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ABSTRACT

Península Valdés (PV) in Argentina is an important calving ground for southern right whales (SRWs, *Eubalaena australis*). Since 2005, right whale mortality has increased at PV, with most of the deaths (~90%) being calves <3 m old. We investigated the potential involvement of harmful algal blooms (HABs) in these deaths by examining data that include: timing of the SRW deaths, biotoxins in samples from dead SRWs, abundances of the diatom, *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp., and the dinoflagellate, *Alexandrium tamarense*, shellfish harvesting closure dates, seasonal availability of whale prey at PV and satellite chlorophyll data. Evidence of the whales’ exposure to HAB toxins includes trace levels of paralytic shellfish toxins (PSTs) and domoic acid (DA) in tissues of some dead whales, and fragments of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. frustules in whale feces. Additionally, whales are present at PV during both closures of the shellfish industry (due to high levels of PSTs) and periods with high levels of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. and *A. tamarense*. There is a positive statistical relationship between monthly *Pseudo-nitzschia* densities (but not *A. tamarense*) and calf deaths in both gulfs of PV.
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Península Valdés (PV) is an important calving ground for the population of southern right whales (SRWs, *Eubalaena australis*) that live in the western South Atlantic Ocean. PV is located on the northern Patagonian coast of Argentina, south of Golfo San Matías (GSM) and situated between two gulfs, Golfo San José (GSJ) which opens to the north, and Golfo Nuevo (GN) which opens to the south (Fig. 1). SRWs are present at PV from May to December. Most calves are born in August with peak numbers of whales present in August–September (Payne 1986, Crespo et al. 2014). Little food is available at PV early in the season (Menéndez et al. 2011), and the mothers are primarily fasting while on the calving ground (Thomas and Taber 1984). However, adults and juveniles begin to feed sporadically on spring zooplankton patches later in the season, late September and early October (Payne 1986, Bastida and Rodríguez 2003, Sironi 2004, Hoffmeyer et al. 2010). The whales leave PV in October and November (Thomas and Taber 1984, Crespo et al. 2014), for their summer feeding grounds.

The SRW mortality rate in the PV region increased suddenly in 2005 (Fig. 2). The average number of deaths went from <6 deaths/year during 1971–2004 to 65 deaths/year during 2005–2014 (Uhart et al. 2008b, 2009; Rowntree et al. 2013, Sironi et al. 2014). Between 2005 and 2014, 90% of the deaths were calves <3 m old. The deaths are anomalous for several reasons: mass deaths are generally rare in baleen whales (Geraci et al. 1989, Rowntree et al. 2013); the deaths at PV occurred over the entire breeding season and were not grouped into short periods like most unusual mortality events; they were recurrent from year to year; and, they were heavily biased towards newborn calves. A 2010 workshop of the International Whaling Commission (2011) discussed the possible causes for the deaths and proposed the following three most likely causes: (1) a decrease in food abundance, (2) biotoxins produced by harmful algal blooms (HABs), and (3) infectious disease. Kelp gull (*Larus dominicanus*) harassment (feeding on skin and blubber pecked from the whales’ backs) in PV was recently added as a fourth potential factor during a second workshop in 2014 (Rowntree et al. 1998, Fazio et al. 2012, Thomas et al. 2013, International Whaling Commission 2015).
Because biological toxins can result in delayed or remote animal exposure, HABs are obvious potential culprits when investigating unusual marine animal deaths, even in the apparent absence of toxin-producing algae (Flewelling et al. 2005). The PV region has a considerable history of HABs. They were first documented there in 1980 (Table 1) when two people died from exposure to paralytic shellfish toxins (PSTs) produced by the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium tamarense* (Carreto et al. 1986, Esteves...
et al. 1992). Two more episodes of human illness and death were attributed to PST exposure in the middle and late 1980s (Table 1). Consequently in 1985 the Ministry of Fisheries of Chubut Province established a shellfish monitoring program in PV, which is one of the main suppliers of bivalve mollusks for human consumption in Argentina (Orensanz et al. 1991). Most of the known occurrences of elevated HAB species at PV have not been associated with significant outbreaks of illness or fatalities in humans or other animals (Table 1), despite reaching levels that were deemed high risk or very high risk, according to human risk standards (ANZECC and ARM-CANZ 2000).

The HAB monitoring and research efforts at PV have focused on the dinoflagellate, *A. tamarense* (Table 1), previously *Gonyaulax excavata* (Balech 1971) and *A. excavatum* (Balech and Tangen 1985), which can produce PSTs, including the neurotoxin saxitoxin (STX). Another HAB genus that occurs at PV is the diatom, *Pseudo-nitzschia*, some species of which can produce the neurotoxin DA. Five different potentially toxic *Pseudo-nitzschia* species: *P. pseudodelicatissima*, *P. fraudulenta*, *P. pungens*, *P. australis*, and *P. multiseries*, have been found in the PV region, although some only at low abundances (Andrinolo et al. 1999, Sastre et al. 2007, Cadaillón 2012). DA analysis was added to the PV monitoring program in 2005, and it was immediately detected in shellfish samples, but at levels below the regulatory limit (Sastre et al. 2007). To date there have been no known deaths or episodes of illness in people or animals due to DA exposure in the PV region.

Ocean color satellite data are an important tool for detecting and monitoring algal blooms, including HABs, due to the large spatial scale and the high frequency of

