

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports

Animal Science Department

January 1997

Effect of Dried Poultry Waste on Performance of Finishing Yearling Steers

Daniel Herold

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Dale Downs

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Terry J. Klopfenstein

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, tklopfenstein1@unl.edu

Mark Klemesrud

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Herold, Daniel; Downs, Dale; Klopfenstein, Terry J.; and Klemesrud, Mark, "Effect of Dried Poultry Waste on Performance of Finishing Yearling Steers" (1997). *Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports*. 433.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr/433>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Results

Steers responded to rumen-protected lysine during the first 56 days on feed (Table 2). However, gains, intakes, and feed conversions were equal for the control and the methionine supplemented cattle, suggesting that the response was due to lysine, not methionine. Gains, intakes and feed conversions were all improved in a quadratic manner ($P < .10$). Gains were maximized at the three and four gram supplementation level. Steers supplemented with three grams of lysine had an increased gain above the control of .63 lb per day, or an improvement of 13.7 percent. Higher levels of lysine supplementation were less effective at improving gain and feed efficiency, suggesting a true quadratic response. Non-linear analysis comparing gain to supplemental lysine intake predicted a supplemental lysine requirement of 2.9 g/day to achieve a maximum gain of 5.1 lb.

During no periods following the first

56 days did lysine supplementation improve animal performance. However, for the entire trial, lysine supplementation quadratically improved gain ($P < .10$) over the control (Table 3). Cattle supplemented with three and four grams of lysine gained 37 lb more than the controls during the first 56 days. By the end of the trial, these steers had a weight advantage of 68 lb or a ten percent improvement in gain compared to the controls. Any weight advantage obtained during the first 56 days was more than maintained throughout the feeding period. Carcass characteristics were similar ($P > .3$) for treatments.

The NRC model predicted a daily metabolizable protein flow of 1045 g for steers consuming the control diet and gaining 5.1 lb, the maximum gain determined using non-linear analysis. Based on an abomasal lysine content of 5.30% (Table 4), our predicted lysine flow for the control diet was 55.4 g. Three g of supplemental lysine would increase the lysine flow to 58.4 g which is similar to the NRC calculated

requirement of 60.3 g. A lysine flow of 58.4 g would correspond to 5.58 percent of the metabolizable protein. While the predicted flow of lysine, methionine, and arginine was less than their calculated requirement (Table 4), a response to lysine would suggest lysine to be first limiting, and the animals requirement for the other amino acids to be met.

Feedlot diets containing high levels of WCGF and high moisture corn may be deficient in metabolizable lysine. Supplementing rumen escape lysine can improve performance of feedlot cattle, especially early in the feeding period. Our predicted metabolizable lysine requirement for steer calves gaining 5.1 lb/day would be 58.4 g/day or 5.58 percent of the metabolizable protein requirement.

¹Mark Klemesrud, research technician; Terry Klopfenstein, Austin Lewis, Professors; Rick Stock, former Professor, Animal Science, Lincoln.

Effect of Dried Poultry Waste on Performance of Finishing Yearling Steers

Daniel Herold
Dale Downs
Terry Klopfenstein
Mark Klemesrud¹

Summary

Two trials evaluated dried poultry waste (DPW) as a source of rumen degradable protein for finishing steers. In Trial 1, diets were supplemented with DPW or urea to provide equal amounts of degradable protein. In Trial 2, dietary DPW inclusion was based on its mineral content rather than its degradable protein contribution. In both trials, high levels of dietary DPW diminished ADG and feed efficiency.

However, animal performance obtained with lower levels of DPW did not differ from urea or control treatments. Results indicate DPW is an effective means of supplementing both rumen degradable protein and minerals in finishing diets.

Introduction

Dry-rolled corn finishing diets can be deficient in ruminally degradable

(Continued on next page)

Feeding dried poultry waste is an effective means of supplementing dry-rolled corn finishing diets by providing a portion of the dietary degradable protein and minerals necessary to meet animal requirements.

intake protein (DIP), limiting synthesis and growth of rumen bacteria, and diminishing both carbohydrate fermentation and microbial protein flow to the small intestine. Although the protein in corn is known to be extensively digested in the total digestive tract, approximately 60 percent of the CP in dry-rolled corn escapes ruminal degradation in finishing diets. These diets should be supplemented with a source of ruminally available nitrogen, thereby enhancing microbial activity, DM digestibility, and consequently, animal performance.

