walters et al. 2008, 2010). Bt maize has been widely adopted (Fernandez-Cornejo and Wechsler 2012) and is associated with substantial income benefits for maize producers in the United States (Brookes and Barfoot 2013). However, in many areas of the Corn Belt, western corn rootworm populations have evolved resistance to multiple Bt toxins, which has been associated with severe injury to Bt maize (Gassmann et al. 2011, 2014; Wangila et al. 2015). The confirmation of Bt resistance presents a potentially significant challenge for managing corn rootworm larvae and has resulted in a renewed focus on implementing long-term, integrated and sustainable management practices (Cullen et al. 2013). A robust understanding of the relative efficacy provided by the primary tactics currently being used to manage corn rootworm larval injury is therefore necessary. Here, we present results from a meta-analysis conducted to address this need.

Materials and Methods

Data preparation

Data used for this analysis were obtained from small-plot research trials conducted by personnel in the Department of Crop Sciences at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and in the Department of Entomology at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln. A common objective of these experiments was to evaluate the efficacy of various products for managing corn rootworm larval injury. We analyzed 135 individual trials that were conducted from 2003 through 2014. The trials were conducted predominantly at university research and education centers in Champaign, DeKalb, Pike, and Warren counties in Illinois and in Clay, Dixon, and Saunders counties in Nebraska. Three exceptions were trials conducted in grower fields in Whiteside County (Illinois) and in Cedar and Wayne counties (Nebraska). All trials in Illinois were conducted under rain-fed conditions; trials in Nebraska were conducted primarily under irrigated conditions. A general summary of trial design and data collection is presented below; consult the references listed in Table S1 for specific information about individual trials.

The experimental design for all trials was a randomized complete block with between 2 and 10 replications.

Planting dates ranged from mid-April through late June, although 99% of the trials were planted during April or May. Previous crops for the trial sites included maize, late-planted maize, or late-planted maize interplanted with pumpkins (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). The latter two cropping situations are considered trap crops, which are routinely used to attract corn rootworm adults and increase the likelihood of oviposition for efficacy trials conducted during the subsequent year. Plot dimensions varied depending on the individual trial, ranging from 1 to 8 rows in width and from 5.3 to 149.4 m in length; between-row spacing was always 0.76 m (30 in). Individual plots for a given trial experienced similar agronomic conditions (e.g. fertilization, tillage, weed management). Although a number of response variables were evaluated during each trial, the primary interest of our analysis was corn rootworm larval injury. Methods used to evaluate injury were highly consistent across trials. Between 5 and 10 root systems were evaluated per plot for each treatment present in a given trial. Root systems were washed and rated for injury using the 0–3 node-injury scale developed by Oleson et al. (2005). Root evaluation dates ranged from early July through early August.

Panel data were produced by pairing the mean node-injury rating for each treatment of a given trial with the mean node-injury rating for the untreated check. Each observation therefore consisted of a value for the potential for corn rootworm larval injury (i.e. the predictor variable) and a value for product performance (i.e. the dependent variable). When more than a single untreated check occurred in a trial, mean node-injury ratings for the multiple untreated checks were averaged to produce a single value for injury potential. Depending on the identity of the product being evaluated, observations were grouped into four categories: seed treatment, soil insecticide, single-toxin Bt maize (\pm soil insecticide) or dual-toxin (i.e. pyramided) Bt maize (\pm soil insecticide). For the seed treatment and soil insecticide categories, treatments were included in our analysis only when commercially available and evaluated at rates specifically labelled for managing corn rootworm larval injury. All seed treatments were neonicotinoids, while soil insecticides included organophosphates, phenylpyrazoles, pyrethroids or a combination thereof. Single-toxin Bt maize expressed one of the following insecticidal toxins: Cry34/35Ab1, Cry3Bb1, or mCry3A. Dual-toxin Bt maize expressed the combinations Cry34/35Ab1+ Cry3Bb1, Cry34/35Ab1+ mCry3A, or eCry3.1Ab1+ mCry3A. All Bt maize hybrids included in this analysis were treated with a low rate of neonicotinoid seed treatment (0.25–0.50 mg active ingredient/seed).

Statistical analysis

We used the regression procedure (PROC REG) of SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) to perform all analyses. Models for each treatment category were prepared independently. For example, the model estimated for the seed treatment category included only those observations for which a seed treatment was used to prevent corn rootworm larval injury. The form of each model can be represented in matrix notation as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ where \mathbf{Y} is the known vector of values for product performance (Y_i), \mathbf{X} is the known design matrix of values for injury potential (X_i), $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the unknown vector of parameter estimates (β_k), and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is the unknown vector of observational errors (ϵ_i). Stated simply, each model can be represented as a line in two-dimensional space with injury potential (X_i) used to predict product performance (Y_i).