---

**Figure 2.** Number of Southern Right Whale (SRW) deaths at Peninsula Valdes (PV) from 1971 to 2014. Deaths are recorded from June to December, when the whales are present at PV. The total number of deaths in Golfo San Jose (GSJ) are shown in green, those in Golfo Nuevo (GN) are shown in blue and those on the Atlantic coast are in orange. Time periods when the satellite ocean color sensors used in this paper were in operation are marked on the plot. Periods with only one sensor in orbit are in light gray, periods with two sensors in orbit are in dark gray. The timing of the establishment of the Southern Right Whale Health Monitoring Program (SRWHMP) is also indicated.
Table 1. List of documented blooms of toxic phytoplankton or mass mortality events at Peninsula Valdes (PV) and on the Argentine Sea. The maximum abundance of phytoplankton is listed where reported, along with an estimated risk level for that abundance (ANZECC and ARMCANZ 2000): VH = very high, H = high, Low = low; GN = Golfo Nuevo, GSJ = Golfo San Jose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Concentration, risk</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. tamarense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1980</td>
<td>PV tidal front</td>
<td>2 human fatalities</td>
<td>120,000 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Carreto et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1981</td>
<td>PV tidal front</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8 x 10^6 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Carreto et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-February 1985</td>
<td>Engaño Bay</td>
<td>4 human fatalities</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Vecchio et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 1988</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>4 human illnesses</td>
<td>750,000 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Esteves et al. 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1993</td>
<td>Argentine Sea</td>
<td>massive mackerel die-off</td>
<td>10,000 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Montoya et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1993</td>
<td>GSJ</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Sastre et al. 2001, Santinelli et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1998</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>4,400 dead penguins and other seabirds</td>
<td>21,800 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Shumway et al. 2003, Gayoso and Fulco 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October-December 2000</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August-September, November 2010</td>
<td>GSJ, GN</td>
<td>3,000 cells/L, H</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cadaillón 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-nitzschia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1993</td>
<td>GSJ</td>
<td></td>
<td>345,000 cells/L, H</td>
<td>Sastre et al. 2001, Santinelli et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 1993</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6 x 10^6 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Sastre et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2005</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td></td>
<td>24,200 cells/L, L</td>
<td>Sastre et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2010</td>
<td>GSJ, GN</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 x 10^6 cells/L, VH</td>
<td>Cadaillón 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinophysis acuminata</td>
<td>GSJ</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gil et al. 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prorocentrum lima</td>
<td>GSJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1993</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>&gt;40 human illnesses</td>
<td>100 cells/L, L</td>
<td>Santinelli et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1999</td>
<td>GSJ, GN</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;100 cells/L, L</td>
<td>Gayoso et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (but deaths attributed to PST)</td>
<td>GSJ, GN</td>
<td>&gt;100 dead or dying kelp gulls and royal terns</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Uhart et al. 2008a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
satellite observations (Stumpf et al. 2003, Tomlinson et al. 2009). Ocean color data primarily measure the concentration of chlorophyll-a in the surface water, generally without the ability to distinguish different types of phytoplankton. However, some HAB species have unique optical properties and specific algorithms have been developed for their detection (Cannizzaro et al. 2008). But many genera, such as Pseudo-nitzschia and Alexandrium, do not have optically unique properties, and thus cannot be identified by satellite data (Tweddle et al. 2010). Potential HABs can be detected by examining chlorophyll anomalies in areas where HAB species dominate the phytoplankton assemblage when in bloom (Stumpf et al. 2003). Regardless of which method is used, in situ water sampling is needed to identify unequivocally the causative species within a bloom and assess toxicity. Once a HAB has been identified, satellite ocean color data are invaluable for tracking its movement and persistence.


Material and Methods

Whale Deaths

The Ocean Alliance has been recording deaths of SRW at PV since 1971, although effort has increased over time (Rowntree et al. 2013), culminating in the development of a formal stranding program in 2003, the Southern Right Whale Health Monitoring Program (SRWHMP). Data presented here are for each gulf (GN and GSJ) between 1971 and 2014. Tissue samples for biotoxin analyses were collected from dead whales in 2004–2010, 2012 by the SRWHMP. Along with tissue sample collection, data on the location, date, age, sex, and length (as a proxy for age) of each dead whale were also recorded. An important caveat is that dates associated with the whale deaths are the dates when the carcasses were discovered, which could be substantially later than the date of death. More details on necropsy procedures can be found in Rowntree et al. (2013).

Biotoxins in Dead Whale Tissues

Analyses for the presence of biotoxins were conducted on 118 samples collected from 50 calves and one adult that died at PV. Most samples were analyzed for both PSTs and DA, but a few samples were analyzed only for DA due to small sample size. The analyses were performed by three different laboratories: the National Ocean Service (NOS) National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science in Charleston, South Carolina, U.S.A., the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero (INIDEP) in Argentina, and the Dirección de Salud Ambiental of Chubut Province in Argentina (Table S1). Most of the samples (60%) were collected in 2008. A variety of sample types were tested, predominantly urine, feces, and stomach contents (60%, Table S1).
A detailed description of sample collection, preparation, and toxin analysis methods used by each laboratory is given in Appendix S1.

Abundances of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and Alexandrium tamarense at PV

Monthly sampling for Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and Alexandrium tamarense in GSJ and GN began in 2000 (see locations on Fig. 1). Phytoplankton sampling is conducted by the Ministry of Fisheries, Chubut Province (Argentina). Qualitative and quantitative analyses are made in the Laboratorio de Hidrobiología de la Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia (Hydrobiology Laboratory at the National University of Patagonia) to determine the presence or absence of potentially toxic species, sampling frequency, and the timing of shellfish closures. Phytoplankton samples are collected with a 30 µm mesh plankton net and fixed in 4% formaldehyde (for qualitative analysis) and in Van Dorn bottles and fixed in Lugol solution (for quantitative analysis). Microplankton are quantified with an inverted microscope (Lund et al. 1958). Taxonomic identification of A. tamarense is carried out following the Balech methodology (Balech 1995). Pseudo-nitzschia spp. frustules are cleaned following the method of Hasle and Fryxell (1970) and identified using the scanning electron microscope at La Plata Museum, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires. Data presented here are for GN and GSJ from 2000 to 2014.

Shellfish Closures

In response to HAB-related deaths in the early 1980s the Ministry of Fisheries of Chubut Province established a shellfish sampling program in 1985, but data are readily available only starting in 2003. Since then the shellfisheries in both GSJ and GN have been closed annually when levels of PSTs were above the regulatory limit of 80 µg STX eq/100 g. There have been no closures due to DA (the limit for DA is 20 µg/g). Closures are based upon sampling from three sites in GSJ (Riacho, Larralde, and Bengoa) and three in GN (Punta Pardelas, Puerto Madryn, and Playa Paraná) (Fig. 1). Both phytoplankton and shellfish are collected and tested for the presence of PSTs and DA. In winter, when A. tamarense and Pseudo-nitzschia are absent, shellfish sampling is conducted on a monthly basis. When A. tamarense and Pseudo-nitzschia begin to appear in plankton samples, shellfish are sampled and tested weekly. If the regulatory toxin limit is exceeded, the shellfishery is closed and sampling is conducted on a monthly basis until A. tamarense and Pseudo-nitzschia disappear, at which point sampling frequency becomes weekly again. Shellfish closure dates are presented here for GN and GSJ from 2003 to 2014.

