## University of Nebraska - Lincoln

## [DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/)

[USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc)  [Publications](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc) 

[U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaaphis)  [Plant Health Inspection Service](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaaphis) 

March 2001

## Dogs increase recovery of passerine car casses in dense vegetation

H. Jeffrey Homan United States Department of Agriculture, National Wildlife Research Center

George M. Linz USDA/APHIS/WS National Wildlife Research Center, george.m.linz@aphis.usda.gov

Brian D. Peer North Dakota State University, Bismarck

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm\\_usdanwrc](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Ficwdm_usdanwrc%2F597&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

**C** Part of the [Environmental Sciences Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/167?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Ficwdm_usdanwrc%2F597&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Homan, H. Jeffrey; Linz, George M.; and Peer, Brian D., "Dogs increase recovery of passerine carcasses in dense vegetation" (2001). USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications. 597. [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm\\_usdanwrc/597](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc/597?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Ficwdm_usdanwrc%2F597&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# **Dogs increase recovery of passerine carcasses in dense vegetation**

## *H. Jeffrey Homan, George Linz, and Brian D. Peer*

**Abstract** Wildlife managers use carcass searches to assess mortality resulting from biological (e.g., diseases) and physical (e.g., structure collisions) sources. Carcass searches may occur over large areas and need to be completed rapidly because of scavenging and decomposition. However, small carcasses are often missed when dense vegetation is searched. We placed carcasses of house sparrows (Passer domesticus) in dense cover of residual and newly grown vegetation and compared searching efficiency of humans and canines. Dogs received no special training in searching for passerine carcasses. In 36 trials conducted in 5  $\times$  40-m plots, human searchers found 45% (SD=19) of the carcasses compared to 92% (SD=13) for dogs ( $P=0.005$ ). The ratio of recovered to missed carcasses was approximately 12:1 for dogs and 1:1 for humans. The improvement in searching efficiency using dogs was similar ( $P=0.58$ ) between residual cover (searched in April) and new growth cover (searched in August). A greater rate of searching efficiency is obtained per unit of time by using dogs. Greater efficiency improves quantitative and qualitative assessments of avian mortality in the field.

Key **words** avian mortality, carcass searching, dogs, passerine, searching efficiency

Avian carcass searches have been used to estimate mortality caused by structure bird-strikes (Avery et al. 1978, McCrary et al. 1986, Faanes 1987, Savereno et al. 1996), agricultural pesticides (Bruggers et al. 1989,Tobin and Dolbeer 1990, Linz et al. 1991), and disease outbreaks (Wobeser and Wobeser 1992). Fewer carcasses tend to be found in densely vegetated habitats, leading to low mortality estimates in these conditions (Rosene and Lay 1963, Wobeser and Wobeser 1992, Philibert et al. 1993). Research has shown that detection of small passerine carcasses may decline 25-50% as vegetative cover increases in height and density (M. L. Avery, United States Department of Agriculture [USDA], unpublished report; Tobin and Dolbeer 1990; Philibert et al. 1993). Further, more time is needed to thoroughly search dense vegetation, effectively limiting the size or number of search areas. For example, searches in weedy orchards take 3 times longer than those in "clean" orchards (Tobin and Dolbeer 1990), and a thorough search for small bird carcasses in ungrazed pasture can require 11 -27 hours/ha (Philibert et al. 1993).

In dense cover, the olfactory capabilities of dogs could improve the efficiency of carcass searches. Some dog breeds (e.g., the pointing breeds and retrievers) with an innate ability for scenting birds have been used in gamebird research to census populations (Novoa et al. 1996) and to identify patterns of habitat use (Hines 1986). Although dogs can supplement and improve data collection, they present unique problems. Dogs may cause investigators to alter established field protocols and can introduce unknown biases related to scenting not present in human searches (Gutzwiller 1990). Moreover, dogs can be costly to purchase, train, house, and handle (Dedon et al. 1989; G. Littauer, United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant Health Inspection Service, Wildlife Services, personal communication).