Supplementation of finishing diets with DIP can be achieved with non-protein nitrogen. Urea provides ruminally available ammonia for microbial protein synthesis, and constitutes an economical alternative to sources of natural protein. Dried poultry waste (DPW) contains uric acid which can serve as a source of ammonia in a manner similar to urea. Although DPW (4.5% N) is lower in CP than urea (46% N), research indicates that it is a viable alternative to urea for DIP supplementation (1996 Nebraska Beef Report, pp. 31-33).

The objectives of this research were to evaluate DPW as a source of DIP and minerals for finishing cattle, and assess how dietary levels of DPW influence dry matter intake and performance.

Procedure

Trial 1

One hundred sixty yearling steers (725 lb) were used to evaluate DPW as a source of DIP relative to urea in a 155-day finishing trial. Cattle were adapted to grain in 21 days using common adaptation diets containing 7.2% of the DM as DPW. Adaptation diets contained 45, 35, 25, and 15% roughage (DM basis) and were fed for 3, 4, 7, and 7 days, respectively. Following the grain adaptation period, steers were blocked by weight and assigned randomly to one of five treatments in a 2 x 2 plus 1 factorial treatment arrangement. Four pens were used for each treatment, each containing eight steers. Dietary DIP levels varied with additions of urea or DPW to a control diet that contained no supplemental DIP. Treatments consisted of: 1) Control 3.7% DIP, 2) Urea 6.5%

DIP, 3) Urea 7.8% DIP, 4) DPW 6.5% DIP, and 5) DPW 7.8% DIP. The DIP requirement was estimated to be about 6.8 percent of diet dry matter. The 6.5 percent level was designed to be below the requirement and the 7.8 percent level was designed to be somewhat in excess of the requirement.

Diet DM consisted of 7.5 percent roughage (50% alfalfa hay, 50% corn silage), and 65 to 75 percent dry-rolled corn depending on DPW inclusion (Table 1). All diets contained 25 g/ton Rumensin, 10 g/ton Tylan, supplemental vitamins A, D, and E, and trace minerals. Dietary CP ranged from 8.0 percent (control) to 12.0 percent (7.8% DIP treatments). Control and urea diets were balanced to provide a minimum of .7% Ca, .35% P, and .7% K. To provide an equal level of supplemental DIP, these mineral levels were exceeded in both the DPW, 6.5% DIP (1.1% Ca, .5% P, .75% K) and DPW, 7.8% DIP (1.5% Ca, .5% P, .85% K) treatments.

Trial 2

One hundred eighty yearling steers (758 lb) were used in this 115-day fin-

Table 1. Composition of diets fed in Trials 1 and 2 (% DM basis).

Item	Treatment							
	Trial 1					Trial 2		
	Control	Urea 6.5% DIP ^b	Urea 7.8% DIP	DPW ^a 6.5% DIP	DPW 7.8% DIP	0% DPW	DPW 3.5% of DM	DPW 7% of DM
Dry-rolled corn	74.9	73.9	72.9	69.2	64.6	73.0	73.0	73.0
Corn silage	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	—	—	—
Alfalfa hay	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	—	—	—
Alfalfa haylage	—	—	—	—	—	10.0	10.0	10.0
Molasses	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	5.0	5.0	5.0
DPW ^a	—	—	—	9.7	14.3	—	3.5	7.0
Urea	—	1.0	1.4	—	—	.5	.2	—
Wheat middlings	—	—	—	—	—	7.8	5.6	2.7
Limestone	1.4	1.3	1.3	—	—	1.3	.4	—
Potassium chloride	.3	.3	.3	—	—	—	—	—
Dicalcium phosphate	.2	.2	.2	—	—	—	—	—
Salt	.2	.2	.2	—	—	.3	.2	.2
Tallow	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Supplement ^c	2.9	3.0	3.6	1.0	1.0	.1	.1	.1

^aDried poultry waste; 30% CP, 9.6% Ca, 2.3% P, 2.5% K, and .63% Na.

^bRuminally degradable intake protein.

^cContained vitamins, trace minerals, Rumensin 80, and Tylan blended with finely ground corn (Trial 1), or pelleted with wheat middlings (Trial 2).

Table 2. Effect of dried poultry waste and urea supplementation on performance of finishing steers.