Zooplankton (Whale Prey Abundance and Consumption)

To evaluate the temporal availability of whale prey in the PV region, data on mesozooplankton (0.2–20 mm) concentrations were compiled from the published literature for GN, GSJ, and GSM. Data on total mesozooplankton (MSZ) biomass per cubic meter in dry weight (dw) were collected by Ramírez (1996), who sampled GSM seasonally from April 1974 to February 1975. Additional MSZ biomass data for GSM were collected from May 2000 to May 2001 by Hoffmeyer and Pascual (2006) and for GN from December 1997 to September 1998 by Hoffmeyer (this study). MSZ biomass data were derived by multiplying the abundance data with the measured individual biomass values for the copepods Paracalanus parvus, Ctenocalanus
vanus, Oithona nana and Calanus australis (Fernández Aráoz 1994). Data for the remaining species were estimated using individual biomass values for similarly sized organisms. For example, O. nana and P. parvus biomass values were used for small MSZ such as cladocerans, invertebrate larvae or other copepods; those of C. vanus were used for intermediate sized organisms; and those of C. australis were used for other large copepods, fish larvae, and amphipods.

Satellite Data

Ocean color data were analyzed from the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS), the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) on GeoEye’s OrbView-2 satellite, the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensor on NASA’s Aqua satellite, and the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) on the NOAA/NASA Suomi NPP satellite. Both 8 d and monthly composites were examined. The spatial resolution of the data sets are 0.04° (4 km) for CZCS, 0.1° (9 km) for SeaWiFS, 0.04° (4 km) for MODIS, and for 0.04° (4 km) for VIIRS. The CZCS sensor operated on an intermittent schedule and collected data only 2 h per day on average, with an emphasis on coastal waters of the U.S.A. Monthly composites of sea-surface temperature (SST) from MODIS/Aqua were also used in the multivariate analysis. Monthly composites of CZCS data were obtained from NASA’s GSFC’s ocean color web (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/). All other satellite data were obtained from the ERDDAP (Environmental Research Division’s Data Access Program, http://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/erddap) server at NOAA’s Environmental Research Division (Simons 2011). Data presented are for GN and GSJ from 1997 to 2014. Here a bloom is defined as chlorophyll concentrations >5 mg/m³, which is more than twice the climatological value of ~2 mg/m³ for springtime chlorophyll at PV (Williams et al. 2013).

Statistical Analyses of Calf Deaths in Relation to Toxin Producers and Other Covariates

The data sets presented here were collected at different intervals by research programs with unrelated aims, so to facilitate statistical analysis of their temporal covariation, values were averaged by months within years (2000–2014), combining all observations within a given month. Calf deaths were treated as the response variable, with log-transformed Pseudo-nitzschia and A. tamarense cell densities, MODIS chlorophyll and MODIS SST treated as covariates. These variables were scored separately for GN and GSJ, so gulf was treated as a factor. Month (July–December) was treated as a factor in some analyses, and as a scalar covariate in others (7–12), because SST steadily increases and whale densities change through the calving season. Analyses were carried out in R, using the general linear model (glm) function and the quasipoisson family of link functions, to account for the overdispersion of calf deaths per month.

Results

Whale Mortality

Prior to 2005 mortality increased at the same rate that the population was growing (6.8% per year; Cooke et al. 2003, Rowntree et al. 2013), but in 2005 the mortality
rate increased abruptly (Fig. 2). High mortality years, defined as ≥35 deaths (Rowntree et al. 2013), occurred in 2005, 2007–2013. During the 10 yr period from 2005 to 2014, more than three times as many deaths were recorded (649) than in the previous 30 yr (194). Since 2005, 90% of the deaths have been calves <3 mo old (81% of the deaths were calves between 1971 and 2004) (Rowntree et al. 2013). The highest mortality year was 2012 when 116 whales died, including 113 calves (97%). More deaths occurred in GN (68%) than in GSJ (27%). However, in 2014 the number of deaths declined to 23.

The greater effort to find dead whales following establishment of the SRWHMP in 2003 does not explain the increase in the mortality rate (Rowntree et al. 2013). There is no consistent pattern in the timing of the deaths. Using October 1 to delineate “early” and “late” phases of the calving season, Rowntree et al. (2013) found that some years had more deaths early in the season, some years had more late in the season, and some years the deaths were evenly distributed between early and late in the season.

**Biotoxins in Whale Tissues**

Detectable levels of DA (produced by *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp.) were present in only 2 of the 108 samples analyzed (2%, Table 2). DA was found in whole blood samples from an adult female and an unrelated male calf with concentrations of 7 and 3 ng/mL of blood, respectively. The limit of quantification of this method was 1.25 ng/mL of sample. Both whales died in 2005, 22 days apart and in separate gulfs of PV. These deaths coincided with the first record of DA in coastal waters of Chubut province (GN and Bahía Camarones) (Sastre et al. 2007). Few blood samples were collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Samples that tested positive for detectable levels of domoic acid (DA) and paralytic shellfish toxins (PSTs) among the 118 tissue samples collected from 51 right whales that died at Peninsula Valdes (PV) in 2003–2010 and 2012 (see Table S1). All positive analyses were performed at the NOAA/NOS laboratory. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and receptor binding assays (RBA) were used to measure toxin levels. Methods are described in Appendix S1. (A = adult, C = calf, &lt;dl = below the detection limit, GSJ = Golfo San Jose, GN = Golfo Nuevo).</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whale ID</td>
<td>Date collected</td>
<td>Age class</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>Sample type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea21-05</td>
<td>19 October 2005</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>Blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea38-05</td>
<td>11 November 2005</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>Blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea97-08</td>
<td>2 December 2008</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>Feces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea01-09</td>
<td>30 June 2009</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea01-09</td>
<td>30 June 2009</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Stomach tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea01-09</td>
<td>30 June 2009</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Feces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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from other whales for comparison (Table S1). However other sample types such as feces, urine, and gastric content that are typically better indicators of DA exposure all tested negative (limit of detection 2.5 ng/g).

