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In evergreen conifers, where the foliage amount changes little with season, accurate detection of the underlying “photosynthetic phenology” from satellite remote sensing has been difficult, presenting challenges for global models of ecosystem carbon uptake. Here, we report a close correspondence between seasonally changing foliar pigment levels, expressed as chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios, and evergreen photosynthetic activity, leading to a “chlorophyll/carotenoid index” (CCI) that tracks evergreen photosynthesis at multiple spatial scales. When calculated from NASA’s Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer satellite sensor, the CCI closely follows the seasonal patterns of daily gross primary productivity of evergreen conifer stands measured by eddy covariance. This discovery provides a way of monitoring evergreen photosynthetic activity from optical remote sensing, and indicates an important regulatory role for carotenoid pigments in evergreen photosynthesis. Improved methods of monitoring photosynthesis from space can improve our understanding of the global carbon budget in a warming world of changing vegetation phenology.

Significance

Evergreen photosynthetic activity has been difficult to determine from remote sensing, causing errors in terrestrial photosynthetic carbon uptake models. Using a reflectance chlorophyll/carotenoid index (CCI) sensitive to seasonally changing chlorophyll/carotenoid pigment ratios, we demonstrate a method of tracking photosynthetic phenology in evergreen conifers. The CCI reveals seasonally changing photosynthetic rates and detects the onset of the growing season in evergreen foliage. This method could improve our understanding of changing photosynthetic activity in a warming climate, and could improve assessment of the evergreen component of the terrestrial carbon budget, which has been elusive.
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efficiency ($e$) with which this absorbed energy is converted to fixed carbon:

$$GPP = A\text{PAR} \times e \quad [1]$$

$A\text{PAR}$, in turn, is a product of irradiance in the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) region of the spectrum (i.e., 400–700 nm) and the fraction of that PAR irradiance that is absorbed by green vegetation ($f_{A\text{PAR}}$):

$$A\text{PAR} = f_{A\text{PAR}} \times \text{PAR} \quad [2]$$

The $f_{A\text{PAR}}$ term is closely related to the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), a measure of vegetation greenness, and the PAR term is typically obtained from meteorological data (10, 11).

For much of the world’s deciduous or annual vegetation, where the seasonal expression of photosynthetic activity closely follows green canopy display, the $A\text{PAR}$ term largely captures the seasonal photosynthetic dynamics (11). However, evergreen vegetation poses particular challenges for the LUE model. For evergreens that retain their foliage through the seasons, the $f_{A\text{PAR}}$ component of the $A\text{PAR}$ term is relatively stable compared with $f_{A\text{PAR}}$ for annual or deciduous vegetation, providing insufficient information on the actual seasonal dynamics of photosynthetic activity. Assessing $e$ has been more challenging than measuring $A\text{PAR}$ from remote sensing, in part because $e$ is highly variable in time and space (12). Particularly for northern evergreen conifers that experience periods of photosynthetic down-regulation during winter dormancy or chronic stress, $e$ emerges as an important determinant of seasonal photosynthetic activity (13). Conventional vegetation indices (e.g., NDVI) that are responsive to changes in green leaf area typically fail to detect this seasonal photosynthetic dynamics (12, 14), leading to errors in satellite-driven ecosystem productivity models. Not surprisingly, although the MOD17 algorithm depicts broad-scale seasonal dynamics of photosynthetic activity for different biomes, it sometimes fails to reproduce faithfully annual sums or seasonal patterns of ecosystem photosynthetic activity measured by flux towers (15), and it does not capture local heterogeneity in $e$ (16).

Due to these challenges, many studies have sought to improve the LUE model by assessing $e$ directly from remote sensing (12). One approach involves remote detection of the xanthophyll cycle, which adjusts the distribution of absorbed light energy through the interconversion of a group of three carotenoid pigments (17). This biochemical response can be detected with proximal remote sensing using the photochemical reflectance index (PRI), which provides a direct method of assessing changing LUE over short time spans (18–20). However, over annual time periods, the primary driver of the PRI at leaf and canopy scales is the changing leaf carotenoid pigment pool, typically expressed as the changing ratio of chlorophyll to carotenoid pigments (or its inverse), and not the xanthophyll cycle per se (21–25). This observation of changing pigment content is particularly relevant for northern evergreen conifers that undergo large seasonal swings in photosynthetic activity and carotenoid pigment levels associated with temperature extremes (24–28). These findings have led us to reconsider the function of carotenoid pigments in the context of detecting photosynthetic phenology in evergreen conifers.