Item	Treatment							
	Trial 1					Trial 2		
	Control	Urea 6.5% DIP ^b	Urea 7.8% DIP	DPW ^a 6.5% DIP	DPW 7.8% DIP	0% DPW	DPW 3.5% of DM	DPW 7% of DM
Intake (DM)	25.40	25.22	25.73	24.86	24.81	28.57 ^c	28.56 ^c	27.43 ^d
ADG	3.59 ^{cd}	3.72 ^{cd}	3.80 ^c	3.57 ^d	3.32 ^e	4.40 ^e	4.33 ^c	4.02 ^d
Feed/gain ^f	7.07 ^c	6.78 ^c	6.77 ^c	6.96 ^c	7.47 ^d	6.49 ^c	6.59 ^{cd}	6.82 ^d

^aDried poultry waste.

^bRuminally degradable intake protein.

^{c,d,e}Means with unlike superscript within a trial and row differ ($P < .10$).

^fAnalyzed as gain to feed, the reciprocal of feed to gain.

ishing trial. Steers were blocked by weight and assigned randomly, within block, to treatments consisting of 0, 3.5, or 7% DPW (% of diet DM). Six pens provided the mean for each treatment with ten animals per pen. Animals were adapted to grain by the same means used in Trial 1, with the exception that DPW was not included in the adaptation diets. Diets contained (DM basis) 73% dry-rolled corn, 10% alfalfa haylage, and 10% pelleted supplement containing the DPW (Table 1). Wheat middlings were replaced by DPW in the supplement to obtain the desired dietary levels. Diets were balanced to provide 6.9% DIP (12.0% CP), .7% Ca, .35% P, and .7% K, based on contributions from DPW. Urea, limestone, salt, and trace minerals were replaced by nutrients in DPW as dietary levels increased from 0 to 3.5 and 7 percent. In Trial 2, DPW inclusion was based on meeting requirements for both DIP and minerals without contributing excessive ash. Therefore, lower dietary DPW levels were used than in Trial 1, wherein DPW was incorporated to obtain a specific DIP level and provided an excess of Ca, P, and K.

In both Trials 1 and 2, steers were implanted initially with Revalor. Initial weights were the average of two weights obtained on consecutive days before feeding. Final weights were based on hot carcass weights divided by a common 62% dressing percentage. Liver abscess scores and hot carcass weights were taken at slaughter, whereas fat thicknesses at the 12th rib, quality

grades, and yield grades were recorded following a 48-hour chill.

Results

In Trial 1, dry matter intake was not significantly different among treatments. However, sorting of the DPW was apparent at the 7.8 percent level of DIP indicating lower diet palatability at high inclusion rates. Cattle assigned to the DPW 7.8 percent DIP treatment exhibited lower ADG ($P < .10$) than those consuming the other four diets (Table 2). However, steers fed the DPW 6.5 percent DIP diet gained similarly to steers fed urea at the same level of DIP. Feed efficiency exhibited by the DPW 7.8 percent DIP treatment was significantly lower than both the control diet and other treatments containing supplemental DIP.

The diminished feed efficiency and ADG associated with the DPW 7.8 percent DIP diet may have resulted from the high level of dietary minerals, especially Ca, contributed by the amount of DPW necessary to reach this level of DIP. Dried poultry waste comprised 14 percent of the diet DM in this treatment, substantially lowering the concentration of dry-rolled corn. Depressed performance may have been due to lower diet NE_g rather than an inability of DPW to sufficiently provide DIP.

In Trial 2, both ADG and dry matter intake were lower when DPW made up 7 percent of the diet DM (Table 2). However, the 3.5 percent DPW treatment was not different than the urea

control for either measure ($P > .10$). Feed efficiency was lower at the 7 percent DPW level relative to the control, although the urea control did not differ from the 3.5 percent DPW treatment. Supplements containing DPW were pelleted in this trial to reduce the tendency for sorting that was exhibited in Trial 1. However,orts collected from pens assigned to the 7 percent DPW treatment appeared to have a higher proportion of pellets than was present in the initial diet. Because the pellets were the primary source of dietary DIP, performance of cattle receiving the 7 percent DPW treatment may have been limited by the actual amount of DIP consumed.

Measures of carcass quality, yield, fat depth, and liver abscesses were not negatively influenced by DPW in either of the two trials.

These studies indicate that DPW can be an effective source of both ruminally degraded protein and minerals when included at low levels in dry-rolled corn finishing diets. Supplementing high concentrate diets with DPW to enhance ruminal ammonia concentration may also eliminate the need for some macro-mineral supplementation. When fed at excessive levels, DPW may cause a nutrient imbalance by replacing energy with minerals, diminishing performance and decreasing palatability.

¹Daniel Herold and Mark Klemesrud, research technicians; Dale Downs, graduate student; Terry Klopfenstein, Professor, Animal Science, Lincoln.