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Abstract: As part of a 9-year study on the contraceptive effects of Porcine Zona Pellucida (PZP) on white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), we analyzed serum chemistry panels during the first 4 years. This included the first 2 years when does were being actively immunized, and 2 years post-immunization. The chemistry panel was designed to detect pathologies that might result from the immunization of the deer. Blood chemistry results did not suggest any pathological changes resulting from the immunization. Seasonal differences in serum cholesterol were similar in both the control and PZP-treated groups. Seasonal changes in the mean body weights of the treated and control groups reflected an increased weight of the control group in the spring, probably due to pregnancy. However, no statistical difference existed in the fall weights between the 2 groups throughout the 4-year study.
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Overpopulation of certain wildlife species is recognized as a concern worldwide, although general disagreement exists on methods for dealing with this problem. Immuncontraception has been suggested as a viable alternative for limiting population growth in various species. Reduction of excess numbers of white-tailed deer that generate conflicts between humans and animals is a prime example of a situation where immuncontraception may become a valuable wildlife management tool.

Although contraception by inducing antibodies to PZP has been used in many species (Kirkpatrick et al. 1990, Turner et al. 1996, Miller et al. 1999), the long-term physiological effects of stimulating an immune response against an animal’s own zona pellucida are largely unknown. It has been suggested that PZP immunization may cause ovarian dysgenesis (Mahi-Brown et al. 1988, Dunbar 1989, Tung et al. 1996) and loss of primordial follicles. Kirkpatrick et al. (1992) found that horses immunocontracepted with PZP for 3 consecutive years had abnormal ovarian function as shown by markedly depressed estrogen secretion. Miller et al. (1999) also observed that during the first 2 years of active PZP immunization, some deer did not cycle because of failure to increase progesterone during the fall breeding season. In the next breeding season after immunizations ceased, the rise in progesterone indicated that they began to cycle. In both cases the deer remained infertile, apparently by 2 different mechanisms.

It also has been theorized that immunoncontraception may select for immunocompromised, sick, and diseased animals by failing to render them sterile. Consequently, healthy animals with a good immune system would become immunocontracepted and favor selection of a less healthy population to continue to propagate the species (Nettles 1997).

The effects of immunoncontraception on deer health are difficult to determine without long-term studies of treated individuals. Our study was undertaken as part of a 9-year contraceptive study. Antibody titers, hormone levels, and reproductive results have been reported previously (Miller et al. 1999). In this study, we assessed the effects of repeated PZP immunization on the health of female deer using a 22-test blood serum profile for treated and control animals over a 4-year period. The serum chemistry health profile was intended to evaluate the function of the major organs and physiological systems, such as the liver, kidney, heart, pancreas, immune system, and electrolyte balance.

Seasonal changes may influence the chemistry values in the deer. Deer living in temperate latitudes show seasonal fluctuations in reproduction, metabolism, appetite, growth, and pelage growth and molt. Changes in the length of the photoperiod have been shown to be the major environmental factor controlling seasonal cycles via the secretion of the hormone melatonin during
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the night. Estrus cycling and sexual behavior of deer are stimulated by shortening day length, as are increased food intake and deposition of fat in the autumn and early winter, which prepare the animal for cold weather and the increased energy needed for pregnancy and lactation. Seasonal restriction of breeding ensures that fawning and lactation coincide with periods of favorable weather and maximal food supply (Verne and Ullrey 1984, Lincoln 1992). These seasonal changes also are reflected in the blood chemistry of the deer (Tumbleson et al. 1968).

METHODS

Our study was part of a multiyear white-tailed deer infertility research project conducted on the deer herd at Pennsylvania State University (PSU), University Park, Pennsylvania, USA, in cooperation with National Wildlife Research Center (NWRC), Fort Collins, Colorado, USA. The fertility studies included 9 years of monitoring PZP-contracepted deer (Miller et al. 1999) and 5 years observing Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone (GnRH)-contracepted deer (Miller et al. 2000). The female deer selected for this study were proven breeders, 3 to 4 years of age, weighing 53–66 kg. We fed the deer free choice of pellets prepared for the PSU deer herd and alfalfa hay. During handling, we sedated the deer with 0.5 to 1.0 ml of xylazine per deer based on their weight and restrained in a squeeze chute built for deer.