Parameter estimates were initially obtained using ordinary least squares. Because the Y -intercept (β_0) for each model was not significant (see Results for further details), β_0 was omitted from future steps. From a logical perspective, a node-injury rating of zero (i.e. $Y_i = 0.00$) would be expected for any product used to manage corn rootworm larval injury when the potential for such injury is null (i.e. $X_i = 0.00$). A commonly used diagnostic tool for describing the fit of a regression model is the coefficient of determination (R^2): a model with a large R^2 value can be described as accounting for more variation in the response variable than one with a smaller R^2 value. However, R^2 values lose their traditional interpretation when β_0 is omitted (Kutner et al. 2004). We therefore calculated pseudo- R^2 values for each model using the formula $R^2 = \sum \hat{Y}_i^2 / \sum Y_i^2$ where \hat{Y}_i is the predicted value for each observation (Eisenhauer 2003).

Residuals (ϵ_i) from initial models were assessed visually and determined to be heteroscedastic: values for ϵ_i increased with X_i . When non-constant error variance is detected, Kutner et al. (2004) recommend using weighted least squares for parameter estimation. Because $|\epsilon_i|$ for each observation serves as an estimator for the standard deviation (σ_i), regressing $|\epsilon_i|$ against X_i produces a simple linear standard deviation function that can be used to assign a weight (w_i) to each observation. Weights are assigned so that observations with less variability have a greater influence on parameter estimation than those with more variability. The formula for calculating each weight was $w_i = 1/(\hat{\sigma}_i)^2$ where is the estimated value for σ_i from the standard deviation function.

Models for the seed treatment and soil insecticide categories included a single parameter (β_1) representing the slope of the relationship between injury potential and product performance. For both Bt maize categories, we were interested in determining if the slope of the relationship was altered when soil insecticide was added. This was achieved by redefining injury potential as X_{i1} , adding a qualitative indicator variable (X_{i2}), and estimating two parameters (β_1 and β_2). The indicator variable had two levels: 1 if soil insecticide was added to Bt maize and 0 if left untreated. The slope of the relationship for the Bt maize categories was therefore β_1 when left untreated and $\beta_1 + \beta_2$ when soil insecticide was added.

Results

1204 observations, representing a wide range of injury potential, were analyzed (seed treatment: $N = 64$, X_i range = 0.11–3.00; soil insecticide: $N = 510$, X_i range = 0.01–3.00; single-toxin Bt maize: $N = 484$, X_i range = 0.01–3.00; dual-toxin Bt maize: $N = 146$, X_i range = 0.02–2.36). Because the maximum value for injury potential was 2.36 for the dual-toxin Bt maize model, any inferences for this treatment category should be restricted to this value or less. For each model, the parameter estimate for the intercept was not statistically significant (seed treatment: $\beta_0 = -0.17$, $t = -1.21$, $P = 0.23$; soil insecticide: $\beta_0 = 0.02$, $t = 0.52$, $P = 0.60$; single-toxin Bt maize: $\beta_0 = -0.01$, $t = -0.57$, $P = 0.57$; dual-toxin Bt maize: $\beta_0 = -0.01$, $t = -0.65$, $P = 0.52$). As a result, the decision to exclude the intercept from the final models was justifiable. Overall tests of significance for the models analyzed were statistically significant, and pseudo- R^2 values were moderate to high (Table 1). Weighted least squares parameter estimates, standard errors and significance tests are located in Table 2. Parameters estimated for the various models suggest that, if untreated maize had a node-injury score of 1.00, the following node-injury scores would be expected: seed treatment = 0.52, soil insecticide = 0.28, single-toxin Bt maize = 0.22, single-toxin Bt maize with soil insecticide = 0.10, dual-toxin Bt maize = 0.10 and dual-toxin Bt maize with soil insecticide = 0.03. The regression relationship for each model is represented graphically in Figure 1.

Discussion

The analysis we present is unique in the breadth of locations and years included. Many experiments have evaluated products for managing corn rootworm larval injury

Table 1. Significance tests and pseudo- R^2 values for models analyzed

Model	d.f. _N	d.f. _D	F value	P value	Pseudo- R^2
Seed treatment	1	63	269.8	<0.01	0.73
Soil insecticide	1	509	583.6	<0.01	0.70
Single-toxin Bt maize (\pm soil insecticide)	2	482	136.5	<0.01	0.54
Dual-toxin Bt maize (\pm soil insecticide)	2	144	61.8	<0.01	0.55

Statistical tests were performed using PROC REG of SAS 9.3. Models were declared significant at $P < 0.05$. See text for an explanation of the calculation and interpretation of pseudo- R^2 values.