Carotenoids serve many important roles in plants. They function as light capture and photoprotective pigments; act as antioxidants; and are linked to the synthesis of isoprenoids, which are produced under high-temperature stress (29). Carotenoid levels increase when plants are exposed to a variety of environmental stressors. This finding leads to the hypothesis that enhanced carotenoid levels, typically expressed relative to chlorophyll content, provide optical indicators of reduced photosynthetic activity and LUE. A large body of literature indicates that chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios decrease in evergreen leaves during winter cold (27, 30–32). Field studies have confirmed that chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios closely track the seasonal photosynthetic activity of Mediterranean climate evergreen leaves (21, 23). This conclusion is further supported by recent spectral and kinetic evidence that demonstrates a close coincidence between seasonal photosynthetic activity, chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios, and spectral reflectance in evergreen conifers (24–26). Together, these studies suggest that satellite indices of changing pigment levels might provide reliable indicators of evergreen photosynthetic phenology.

In this study, we consider whether newly available combinations of satellite bands from NASA’s Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensors can provide useful metrics of seasonal changing pigment levels and photosynthetic activity in evergreen conifers. Our analysis was made possible by the recent reprocessing of MODIS data (MODIS collection 6) that provides both ocean and land band surface reflectance products over terrestrial areas, affording new options for assessing evergreen photosynthetic activity. Because the original PRI bands (33, 34) are not available from the MODIS, we considered new band combinations (MODIS bands 1 and 11) indicative of chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios, and used leaf- and stand-level experiments to confirm the interpretation of these bands independently.

### Results

In conifers, reflectance from evergreen leaves exhibits distinct seasonal changes, particularly in the green-red spectral region (550–650 nm) (Fig. 1). The wintertime increase in reflectance at these wavelengths indicates a decreased chlorophyll/carotenoid pigment ratio, often visible to a careful observer as a wintertime needle yellowing. MODIS bands 11 (531 nm) and 1 (645 nm) captured the contrasting behavior of evergreen leaf reflectance in these two spectral regions (Fig. 1). MODIS band 1 (645 nm, a terrestrial band) is clearly affected by the increased red reflectance, whereas band 11 (531 nm, an ocean band) changes little or undergoes a slight decline in reflectance during winter.

Parallel to the seasonal leaf reflectance changes (Fig. 1), the leaves exhibited substantial photosynthetic down-regulation during winter, detectable as changes in reflectance, pigment levels, and photosynthetic rates (Fig. 2). Leaf chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios declined gradually in fall and winter, and then recovered rapidly in spring (Fig. 2). Photosynthetic rates exhibited a similar rapid spring increase (Fig. 2). The chlorophyll/carotenoid index (CCI) responded near synchronously to these seasonal changes in pigment ratios and photosynthetic activity, both at the leaf and stand level (Fig. 2).

We then examined the CCI generated from satellite-derived surface reflectance for several evergreen-dominated North
American flux tower sites (Table S1) using the newly available MODIS collection 6. As with the leaf- and stand-scale measurements of evergreen seedlings (Fig. 2), the CCI closely tracked the seasonal dynamics of photosynthesis, expressed as daily GPP at each site in this case (Fig. 3). In contrast, the NDVI showed a weaker seasonal response that was out of phase with photosynthetic activity, lagging GPP and CCI in fall and winter. Further analysis (Fig. S1 and Table S2) showed that the MODIS CCI index was significantly correlated with daily GPP for each site and for all sites combined, with noticeable variation in the CCI-GPP patterns between stands. Not surprisingly, the commonly used NDVI greenness index was a weaker predictor of daily GPP (Table S2), illustrating the limitation of conventional vegetation greenness indices for assessing the invisible photosynthetic phenology of evergreens. This limitation was particularly clear for the Wind River site, where the NDVI showed relatively little sensitivity to seasonally changing GPP. For each of the other two sites (North Carolina and Howland, Maine), both of which had larger contributions to the optical signals from deciduous species (Table S1), there was a stronger seasonal NDVI response than for the Wind River stand, which had a predominantly evergreen overstory.