We immunized 11 deer with purified PZP purchased from B. Dunbar (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA). We injected each treated deer with 1 ml of PZP vaccine distributed subcutaneously and intradermally among several sites above the vertebræ of the back between the scapulae. The 1 ml prime dose (given in Sep) consisted of 0.5 ml of saline containing 500 μg of PZP emulsified with 0.5 ml of complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA). Two boosters were given (1 in early Oct and 1 in late Oct), each containing 300 μg PZP in 0.5 ml saline mixed with 0.5 ml of incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (IFA). Control deer were sham injected with saline emulsified 1:1 as above with CFA in the prime dose or IFA in each booster dose (Miller et al. 1999).

We immunized 8 PZP-treated deer the first year, and boosted them the second year. Three deer were boosted the third year.

We collected all blood samples between August and February of each year. Blood was taken prior to each prime and booster injection. Following clotting, samples were centrifuged and serum was harvested and stored at −20 °C for subsequent determinations of chemistry profiles. We weighed deer each time they were brought into the pens to be vaccinated, have blood samples taken, or to be monitored for pregnancy. Deer were fasted for approximately 12 hr before collecting samples. We sent serum to LabCorp in Englewood, Colorado, USA, for chemistry profiles, which were determined on an Olympus AU-5000. (Reference to individual laboratories or equipment does not imply endorsement by the U.S. government.) Each profile contained 22 individual chemistry tests, for a total of 3,322 tests used in the data presented.

During the 4 years of the study, does were exposed to 3 experienced bucks of proven fertility from the first week of November and through the end of March. One buck always became dominant and bred most of the does. To determine when the does were in estrus, behavioral observations of the bucks toward the treated does were made by PSU students 3 times daily from 7 November through 12 February and 2 times daily until 28 February. Behavioral activity by the buck was ranked as sniffing or pursuit of the female, aggressive guarding, and mounting and copulation. Fawning data were recorded for each doe and summarized by treatment.

We calculated P values by ANOVA with α = 0.05. We calculated normal ranges of chemistry parameters using Mean ± 2 SD, which is an established practice in the clinical laboratory. We performed calculations using StatView (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

Estrous Behavior

Most control deer (n = 36) bred and conceived at the first observed estrus each year. This occurred during November, soon after they were introduced to the bucks. Each control doe averaged 1.2 estrus events (range 1–2), and breeding occurred over a period of 44 days. In contrast, the PZP-treated does averaged 3.6 sexual encounters per doe, and breeding occurred over a period of 98 days. Estrus was observed from November to as late as March. The dominant buck was involved in all of the early breeding; however, the dominant buck lost interest in February and March, allowing the subordinate bucks to be involved with the late estrous activity. The length of the breeding period differed between the 2 groups (P < 0.01).
Fawning

The control group averaged 1.88 ± 0.08 fawns/doe (mean ± SE) over the 4-year period, while PZP-treated does averaged 0.25 ± 0.14 fawns/doe, an 87% reduction (P < 0.0001). During the first 2 years of this study, the deer were actively immunized. As shown in a previous paper (Miller et al. 1999), during the first 2 years, the deer apparently did not cycle or develop corpora lutea as indicated by the lack of progesterone increases. However, during years 3–4, the deer began to cycle as indicated by the rise in progesterone, suggesting that there may be 2 mechanisms involved in the induced infertility. During active immunization, the immune response may suppress or prevent the development of the corpora lutea. In later years, infertility may be induced by anti-PZP binding to the egg preventing sperm binding. These deer returned to fertility in the 5 years following this study, indicating that there was no permanent damage to the ovaries. As the deer returned to fertility, they usually gave birth to a single fawn 1 or more years.