Address for H. Jeffrey Homan and George Linz: United States Department of Agriculture, National Wildlife Research Center, Great Plains Field Station, 2110 Miriam Circle, Suite B, Bismarck, ND 58501, USA; e-mail for Homan: jeffrey.h.homan@usda.gov. Address for Brian D. Peer: Department of Zoology, North Dakota State University, Great Plains Field Station, 21 10 Miriam Circle, Suite B, Bismarck, ND 58501, USA.

Currently, we are evaluating an avicide (DRC-1339) to manage overabundant blackbird (Icteridae) populations in South Dakota and North Dakota. Post-treatment carcass searches are conducted after each application of avicide; however, concerns have been raised that our searches may underestimate mortality of nontarget passerines. Lands enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) predominate near our DRC-1339 baiting sites. To improve searches in dense herbaceous vegetation characteristic of most CRP fields, we have proposed using dogs. In April and August 1997 we conducted experiments to compare the efficiencies of humans and retriever dogs in searches for house sparrow (Passer domesticus) carcasses in dense grassy cover of mature **CRP** fields.

#### **Study area**

We conducted our research in east-central South Dakota and south-central North Dakota in the Prairie Couteau and Missouri Couteau, respectively. The topography of the couteaus was shaped by Pleistocene glacial processes and consisted of low, rolling moraines. Row crops, grain crops, and rangeland occupied >85% of this prairie landscape. Numerous undrained lakes and small wetlands occurred throughout. The 30-year averages for temperature and precipitation were  $6^{\circ}$ C and 49 cm, respectively (North Dakota Agricultural Statistics 1999, South Dakota Agricultural Statistics 1999). The South Dakota experiment was conducted in April 1997 in a CRP field planted in native grasses (e.g., Panicum virgatum and Andropogon gerardii). The North Dakota experiment was conducted in August 1997 in a field planted with introduced grasses (e.g., Agropyron spp. and Bromus spp.). Both fields were  $\geq 65$  ha.

#### **Methods**

We established 6 blocks in each field, with each block consisting of 6 plots  $(5 \times 40 \text{ m})$  delineated by flagging. The blocks were established in the densest areas of standing cover within the fields. Adjacent plots were paired and assigned to one of 3 human participants. All owned hunting dogs; 2 owned Labrador retrievers, one owned a Chesapeake Bay retriever. One plot in the pair was designated for search by the human, the other for search by the human-dog team. Dogs were kept on 5-m leashes during searches. Thawed house spar-



Human-dog teams were given 10 minutes to search  $5 \times 40$ -m transects of dense cover for house sparrow carcasses.

row carcasses  $(n=8)$  were cast randomly inside plots from 1 m outside (plot density= 400 carcasses/ha). The human or human-dog team was then brought from out of view. A 10-minute search period was allotted, allowing time to conduct the search at a slow walk with stops to inspect denser cover. The humans were active searchers when with dogs. We repeated this process sequentially until all **3** pairs of plots were completed. Unrecovered carcasses were removed from the plot after each search. The order of observers' participation in the searches was randomized. No more than 2 blocks were searched per day. To prevent upwind searches, we aligned plots according to prevailing winds.

The same subjects participated in the April and August experiments. The humans were experienced searchers, having participated in several organized searches for avian carcasses. Despite having no previous training with passerine birds, all dogs showed an intent interest in house sparrow carcasses during pretrial introductions. Searches were not conducted in steady rains or if constant wind 232 km/hr. Weather variables were collected hourly from the closest automated National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) weather stations, 55 and 16 km from the South Dakota and North Dakota study sites, respectively.