Saxitoxin-like activity (produced by *Alexandrium tamarense*) was detected by receptor binding assay (RBA) (Van Dolah *et al.* 2012) in 4 of the 105 samples analyzed (4%). The four samples were collected from two calves that died in 2008 and 2009, and had STX concentrations in the range of 172–800 ng/g (Table 2). The average detection limit of the RBA was 60 ng STX equivalents per gram of extracted sample or 29 ng STX equivalents per milliliter of urine. LC/MS analyses did not confirm the presence of PSTs as sample matrices caused significant shifts in toxin retention times (compared with reference standards), even with 15-fold sample dilutions.

**Abundances of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and *Alexandrium tamarense* at PV**

Monthly sampling for *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. and *A. tamarense* in GSJ and GN from 2000 through 2014 indicates their consistent presence in the region (Fig. 3). Levels of potential risk assessment to humans, as determined by ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000), are based on cell abundance (cells/L, see Fig. 3). These are classified as potential risks because high cell abundances do not always equate to high levels of toxicity. Cell counts of *Pseudo-nitzschia* in both gulfs were at low risk levels until the end of 2006 when they increased to the high risk category in GSJ. Between 2007 and 2013 the highest cell counts in GSJ were all in the high risk category (Fig. 3). The *Pseudo-nitzschia* cell counts in GN were slightly lower than those in GSJ, but almost all years between 2007 and 2013 had values above the low risk level. *Pseudo-nitzschia* cell counts in both gulfs decreased in 2013 and 2014 with all *Pseudo-nitzschia* values being only of low or moderate risk in 2014.

Only one sample had a high risk level of *A. tamarense* in GN in 2000, but the frequency of high risk and very high risk levels of *A. tamarense* has increased since then (Fig. 3). Between 2007 and 2013 most of the times when *A. tamarense* has been present in either GN or GSJ, it has been at levels of high or very high risk. Values decreased in 2014 when there were no very high risk levels of *A. tamarense* observed in either gulf (Fig. 3). Very high risk levels of both *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. and *A. tamarense* have occurred more often in GSJ than in GN.

The abundances of these HAB taxa show a similar pattern, with gradual increases in cell counts from 2000 to 2012, which plateaus at very high risk levels around 2007, and then declines in 2013 and 2014. This pattern is similar to the pattern in the annual whale deaths at PV (red line in Fig. 3) which has high values (>50 deaths/year) from 2007 to 2013, and a sharp decline to 23 deaths in 2014.

**Shellfish Closures**

Since at least 2002 the PV shellfish beds have been closed several months every year for harvesting as a result of high levels of PSTs (Fig. 4). The closures usually start in September or October and continue through February or March of the following year. From 2003 to 2012, closure dates began earlier in each consecutive year, indicating that PSTs appeared in shellfish and phytoplankton earlier each year until 2013. In 2003 the closures started in October, but by 2012 they started in August. This trend is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level for the years 2003–2012 ($r^2 = 0.56$ and 0.45 for GSJ and GN respectively, $P < 0.05$ for both, Fig. 4). In 2013 and 2014, however, the closure start dates shifted to later in the year,
Figure 3. Time series of the maximum abundance of (top) *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. and (bottom) *A. tamarense* at Peninsula Valdes (PV) between 2000 and 2014. Values from Golfo San Jose (GSJ) are black, values for Golfo Nuevo (GN) are green. The data are the maximum value from the three sampling spots in each gulf. Gray lines delineate the different levels of risk of intoxication for human shellfish consumption (ANZECC and ARMCANZ 2000). The annual number of Southern Right Whales (SRW) deaths in Peninsula Valdes (PV) is shown as a red line.
September and October, respectively. When these years are included in the analysis the regression is no longer significant (GSJ: $r^2 = 0.17$, $P = 0.17$; GN: $r^2 = 0.16$, $P = 0.19$). No trends were observed in the reopening dates ($r^2 = 0.001$ for both GSJ and GN). The whales can occasionally feed at PV during the period when the shellfisheries are closed. The 2008 closure in GN was particularly long, and lasted until June of 2009, which overlapped with the beginning of the whales’ presence at PV. Whale deaths have occurred both before and after closure of the shellfish beds (Fig. 4).

**Zooplankton (Whale Prey and Consumption)**

MSZ abundance varied seasonally in GSM and GN with annual peaks in spring (October and November), usually following spring phytoplankton blooms, and in autumn (April and May) (Fig. 5). The MSZ spring peak in GSJ occurs in December.
Remains of *Calanus* spp. copepods were found in fecal samples collected from both living and dead whales in the PV region (Menéndez et al. 2007, D’Agostino 2013). These fecal samples also contained frustules of the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia*. Those that could be identified to the species level included *P. pungens*, *P. australis*, and the complex *P. pseudodelicatissima* (D’Agostino et al., unpublished results). These species can produce DA, providing evidence that the whales at PV are exposed to potentially toxic diatoms.

**Satellite Chlorophyll Data**

Spring (September–December) chlorophyll concentrations of ~2 mg/m³ are typical of the regional PV ecosystem (Williams et al. 2013). Satellite data from 1997 to
2002 show chlorophyll levels in GSJ and GN to be generally <2 mg/m$^3$ (Fig. 6). In 2003 spring chlorophyll values exceeded 5 mg/m$^3$ in GN, and the following year a bloom (chlorophyll >5 mg/m$^3$) developed in both GSJ and GN. In most years since 2007 spring blooms have occurred in GSJ and GN. From 2003 to 2014, there have been six blooms in GSJ, and nine in GN. There has also been an increase in the minimum chlorophyll values. At the beginning of the time series the minimum chlorophyll value was ~0.8 mg/m$^3$ and ~0.4 mg/m$^3$ in GSJ and GN, respectively. Since 2008 there has been a slight increase in the minimum chlorophyll values observed in both GSJ and GN.

