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Abstract

Iptakalim is a novel putative adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-sensitive potassium (K\textsubscript{ATP}) channel opener. In the brain, iptakalim is thought to act on the neuronal and astrocytic plasma membrane and/or mitochondrial K\textsubscript{ATP} channels. Because iptakalim demonstrates an action on the regulation of dopamine and glutamate release in the forebrain regions, we examined its potential antipsychotic efficacy in several preclinical tests. First, we show that iptakalim is effective in reducing amphetamine- and phencyclidine-induced hyperlocomotion as well as selectively disrupting conditioned avoidance responding. Next, we show that combined iptakalim and amphetamine treatment produces a reduction on prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle and this combined drug effect is also found with haloperidol, but not with clozapine. Finally, we show that iptakalim and clozapine preferentially increase c-Fos expression in the medial prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens and lateral septal nucleus, whereas haloperidol induces a greater increase in the nucleus accumbens, the dorsolateral striatum and lateral septal nucleus. Collectively, our findings indicate that iptakalim is likely to be a potential antipsychotic drug with distinct mechanisms of action. This study also suggests that neuronal and astrocytic plasma membrane and/or mitochondrial K\textsubscript{ATP} channels may be a novel target that deserves attention for antipsychotic drug development. Future research using other sensitive tests is needed to confirm this property of iptakalim.
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1. Introduction

The traditional antipsychotic drug development process is essentially a trial-and-error approach (Carpenter and Koenig, 2008). New drugs were often developed as the result of duplicating the activity profile of the old antipsychotics, with slightly modified chemical structures (Valenstein, 1998). This drug discovery process has produced a series of drugs acting at the D\textsubscript{2} receptor/5-HT\textsubscript{2A} receptors with similar efficacy as well as effectiveness against psychotic symptoms (Miyamoto et al., 2005), but has failed to produce novel antipsychotic drugs with novel molecular mechanisms. It appears that focusing solely on neurotransmitters as therapeutic targets may not be a fruitful approach in the identification and development of better antipsychotic drugs. A bold shift going beyond traditional neurotransmitters is urgently needed.

In light of this, iptakalim is particularly interesting. It is a novel adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-sensitive potassium (K\textsubscript{ATP}) channel opener that activates the cardiovascular K\textsubscript{ATP} channels and exerts a strong antihypertensive effect (Wang et al., 2005a; Wang et al., 2005b). Because iptakalim was later found to be able to easily pass through the blood-brain-barrier and act on the neuronal plasma membrane and/or mitochondrial K\textsubscript{ATP} channels, its potential therapeutic effects on neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders have generated much interest (Hu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006). Several lines of indirect evidence suggest that iptakalim may be potentially useful for schizophrenia and may offer needed benefits for negative and cognitive symptoms. First, in vivo experiments demonstrate that iptakalim has an inhibitory function on excess dopamine and glutamate release and can exert an intrinsic neuroprotective effect against necrosis, apoptosis and neurodegenerative disorders (Wang et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2006a; Yang et al., 2006b; Zhang et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2007). Second, in animal behavioral studies, iptakalim does not cause catalepsy (unpublished observation) and is shown to reverse haloperidol-induced catalepsy and hypolocomotion (Wang et al., 2005c). Third, the target site of iptakalim—the K\textsubscript{ATP} channel—is found in the neural circuits that are implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, such as the substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area, the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, and plays an important role in the regulation of release of neurotransmitters, such as glutamate, dopamine and GABA (Ross et al., 2006). Finally, it has been hypothesized that the K\textsubscript{ATP} channel activators may be beneficial for the treatment of schizophrenia (Allen and Etcheberrigaray, 1998; Freedman and Lin, 1996) based on the evidence that dopamine receptors can modulate the K\textsubscript{ATP} channel opening (Lin et al., 1993). Diazoxide, an ATP-sensitive potassium channel opener, has been tried in the clinic as an adjunctive treatment together with haloperidol. It potentiated the effects of haloperidol on the positive and general psychopathological symptoms of schizophrenia as measured by the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (Akhondzadeh et al., 2002).
On the basis of the above evidence, we hypothesized that iptakalim is a novel drug with a therapeutic potential in schizophrenia. The primary aim of this study was to systematically validate its putative “antipsychotic” property using a variety of preclinical animal models. Iptakalim was compared to haloperidol, clozapine, and risperidone in tests predictive of antipsychotic activity. Specifically, we examined the effects of iptakalim treatment on (1) amphetamine—are phencyclidine—induced hyperlocomotor activity; (2) rat conditioned avoidance responding; (3)amphetamine- and phencyclidine-induced prepulse inhibition (PPI) deficits and (4) c-Fos expression in the prefrontal cortex, the dorsal striatum, the nucleus accumbens and lateral septum nucleus.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (226–250 g upon arrival, Charles River, Portage, MI) were used. They were housed two per cage, in 48.3 cm × 26.7 cm × 20.3 cm transparent polycarbonate cages under 12 h light/dark conditions (light on between 6:30 am and 6:30 pm). Room temperature was maintained at 22 ± 1 °C with a relative humidity of 55–60%. Food and water was available ad libitum. Animals were allowed at least one week of habituation to the animal facility before being used in experiments. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