Table 2. Weighted least squares parameter estimates, standard errors and significance tests

Model	Parameter	Estimate	SE	t value	P value
Seed treatment	β_1	0.52	0.032	16.4	<0.01
Soil insecticide	β_1	0.28	0.011	24.2	<0.01
Single-toxin Bt maize (\pm soil insecticide)	β_1	0.22	0.015	14.9	<0.01
	β_2	-0.12	0.020	-6.1	<0.01
Dual-toxin Bt maize (\pm soil insecticide)	β_1	0.10	0.009	10.7	<0.01
	β_2	-0.07	0.014	-4.9	<0.01

Statistical tests were performed using PROC REG of SAS 9.3. For all t-tests, d.f. = 1. Parameters were declared significant at $P < 0.05$.

at relatively few sites during a short period of time (e.g. Petzold-Maxwell et al. 2013; Tinsley et al. 2015). Our analysis, however, included multiple sites from two principal maize-producing states (USDA NASS 2013) and used data representing over a decade of research. Although the pseudo- R^2 values reported for the various models were moderate to high (Table 1), between 27 and 46% of variability in the data remained unexplained. Apart from environmental variation occurring across years and sites, the primary factor contributing to unexplained variability was likely the diversity of products analyzed for each model. Because our analysis describes the relationship between injury potential and product performance for the various management tactics in general, differences in efficacy among unique products within a category would contribute to unexplained variability. Furthermore, variability in efficacy may even occur for different formulations or hybrids of a single insecticidal active ingredient or Bt event, respectively. For example, Gray et al. (2007) demonstrated the potential variability in efficacy among

Figure 1. Regression relationship between product performance and injury potential for the various products analyzed. Both axes represent the 0–3 node-injury scale (Oleson et al. 2005). For (c) and (d), the relationships for Bt maize both with (dashed) and without (solid) soil insecticide are presented.



different Bt maize hybrids modified using the same transgenic event (MON 863, Cry3Bb1).

Our primary goal was to produce efficacy functions for describing the relative performance of currently available tactics for managing corn rootworm larval injury. Although labelled for corn rootworm larval control, our analysis indicates that seed treatments are unlikely to protect against larval injury as effectively as the other tactics analyzed (Figure 1). For a given level of injury potential, injury for maize protected by a seed treatment is expected to be 86, 136, and 420% greater than if soil insecticide, single-toxin Bt maize or dual-toxin Bt maize was used, respectively (calculated using the values reported in Table 2). As noted by van Rozen and Ester (2010), our results suggest that the value of seed treatments for managing injury may be of limited value where larval densities are substantial (i.e. injury potential is high).

The relationship between injury potential and product performance for the soil insecticide and single-toxin Bt maize models did not differ substantially (Figure 1). Using conventional maize (or Bt maize expressing lepidopteran-specific toxins only) with soil insecticide has been suggested as an option to delay potentially the development of Bt resistance (Cullen et al. 2013). A strong correlation between the development of Bt resistance and the cultivation of maize expressing the same Bt toxin over successive years has been reported (Gassmann et al. 2011; Wangila

et al. 2015). Our results suggest that substituting conventional maize with soil insecticide for single-toxin Bt maize could represent a practical choice when incorporated into a long-term, integrated approach for managing corn rootworm larval injury. Such a substitution would help delay the development of resistance by interrupting the selection pressure imposed by Bt maize. However, growers have reported limited access to conventional maize with yield potential similar to that of transgenic maize (Gray 2011; Cullen et al. 2013). Furthermore, the efficacy of soil insecticides may be diminished when associated with early planting (Musick and Fairchild 1967; Mayo 1980), poor calibration (Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1991), dry soil conditions (Sutter et al. 1989) or lack of insecticide incorporation (Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1991). These factors, combined with the putative environmental and human health benefits associated with reduced insecticide use, were cited during the initial registration of Bt maize targeting corn rootworm larvae (USEPA 2003).

For a given level of injury potential, our results suggest that single-toxin Bt maize will experience 120% more corn rootworm larval injury than dual-toxin Bt maize (calculated using the values reported in table 2). This finding supports those of previous experiments that have documented improved root protection for dual-toxin Bt maize over maize protected by a single toxin (Prasifka et al. 2013; Head et al. 2014). Using pyramided

Bt maize with multiple corn rootworm-specific toxins over single-toxin Bt maize has been hypothesized to delay the development of Bt resistance (Onstad and Meinke 2010) and has been recommended previously (Cullen et al. 2013). The enhanced efficacy per unit of injury potential we observed for dual-toxin Bt maize will likely increase its adoption over single-toxin Bt maize, assuming that cost is not prohibitive.

Another goal of our analysis was to determine whether adding soil insecticide to Bt maize significantly altered the relationship between injury potential and product performance. We determined that using soil insecticide with single- or dual-toxin Bt maize significantly decreased the slope of the relationship; and this observation was more noticeable for single-toxin Bt maize (Table 2). For a given level of injury potential, our results suggest that single- and dual-toxin Bt maize with soil insecticide is expected to experience 55 and 70% less injury than when untreated, respectively (calculated using the values reported in Table 2). However, the magnitude of improvement in efficacy (and potentially yield) depends on the injury potential at a given location and may or may not represent a significant economic benefit. Clearly, where injury potential is minimal (e.g. 0.10), investing in soil insecticide in addition to a Bt maize hybrid will not improve efficacy to a noticeable extent. Yet even when injury potential is substantial (e.g. 2.00), the predicted difference in product performance when treated or not treated with soil insecticide is only 0.26 and 0.14 for single- and dual-toxin Bt maize, respectively. Furthermore, Petzold-Maxwell et al. (2013) questioned the value of using soil insecticide in conjunction with Bt maize from a resistance management standpoint and noted that benefits related to reduced insecticide use associated with planting Bt maize would be diminished if such a combination approach is used.

