

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Cornhusker Economics

Agricultural Economics Department

---

7-2011

## Gender, Interdisciplinarity and Global Food Crises

Marianna Khachatryan

*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*, mariannakhach@yahoo.com

Ann Mari May

*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*, amay@unlnotes.unl.edu

Gale Summerfield

*University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*, summrfld@illinois.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon\\_cornhusker](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker)



Part of the [Agricultural and Resource Economics Commons](#)

---

Khachatryan, Marianna; May, Ann Mari; and Summerfield, Gale, "Gender, Interdisciplinarity and Global Food Crises" (2011). *Cornhusker Economics*. 533.

[http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon\\_cornhusker/533](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker/533)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Economics Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cornhusker Economics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# CORNHUSKER ECONOMICS

## Gender, Interdisciplinarity and Global Food Crises

Market Report	Yr Ago	4 Wks Ago	7/22/11
<b><u>Livestock and Products,</u></b>			
<b><u>Weekly Average</u></b>			
Nebraska Slaughter Steers, 35-65% Choice, Live Weight. . . . .	\$94.86	\$112.37	\$108.38
Nebraska Feeder Steers, Med. & Large Frame, 550-600 lb. . . . .	137.25	149.00	148.00
Nebraska Feeder Steers, Med. & Large Frame 750-800 lb. . . . .	117.95	136.25	135.00
Choice Boxed Beef, 600-750 lb. Carcass. . . . .	154.94	177.16	178.50
Western Corn Belt Base Hog Price Carcass, Negotiated. . . . .	77.63	99.47	98.93
Pork Carcass Cutout, 185 lb. Carcass, 51-52% Lean. . . . .	83.83	97.70	99.55
Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., Heavy, Wooled, South Dakota, Direct. . . . .	122.00	*	192.00
National Carcass Lamb Cutout, FOB. . . . .	310.37	402.81	408.30
<b><u>Crops,</u></b>			
<b><u>Daily Spot Prices</u></b>			
Wheat, No. 1, H.W. Imperial, bu. . . . .	4.45	6.43	6.95
Corn, No. 2, Yellow Omaha, bu. . . . .	3.37	6.62	7.28
Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow Omaha, bu. . . . .	9.94	13.36	13.80
Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow Dorchester, cwt. . . . .	5.64	10.54	11.79
Oats, No. 2, Heavy Minneapolis, MN, bu. . . . .	2.54	3.45	3.71
<b><u>Feed</u></b>			
Alfalfa, Large Square Bales, Good to Premium, RFV 160-185 Northeast Nebraska, ton. . . . .	150.00	185.00	180.00
Alfalfa, Large Rounds, Good Platte Valley, ton. . . . .	*	135.00	135.00
Grass Hay, Large Rounds, Good Nebraska, ton. . . . .	72.50	72.50	72.50
Dried Distillers Grains, 10% Moisture, Nebraska Average. . . . .	85.50	197.00	195.00
Wet Distillers Grains, 65-70% Moisture, Nebraska Average. . . . .	26.50	70.00	74.00
<b>*No Market</b>			

Higher education plays a pivotal role in analyzing and offering potential solutions to the world's problems, and seldom have the world's economic and social problems appeared more critical. As the world's population increases and faces the unpredictable effects of climate change and begins to come to terms with the possibility of peak oil and its implications, we face rising concerns about food security and global food crises.

As we work to address these growing concerns it is increasingly apparent that our research must be interdisciplinary in nature. Because women play critical roles in the supply and consumption of agricultural products, these interdisciplinary efforts must also focus on the gendered dimensions of these problems. Is higher education, which has developed as a network of associations that are largely discipline specific and utilizes a reward structure that eschews interdisciplinary contributions, prepared for the task? Can the disciplines of economics and agricultural economics successfully contribute solutions to these problems without paying more attention to gender issues? What can universities, government agencies and non-governmental organizations do to foster interdisciplinary dialogue and the utilization of gendered research?

The global food price crisis of 2007-08, which was followed by economic recession and financial crisis, foreshadowed the interconnectedness of food and energy policies and the vulnerability to rising food prices of the vast numbers of poor and chronically malnourished people throughout the world. Diminishing access to food for growing numbers of the poor in regions of Sub-Saharan Africa, as well as land grabs by richer countries demonstrate the sense of urgency for examining the multifaceted nature of the growing food security problem. Women, as producers and consumers, and in their intimate role in the process of provisioning within the household, must be included at all stages in the analysis of solutions surrounding the growing food crisis.

Developing countries are dependent on agricultural production, and women smallholders produce a majority of the food grown in these developing countries. Although this varies by region, in Eastern Asia women comprise about 50 percent of agricultural labor (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2011). In many African countries women



comprise up to 70 percent of the agricultural labor, producing 60-80 percent of the food stuffs and processing almost 100 percent of basic food stuffs (FAO, 1996). Clearly, the status of women and their roles and participation in agricultural production activities are important.