2.2. Drugs

The injection solution of haloperidol (5.0 mg/ml ampoules, Sincor Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Irvine, CA) was obtained by mixing it with sterile water. The injection solutions of d-amphetamine sulfate (Sigma-RBI), phencyclidine hydrochloride (gift from NIDA Chemical Synthesis and Drug Supply Program), and fluoxetine (gift from the NIMH drug supply program) were obtained by mixing drugs with 0.9% NaCl solution. Clozapine and risperidone (gifts from the NIMH drug supply program) were dissolved in 1.0–1.5% glacial acetic acid in distilled water. Iptakalim hydrochloride (99.9%) was synthesized and provided by the Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences of China as a gift to Dr. Hu. Iptakalim was dissolved in sterile water. Haloperidol, clozapine, risperidone, amphetamine and phencyclidine were administered subcutaneously, whereas fluoxetine and iptakalim was administered intraperitoneally in a volume of 1.0 ml/kg of body weight.

2.3. Effects of iptakalim treatment on amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion and phencyclidine-induced hyperlocomotion

Inhibition of locomotor hyperactivity induced by amphetamine or phencyclidine has been widely used as a screening tool for the “antipsychotic” property of a drug (Abekawa et al., 2007; Arnt, 1995; Millan et al., 1999; Millan et al., 2008). In this experiment, we examined the effects of acute iptakalim treatment on amphetamine-induced and phencyclidine-induced hyperlocomotion and compared its effect with that of risperidone and fluoxetine (a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor with no known antipsychotic activity). After two days of habituation to the testing room and the testing boxes (30 min/day for 2 days), rats were first injected with the drug (i.e. risperidone 0.3 or 1.0 mg/kg, fluoxetine 5.0 or 10.0 mg/kg, or iptakalim 10, 30 and 60 mg/kg) or vehicle on day 3 and placed in the locomotor activity boxes for a period of 30 min for habituation. Each transparent polycarbonate box (48.3 cm L × 26.7 cm W × 20.3 cm H) was equipped with a row of 6 photocell beams (7.8 cm between two adjacent photobeams) to record the number of photocell beam breaks. At the end of the 30-min period, rats were taken out and injected with amphetamine (1.5 mg/kg, s.c.) or phencyclidine (3.2 mg/kg, s.c.) and placed back in the boxes for another 60 min. Locomotor activity (number of photo-beam breaks) was measured throughout the entire 90 min testing session. The number of rats in each group was six to seven.