Discussion

These results illustrate the possibility of a pigment-based index (CCI) for monitoring the terrestrial biosphere. The CCI provides a metric of photosynthetic phenology in evergreens that can be applied at both leaf and stand levels. The close correspondence between the CCI and photosynthetic activity at the leaf scale (Fig. 2), and GPP at the ecosystem scale for a variety of evergreen stands (Fig. 3), demonstrates the promise of pigment-based approaches to remote monitoring of evergreen photosynthetic activity.

The seasonal behavior of carotenoid pigment pools is consistent with a body of literature indicating an important role for carotenoid pigments in wintertime down-regulation and photoprotection. A wintertime photoprotective function for xanthophyll cycle pigments is well-established (27, 30–32). However, we note that a variety of carotenoids, particularly lutein and, to a lesser extent, beta-carotene, as well as xanthophyll cycle pigments, contributed to the large pigment pool size shift that coincided with the seasonally changing CCI index and photosynthetic activity (Fig. S2). These observations demonstrate that the changes in seasonal pigment levels involve additional pigments and photoprotective mechanisms besides the xanthophyll cycle, and are consistent with reports of a similar photoprotective role for lutein (35).

The PRI, which uses a band (531 nm) close to MODIS band 11, but a different reference band (570 nm), similarly tracks seasonally changing pigment ratios and photosynthetic rate (21, 23–26). Because the exact PRI bands are not available from MODIS, several studies have considered indices derived from MODIS bands similar to the PRI bands, often by combining MODIS band 11 with an alternate reference band. Some of these studies have compared the same MODIS bands reported here with seasonal change in photosynthesis for evergreen forests, with promising results (36, 37). Although these new satellite band combinations have sometimes been called “MODIS PRI” bands, we note that they are spectrally and functionally different from the original PRI bands used to characterize the diurnal xanthophyll cycle response. Unlike the PRI, which was designed to track short-term reflectance changes at 531 nm, these MODIS bands are primarily responding to changing red reflectance (Fig. 1) due to changing pigment pools (Fig. 2), and actually indicate shifting chlorophyll and carotenoid pigment levels rather than the xanthophyll cycle per se, particularly when sampled over seasonal cycles (24–26, 28). Given the link to chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments (Fig. 2), the different bands used, and the seasonal (rather than diurnal) variation in leaf pigment pools involved, the CCI reflects these actual pigment changes and is distinct from the PRI, which was originally derived to monitor xanthophyll cycle activity and LUE over diurnal time scales (18, 33).

In our study, we chose to use MODIS because it provides data products at spatial and temporal scales suitable for comparison with eddy covariance data. The advent of MODIS collection 6 now provides a standardized surface reflectance product, including land and ocean bands. Consequently, a global CCI is now becoming widely available, and offers a practical means of assessing pigment dynamics associated with seasonal changes in photosynthetic activity in evergreens, where established greenness indices (e.g., NDVI, fPAR, leaf area index) cannot properly capture this seasonal photosynthetic activity. Of particular significance is the similar behavior of the CCI across three evergreen conifer stands and several spatial scales, including ground sampling at leaf and stand scales and whole-ecosystem satellite measurements. This scale independence suggests that the CCI can provide a potent metric of evergreen photosynthetic phenology from a variety of remote sensing platforms, and can be supported by ground sampling that assesses pigment levels or foliage optical properties.

Fig. 2. Seasonal patterns of leaf photosynthesis, leaf chlorophyll/carotenoid pigment ratios, and the CCI at the leaf (solid black line) and stand (dotted line and open circles) scales. All data were from P. contorta (lodgepole pine) seedlings grown in Alberta, Canada.