The central role for women as critical determinants in the distribution of resources within the household is well documented. In his stunning article published in the *New York Times* entitled "More Than 100 Million Women Are Missing," Amartya Sen (1990), reveals the human toll of gender inequality and lack of equal access to the basic resources for survival, especially in the early years of life. Further research by Klasen and Wink (2003), offers evidence to suggest that increased access to education and employment opportunities have reduced some of the gender bias, but that sex-selective abortions are on the rise. Most troubling, in areas plagued by famine and endemic hunger evidence suggests that when food is scarce, families will cut back on education and health services – erasing many of the gains made by women and children over the past decade.

Rising food prices hurt the poor and other marginalized groups, but women and girls are hurt disproportionately. More than half of those living in poverty throughout the world are women (e.g., in 2008 about 70 percent of those who lived in absolute poverty were women (Agarwal, 2010)). The poor in developing African countries spend 50-75 percent (and the poorest up to 100 percent) of their income on food (United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), 2009, p.iii and p. 13). Increases in food prices for those whose budgets are taken up with basic provisioning are especially detrimental.

Women involved in agriculture have less access to productive resources, markets and services compared to men (FAO, 2011; Agarwal, 2010). Having less access to resources often results in lower productivity and returns for women, and hinders their contributions to the agricultural sector. The roles and status of women in agriculture are diverse, and vary based on region, ethnicity, age and social class. However, evidence of a gender gap in assets, inputs and services, such as land, livestock, labor, education, technology and financial services continues to be an ongoing concern.

**Figure: Cereal Yield and Gender Inequality**



\*SIGI (Social Institutions and Gender Index) is a measure of gender discrimination constructed by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), Source: FAO 2011.

What are the possible gains from reducing gender inequality? As the table above shows, countries with less gender inequality (SIGI groups 1-4) are able to achieve higher average

cereal yields. According to empirical studies conducted in many different countries, women farmers are found to be as efficient as men farmers when controlling for differences in resources (FAO, 2011). If women and men had the same access to resources, women could increase their yields by 20-30 percent, increasing the total agricultural output in the developing world by 2.5-4 percent, which could in turn reduce the number of hungry people worldwide by 12-17 percent (FAO, 2011, p. 5). In 2010, 925 million people in the world were undernourished (FAO, 2011). Reducing the number of hungry people by 12-17 percent as a result of equal access to productive resources to women is not insignificant, and mechanisms to reduce this inequality must be found.

What can we do to provide ways to reduce the gender inequality in access to resources? In what ways can we improve the delivery of technology to women smallholders, thus reducing the resource gap and increasing the productivity of women? Research teams must include gender specialists familiar with gender schemas, cultural mores and legal rights for women, and better understand the ways women are integrated into economic opportunities such as value chains. Efforts to reduce future food crises require the inclusion of women in research, delivery and development of new agricultural products.

**References:**

Agarwal, B. (2010). "Rethinking Agricultural Production Collectives." *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol XLV (9), p. 64-78.

Klasen, S. and C. Wink (2003). "Missing Women: Revisiting the Debate." *Feminist Economics* 9(2-3), 263 -299.

Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Report (2011). "The State of Food and Agriculture 2010-2011: Women in Agriculture: Closing the Gender Gap for Development."

Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) (1996). "Women and Sustainable Food Security." available at: <http://www.fao.org/SD/FSdirect/FBdirect/FSP001.htm>

Sen, A. (1990). "More Than 100 Million Women Are Missing." *New York Review of Books* 37(20).

United Nation Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). "The Status of Food Security in Africa." Regional Implementation Meeting for CSD-18, 27-30 Oct. 2009, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Marianna Khachatryan, Graduate Student  
Dept. of Agricultural Economics  
University of Nebraska-Lincoln  
[mariannakhach@yahoo.com](mailto:mariannakhach@yahoo.com)

Dr. Ann Mari May, (402) 472-1781  
Professor, Dept. of Economics  
University of Nebraska-Lincoln  
Executive Vice President and Treasurer  
International Association for Feminist Economics (IAFFE)  
[amay@unlnotes.unl.edu](mailto:amay@unlnotes.unl.edu)

Dr. Gale Summerfield, (217) 333-1977  
Director, Women and Gender in Global Perspectives Program  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Executive Vice President and Secretary  
International Association for Feminist Economics (IAFFE)  
[summrfld@illinois.edu](mailto:summrfld@illinois.edu)

❖ SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL TIME!!! ❖  
IF YOU HAVEN'T RENEWED, THIS IS YOUR LAST ISSUE!!!