2.4. Effects of iptakalim treatment on rat conditioned avoidance responding

The conditioned avoidance response model is a well-established preclinical test for antipsychotic activity with high predictive validity (Arnt, 1982; Li et al., 2007; Wadenberg and Hicks, 1999). In this experiment, six custom-built two-compartment shuttle box systems (Med Associates, VT, USA) were used to train and test rats (see Li et al., 2009a) and (Li et al., 2009b) for a detailed description of the apparatus). Sixty-six rats were first trained in a conditioned avoidance responding procedure for a total of eight sessions (~2 week period). Each training session consisted of 20 trials. During each trial, if a subject moved from one compartment into the other within the 10s of conditioned stimulus (76 dB white noise) presentation, the conditioned stimulus was terminated and the shock (unconditioned stimulus, US) was prevented, and this shutting response was recorded as an avoidance. If the rat remained in the same compartment for more than 10 s and made a crossing upon receiving the footshock, this response was recorded as an escape. If the rat did not respond during the entire 5 s presentation of the shock, the trial was terminated and escape failure was recorded. At the end of the training session, 39 rats reached training criterion (>14 avoidance trials in each of the last two sessions). They were then randomly assigned to one of six groups: vehicle (water, n = 7), haloperidol (0.05 mg/kg, s.c., n = 5), clozapine (10 mg/kg, s.c., n = 6), iptakalim (10 mg/kg, i.p., n = 7), iptakalim (30 mg/kg, i.p., n = 7) and iptakalim (60 mg/kg, i.p., n = 7). On the drug test day (1 day later), each rat was tested at 30 and 90 min after drug administration, and each test session included 20 trials.

2.5. Effects of iptakalim treatment on amphetamine or phencyclidine-induced prepulse inhibition deficits

Reversal of amphetamine- and phencyclidine-induced PPI deficits has been used as a tool to identify chemical compounds with antipsychotic activity (Geyer et al., 2001). In this experiment, we examined the effects of acute iptakalim treatment on amphetamine- and phencyclidine-induced PPI deficit and compared its effect with those of clozapine and haloperidol. Six shuttle monitor systems (Kinder Scientific, Julian, CA) controlled by a PC were used. They were housed in compact sound attenuation cabinets (35.56 cm wide × 27.62 cm deep × 49.53 cm high). A speaker (diameter: 11 cm) mounted on the cabinet’s ceiling was used to generate acoustic stimuli (70 dB-120 dB). The startle activity was measured by a piezoelectric sensing platform on the floor. After two days of handling and habituation to the PPI apparatus, sixty rats were given a subcutaneous injection of saline and subjected to a brief “matching” session. Ten minutes after receiving an injection of saline, rats were placed individually into the PPI boxes and exposed to 5 min of 70 dB white background noise (which persisted through the entirety of the testing session) followed by 20 trials in pseudorandom order: 17 “PULSE ALONE” trials, each consisting of a 40 millisecond (ms) 120 dB noise burst, and 3 “PRE-PULSE + PULSE” trials consisting of a 20 ms 82 dB prepulse followed 100 ms later by a 120 dB pulse. Startle magnitude was defined as the maximum force (measured in Newtons) applied by the rat to the startle apparatus recorded over a period of 100 ms beginning at the onset of the pulse stimulus. The average startle response to the PULSE ALONE trials was used to create balanced treatment groups such that all groups had comparable base-line startle magnitudes.
The amphetamine testing began one day after the matching session. Rats were weighed and injected with either water, clozapine (10.0 mg/kg), haloperidol (0.05 mg/kg), or one of three doses of iptakalim (10, 30 or 60 mg/kg) 20 min prior to the injection of saline or amphetamine (3.0 mg/kg, s.c.), 10 min after which the rats were placed into the PPI boxes. Each testing session lasted approximately 18 min and began with a 5 min period of 70 dB background noise followed by four different trial types: PULSE ALONE trials, and three types of PREPULSE + PULSE trials, which consisted of a 20 ms 73, 76, or 82 dB prepulse (3, 6, and 12 dB above background) followed 100 ms later by a 120 dB pulse (Culum and Hammer, 2004). Each session was divided into 4 blocks. Blocks 1 and 4 were identical, each consisting of 4 PULSE ALONE trials. Blocks 2 and 3 were also identical and each consisted of 8 PULSE ALONE trials and 5 of each PREPULSE + PULSE trial type. A total of 54 trials were presented during each testing session. Trials within each block were presented in a pseudorandom order and were separated by a variable intertrial interval averaging 15 s (ranging from 9 to 21 s). Between each stimulus trial, 100 ms of activity was recorded when no stimulus was present. These trials were called NOSTIM trials and were not included in the calculation of intertrial intervals. Responses recorded during NOSTIM trials are considered a measure of motor activity within the PPI boxes. Responses to the first 4 trials and last 4 trials, which consisted of 120 dB pulse stimuli, were not included in the final PPI analysis. The percent of PPI expressed within each test session was calculated using the standard PPI equation: (100 − (mean Pre-pulse + Pulse response / mean Pulse response)) / 100.