Fig. 3. Seasonal patterns of stand photosynthesis (expressed as daily GPP, black lines), MODIS CCI (red circles; A, C, and E), and NDVI (red circles; B, D, and F) for three evergreen-dominated stands (site names and dominant vegetation are provided in individual panels).
Although we describe these stands as predominantly “evergreen” conifer stands, they actually included varying deciduous components that likely contributed to variation in CCI and NDVI responses across stands (Table S1). This varying stand composition and levels of deciduousness helps explain the contrasting seasonal CCI and NDVI patterns for these sites (Fig. 3). These possibilities can be further investigated by independent ground studies characterizing physiological responses (as shown in Figs. 1 and 2), stand composition, and latitudinal (including angular) effects on the optical signals. Currently, there is a growing network of ground optical validation sites located at flux towers (38–40), and these sites can be equipped to test the relative merits of the NDVI, PRI, and CCI further for monitoring ecosystem photosynthesis. Such independent ground validation is needed because any two-band index can be affected by multiple factors, often causing misinterpretation of underlying biophysical traits or processes when satellite data are used alone. To clarify the contribution of these multiple factors, we propose that extended ground sampling networks using flux tower sites be used in future studies of changing photosynthesis and productivity from global satellite sensors. Despite these remaining questions, the strongly similar seasonal responses across the three sites and across spatial scales shown here, along with similar findings from Mediterranean evergreen vegetation (36), suggest that the CCI offers a widely applicable indicator of photosynthetic activity and GPP in evergreen-dominated ecosystems. We note, however, that our study does not consider all evergreen-dominated biomes (e.g., tropical moist broadleafed forests, where satellite and flux data observations are more limited).

More work is now needed to reconsider the parameterization of the LUE model in light of these findings, which could help develop new upscaling approaches. A current working hypothesis is that the NDVI, PRI, and CCI provide complementary information about photosynthetic phenology. The NDVI closely follows seasonal photosynthetic activity related to green leaf display in annual and deciduous vegetation, whereas the CCI and PRI add additional information about photosynthetic regulatory processes involving photoprotective pigments and appear to be particularly useful in evergreens (28). Like the CCCI, the PRI responds to seasonal pigment shifts associated with photosynthetic down-regulation in evergreens (24, 25), but it is also sensitive to short periods of down-regulation mediated by the xanthophyll cycle (e.g., photoprotective demobilization and recovery periods (28)). In the future, LUE model parameterizations should explicitly recognize that these two indices reveal contrasting effects on photosynthetic activity over different time scales (28).

Recently, a similar ability to track photosynthetic phenology from satellites has been reported using solar-induced fluorescence (SIF), which provides an alternate method of assessing photosynthetic activity from remote sensing (6, 42). Because the CCI index is based on reflectance, which has a well-established methodology and history, it can provide a strong foundation for interpreting the causes of changes in SIF. However, SIF is not currently available at a temporal or spatial scale comparable to the MODIS, making a direct comparison with a MODIS-derived CCI difficult without considerable data aggregation and associated loss of spatial or temporal resolution that would conceal the underlying mechanisms addressed here. Combined measurement of SIF and pigment indices has been proposed recently as part of the FLuorescence EXplorer (FLEX) satellite mission (43), and could lead to an improved assessment of photosynthetic phenology, particularly for evergreens that have been difficult to measure from satellites using more conventional vegetation indices (e.g., the NDVI). To fully understand the significance of plant pigments as functional indicators, a full spectral (“hyperspectral”) satellite imaging spectrometer would be ideal. Such sensors are available on airborne platforms (44) and as demonstration satellite missions (45), none of which provide frequent global coverage; and thus cannot properly assess diurnal or seasonal photosynthetic dynamics for large regions of the planet. Proposed satellite-based imaging spectrometers (43, 46) could further our understanding of these functionally different pigment responses for different ecosystems, opening further unseen possibilities for monitoring photosynthesis from space.

This study extends our previous understanding of carotenoid pigments by relating their seasonal patterns to the seasonal patterns of reflectance and photosynthesis in evergreen conifers. The CCI derived from a new combination of MODIS bands 1 and 11 offers a promising tool for observing photosynthetic phenology of terrestrial ecosystems. If properly coupled with field validation, such measurements could greatly improve our understanding of the changing photosynthetic phenology of evergreen stands that have been difficult to assess with conventional satellite indices. Assessment of evergreen pigment activity can improve our ability to measure carbon cycle dynamics for this important component of the world’s vegetation.