We estimated vegetation height and percent standing cover in each field by systematically placing 0.25-m<sup>2</sup> quadrats (0.5  $\times$  0.5 m) at 10-m distances on 4 randomly placed 100-m transects. A growing season interceded between the 2 experiments and the vegetation height and percent cover differed between the 2 fields. Thus, for data analyses we classified the blocks into 2 vegetation

#### Wildlife Society Bulletin 2001, 29(1):292-296

conditions: residual and new growth. Because searchers were advised to maintain similar intensity of effort between treatment types (i.e., human searches vs. human-dog searches), any difference between treatments was attributed to dogs. Detection efficiency by treatment (human or human-dog), vegetation (residual and new growth), and replication (1 to 6) were compared with a 3-factor repeated measures ANOVA, repeating on all 3 factors (Cody and Smith 1991). We compared differences in average searching efficiency among individual human searchers and among dogs with 1-factor ANOVAs. The dependent variable, percentage of carcasses recovered per plot, was arcsine-transformed to approximate normality (Sokal and Rolf 1981). We used Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests to compare height and density of vegetation between fields. Statistical significance was accepted at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Means are reported  $\pm$ SD. We used the coefficient of variation  $(CV=SD/\tilde{x} \times 100)$  to describe variability in detection efficiency between treatments.

## **Results**

The South Dakota experiment was conducted over a 5-day period from 13 to 17 April. Average temperature and percent relative humidity during hourly periods of the April trials were  $6^{\circ}$  C (range:  $3-11^{\circ}$  C) and  $46\%$  (range: 23-70%), respectively. In North Dakota, the experiment was conducted over 3 days (29-3 1 August), with an average temperature and relative humidity of  $25^{\circ}$ C (range: 19-31 $^{\circ}$ C) and 59% (range: 25-91%), respectively. The new-growth vegetation searched in North Dakota was taller (51 vs. 34 cm,  $Z=3.4$ ,  $n=40$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and denser (69 vs. 27%, Z=5.6,  $n = 40, P < 0.001$ ) than residual vegetation searched in South Dakota.

Dogs had a 92% ( $\pm$  13, n=36) searching efficiency in plots, more than twice that of humans  $(x=45\%)$  $\pm$  19,  $F_{1, 2}$  = 209.04, P = 0.005). Cover type did not significantly affect percent recovery for humans (residual cover = 42%, new growth = 47%;  $F_{1,30}$  = 0.62,  $P = 0.438$ ) or dogs (residual cover = 94%, new growth = 90%;  $F_{1, 30} = 0.29$ ,  $P = 0.592$ ). No interactions were detected for either replication (treatment×rep:  $F_{1, 10} = 0.88$ ,  $P = 0.529$  or vegetation (treatment×vegetation:  $F_{1,2}$ =0.44, P=0.577). The percentage of recovered carcasses was similar among humans  $(F_{2, 33} = 1.57, P = 0.224)$  and dogs  $(F_{2, 33} = 0.08, P = 0.922)$ . Variability in searching efficiency of the human participants did not differ

between residual and new growth cover  $(F_{17, 17}$ =  $1.13, P= 0.40$ , whereas dogs had greater variability in new growth  $(CV=20%)$  than residual cover  $(CV)$  $=7\%, F_{17, 17} = 7.76, P < 0.001$ . The searching efficiency of human participants was more variable (CV = 43%) than that of dogs (CV =  $14\%$ ,  $F_{35,35}$  = 2.05,  $P = 0.018$ ). Overall, dogs recovered 265 carcasses and missed 23 ( $\leq$ 12:1); humans recovered 129 and missed 159 ( $\approx$ 1:1).

#### **Discussion**

The dogs adapted quickly to search protocols and were remarkably efficient in recovering passerine carcasses. During the April experiment, dogs recovered either 7 or 8 carcasses in all 18 trials; by contrast, human participants found >5 carcasses only twice in 18 trials. Dogs maintained their efficiency under varying seasonal conditions and vegetation structures. Although during the August trials all dogs had one trial in which they found  $\leq$ 5 carcasses, in the remaining 15 trials, discovery rate was - >7. The lesser recovery rates occurred on 3 different days, and trials either prior or post had recovery rates  $>$ 7. No overriding climatic factor could thus be associated with reduced performance of dogs. We speculate that individual responses from working in warm summer temperatures (19°C warmer than April) produced the erratic results. The dogs were worked harder in August, completing the experiment in 3 days compared to 5 in April. Fatigue may have contributed.

