

January 1997

Evaluation of Feather Meal for Cows Grazing Cornstalks

D. J. Jordon

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Terry J. Klopfenstein

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, tklopfenstein1@unl.edu

Mark Klemesrud

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Drew Shain

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Jordon, D. J.; Klopfenstein, Terry J.; Klemesrud, Mark; and Shain, Drew, "Evaluation of Feather Meal for Cows Grazing Cornstalks" (1997). *Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports*. 435.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr/435>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Table 3. Heifer milk production and reproduction by treatment groups over three years

Traits	Groups	
	Supp	Non-supp
No. of heifers	123	120
12-hour milk production ^a , lb	7.0	6.9
Cycling before breeding ^b , %	8.6†	2.5
Pregnant in 75 days breeding, %	88.6	86.7
Birth date of second calf, day	Mar. 22*	Mar. 31

^a Data collected on only half of heifers each year.

^b Data available for only first 2 years.

* Means differ between treatments (P<.05).

† Means differ between treatments (P<.10).

heifers. However, no difference (P>.10) in estimates of milk production was detected (Table 3). The weigh-suckle-weigh procedure may not have been sensitive enough to detect small differences.

Only 5.6 percent of all heifers cycled before the breeding season began (Table 3). Although a greater percentage (P<.10) of Supp heifers cycled (8.6%) compared to Non-supp heifers (2.5%). These very low percentages are indicative of a nutritional deficiency which is predicted by the intake data.

Pregnancy rates were similar between the treatment groups. Heifers were expected to have lower pregnancy rates due to both protein and energy deficiencies. The 75-day breeding sea-

son was longer than normal (60 days) which helped increase pregnancy rates. It is believed that starting the breeding season two weeks earlier than normal allowed the bulls to stimulate earlier estrous cycles in the heifers. Only a small percentage of heifers conceived during the first two weeks of the breeding season, but the average conception date was within the first 35 days of breeding. The Supp heifers calved 9 days earlier (P<.05) than the Non-supp heifers.

Nebraska research has shown that exposure to bulls will shorten postpartum anestrous intervals in cows and heifers. Bull exposure appears to have more pronounced effects on thin cows similar to the heifers in this study. The

management practice of placing bulls with thin two-year-old cows about two weeks before the normal breeding season to stimulate estrous cycles may be quite beneficial. Also, cows in this study were placed on range with abundant green grass at the beginning of the breeding season which provided a flush of nutrients that would help induce cycling.

In conclusion, supplemental protein did not affect intake and digestibility of subirrigated meadow hay in lactating two-year-old heifers. However, supplementation did increase heifer and calf weights before the breeding season, and the supplemented heifers conceived and calved earlier for the second calf than the non-supplemented heifers. Diets for both treatments were deficient in protein and energy, but pregnancy rates were only slightly below normal, probably because of early bull exposure, lush green pastures, and a longer breeding season.

¹Gene Deutscher, Don Adams, Professors, Animal Science; Duane Farthing, former graduate student; Jim Lamb, former research technician/graduate student; David Colburn, research technician/graduate student; West Central Research and Extension Center, North Platte; Merlyn Nielsen, Professor, Animal Science, Lincoln

Evaluation of Feather Meal for Cows Grazing Cornstalks

D. J. Jordon
Terry Klopfenstein
Mark Klemesrud
Drew Shain¹

Summary

Two grazing trials during the fall of 1994 and 1995 were conducted to determine the feeding value of a sunflower/feather meal supplement relative to soybean meal in cows and heifers grazing cornstalks. Cattle on either supplement had similar gains. Replacing soybean meal with a sunflower/feather meal supplement is effective and economical for cows and heifers grazing corn residue.

Introduction

Grazing cornstalks is an economical and efficient way to maintain or increase weight and body condition score in cows and heifers during fall and winter months. However, cattle may require supplementation to meet their protein requirement; especially younger cows. Feather meal is an excellent source of undegraded intake protein (UIP) for ruminants while sunflower meal con-

Replacing soybean meal with sunflower/feather meal is an effective alternative when supplementing cows and heifers grazing corn residue while saving about \$50 per ton in ingredient cost.

(Continued on next page)

tains mostly degraded intake protein (DIP). To minimize possible palatability problems associated with feather meal, sunflower meal can be used as both a carrier and a source of DIP. Blood meal may also be used as a source of UIP, as it complements the amino acid profile of feather meal. A supplemental mixture using these proteins is less expensive and should show similar gains when compared to a more traditional supplement such as soybean meal. Several studies have shown that feather meal can replace up to half of the soybean meal in ruminant diets without adversely affecting animal performance. However, few studies have been conducted to evaluate the response of cows and heifers grazing cornstalks supplemented with sunflower/feather meal.