Averages of weekly chlorophyll levels and the number of whale deaths per week from June to December, 2003–2014, are shown in Figure 7 for GSJ and Figure 8 for GN. In GSJ (Fig. 7) the three most significant blooms (chlorophyll >10 mg/m$^3$) occurred in 2004, 2010 and 2014. Two of these years, 2004 and 2014, were low mortality years, with five and four deaths, respectively in GSJ. The GSJ blooms in 2009, 2011 and 2013 had maximum chlorophyll values just slightly above 5 mg/m$^3$, which were not sufficient to show up as shaded areas on Figure 7.

GN has had slightly more blooms than GSJ, and they were usually larger in magnitude (Fig. 8). The largest GN blooms occurred in 2004 and 2008, which lasted about two months with maximum chlorophyll values >30 mg/m$^3$. In 2004, 8 whales died at GN, but in 2008 68 whales died at GN; however, most of 2008 deaths occurred before the development of the chlorophyll bloom. In this case, diatom-dominated blooms with a relatively weak chlorophyll signature, but potentially including _Pseudo-nitzschia_ as a component of the phytoplankton assemblage, may have preceded
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Figure 7. Time series of weekly binned satellite chlorophyll (solid lines of different colors depending on sensor) and number of whale deaths/week (connected red dots) during May–December for Golfo San Jose for the years 2003–2014. SeaWiFS data are shown as a black line, MODIS as a blue line and VIIRS as a green line. Areas shaded in yellow indicate chlorophyll levels above the bloom threshold value (5 mg/m$^3$). Gray indicates periods of shellfish closures. Black lines indicate 1 October and the beginning of the “late” season (see text), when whales begin to feed. The sizes of green and pink symbols indicate the relative abundances of Pseudo-nitzschia and A. tamarense respectively. Black stars show times when tissue samples from dead whales indicated exposure to biotoxins (from Table 2).
the denser chlorophyll bloom detected by satellite. A seasonal succession from diatom- to flagellate- (including dinoflagellates) dominated assemblages is well-documented in coastal waters (Smayda 1980), although the actual drivers of this transition will be specific to the hydrographic characteristics of a given location and their interaction with the phytoplankton community. The blooms in GSJ tend to
develop later in the season, late October or November, whereas the blooms in GN tend to develop late September. Development of the blooms is shown in the animation in Figure S1.

Monthly composites of chlorophyll from the CZCS sensor for PV from August to November between 1979 and 1985 for PV were also examined (Fig. S2). Only 8 of the 28 months had sufficient data coverage of the PV area, none of which indicated the presence of a bloom in either GSJ or GN. However, there was a bloom observed in GSM as well as in the tidal front area (Acha et al. 2004; see Fig. 1) in November 1981 at the same time that there was a outbreak of A. tamarense in the tidal front area (Carreto et al. 1986).

*Calf Deaths in Relation to Potentially Toxic Microplankton and Other Variables*

Calf deaths vary strongly with seasonality (months and SST) and geography (gulfs), so these were included as nuisance factors in all statistical models to control for their effects. With these controls in place, chlorophyll did not explain significant amounts of variation in calf mortality, and it was therefore dropped from the analyses. *Pseudo-nitzschia* densities consistently emerged as a significant explanatory variable, but A. tamarense densities did not, even in the most highly parameterized models. The best model (as judged by reduction of the residual deviance) is one with *Pseudo-nitzschia*, SST (as its gulf-specific difference from the month-specific average), gulf as a factor, and the six months (July–December) as factors (Table 3). The same model with month-number as a covariate is nearly as good (not shown). The positive statistical relationship between monthly *Pseudo-nitzschia* densities and calf deaths is seen in both gulfs (Fig. 9). There is considerable scatter, but on average more calves die in months when nonzero estimates of PN density were above average; the consistency of this pattern implies that it did not arise by chance (Table 3).

In this model, zero-density estimates of *Pseudo-nitzschia* and A. tamarense were treated as missing data rather than as true zeros, for two reasons. First, the zeros are discordant with the distributions of the positive (finite) estimates of cell densities, as can be seen clearly in Figure 3; this suggests that the zeros arise from some process

*Table 3.* Summary of model fit for preferred multivariate analysis of variations in calf mortality and density of biotoxins producing species and chlorophyll. *Pseudo-nitzschia* (PN) density is included, but A. tamarense (AT) density is not, nor is chlorophyll, as discussed in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.9564</td>
<td>0.7288</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0.1931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(1 + PN)</td>
<td>0.2171</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>2.2e-06***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST - (average SST)</td>
<td>-0.9822</td>
<td>0.3087</td>
<td>-3.18</td>
<td>0.0021**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as.factor(gulf)GSJ</td>
<td>-1.5466</td>
<td>0.1969</td>
<td>-7.86</td>
<td>1.3e-11***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as.factor(Month)8</td>
<td>1.3281</td>
<td>0.6741</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.0522*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as.factor(Month)9</td>
<td>1.2191</td>
<td>0.6723</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.0734*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as.factor(Month)10</td>
<td>0.9670</td>
<td>0.6838</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.1611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as.factor(Month)11</td>
<td>-0.5347</td>
<td>0.7759</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.4926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as.factor(Month)12</td>
<td>-0.7230</td>
<td>0.7507</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>0.3382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* The asterisks indicate the significance level according to the P-value computed, *** for $P < 0.001$, ** for $P < 0.01$, and * for $P < 0.1$. Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 2.8549. Null deviance: 651.84 on 91 degrees of freedom. Residual deviance: 238.41 on 83 degrees of freedom.
different from the one that generates variation among the positive estimates. For example, zeros could result from offshore winds or currents that move Pseudo-nitzchia populations away from the shore-based sampling stations. Second, statistical models that include the zeros yield results that are qualitatively consistent with those that do not include them, but the fits are not as good and therefore the significance levels are lower.