Following the amphetamine testing, rats were left alone for two days. One day later, they were re-assessed for PPI performance under no drug treatment condition to ensure that there was no PPI difference among the groups prior to the phencyclidine testing, which was conducted one day later. The same PPI testing procedure was used with the only exception that the rats who had previously received amphetamine 3.0 mg/kg now received phencyclidine 2.0 mg/kg. The rest of the treatment and testing procedure remained unchanged. Phencyclidine at the chosen dose has been shown to induce a robust PPI deficit (Geyer et al., 2001).

2.6. Effects of iptakalim treatment on c-Fos expression in rats

The ability of antipsychotics to induce c-Fos protein in the forebrain regions has become a widely used molecular tool for identifying drugs with potential antipsychotic activity and liability for extrapyramidal side effects (EPS) (Mo et al., 2005; Natesan et al., 2006; Robertson and Fibiger, 1992; Robertson et al., 1994). This experiment compared the acute effect of iptakalim on c-Fos expression in the four forebrain regions with that of haloperidol and clozapine. Twenty-four rats were randomly assigned to 6 groups (n = 4/group) and injected with either saline (vehicle), haloperidol 0.5 mg/kg, clozapine 10.0 mg/kg, iptakalim 10 mg/kg, iptakalim 30 mg/kg or iptakalim 60 mg/kg. Two hours after drug administration, the rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg i.p.), and their brains were removed after transcardial perfusion with saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The brains were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, transferred to 30% sucrose solutions until settled down, and then stored at ~80 °C until processing. The immunocytochemical procedure followed the protocol described in detail in our recent work (Zhao and Li, 2010). Fos immunoreactive nuclei, labeled with antiserum raised in rabbits against the Fos peptide 4–17 amino acids of human Fos (Oncogene Research Products, Cambridge, MA, USA), were counted within a 870 × 650 µm² unit area unilaterally in three serial sections that were anatomically well-matched across the treatment groups. The brain regions analyzed included the medial prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens, dorsolateral striatum and lateral septal nucleus, corresponding to levels almost equal to Bregma 2.76 mm for medial prefrontal cortex and nucleus accumbens, 1.80 mm for dorsal striatum and lateral septum nucleus according to Paxinos and Watson (2007). The values from four rats of each treatment group were averaged to obtain the final mean ± S.E.M.

In order to categorize iptakalim as a typical or atypical antipsychotic, we calculated the atypical index on the basis of the difference between the number of Fos-positive cells in the nucleus accumbens and dorsal striatum as described by Robertson et al. (1994). Briefly, the injection-corrected value in the nucleus accumbens and dorsal striatum was obtained by subtracting the number of Fos-positive nuclei in the vehicle-treated rats from that in the drug-treated ones. Atypical index was then yielded by subtracting the corrected number of Fos-positive cells in the dorsal striatum from that in the nucleus accumbens.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean values ± S.E.M and analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Post-hoc LSD tests to identify two-group differences. If data contained a within-subject factor (e.g. test days, prepulse intensities), then a factorial repeated measures ANOVA was used. A conventional two-tailed level of significance at the 5% level was required.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of iptakalim treatment on amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion and phencyclidine-induced hyperlocomotion