The objective of this trial was to evaluate the feeding value of sunflower/feather meal relative to that of soybean meal in cows and heifers grazing cornstalks.

Procedure

Eighty-six yearling heifers and two-year-old cows were used in two trials over two consecutive years. In year one, forty heifers and cows were assigned randomly to one of four dryland fields with equal numbers of heifers and cows in each field. In year two, forty-six yearling heifers and two-year-old cows were assigned randomly to one of four dryland fields, with equal numbers of heifers and cows in each field. Two fields contained ten cows in each, while the remaining two fields contained thirteen cows in each. In each year, two fields received the soybean meal supplement with the remaining two fields receiving the sunflower/feather meal supplement. Supplements were formulated to contain equal amounts of metabolizable protein and UIP and were fed at 1.5 lb/hd/day (as-is, Table 1) in a pelleted form to reduce wastage.

In situ analysis was performed on each supplement to determine UIP values. One steer, maintained on a grass hay diet, was used. Soybean meal and

Table 1. Supplement compositions.

Ingredient	Supplement, %DM	
	SBM ^a	SFM/FM ^a
SBM ^a	91.4	—
FM ^a	—	11.2
SFM ^a	—	81.2
BM ^a	—	2.1
Dical	3.3	1.6
Vit. premix	.08	.08
Trace min. premix	.26	.26
Selenium	.18	.18
Pellet binder	1.36	—
Salt	3.27	3.27
Rumensin 80	.14	.14

^aSBM = soybean meal; FM = feather meal; SFM = sunflower meal; BM = blood meal.

Table 2. Pooled cow and heifer performance from 1994-1995 and 1995-1996.

	Soybean meal	Sunflower/feather meal
Initial weight, lb	1061	1059
Final weight, lb	1181	1174
ADG, lb	1.74	1.68

sunflower/feather meal supplements were ground and incubated in quadruplicate. Incubation time for all samples was 12 hours. After incubation, bags were rinsed with warm tap water until the rinse water was clear, dried for 48 hours at 140°F and weighed. Residue was then analyzed for N using a nitrogen analyzer.

Stocking rates were 0.5 hd per acre for both treatments. These were determined by previous work at the University of Nebraska with cows grazing dryland corn residue and based on lb of available leaf and husk material per acre.

Animal performance was measured in terms of ADG. Initial and final weights were determined by taking the average of two consecutive day weights. Animals were removed from fields when, based on visual appraisal, the amount of remaining residue became limited to maintain weight gains.

Results

Statistical analysis showed no year x treatment interaction, therefore data

were pooled across years. Weight gains were similar for either supplement ($P > .15$). Visual observation showed no apparent differences in supplement palatability. Cattle on both treatments were initially slow to the bunk while available residue was plentiful, but as the trial progressed they quickly came up to consume their supplement.

Gains were greater than expected in year two (2.38 lb/day). This was likely a result of limited grass in pastures preceding stalk grazing, excellent stalk quality, and relatively little mud or snowfall during the trial. Cows were in poorer condition due to high milk production demands while grazing poor summer pasture. Pastures were slow in growing due to little rainfall. This contributed to higher gains as cows tried to replenish lost body reserves, while heifers experienced compensatory gain following low summer gains. Gains in year 1 were closer to what might be expected from cows and heifers grazing fall cornstalks (1 lb/day); however, gains in year two raised the average of pooled weights. *In situ* analysis showed soybean meal to be slightly greater in escape protein (30.0%) than the sunflower/feather meal (24.1%).

Statistical analysis was also performed on pooled data to determine animal performance by age. Average daily gain of cows (1.81 lb/day) was greater ($P < .05$) than for heifers (1.65 lb/day). This was probably due to milk production. Heifers had not raised a calf over the previous summer, thereby allowing them to start the trials in better condition; cows were in poorer condition following milk production demands.

Economic analysis of supplements showed the sunflower/feather meal supplement to be \$52 less per ton than soybean meal. This resulted in a savings of \$0.04/hd/day and a total savings over 70 days of \$2.80/hd

¹D. J. Jordon, graduate student; Terry Klopfenstein, Professor; Mark Klemesrud and Drew Shain, research technicians, Animal Science, Lincoln.