Methods
Sites and Plant Materials. Leaf- and stand-scale measurements were conducted on potted 4-y-old lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta) seedlings grown outdoors under ambient conditions in full sun at the University of Alberta campus (Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; 53.5289°N, 113.5261°W). Plants were arranged in closed-canopy stands. Initially planted in 2010 in 2.3-L pots, they were repotted in subsequent years into 2.8-L and 6.2-L pots in a 1:2 soil mixture of sandy top soil and potting soil (Sunshine Mix 4; Sun Gro Horticulture). The seedlings were irrigated throughout the growing season to avoid drought stress. Stand-scale eddy covariance measurements (described below) were obtained from these samples spanning a wide range of species, edaphic, climatic, geographic location, and canopy structural conditions (Table S1).

Leaf Pigment Measurements. Leaves were collected immediately after leaf reflectance measurements from the same six trees sampled every 1–2 wk. The sampled leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and later transferred into a −80 °C freezer for long-term storage. For each sampling date, six 1-cm-long segments from each seedling were pooled together for pigment analysis using HPLC (1260 Infinity; Agilent Technologies) following the method of Thayer and Björkman (47) to determine carotenoid and chlorophyll pigment quantities. Commercial pigment standards (DHI LAB Products) were used to calibrate the HPLC system for pigment quantification. Chlorophyll/carotenoid pigment ratios were determined from total chlorophyll (a and b) and total carotenoids (neoxanthin, violaxanthin, antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin, lutein, and beta-carotene concentrations).

Leaf Gas Exchange Measurements. Foliar gas exchange was measured using a portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400; LI-COR). Each measurement consisted of a light-response curve ranging from 0 to 1,500 μmol·m−2·s−1. Measurements were taken at each light level when steady-state photosynthesis was attained, typically within 3 min. The chamber CO2 concentration was set to 395 μmol·m−2·s−1, and temperature was set to match ambient conditions. Photosynthetic rate was expressed as light-saturated photosynthesis, which was estimated from the saturation point of the light response curves. The same six plants from the reflectance measurements were monitored on a weekly basis during spring recovery of photosynthetic activity.

Flux Data. Daily GPP values were retrieved from the FLUXNET “LaThuile” dataset (www.fluxdata.org/default.aspx) for three sites (Table S1). These values were calculated from eddy covariance measurements that were filtered, despiked, and gap-filled, and the net ecosystem exchange was partitioned into daily GPP and ecosystem respiration following standard algorithms (48, 49).

Leaf Spectral Measurement. Leaf-scale reflectance was measured with a portable spectrometer (UniSpec SC; PP Systems) equipped with a bifurcated fiber optic (UNI410; PP Systems) and needle leaf clip (UNI501; PP Systems). Leaves of six plants (five randomly selected, sunlit leaves per plant) were monitored through the course of the study. Leaves were sampled at around 1300 hours under sunlight every 1–2 wk. Each set of leaf measurements for a given plant consisted of an average of 10 samples and was preceded by a dark scan and a reference scan from a Spectralon white standard (LabSphere) to obtain reflectance values.

Whole-Stand Spectral Measurements. Reflectance was also measured on experimental stands of the same seedlings used for leaf reflectance with a portable spectrometer (UniSpec-DC; PP Systems). An upward-facing fiber
bandpass responses for bands 1 and 11. The CCI was then calculated using the simulated MODIS bands 1 and 11, respectively.

Statistical Analysis. Regression statistics and analyses of covariance were conducted in R (Studio).
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CCI Calculations. From the MODIS satellite sensor, the CCI was calculated from reflectance using MODIS bands 1 and 11 reflectance (ρ as ρB1 – ρB11/ρB1 + ρB11). From leaf- and stand-level reflectance, the reflectance spectra were first interpolated to 1-nm intervals. MODIS band reflectance values were then simulated by convolving the 1-nm reflectance values against the MODIS MODIS Satellite Data. Optical data from MODIS onboard the Aqua satellite were processed to surface reflectance using the multi-angle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC) algorithm (50, 51). The MAIAC algorithm makes use of time-series and spatial analyses for cloud screening and aerosol retrievals (52, 53). MAIAC terrestrial surface reflectances were gridded at a resolution of 1 km, and both MODIS land and ocean bands were processed (MODIS bands 1–12), providing reflectance in spectral bands that have previously been used on a limited basis for terrestrial applications (16, 37, 54). In this analysis, a time series of surface reflectance values was extracted for the 1-km grid that included the location of the flux tower. All cloud-free observations with high data quality and view zenith angles less than 45° were used.