Figure 1A shows the mean motor activity of the eight groups during the 60-min test period after amphetamine injection. Iptakalim at 60 mg/kg and risperidone at both doses (0.3 and 1.0 mg/kg) significantly inhibited the hyperlocomotion induced by amphetamine, whereas fluoxetine potentiated this effect. One-way ANOVA revealed that there was a significant main effect of treatment ($F_{(7,47)} = 19.665, P < 0.001$). Post-hoc tests showed that the
amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion effect was significantly attenuated by iptakalim at 60.0 mg/kg ($P = 0.038$), risperidone at 0.3 mg/kg ($P = 0.001$) and 1.0 mg/kg ($P < 0.001$), whereas it was significantly enhanced by fluoxetine at 10 mg/kg ($P = 0.030$) and marginally enhanced by iptakalim at 30 mg/kg ($P = 0.072$). None of the other treatments (iptakalim at other doses) affected the amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion.

Figure 1B shows the mean motor activity of the seven groups of rats during the 60-min test period after vehicle or phencyclidine injection. Similar to their effects on amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion, risperidone at 0.30 mg/kg and iptakalim at 30 and 60 mg/kg significantly inhibited phencyclidine-induced hyperlocomotion. One-way ANOVA revealed that there was a significant main effect of treatment ($F(6, 47) = 10.072, P < 0.001$). Post-hoc tests showed that in comparison to the vehicle treatment, phencyclidine produced a robust increase in motor activity ($P < 0.001$) which was significantly attenuated by iptakalim at 30 and 60 mg/kg and risperidone at 0.3 mg/kg ($P = 0.017$, $0.012$, and $0.006$ respectively).

3.2. Effects of iptakalim treatment on rat conditioned avoidance responding

Figures 2A and B show the mean avoidances at three time points (predrug day and drug day 1 at 30 min and 90 min). At the 30 min point, iptakalim at 60 mg/kg significantly inhibited avoidance responding ($P = 0.005$), as did clozapine at 10 mg/kg ($P < 0.001$) and haloperidol at 0.05 mg/kg ($P = 0.017$). At the 90 min point, only clozapine still exerted a strong disruption of avoidance ($P = 0.001$).

Figure 2. Effects of iptakalim (10, 30 and 60 mg/kg, i.p.) (A), haloperidol (0.05 mg/kg, s.c.) and clozapine (10.0 mg/kg, s.c.) treatment (B) on conditioned avoidance response in rats tested at three time points (predrug day, 30 min and 90 min after drug administration). *$P < 0.05$ in comparison to the vehicle group.

3.3. Effects of iptakalim treatment on amphetamine or phencyclidine-induced prepulse inhibition deficits

Figure 3A shows the mean percent PPI of the seven groups of rats during the amphetamine test. Acute amphetamine (3.0 mg/kg, s.c.) treatment did not produce a robust disruption of PPI. Strikingly, the combination of amphetamine and iptakalim produced a significant disruption on PPI. Repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of group ($F(6, 53) = 195.776, P < 0.001$) and prepulse level ($F(2, 106) = 99.155, P < 0.001$), but no significant group × level interaction ($F(12, 106) = 1.550, P = 0.118$). In comparison to the vehicle + vehicle condition, acute amphetamine slightly reduced %PPI, especially at the 3 dB (above background) level, but this effect was not statistically significantly ($P = 0.101$). In contrast, all three iptakalim groups ($Ps < 0.001$) and the haloperidol group ($P = 0.019$) showed significant lower %PPI than the vehicle + vehicle group. The combined disruptive effect of iptakalim and amphetamine was also indicated by the finding that all three iptakalim groups differed significantly from the vehicle + amphetamine group ($P = 0.010$ for iptakalim 10 mg/kg and $Ps < 0.001$ for...
The other two iptakalim groups). Clozapine pretreatment had little impact on PPI as there was no group difference between the clozapine group and the vehicle + vehicle group (\(P = 0.481\)) nor the vehicle + amphetamine group (\(P = 0.351\)).