We monitored PZP-treated deer in this study for 5 years beyond the initial 4-year study to determine the long-term effects of PZP treatment on health and fertility. As the health profiles suggest, no adverse long-term health effects or decreased longevity have been observed for the treated deer. All deer have survived 5 more years after this 4-year study, and all but 1 PZP-immunized deer has returned to fertility. The length of time that each deer remained infertile varied from 4 to 9 years. Most deer had 1 fawn the first year they returned to a fertile condition. In succeeding years they returned to having twins. This pattern suggests a partial contraceptive protection as the antibody titers begin to drop. The overall fertility reduction throughout the 9 years was 72%.

Antibody Titers

As shown in our previous paper (Miller et al. 1999), all 8 deer responded to native PZP with a high antibody response, with many titers <128k throughout the period of our study. Each had antibody titers >128 k in at least 1 bleeding. In our Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), an antibody titer of 32–46k was needed to maintain an infertile state.

Mean Weights

We obtained weights of the controls and the PZP-treated deer in the autumn (Oct–Nov) and spring (Mar–Apr). Control deer had a mean autumn weight of 60.4 ± 7.3 kg and a mean spring weight (pregnant) of 67.7 ± 10.9 kg (P < 0.05). The PZP-treated deer (nonpregnant) had a autumn weight of 64.1 ± 9.1 kg and a mean spring weight of 59.6 ± 8.2 (P < 0.05). No significant dif-

---

Table 1. Serum chemistry concentrations in white-tailed deer immunized with porcine zona pellucida (PZP). Mean ± standard deviation for control deer (n = 8) and treated deer (n = 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Control deer</th>
<th>PZP-immunized deer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All years</td>
<td>Years 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alb</td>
<td>g/dl</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>29.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>32.4 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlkP</td>
<td>U/I</td>
<td>86.0 ± 53.6</td>
<td>69.6 ± 37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bili</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bun</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>37.7 ± 7.6</td>
<td>36.3 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>101.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>101.9 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chol</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>72.1 ± 14.8</td>
<td>68.8 ± 16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crea</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT</td>
<td>U/I</td>
<td>64.2 ± 18.0</td>
<td>84.1 ± 66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glob</td>
<td>g/dl</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>260.2 ± 94.8</td>
<td>258.9 ± 79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOT</td>
<td>U/I</td>
<td>84.3 ± 29.2</td>
<td>108.7 ± 65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>µg/dl</td>
<td>208.7 ± 52.5</td>
<td>200.1 ± 50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>U/I</td>
<td>493.6 ± 163.9</td>
<td>487.3 ± 191.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phos</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K+</td>
<td>meq/l</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na+</td>
<td>meq/l</td>
<td>147.1 ± 9.7</td>
<td>145.8 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trig</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>16.8 ± 10.3</td>
<td>16.1 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>g/dl</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Alb = Albumin, Alt = Alanine Aminotransferase, AlkP = Alkaline Phosphatase, A/G = Albumin/Globulin Ratio, Bili = Bilirubin, Bun = Blood Urea Nitrogen, Ca = Calcium, Cl = Chloride, Choi = Cholesterol, Crea = Creatinine, GGT = Gamma Glutamyl Transferase, Glob = Globulin, Glu = Glucose, GOT = Glutamic Oxylacetate Transferase, Fe = Iron, LDH = Lactate Dehydrogenase, Phos = Phosphate, K+ = Potassium, Na+ = Sodium, Trig = Triglycerides, TP = Total Protein, Uric = Uric Acid.

a P < 0.0001 different from untreated.
b P < 0.001 different from untreated.
c P < 0.05 different from untreated.
d P < 0.05 different between active and post immunization.
e P < 0.01 different from untreated.
f P < 0.001 different between active and post immunization.
g P < 0.001 different from untreated.
h P < 0.0001, other tests P < 0.05, different between active and post immunization.
Fig. 1. Serum cholesterol concentrations in white-tailed deer. Mean serum cholesterol values (± SE; n = 16). Autumn levels (Oct-Nov) differed significantly from winter levels (Jan-Feb), *P* < 0.0001.

ference existed in weights between treatment groups in the autumn (*P* < 0.05); however, there was a significant difference between treatments in the spring (*P* = 0.0006). The increased weight of the control deer in the spring was probably due to the added weight of the fetus or fetuses. The drop in weight of the PZP (nonpregnant) deer may be due to the stress of the winter. No significant change in weight occurred by either group over the course of the study (*P* < 0.05).