From leaf- and stand-level reflectance, the reflectance spectra were first interpolated to 1-nm intervals. MODIS band reflectance values were then simulated by convolving the 1-nm reflectance values against the MODIS MODIS Satellite Data. Optical data from MODIS onboard the Aqua satellite were processed to surface reflectance using the multi-angle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC) algorithm (50, 51). The MAIAC algorithm makes use of time-series and spatial analyses for cloud screening and aerosol retrievals (52, 53). MAIAC terrestrial surface reflectances were gridded at a resolution of 1 km, and both MODIS land and ocean bands were processed (MODIS bands 1–12), providing reflectance in spectral bands that have previously been used on a limited basis for terrestrial applications (16, 37, 54). In this analysis, a time series of surface reflectance values was extracted for the 1-km grid that included the location of the flux tower. All cloud-free observations with high data quality and view zenith angles less than 45° were used.

From leaf- and stand-level reflectance, the reflectance spectra were first interpolated to 1-nm intervals. MODIS band reflectance values were then simulated by convolving the 1-nm reflectance values against the MODIS MODIS Satellite Data. Optical data from MODIS onboard the Aqua satellite were processed to surface reflectance using the multi-angle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC) algorithm (50, 51). The MAIAC algorithm makes use of time-series and spatial analyses for cloud screening and aerosol retrievals (52, 53). MAIAC terrestrial surface reflectances were gridded at a resolution of 1 km, and both MODIS land and ocean bands were processed (MODIS bands 1–12), providing reflectance in spectral bands that have previously been used on a limited basis for terrestrial applications (16, 37, 54). In this analysis, a time series of surface reflectance values was extracted for the 1-km grid that included the location of the flux tower. All cloud-free observations with high data quality and view zenith angles less than 45° were used.
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Fig. S1. Relationships between GPP and CCI (A) or NDVI (B) for the three sites shown in Fig. 3. Lines indicate linear fits for each site. Regression statistics are provided in Table S2. Ho1, Howland; NC2, Parker Tract, NC; WRC, Wind River.

Fig. S2. Seasonal trends in air temperature (A) and individual carotenoid pigment pool sizes [B; relative to chlorophyll (chl)] for Pinus contorta grown in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada (Figs. 1 and 2).
Table S1. Key characteristics of the eddy covariance sites used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>45.2041°N</td>
<td>35.8031°N</td>
<td>45.8205°N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>68.7402°W</td>
<td>76.66791°W</td>
<td>121.9519°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant species</td>
<td>Hemlock (Tsuga canadensis) and spruce (Picea rubens)</td>
<td>Loblolly pine (Pinus taeda)</td>
<td>Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of deciduous species</td>
<td>~11% by cover</td>
<td>~35–50% by LAI; P. taeda semideciduous</td>
<td>Negligible (primarily understory species)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass, t·ha⁻¹</td>
<td>120 ± 47</td>
<td>40–65</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak LAI, m²·m⁻²</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0–4.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil type</td>
<td>Glacial till, fine sandy loam</td>
<td>Histosol</td>
<td>Mesic well-drained loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation, m</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAT, °C</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>2,450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAI, leaf area index; MAP, mean annual precipitation; MAT, mean annual temperature. Key site characteristics are as reported in the FLUXNET2015 database (fluxnet.fluxdata.org/data/fluxnet2015-dataset) and additional references (55–57).

Table S2. Regression statistics for Fig. S1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux tower sites</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPP-CCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho1</td>
<td>22.822*</td>
<td>4.7131</td>
<td>0.7646</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC2</td>
<td>25.806*</td>
<td>6.2735</td>
<td>0.7765</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRC</td>
<td>15.498†</td>
<td>4.2715</td>
<td>0.3275</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All sites</td>
<td>20.681</td>
<td>4.9858</td>
<td>0.5538</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPP-NDVI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho1</td>
<td>31.305*</td>
<td>−18.254</td>
<td>0.4390</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC2</td>
<td>28.930†</td>
<td>−13.218</td>
<td>0.6644</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRC</td>
<td>13.469‡</td>
<td>−5.225</td>
<td>0.0471</td>
<td>0.00814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All sites</td>
<td>17.515</td>
<td>7.178</td>
<td>0.2157</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences in regression slopes (determined by analysis of covariance, P ≤ 0.05) are indicated with different symbols.