**Discussion**

*Are Biotoxins the Cause of the Increased Calf Mortality?*

These data indicate that SRW mothers and their calves are being exposed to biotoxin-producing algae in the PV area. Between 2007 and 2013, high risk levels of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and A. tamarense, producers of DA and PSTs, respectively, occurred in both GSJ and GN (Fig. 3). Their increase in abundance at PV has coincided with the increase in whale deaths. For both gulfs there is a positive statistical relationship between calf deaths (Fig. 9) and monthly Pseudo-nitzschia densities, but not A. tamarense densities. This difference between the two species is interesting because it is the opposite of what drives the closure of the regional shellfishery. While DA, the toxin produced by Pseudo-nitzschia, has been detected in the sampling program, it has not been above the regulatory limit. One possible explanation for this apparent paradox relates to the well-documented, highly variable DA content of potentially toxic Pseudo-nitzschia species (Lelong et al. 2012), such that those cells ingested by shellfish may have contained low DA levels. Moreover, Pseudo-nitzschia
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blooms can originate offshore as subsurface populations and become entrained into nearshore surface waters via upwelling events (Schnetzer et al. 2013) or shoaling of internal tides (Noble et al. 2009). Given that cellular toxicity is influenced by numerous environmental factors, and can change depending on fluctuations in population growth status (Trainer et al. 2012), whales could be exposed to aggregations of subsurface *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells with a higher DA content than the cells being ingested by shellfish.

Seasonally there is a temporal disconnect between the abundance of potentially toxic phytoplankton species and the whale population, as the peak cell abundances develop at the end of the year (Fig. 7, 8), when most whales have left PV. Another consideration is that the risk levels are those used for human consumption of shellfish (ANZECC and ARMCANZ 2000), and it is not known how relevant they are for SRW calves, which would be indirectly exposed via their mother’s milk or in utero during gestation (Maucher and Ramsdell 2005, Maucher Fuquay et al. 2012).

Additional indirect evidence for the exposure of SRWs to biotoxins at PV comes from the shellfishery closure data. From 2003-2012 the timing of the shellfishery closures shifted to earlier in the season, from October in 2003 to August in 2012 (Fig. 4), indicating that the whales were being exposed to potentially toxic phytoplankton earlier in the calving season and for a longer period of time. Between 2012 and 2014 the closure dates have been later, shortening the window of potential biotoxin exposure, and the number of deaths has decreased.

While the whales’ dietary targets are not the toxic phytoplankton (*Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. and *A. tamarense*) some phytoplankton (especially long chains of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. cells, which can be 100s of micrometers in length) can be filtered directly by the whales when skim-feeding and/or be vectored to whales through their zooplankton prey species (copepods). Adult and juvenile whales feed sporadically on spring MSZ aggregations in late September and early October when the patches are dense enough to be foraged (Payne 1986, Sironi 2004, Hoffmeyer et al. 2010). A 5 d feeding event was observed in GN in October 2005, when the whales fed on a dense zooplankton patch composed of calanoid copepods (*Calanoides carinatus* and *Calanus australis*) and juvenile euphausids (Hoffmeyer et al. 2010). The most common MSZ species in the region are the copepods, *Ctenocalanus vanus* and *Paracalanus parvus* (Hoffmeyer and Pascual 2006, Menéndez et al. 2011). These species are of a size suitable for capture by right whale baleen, which can efficiently retain zooplankton that is 333 μm or larger (Mayo et al. 2001).

The presence of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. frustules and large quantities of *C. australis* remnants in whales feces collected from live and dead individuals in 2004, 2005, and 2010 (D’Agostino 2013) provides further evidence that whales are likely being exposed to biotoxins at PV through copepods vectors. Gonyautoxins (congeners of STX) and DA were also detected in zooplankton samples of GN and GSJ in 2010 (Cadaill et al. 2012).

Nonetheless, most of the samples from dead whales had no detectable traces of biotoxins, and when present the toxins were at low levels. For example, the PST values found in fecal samples from PV whales were 172 and 800 ng STX eq/g (Table 2), which was within the range of what is found in fecal samples from apparently healthy feeding North Atlantic right whales (19–1,763 ng STX eq/g; Doucette et al. 2012). Water-soluble toxins such as DA and PST are quickly eliminated via urine and feces, making detection difficult in exposed animals. Additionally, it may be impossible to detect toxins in calves, particularly if neural damage from toxins occurred in utero, months before birth. Female rats excrete DA through feces and
urine but it can cross the placental barrier and is not eliminated from the amniotic fluid, where it can accumulate and continually reexpose the fetus during gestation (Brodie et al. 2006, Maucher and Ramsdell 2007, Maucher Fuquay et al. 2012). Rodent fetuses are more susceptible to DA than adults, and levels of biotoxins that are nonsymptomatic to adults can cause subsequent developmental effects in exposed fetuses (Brodie et al. 2006, Maucher and Ramsdell 2007). Prenatal exposure to DA in rodent fetuses has been shown to have long-lasting neurobehavioral effects (Levin et al. 2006, Costa et al. 2010).

Many of the whale calves that died were 6 m or longer (74% between 2005 and 2014), suggesting they probably were at least a month old (the average newborn size is 5.5–6.1 m, Best and Rüther 1992). These older calves could have received biotoxins through their mother's milk (i.e., calf 38-05 tested positive for DA and was 8.5 m long, Table 1). Nursing rats injected with DA transfer it to their offspring through their milk (Maucher and Ramsdell 2005, Maucher and Ramsdell 2007). DA has been found in the milk of California sea lions (Zalophus californianus) and harbor porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) exposed to Pseudo-nitzschia spp. blooms (Rust et al. 2014) and has a longer retention time in milk than in blood or feces (Maucher and Ramsdell 2007, Rust et al. 2014). There have been no studies examining maternal transfer of PSTs to fetuses or neonates in these species. The dead SRW calf that tested positive for PSTs was quite young, 5.5 m in length and died in June 2009 (Table 1), which is very early in the season (most calves are born in August; Payne 1986). This young calf may have been exposed to PSTs in utero since mothers do not usually begin to feed until later in the season and A. tamarense was absent from the area at the time of the calf’s death (Fig. 7).

It is possible that the early season calf deaths were due to exposure of the fetus to biotoxins when the mother was pregnant. While mating is known to happen at PV, there are only a few instances where multiparous mothers (two or more calves recorded) have been sighted the year before calving at PV, so it is not clear if conception occurs at PV (Payne 1986). It is possible that the females only come briefly to PV to mate, and thus are not likely to be photo-identified during the annual aerial survey, or that conception takes place elsewhere (Payne 1986, Best 1994). If the females become pregnant at PV they are likely exposed to biotoxins while there, but it is also possible that the females could have ingested biotoxins outside of the PV region. Adults, including pregnant females, are known to feed on the Patagonian Shelf and shelf-break and around South Georgia (Tormosov et al. 1998, Rowntree et al. 2008, Valenzuela et al. 2009, Zerbini et al. 2015), where prey densities are much higher than at PV (Acha et al. 2004) and where toxic HABs were documented in 1980 and 1981 (Table 2; Carreto et al. 1986, Esteves et al. 1992). However, no data exist on the frequency of HABs in this region.