Blood Chemistry Values

The chemistry data for PZP-treated deer were divided into 2 groups, years 1 and 2, in which the deer were actively being immunized, and years 3 and 4, in which the immunocontracepted deer were being monitored for the lasting ability of the anti-PZP antibody titers and the resultant infertility. The 2 years of active immunization may be assumed to be the most stressful or damaging to the reproductive health of the animals. We have previously shown that during active immunization, the deer were not developing progesterone-producing corpora lutea. During the third and fourth years, the deer began to develop normal corpora lutea as indicated by the rise in serum progesterone concentrations.

Of the 22 chemistry parameters that we measured, several parameters showed statistical differences between groups, although none of the values suggested a physiological abnormality. Except for years 3–4, albumin values of the PZP-treated deer were within the normal range as defined by the mean ± 2SD of the values for the control deer. Year 3 of the study included a stressful winter at PSU with over 2 feet of snow in November through December. The increased albumin in the 3–4 year data may represent some resultant dehydration.

Seasonal Chemistry Changes

Cholesterol was the only parameter for which a seasonal effect was apparent. The seasonal change was observed in both the control and PZP-treated deer. Cholesterol was significantly higher in the autumn (Oct–Nov) bleedings (71.3 ± 1.6) as compared to the winter (Jan–Feb) bleedings (51.9 ± 2.0; *P* < 0.0001; Fig. 1). We found no significant difference in cholesterol changes between the control and treated deer.

DISCUSSION

Deer show seasonal changes in food intake, activity, and energy expenditure (Plotka et al. 1977, Sauer 1984, Lincoln 1992). Therefore, we compared chemistry values of PZP-treated versus control deer, for the same seasons of the year. The rise in the concentration of serum cholesterol in the fall may be in preparation for the stress of the winter months, as well as the need for increased synthesis of progesterone and estrogens in preparation for fall breeding, since these sex hormones are synthesized from cholesterol.

The PZP-contracepted deer in our study demonstrated multiple estrous behaviors with breeding activity up to 98 days compared to 44 days for the control deer. This same increased estrous activity was observed by McShea et al. (1997). Their concerns were that the increased breeding activity over an extended period, in a food-limited population, might increase the stress on the herd.

The PSU deer in our study have their nutritional needs met by an abundance of feed, in contrast to free-ranging deer that may be nutritionally deficient. However, in contrast to excessive energy of the multiple estrus as observed for PZP-contracepted deer in the fall, these deer did not have the nutritional demands that are present with pregnant deer in the spring and may actually benefit under conditions of limited food.

In this study, we examined the effect of PZP immunization on the long-term health of deer that have their nutritional demands met. This may or may not relate to a wild population depending on their nutritional status. However, we have shown that the immunization of the deer for contraception has not resulted in deleterious
health effects. There are indications that contrac-
tected mares are living longer than control preg-
nant mares (J. Kirkpatrick, ZooMontana, Billings, Montana, USA, personal communication).

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Serum chemistry profiles from this study sug-
gest that the PZP treatment has no major physio-
logical effects on the health of deer, at least in a
managed, penned setting. Although this study
covered 4 years, a previous paper by Miller et al.
(1999), demonstrated that 9 years into the study,
10 out of the 11 deer in the PZP study returned
to fertility, generally starting the first year of fer-
tility with a single fawn. The immunocontracept-
ed deer may survive better and live longer under
limited food conditions because of the limited
demands of the nonpregnant status as compared
to pregnant and nursing deer. This study sup-
ports the hypothesis that PZP immunocontracep-
tion is a safe as well as effective population con-
trol method.
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