To examine the possible causes of the disruptive effect of combined iptakalim + amphetamine on PPI, we examined the mean magnitude of acoustic startle responses (ASR) in the three prepulse (3, 6, 12 dB) and pulse alone (120 dB) trials. As can be seen in Figure 3B, iptakalim pretreatment preferentially enhanced the ASR magnitude in the prepulse trials over that in the pulse alone trials. One-way ANOVAs showed that the ASR magnitude in the three prepulse trials was significantly higher in the iptakalim groups than the vehicle + vehicle and vehicle + amphetamine groups (all \(Ps < 0.05\) with the only exception of the iptakalim 10 mg/kg group at the 3 dB level, \(P = 0.115\)). For the ASR in the pulse alone trials, only the iptakalim 30 mg/kg group differed from the two vehicle groups (\(Ps < 0.013\)). Thus the lowered PPI in the iptakalim + amphetamine groups could be attributed to the preferentially increased ASR magnitude in the prepulse trials over the pulse alone trials, an indication of PPI deficit (Swerdlow et al., 2001).

We also examined the startle magnitude in the NOSTIM trials (no pulse) (Figure 3C). Responses recorded during NOSTIM trials are considered a measure of gross motor activity within the PPI boxes. Acute amphetamine enhanced the startle magnitude measured under this condition (\(P = 0.027\) vs. vehicle). Iptakalim pretreatment with amphetamine further potentiated this effect. In comparison to the vehicle + vehicle group, all three iptakalim + amphetamine groups showed significantly higher startle magnitude (\(Ps < 0.001\)), which was further enhanced by iptakalim at 10 and 30 mg/kg (\(Ps < 0.005\)), and marginally enhanced by iptakalim at 60 mg/kg (\(P = 0.083\)).

On the pre-phencyclidine testing day (3 days after the amphetamine test), all groups showed comparable levels of PPI as no significant group difference was detected (\(F(6, 53) = 0.680, P = 0.667\), data not shown). On the phencyclidine test day, all the phencyclidine-treated groups showed significantly lower %PPI at all three levels (see Figure 4A). There was a main effect of group (\(F(6,53) = 4.944, P < 0.001\)) and prepulse level (\(F(2,106) = 109.90, P < 0.001\)), but no significant group × level interaction (\(F(12,106) = 0.983, P = 0.470\)). Post-hoc comparisons revealed that acute phencyclidine 2.0 mg/kg significantly disrupted PPI (all \(Ps < 0.026\)). Pretreatment of haloperidol, clozapine and iptakalim did not reverse the phencyclidine-induced PPI deficits (all \(Ps > 0.05\)). In contrast, the iptakalim 30 mg/kg + phencyclidine group showed even lower %PPI than the vehicle + phencyclidine group (\(P = 0.039\)).

Figure 4B shows the mean magnitude of acoustic startle responses (ASR) in the three prepulse (3, 6, 12 dB) and pulse alone (120 dB) trials in the phencyclidine test. Acute phencyclidine treatment significantly increased the ASR magnitude at all 4 levels (Post-hoc comparison, vehicle + vehicle vs. vehicle + phencyclidine, \(P = 0.001\)), an effect that was completely reversed by pretreatment of clozapine (\(P = 0.003\)) and iptakalim at 60 mg/kg (\(P = 0.043\)), and to a lesser extent by iptakalim at 10 mg/kg (\(P = 0.087\)). Pretreatment of haloperidol or iptakalim at 30 mg/kg had little effect on the ASR enhancing effect of phencyclidine (all \(Ps > 0.05\) in comparison to the vehicle + phencyclidine group).