This analysis provides a robust evaluation of the primary tactics currently being used to manage corn rootworm larval injury in the United States. One potential deficiency is the lack of included information related to product performance at locations where Bt resistance is suspected or confirmed. Performance issues associated with field-evolved resistance to Bt maize in populations of the western corn rootworm have been reported since at least 2009 (Gassmann et al. 2011); however, a reliable assessment of the proportion of US maize fields subject to resistant populations has yet to be estimated. The performance of Bt maize expressing the toxin to which resistance has been confirmed will likely be reduced when compared with the values our analysis predicts. We hy-

pothesize that Bt resistance will have implications for the utility of both single-toxin Bt maize (e.g. performing similarly to untreated maize) and dual-toxin Bt maize (e.g. performing similarly to single-toxin Bt maize, depending on the specific toxins present in the pyramided trait). Finally, combining the information in our analysis with corn rootworm damage functions (e.g. Dun et al. 2010; Tinsley et al. 2013b) and a comprehensive estimation of product adoption and injury potential would allow for a more precise estimate of the annual cost of corn rootworm species to maize.

Acknowledgments — We thank Kevin Steffey and Tom Hunt for providing leadership for many of the trials included in this analysis. Many technicians deserve credit for trial management, including Jim Brown, Logan Dana, Terry DeVries, Gerald Echtenkamp, Josh Heeren, Keith Jarvi, Bill McCormick and Jared Schroeder. We acknowledge the many undergraduate interns and graduate research assistants who participated in data collection. We are grateful for the helpful contributions of employees of the various university research centers where these trials were conducted. We extend our gratitude to many industry cooperators for providing funding, seed, and insecticide to conduct the trials used for our analysis, including Adama USA, AMVAC Chemical Corporation, BASF SE, Bayer CropScience AG, Cheminova A/S, Dow AgroSciences LLC, DuPont Pioneer, FMC Corporation, Helena Chemical Company, Monsanto Company, Syngenta AG, and Valent USA Corporation.

References

- Brookes G, Barfoot P, 2013. GM crops: global socioeconomic and environmental impacts 1996–2011. PG Economics Ltd., Dorchester, UK.
- Bryson HR, Wilbur DA, Burkhardt CC, 1953. The western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera* Lec. in Kansas. *J Econ Entomol*, 46, 995–999.
- Cox WJ, Shields E, Cherney DJR, Cherney JH, 2007. Seed-applied insecticides inconsistently affect corn forage in continuous corn. *Agron J*, 99, 1640–1644.
- Cullen EM, Gray ME, Gassmann AJ, Hibbard BE, 2013. Resistance to Bt corn by western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in the U.S. corn belt. *J Integr Pest Manag*, 4, D1–D6.
- Dana LA, Hunt TE, Jarvi K, 2013. Control of rootworm larvae in corn, 2012. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 38, F8.

- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2004a. Larval corn rootworm control, 2003a. Arthropod Manage Tests, 29, F24.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2004b. Larval corn rootworm control, 2003b. Arthropod Manage Tests, 29, F25.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2004c. Larval corn rootworm control, 2003c. Arthropod Manage Tests, 29, F26.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2005a. Larval corn rootworm control, 2004a. Arthropod Manage Tests, 30, F14.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2005b. Larval corn rootworm control, 2004b. Arthropod Manage Tests, 30, F15.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2005c. Larval corn rootworm control, 2004c. Arthropod Manage Tests, 30, F16.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2006. Larval corn rootworm control, 2005. Arthropod Manage Tests, 31, F5.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2007a. Bt transgenic corn hybrids and insecticides for larval corn rootworm control, 2006a. Arthropod Manage Tests, 32, F8.
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2007b. Bt transgenic corn hybrids and insecticides for larval corn rootworm control, 2006b. Arthropod Manage Tests, 32, F9.
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2007c. Herculex XTRA and standard soil insecticide evaluations. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/trial%202.pdf>
- DeVries T, Wright RJ, 2008. Bt transgenic corn hybrids, seed treatments, and insecticides for larval corn rootworm control, 2007a. Arthropod Manage Tests, 33, F16.
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2009a. Agrisure traits and Force CS evaluations. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/syngenta%20-%20agrisure%20traits%20and%20force%20cs%20-%202009.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2009b. Granular and liquid insecticide evaluations for larval corn rootworm control, 2008. Arthropod Manage Tests, 34, F13.
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2009c. Herculex Bt transgenic hybrids and Force 3G insecticide at planting for larval corn rootworm control, 2008. Arthropod Manage Tests, 34, F14.
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2009d. Herculex traits and Force CS evaluations. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/syngenta%20-%20herculex%20traits%20and%20force%20cs%20-%202009.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2009e. YieldGard traits and Force CS evaluations. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/syngenta%20-%20yieldgard%20traits%20and%20force%20cs%20-%202009.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2012a. Capture LFR in combination with CRW trait technologies. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/capture%20lfr%20in%20combination%20with%20corn%20rootworm%20trait%20technologies%20report.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2012b. Corn soil-applied insecticide shoot-out trial. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/corn%20soil-applied%20insecticide%20shoot-out%20trial%20report.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2012c. CRW insect resistance management in corn growing regions. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/crw%20insect%20resistance%20management%20in%20corn%20-growing%20regions.%2039-06%20report.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2012d. Larval corn rootworm management trials. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/amvac%20field%20trial%20report.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2012e. Rootworm traited and refuge corn hybrids in combination with soil insecticides for larval corn rootworm control, 2011. Arthropod Manage Tests, 37, F13.
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2013a. Evaluation of SmartStax traited and refuge corn hybrids in combination with soil insecticides at planting for larval corn rootworm control, 2013. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/evaluation%20of%20smartstax%20and%20refuge%20corn%20hybrids%20in%20combination%20with%20soil%20insecticides%20for%20larval%20corn%20rootworm%20control.%202013.pdf>
- DeVries TA, Wright RJ, 2013b. Evaluation of soil insecticides applied at planting for larval corn rootworm control, 2013. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://scal.unl.edu/insect/Evaluation%20of%20insecticides%20applied%20at%20planting%20for%20control%20of%20larval%20corn%20rootworm.%202013.pdf>
- Dun Z, Mitchell PD, Agosti M, 2010. Estimating *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* damage functions with field trial data: Applying an unbalanced nested error component model. J Appl Entomol, 134, 409–419.
- Eisenhauer JG, 2003. Regression through the origin. Teach Stat, 25, 76–80.
- Ellis RT, Stockhoff BA, Stamp L, Schnepf HE, Schwab GE, Knuth M, Russell J, Cardineau GA, Narva KE, 2002. Novel *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal crystal proteins active on western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte. Appl Environ Microbiol, 68, 1137–1145.
- Ellsbury MM, Schumacher TE, Gustin RD, Woodson WD, 1994. Soil compaction effect on corn rootworm populations in maize artificially infested with eggs of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Environ Entomol, 23, 943–948.
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2004a. Evaluation of Herculex RW (DAS-59122-7) and granular insecticides to manage corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.), and their effects on emergence of western corn rootworm adults in Illinois, 2004. In: On target: 2004 annual summary

- of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 9–10. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2004report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2004b. Evaluation of Herculex RW (DAS-59122-7) and granular insecticides to manage corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2004. In: On target: 2004 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 11. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2004report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2004c. Evaluation of rootworm-control products to manage corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2004. In: On target: 2004 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 3–7. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2004report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2004d. Syngenta-sponsored evaluation of seed treatments and granular insecticides to manage corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2004. In: On target: 2004 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 8. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2004report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2005a. Evaluation of Herculex RW Bt and granular insecticides to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.), and their effects on emergence of corn rootworm adults in Illinois, 2005. In: On target: 2005 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 16–17. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2005report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2005b. Evaluation of Herculex RW Bt and granular insecticides to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2005. In: On target: 2005 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 18–19. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2005report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2005c. Evaluation of liquid Force to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2005. In: On target: 2005 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 11–12. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2005report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2005d. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2005. In: On target: 2005 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 3–8. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2005report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2006a. Evaluation of Agrisure RW (MIR 604) to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2006. In: On target: 2006 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 25–26. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2006report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2006b. Evaluation of liquid Force to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2006. In: On target: 2006 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 19–20. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2006report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2006c. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2006. In: On target: 2006 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–12. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2006report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2007a. Comparison of Herculex Rootworm (HxRW) hybrids to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2007. In: On target: 2007 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 14–15. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2007report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2007b. Evaluation of Force 2.25CS to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2007. In: On target: 2007 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 16–17. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2007report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2007c. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2007. In: On target: 2007 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–13. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2007report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Heeren JR, Tinsley NA, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2008a. Comparison of experimental and commercially available granular insecticides to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2008. In: On target: 2008 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 16–17. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2008report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Heeren JR, Tinsley NA, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2008b. Comparison of experimental and commercially available transgenic rootworm hybrids and granular insecticides to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2008. In: On target: 2008 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 20–21. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2008report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Heeren JR, Tinsley NA, Steffey KL, Gray ME, 2008c. Evaluation of Force 2.25CS to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2008. In: On target: 2008 annual summary of field crop insect management trials.