One period of particularly high whale mortality occurred in GSJ at the end of October 2010 (Fig. 7) when 15 whales died, many of them calves (6 calves, 7 juveniles, and 2 adults). These deaths occurred over a short period of time, and affected all age classes, which is a pattern typically associated with HAB outbreaks, and is unusual at PV. The levels of both Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and A. tamarense were at “high risk” densities at that time (Fig. 7). DA and PST were also found in both the phytoplankton and zooplankton assemblages in GSJ at this time, and adult whales were observed skim feeding (Cadaillón 2012). While this does not provide conclusive evidence that these biotoxins contributed to the deaths, it adds to the compelling body of evidence that some mothers are likely ingesting biotoxins during their time at PV, which could be passed on to their nursing calves through their milk.
Exposure to multiple toxins could have a synergistic effect, increasing the potency of either or both toxins (Fire and Van Dolah 2012). Concurrent exposure to both DA and okadic acid was associated with a mass mortality of bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in Texas (Fire et al. 2011). However, North Atlantic right whales in the Bay of Fundy (BOF) have prolonged concurrent exposure to both PST and DA (Leandro et al. 2010, Doucette et al. 2012) that has not resulted in any unusual mortality event (though calves in the BOF are 6 mo or older). Whether this exposure has effected the overall health and reproductive success of the northern right whale population is not known.

If some of the PV right whale deaths are HAB related, they are unusual in how species- and age-specific they are. In all the years of high whale mortality at PV there have been no reported die-offs of any other marine mammal species, birds or fish, all of which are commonly associated with wildlife mortality attributed to marine HAB toxins (Shumway et al. 2003, de la Riva et al. 2009).

Are the High Chlorophyll Levels at PV Indicative of HABs?

Since it is not possible to definitively identify phytoplankton species from satellite chlorophyll data, it remains uncertain what species caused the chlorophyll blooms reported here and whether or not they were toxic and classifiable as HABs. However, neither the abundances of A. tamarense or Pseudo-nitzschia spp. can account for the high levels of chlorophyll measured by satellite. Given a nominal cellular chlorophyll content of 20 pg chl/cell (Anderson et al. 1990), an A. tamarense abundance of $10^4\text{ cells/L}$ (Fig. 3) would produce 0.2 mg/m$^3$ chlorophyll. Pseudo-nitzschia spp. has a lower cellular chlorophyll content, values range from 0.2 to 1 pg chl/cell (Loureiro et al. 2009, Brunet et al. 2014), which would only produce 0.2–1 mg/m$^3$ chlorophyll for the abundances seen at PV.

Chlorophyll blooms have been slightly less prevalent in GSJ than in GN (Fig. 6), but GSJ has had more occurrences of high levels of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and A. tamarense (Fig. 3). In 2012 the peak in Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and A. tamarense in GN was coincident with the chlorophyll bloom, but in 2008 and 2010 the GN peaks in chlorophyll abundance preceded the peaks of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. The years with the biggest blooms in GN, 2004 and 2008, had low-risk level abundances of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and A. tamarense during the time of the chlorophyll bloom. These observations indicate that the chlorophyll blooms are primarily comprised of other phytoplankton, which is not unusual for many HAB taxa (see Granéli and Turner 2006).

The spatial scales of the two data sets (satellite chlorophyll and phytoplankton abundance) are quite different. The phytoplankton data are the maximum values of samples taken in three different locations in each gulf, all taken very close to shore (see Fig. 1), whereas the chlorophyll data in Figure 7 are averaged across the entire GN. In some periods, notably November 2004, the highest chlorophyll values were in the center of GN (see animation in Fig. S1), and hence coastal samples might not be an adequate representation of what is occurring across the entire gulf. The strong blooms in 2007 and 2008 in GN were coincident with intense green discolorations caused by the dinoflagellate Lepidodinium sp., which is not known to be toxic (Sastre et al. 2010).

Satellite measurements of chlorophyll are derived for global case-1 waters, meaning waters where chlorophyll is the primary constituent in the water. The algorithm can be much less accurate in coastal waters, when there are other constituents in the water.
What Is the Relationship Between the Chlorophyll Blooms at PV and Whale Mortality?

Between 2002 and 2014 there has been a general association between the development of large-magnitude chlorophyll blooms at PV and the increase in whale deaths. However, within years, the timing of major blooms is not correlated with peaks in whale deaths. There were years with strong blooms and little mortality (2004 had 13 deaths in GSJ), and years with no bloom and high mortality (2005 and 2011 had 37 and 61 deaths, respectively, in GSJ). The chlorophyll blooms start to develop in early October or later, which is at the beginning of the “late season” for the whales at PV (Fig. 7), but in 2008, 2009, and 2012 most deaths occurred early in the season, before any bloom developed, and usually before the whales start to feed. Moreover, as discussed above, it does not seem that the increase in the abundance of toxic phytoplankton is responsible for the observed increases in chlorophyll.

Conclusions

(1) SRW mothers and their calves are exposed to biotoxin-producing algae in the PV area. High-risk levels of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. and *A. tamarense* occurred between 2007 and 2013, the period with the highest number of deaths (>50 deaths/year). Since at least 2003, the local shellfisheries have been closed in the latter half of the whale calving season because of PST levels above the regulatory action limit. These closures occur at the time when adult whales start to feed on spring zooplankton blooms at PV. Traces of PSTs and DA have been found in samples from a few dead whales, albeit at very low concentrations. Frustules of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. have been recorded in fecal samples from whales in the region, indicating there is local direct foraging or trophic transfer of these potentially toxic species. The statistical relationships between month-specific *Pseudo-nitzschia* levels and calf mortality (Table 3), in both GN and GSJ (Fig. 9) suggest that this toxin-producing diatom might be a contributing factor. If the SRW mothers are exposed to DA, it is likely transferred to their calves during nursing, since DA is known to be transferred from mother to young via milk in other mammals. Toxic blooms have also occurred on the Patagonian shelf and shelf-break (Carreto et al. 1986), where the whales feed after leaving the PV calving ground, thus fetuses could be getting exposed there. More information on HABs in the whales’ feeding grounds is essential to understand their role in calf deaths at PV.