On the startle magnitude in the NOSTIM trials (no pulse), acute phencyclidine treatment significantly enhanced this measure (\(P = 0.001\) between the vehicle + vehicle and vehicle + phencyclidine groups, Figure 4C). This effect of phencyclidine was reversed only by clozapine pretreatment (\(P = 0.145\) between the vehicle + vehicle and clozapine + phencyclidine group), but not by any other pretreatments.

3.4. Effects of iptakalim treatment on c-Fos expression in rats

Figure 5 shows the schematic representation of the forebrain regions (rectangles) in which the c-Fos immunoreactive neurons were counted. As can be seen in Figure 6A and B, iptakalim at 30.0 and 60.0 mg/kg, as well as haloperidol and clozapine produced significant increases in the number of Fos-positive neurons in the nucleus accumbens and lateral septum nucleus (all \(Ps < 0.05\), whereas 10.0 mg/kg of iptakalim had no effect on c-Fos expression. In addition, haloperidol significantly increased Fos-positive neurons in the dorsal striatum (\(P < 0.001\)), whereas iptakalim and clozapine were without effect (\(P > 0.10\)). This similarity between iptakalim and clozapine was further confirmed by the atypical index (Robertson et al., 1994). As shown in Figure 6C, both iptakalim and clozapine, but not haloperidol, exhibited a positive atypical antipsychotic profile. Among the four brain areas that were examined, iptakalim produced effects similar to clozapine, but dissimilar to haloperidol, and substantially increased c-Fos expression in the medial prefrontal cortex in comparison to other sites.
in counting effects of indirect DA agonists such as amphetamine has not been examined thoroughly (Geyer et al., 2001). There are only a handful of reports showing that haloperidol blocks the effects of amphetamine on PPI (Andersen and Pouzet, 2001; Feifel et al., 1999). Thus, reversal of amphetamine-induced PPI deficit may not be a universal and well-established criterion for antipsychotic activity. Most PPI studies focus on the effect of antipsychotics on the direct DA agonist apomorphine because PPI is more sensitive to the effects of apomorphine than to the effects of amphetamine. Thus future work should examine how iptakalim treatment affects amphetamine-induced disruption of PPI. Such work is currently being undertaken in our laboratory.

Similarly, we also did not observe any reversal effect of iptakalim, clozapine or haloperidol pretreatment on phencyclidine-induced PPI deficits. It has been commonly reported in the literature that typical antipsychotics such as haloperidol are ineffective in reducing the effects of phencyclidine and other NMDA antagonists on PPI in rats (see a comprehensive review (Geyer et al., 2001)). Studies on atypical antipsychotics have not yielded consistent results (Swedlow et al., 1996). As noted by Geyer et al (2001), “clozapine, being the most tested atypical antipsychotic in the glutamatergic PPI model, has the largest number of inconsistencies” probably due to its complex receptor mechanisms of action. Therefore, in the hindsight, the amphetamine and phencyclidine PPI models may not be the best choices to test antipsychotic activity of iptakalim, as they may have limited predictive value for antipsychotic activity and pose a challenge for data interpretation. One possible reason is that they may reflect cognitive deficits of schizophrenia (as opposed to psychosis) (Swedlow et al., 2008), which are less responsive to antipsychotic treatment.

Typical antipsychotics usually produce a large increase in c-Fos expression in the dorsal striatum than in the nucleus accumbens, whereas atypical antipsychotics preferentially increase the c-Fos expression in the nucleus accumbens, having either little or no effect in the dorsal striatum. Our c-Fos immunocytochemistry study provided another line of evidence in support of the atypical antipsychotic property of iptakalim. This property is further supported by its exhibited atypical index (Robertson and Fibiger, 1992; Robertson et al., 1994). One remarkable effect of iptakalim was its strong action in the medial prefrontal cortex. In fact, among the four brain regions examined, iptakalim increased c-Fos expression more in the medial prefrontal cortex than in any other regions. The medial prefrontal cortex plays a critical role in various psychological functions, such as attention, memory, executive functioning and emotional regulations, all of which are found to be impaired to some extent in patients with schizophrenia (Belujon and Grace, 2008; Moghaddam and Homayoun, 2008). Since clozapine’s actions on negative symptoms are thought to be associated with its action in this region, the strong action of iptakalim in this region indicates that iptakalim may be particularly efficacious against negative symptoms and cognitive deficits in schizophrenia by improving the function of the medial prefrontal cortex.