- University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 18–19. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2008report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Steffey KL, Heeren JR, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2008d. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2008. In: On target: 2008 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–15. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2008report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2009a. Evaluation of Force 2.1CS and transgenic rootworm hybrids to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2009. In: On target: 2009 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 14–21. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2009report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2009b. Evaluation of SmartStax, Aztec 2.1G, and other transgenic rootworm hybrids to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2009. In: On target: 2009 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 22–23. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2009report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2009c. Evaluation of soil-applied insecticides plus transgenic rootworm hybrids to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2009. In: On target: 2009 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 11–13. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2009report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2010. Evaluation of soil-applied insecticides plus transgenic rootworm hybrids to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2010. In: On target: 2010 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 12–14. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2010report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2011. Evaluation of Force 2.1CS, SmartStax, and a seed-blend to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2011. In: On target: 2011 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 13–15. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2011report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2012. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2012. In: On target: 2012 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–10. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2012report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2013. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2013. In: On target: 2013 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–11. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2013report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2014a. Evaluation of Bt hybrids and Poncho to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2014. In: On target: 2014 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 13–17. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2014report.pdf>
- Estes RE, Tinsley NA, Gray ME, 2014b. Evaluation of soil-applied insecticides and a Bt hybrid seed-blend to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2014. In: On target: 2014 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 21–25. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2014report.pdf>
- Fernandez-Cornejo J, Wechsler S, 2012. Revisiting the impact of Bt corn adoption by U.S. farmers. *Agric Resour Econ Rev*, 41, 377–390.
- Gassmann AJ, Petzold-Maxwell JL, Keweshan RS, Dunbar MW, 2011. Field-evolved resistance to Bt maize by western corn rootworm. *PLoS ONE*, 6, E22629.
- Gassmann AJ, Petzold-Maxwell JL, Clifton EH, Dunbar MW, Hoffmann AM, Ingber DA, Keweshan RS, 2014. Field-evolved resistance by western corn rootworm to multiple *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins in transgenic maize. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 111, 5141–5146.
- Godfrey LD, Meinke LJ, Wright RJ, 1993. Effects of larval injury by western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on gas exchange parameters of field corn. *J Econ Entomol*, 86, 1546–1556.
- Gray ME, 2011. Relevance of traditional integrated pest management (IPM) strategies for commercial corn producers in a transgenic agroecosystem: A bygone era? *J Agric Food Chem*, 59, 5852–5858.
- Gray ME, Steffey KL, 1998. Corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval injury and root compensation of 12 maize hybrids: An assessment of the economic injury index. *J Econ Entomol*, 91, 723–740.
- Gray ME, Estes RE, Schroeder JB, Steffey KL, 2006. Comparison of Herculex Rootworm (HxRW) hybrids to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2006. In: On target: 2006 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 17–18. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2006report.pdf>
- Gray ME, Steffey KL, Estes RE, Schroeder JB, 2007. Responses of transgenic maize hybrids to variant western corn rootworm larval injury. *J Appl Entomol*, 131, 386–390.
- Gray ME, Sappington TW, Miller NJ, Moeser J, Bohn MO, 2009. Adaptation and invasiveness of western corn rootworm: Intensifying research on a worsening pest. *Annu Rev Entomol*, 54, 303–321.
- Head G, Ward D, 2009. Insect resistance in corn through biotechnology. In: *Biotechnology in agriculture and forestry volume 63: Molecular genetic approaches to maize im-*

- provement. Ed. by Kriz AL, Larkins BA, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 31–40.
- Head G, Carroll M, Clark T, Galvan T, Huckaba RM, Price P, Samuel L, Storer NP, 2014. Efficacy of SmartStax® insect-protected corn hybrids against corn rootworm: The value of pyramiding the Cry3Bb1 and Cry34/35Ab1 proteins. *Crop Prot*, 57, 38–47.
- Jarvi KJ, Hunt TE, Echtenkamp GW, 2004. Corn rootworm control, 2003. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 29, F30.
- Jarvi KJ, Echtenkamp GW, Hunt TE, 2005. Evaluation of seed treatments, transgenic and non-transgenic corn hybrids for corn rootworm larvae damage and grain yield, 2004. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 30, F25.
- Jarvi KJ, Hunt TE, Echtenkamp GW, 2007. Corn rootworm control, 2006. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 32, F16.
- Jarvi K, Dana LA, Hunt TE, 2012a. Control of rootworm larvae in corn, 2010. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 37, F18.
- Jarvi K, Dana LA, Hunt TE, 2012b. Control of rootworm larvae in corn, 2011. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 37, F19.
- Jarvi K, Dana LA, Hunt TE, 2012c. Control of rootworm larvae with insecticides in corn, 2011. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 37, F20.
- Jarvi K, Dana LA, Hunt TE, 2013a. Control of rootworm larvae with insecticides in corn, 2012. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 38, F18.
- Jarvi K, Dana LA, Hunt TE, 2013b. Control of rootworm larvae with insecticides on refuge in the bag corn, 2012. *Arthropod Manage Tests*, 38, F17.
- Kurtz B, Karlovsky P, Vidal S, 2010. Interaction between western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larvae and root-infecting *Fusarium verticillioides*. *Environ Entomol*, 39, 1532–1538.
- Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Neter J, 2004. *Applied linear regression models*, 4th edition. McGraw-Hill, Boston, MA.
- Levine E, Oloumi-Sadeghi H, 1991. Management of diabroticite rootworms in corn. *Annu Rev Entomol*, 36, 229–255.
- Mayo ZB, 1980. Influence of planting dates on the efficacy of soil insecticides applied to control larvae of the western and northern corn rootworm. *J Econ Entomol*, 73, 211–212.
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2003. Corn rootworm soil insecticide evaluation. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwsoilmead03.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2004a. Corn rootworm soil insecticide evaluation. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwsoilmead04.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2004b. Corn rootworm soil insecticide/seed treatment efficacy and yield experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmeadseed04.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2005a. Corn rootworm soil insecticide efficacy experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwsoilmead05.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2005b. Corn rootworm soil insecticide/seed treatment efficacy and yield experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwseedmead05.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2006a. Corn rootworm efficacy and yield trial: YieldGard Rootworm comparison to soil insecticides/seed treatments. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead06yield.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2006b. Corn rootworm efficacy trial: Herculex RW comparison to soil insecticides and seed treatments. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead06herculex.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2006c. Corn rootworm efficacy trial: YieldGard Rootworm comparison to soil insecticides and seed treatments. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead06efficacy.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2007a. Corn rootworm soil insecticide efficacy/yield experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead07soilefficacyyield.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2007b. Corn rootworm soil insecticide/seed treatment efficacy experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead07soilseedefficacy.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2007c. Herculex corn rootworm soil insecticide/seed treatment efficacy experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead07herculexsoilseedefficacy.pdf>
- Meinke LJ, Brown J, Campbell L, McCormick B, 2007d. Herculex XTRA corn rootworm efficacy and yield experiment. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension, Lincoln, NE. <http://entomology.unl.edu/fldcrops/trials/crwmead07herculexextraefficacyyield.pdf>
- Metcalfe RL, 1986. Foreword. In: *Methods for the study of pest Diabrotica*. Ed. by Krysan JL, Miller TA, Springer, New York, vii–xv.
- Moellenbeck DJ, Peters ML, Bing JW, Rouse JR, Higgins LW, Sims L, Nevshemal T, Marshall L, Ellis RT, Bystrak PG, Lang