(2) Annually, the seasonal development and magnitude of phytoplankton blooms are not correlated with peaks in whale deaths.

(3) Satellite data show that phytoplankton dynamics have changed in the PV region since the 1990s. Spring phytoplankton blooms are a normal seasonal feature;
however, the magnitude of the spring bloom in GN has increased considerably. The first large-magnitude bloom (chlorophyll > 5 mg/m³) occurred in 2004, a year before the first high mortality year. Large-magnitude blooms have been slightly more frequent in GN (nine since 2004), where more (68%) of the whale deaths have occurred, than they are in GSJ (six since 2004).
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Appendix S1. Methods used for PST and DA sample preparation and analysis.

Table S1. Information about 118 tissue samples analyzed for biotoxins from 51 southern right whales (50 calves, 1 adult) that died at PV from 2003 to 2010 and 2012, broken down by their type, year of collection, age of the whale, whale necropsy condition, where analysed, and the toxin analyzed.

Figure S1. Animation (4013_FigS1.mov) of weekly composites of MODIS chlorophyll for September–November 2003–2014.

Figure S2. Monthly composites of chlorophyll from the CZCS sensor from August–November 1979–1985. There were only 8 of the 28 months with sufficient data coverage of the PV area. None of these indicated the presence of a phytoplankton bloom in either GSJ or GN.
Appendix S1. Methods used for PST and DA sample preparation and analysis.

NOAA/NOS (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service)

NOAA/NOS analyzed 101 samples from 43 whale calves and 1 adult whale that died between 2004–2010. The samples were preserved frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen or at −80°C degrees until analysis. Urine samples were centrifuged at 10,000 × g and filtered (0.2 μm) prior to analysis for PSTs. Samples of feces were extracted by combining and homogenizing at a minimum weight of samples when sample volume or mass was limited or a typical weight of 2–10 grams of samples with an equal volume of 0.1N hydrochloric acid. Homogenized samples were boiled for 5 min then volumetrically resuspended. The pH of the cooled mixture was measured and adjusted if necessary to fall between 2.0 and 4.0. These extracts were centrifuged at 3,400 rpm (1,950 × g), then the supernatant was collected and syringe filtered (0.45 μm). Blood card samples (whole blood) were extracted by suspending a filter paper spot containing 100 μL of whole blood in 2 mL of 40% aqueous MeOH. Acidic aqueous tissue extracts and filtered fluid samples were analyzed in a STX receptor binding assay (RBA) to determine the total saxitoxin-like activity of a sample. The receptor binding assay measures competition between radiolabeled saxitoxin and sample or FDA standard (S. Hall, USFDA/CFSAN, Washington, DC) for binding to the voltage-gated sodium channel, the pharmacological target of saxitoxins, to determine the total saxitoxin-like activity of the sample. For DA analysis, samples were extracted by adding four volumes of 50% aqueous methanol, homogenizing, and then probe-sonicating on ice for 2 min. These extracts were centrifuged at 3,400 rpm, and the supernatant collected and syringe filtered (0.45 μm) prior to analysis. Selected samples were cleaned by solid-phase extraction (SPE) using Agilent C18 columns; toxins were eluted using 50% methanol (Wang et al. 2012). Samples were analyzed for DA using tandem mass spectrometry coupled with liquid chromatographic separation (LC-MS/MS). This method utilized reversed phase chromatography, using an Agilent 1100 HPLC coupled to an AB-SCIEX API-4000 triple quadruple mass spectrometer in ESI+ mode. Chromatographic separation was performed on a Phenomenex Luna C18(2), 5μm, 150 mm × 2 mm column. Mobile phase consisted of water and acetonitrile in a binary system, with 0.1% formic acid as an additive. Retention time of DA in samples was determined based on the retention time of the certified reference material (Certified Reference Materials Program, National Research Council of Canada, Halifax, Canada). Detection of DA by LC-MS was achieved by the Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) method.

INIDEP (Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero)

INIDEP analyzed nine samples from two whale calves that died in 2008. All samples were analyzed for DA, and six were also analyzed for STX. All results were negative. Extracts were obtained from each tissue sample for domoic acid (DA) content following the procedures of Wright and Quillian (1995) for DA and AOAC International (2012) for PST. DA concentration was determined by High Performance Liquid Chromatography whit diode array detection (HPLC-DAD) (Wright and Quillian 1995). The most sensitive method of High Performance Liquid Chromatography whit fluorescence detection (HPLC-FL) was used for the trace determination of DA (Pocklington et al. 1990). PST composition was analyzed using the postcolumn derivatization HPLC method (Oshima 1995). Two different columns were used: a YMC-Pack ODS-AQ, 3 μm, 150 mm × 4.6 mm (Waters Corp., Milford, MA) for N-sulfocarbamoyl toxins and an Altima C8, 3 μm, 150 mm × 4.6 mm (Alltech Associates Inc.,
Deerfield, IL) for the other PSPs. Toxins were identified by comparison of their retention times and fluorescent emission maxima with those of standards, by the disappearance of peaks by eliminating postcolumn oxidation, and by spiking experiments (Onodera et al. 1996).

Laboratorio Dirección de Salud Ambiental, Ministerio de Salud, Provincia de Chubut

The Laboratorio Dirección de Salud Ambiental, Ministerio de Salud lab analyzed eight samples from four whale calves that died in 2012. Biological assays were used to detect PST, and all results were negative. Samples were not tested for DA.
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Table S1. Information about 118 tissue samples analyzed for biotoxins from 51 southern right whales (50 calves, 1 adult) that died at PV from 2003 to 2010 and 2012, broken down by their type, year of collection, age of the whale, whale necropsy condition, where analyzed, and the toxin analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal content</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach tissue</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal tissue</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Marrow</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whale age</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whale necropsy condition</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyses location</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOAA/NOS</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INIDEP</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA–Chubut Province</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxin</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>