The putative target sites of iptakalim—the $K_{ATP}$ channels—are the heteromeric complexes, consisting of discrete pore-forming (inwardly rectifying potassium subunit; Kir6.1/Kir6.2) and regulatroy subunits (sulfonamide receptors; SUR1/SUR2). The channels are usually closed in normal conditions but are activated rapidly in response to a decrease in intracellular ATP/ADP ratio under metabolic stress or stroke or by selective channel openers. Opening of the $K_{ATP}$ channels results in hyperpolarization of the cell membrane and limitation of Ca$^{2+}$ influx, thus blocking subsequent neurotoxic biochemical cascades (Miki and Seino, 2005) and reducing neurotransmitter release. Because the $K_{ATP}$ channels are widely distributed throughout the mammalian brain (Dunn-Meynell et al., 1998; Thomzig et al., 2005) and are found in the neural circuits that are implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, iptakalim might broadly impact brain functions by opening these
K<sub>ATP</sub> channels and modulating glutamate and dopamine releases when the brain is under stress (in a sense, a dysfunctional schizophrenic brain can be considered as under certain unknown stress) (Yang et al., 2008). We thus propose that amphetamine, phencyclidine or a conditioned stimulus (as in the avoidance task) increases dopamine and glutamate release in the nucleus accumbens, dorsolateral striatum and lateral septal nucleus (B). Each bar represents the mean ± S.E.M of data from four rats. *P < 0.05 in comparison to the vehicle group. (C) Atypical index based on number of Fos-positive neurons in the dorsolateral striatum and nucleus accumbens.

The importance of potassium channels in schizophrenia as a valid target for future antipsychotic drugs is supported by a recent demonstration that retigabine, a selective KCNQ channel opener, exhibits an antipsychotic-like property in various preclinical animal models (e.g., dopaminergic cell firing, amphetamine- and phencyclidine-induced hyperlocomotion and conditioned avoidance response) (Sotty et al., 2008). KCNQ channels (also named Kv7) are voltage-dependent potassium channels that are found in the mesolimbic dopamine neurons. They share with K<sub>ATP</sub> channels the property of inhibiting neuronal excitation. Another interesting piece of evidence is that estrogen, which regulates the activity of potassium large conductance calcium-activated channels known as BK channels (Dick and Sanders, 2001), has an antipsychotic-like effect (Kulkarni et al., 2001).

We should point out several limitations with the present study. First, we used a limited number of behavioral models and c-Fos immunocytochemistry to identify the antipsychotic property of iptakalim. The exact molecular mechanisms responsible for iptakalim effects in these tests have not been addressed. Second, we have not compared iptakalim with other ATP-sensitive potassium openers such as diazoxide in animal models of antipsychotic drugs, thus whether the K<sub>ATP</sub> channels represent an effective
novel target for future antipsychotics remain to be determined. Third, we did not examine to what extent iptakalim’s peripheral antihypertensive effect contributes to its behavioral effects as shown in this study, and we also have not examined the chronic effect of iptakalim treatment on other animal models of antipsychotic drugs. Nevertheless, the present study provides important preliminary evidence suggesting that iptakalim may function as a novel antipsychotic drug. If iptakalim’s therapeutic potentials are confirmed, it would contribute to broader understanding of the neuropathophysiology of schizophrenia and intensify the effort to further examine the role of the KATP channel in the etiology of schizophrenia.
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