- BA, Stewart JL, Kouba K, Sondag V, Gustafson V, Nour K, Xu D, Swenson J, Zhang J, Czaplá T, Schwab G, Jayne S, Stockhoff BA, Narva K, Schnepf HE, Stelman SJ, Poutre C, Koziel M, Duck N, 2001. Insecticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* protect corn from corn rootworms. *Nat Biotechnol*, 19, 668–672.
- Musick GJ, Fairchild ML, 1967. Preliminary study on some of the factors affecting control of western corn rootworm larvae with soil insecticides. *J Econ Entomol*, 60, 1522–1525.
- Oleson JD, Park YL, Nowatzki TM, Tollefson JJ, 2005. Node-injury scale to evaluate root injury by corn rootworms (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J Econ Entomol*, 98, 1–8.
- Onstad DW, Meinke LJ, 2010. Modeling evolution of *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) to transgenic corn with two insecticidal traits. *J Econ Entomol*, 103, 849–860.
- Petzold-Maxwell JL, Meinke LJ, Gray ME, Estes RE, Gassmann AJ, 2013. Effect of Bt maize and soil insecticides on yield, injury, and rootworm survival: Implications for resistance management. *J Econ Entomol*, 106, 1941–1951.
- Prasifka PL, Rule DM, Storer NP, Nolting SP, Hendrix WH III, 2013. Evaluation of corn hybrids expressing Cry34Ab1/Cry35Ab1 and Cry3Bb1 against the western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J Econ Entomol*, 106, 823–829.
- Raybould A, Stacey D, Vlachos D, Graser G, Li X, Joseph R, 2007. Non-target organism risk assessment of MIR604 maize expressing mCry3A for control of corn rootworm. *J Appl Entomol*, 131, 391–399.
- Riedell WE, Reese RN, 1999. Maize morphology and shoot CO₂ assimilation after root damage by western corn rootworm larvae. *Crop Sci*, 39, 1332–1340.
- van Rozen K, Ester A, 2010. Chemical control of *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte. *J Appl Entomol*, 134, 376–384.
- Schnepf HE, Lee S, Dojillo J, Burmeister P, Fencil K, Morera L, Nygaard L, Narva KE, Wolt JD, 2005. Characterization of Cry34/Cry35 binary insecticidal proteins from diverse *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain collections. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 71, 1765–1774.
- Spencer JL, Hibbard BE, Moeser J, Onstad DW, 2009. Behaviour and ecology of the western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte). *Agric For Entomol*, 11, 9–27.
- Spike BP, Tollefson JJ, 1988. Western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval survival and damage potential to corn subjected to nitrogen and plant density treatments. *J Econ Entomol*, 81, 1450–1455.
- Spike BP, Tollefson JJ, 1989. Relationship of plant phenology to corn yield loss resulting from western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval injury, nitrogen deficiency, and high plant density. *J Econ Entomol*, 82, 226–231.
- Spike BP, Tollefson JJ, 1991. Yield response of corn subjected to western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) infestation and lodging. *J Econ Entomol*, 84, 1585–1590.
- Sutter GR, Branson TF, Fisher JR, Elliott NC, Jackson JJ, 1989. Effect of insecticide treatments on root damage ratings of maize in controlled infestations of western corn rootworms (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J Econ Entomol*, 82, 1792–1798.
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2009. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2009. In: On target: 2009 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–10. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2009report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2010a. Evaluation Force 2.1CS and an experimental soil-applied insecticide to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2010. In: On target: 2010 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 15–16. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2010report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2010b. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2010. In: On target: 2010 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 5–11. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2010report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2011a. Evaluation of Force 3G and a seed-blend to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2011. In: On target: 2011 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 11–12. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2011report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2011b. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2011. In: On target: 2011 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–10. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2011report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2012a. Evaluation of Bt hybrids, seed-blends, and soil-applied insecticides to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2012. In: On target: 2012 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 14–16. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2012report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2012b. Evaluation of Capture LFR and Optimum AcreMax 1 to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2012. In: On target: 2012 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 11–13. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2012report.pdf>

- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2013a. Evaluation of Bt hybrids, seed-blends, and Force 3G to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2013. In: On target: 2013 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 12–14. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2013report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2013b. Validation of a nested error component model to estimate damage caused by corn rootworm larvae. *J Appl Entomol*, 137, 161–169.
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2014a. Evaluation of products to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2014. In: On target: 2014 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 4–12. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2014report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Gray ME, 2014b. Evaluation of soil-applied insecticides to control corn rootworm larvae (*Diabrotica* spp.) in Illinois, 2014. In: On target: 2014 annual summary of field crop insect management trials. University of Illinois Extension, Urbana, IL, 18–20. <http://ipm.illinois.edu/ontarget/2014report.pdf>
- Tinsley NA, Estes RE, Schrader PM, Gray ME, 2015. Evaluating multiple approaches for managing western corn rootworm larvae with seed blends. *J Appl Entomol*, 139, 76–86.
- Urías-López MA, Meinke LJ, Higley LG, Haile FJ, 2000. Influence of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval injury on photosynthetic rate and vegetative growth of different types of maize. *Environ Entomol*, 29, 861–867.
- USDA, NASS (United States Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service), 2013. Agricultural statistics 2013. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency), 2003. Biopesticides registration action document: Event MON863 *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry3Bb1 corn. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Vaughn T, Cavato T, Brar G, Coombe T, DeGooyer T, Ford S, Groth M, Howe A, Johnson S, Kolacz K, Pilcher C, Purcell J, Romano C, English L, Pershing J, 2005. A method of controlling corn rootworm feeding using a *Bacillus thuringiensis* protein expressed in transgenic maize. *Crop Sci*, 45, 931–938.
- Walters FS, Stacy CM, Lee MK, Palekar N, Chen JS, 2008. An engineered chymotrypsin/cathepsin G site in domain I renders *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry3A active against western corn rootworm larvae. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 74, 367–374.
- Walters FS, deFontes CM, Hart H, Warren GW, Chen JS, 2010. Lepidopteran-active variable-region sequence imparts Coleopteran activity in eCry3.1Ab, an engineered *Bacillus thuringiensis* hybrid insecticidal protein. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 76, 3082–3088.
- Wangila DS, Gassmann AJ, Petzold-Maxwell JL, French BW, Meinke LJ, 2015. Susceptibility of Nebraska western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations to Bt corn events. *J Econ Entomol*, 108, 742–751.

Supporting Information

Table S1. References for all trials included in this analysis

Year	State	County	Reference(s)
2003	Nebraska	Clay (3) Dixon Saunders	DeVries and Wright 2004a,b,c Jarvi et al. 2004 Meinke et al. 2003
2004	Illinois	Champaign (4) DeKalb Warren	Estes et al. 2004a,b,c,d Estes et al. 2004c Estes et al. 2004c
	Nebraska	Clay (3) Dixon Saunders (2)	DeVries and Wright 2005a,b,c Jarvi et al. 2005 Meinke et al. 2004a,b
2005	Illinois	Champaign (4) DeKalb Warren	Estes et al. 2005a,b,c,d Estes et al. 2005d Estes et al. 2005d
	Nebraska	Clay Saunders (2)	DeVries and Wright 2006 Meinke et al. 2005a,b
2006	Illinois	Champaign (4) DeKalb Pike Warren	Estes et al. 2006a,b,c; Gray et al. 2006 Estes et al. 2006c Estes et al. 2006c Estes et al. 2006c
	Nebraska	Clay (2) Dixon Saunders (3)	DeVries and Wright 2007a,b Jarvi et al. 2007 Meinke et al. 2006a,b,c
2007	Illinois	Champaign (3) DeKalb Pike Warren	Estes et al. 2007a,b,c Estes et al. 2007c Estes et al. 2007c Estes et al. 2007c
	Nebraska	Clay (2) Saunders (4)	DeVries and Wright 2007c, 2008 Meinke et al. 2007a,b,c,d
2008	Illinois	Champaign (4) DeKalb Pike Warren	Estes et al. 2008a,b,c,d Estes et al. 2008d Estes et al. 2008d Estes et al. 2008d
	Nebraska	Clay (2)	DeVries and Wright 2009b,c

When more than a single trial was conducted in a specific county during a particular year, the number of unique trials is listed in parentheses.