Even under experimental conditions of high carcass densities and no carcass scavenging and decomposition, the probability of sighting carcasses in dense vegetation is low (Rosene and Lay 1963, Philibert et al. 1993). For example, in 1-ha plots of ungrazed pasture, searchers found only 14% of wooden-model savannah sparrows (Passerculus sandwichensis) and 23% of model western meadowlarks (Sturnella neglecta, Philibert et al. 1993). In wetland and riparian habitats, human searchers found only 32% of randomly placed house sparrow carcasses (M. L. Avery, United States Department of Agriculture, unpublished report). Burnham et al. (1980) recommended that to achieve accurate density estimates, transects be set at lengths allowing a minimum detection of 40 objects. During 10 transect searches in which savannah sparrows and western meadowlarks were placed at a density of 50/ha, the minimum detection of 40 was not obtained (Philibert et al. 1993). Without augmenting

#### . **A Carcass** searching **with** dogs **I** Homao et **a!. <sup>295</sup>**

searching efficiency, it may be infeasible to set adequate transect lengths. Moreover, 5-10% of the total area should be searched (Seber 1982,Wobeser andwobeser 1992). Both speed and high detection rates, therefore, are needed to make reliable assessments of mortality. Even at very low carcass densities, dogs will maintain a significantly greater detection efficiency than humans and find more carcasses per unit time. This will generate more accurate estimates of the number of individuals affected and the species involved.

We recommend future research on effects of search time, species, and density on search efficiency of dogs. Standards should be established for using dogs prior to carcass searches (Gutzwiller 1990). We advise that baseline assessments of efficiency be conducted under environmental and vegetative conditions that dogs will encounter. Study designs should use randomization to mitigate biases that affect scenting, such as humidity, temperature, precipitation, and wind direction and speed. Changes in behavior during searches should be monitored closely and respites given if dogs show disinterest and fatigue. If these variables can be controlled, precision and accuracy of avian mortality estimates in dense cover may be improved significantly.

*Acknowledgments.* We thank B. R. Bonestee1,A.A. Slowik, and G.A. Knutsen for field assistance. M. W. Lutman and R. S. Sawin reviewed and commented on manuscript drafts. C. Doetkott and E C. Cheng provided advice on statistical analyses. All procedures using the dogs and birds were approved by the Animal Use and Care Committee, National Wildlife Research Center, Ft. Collins, Colorado. The study was conducted under Quality Assurance guidelines (QA-437).

#### **Literature cited**

- AVEKY, **M.** L., **i? E** SPRINGER,AND J. **E** CASSEL. 1978. The composition and seasonal variation of bird losses at a tall tower in southeastern North Dakota. American Birds 32:1114-1121.
- BRUGGERS, R. L., M. M. JAEGER, J. O. KEITH, P. L. HEGDAL, J. B. BOURASSA, A.A. LATIGO,AND J.N. GILLIS. 1989. Impact of fenthion on nontarget birds during quelea control in Kenya. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 17: 149- 160.
- BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, AND J. L. LAAKE. 1980. Estimation of density from line transect sampling of biological populations. Wildlife Monograph 72.
- CODY, R. P., AND J. K. SMITH. 1991. Applied statistics and the SAS programming language. Third edition. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.
- DEDON, M. E, S. BYRNE, J. L.AYCRIGG,AND PA. HARTMAN. 1989. Bird mortality in relation to the Mare Island 115-kV transmission line. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Technical and Ecological Services Report 443-89.3, San Ramone, California, **TISA**
- FAANES, C. A. 1987. Bird behavior and mortality in relation to power lines in prairie habitats. United States Fish and Wildlife Service,Technical Report 7, Washington D.C., USA.
- GUTZWILLER, K. J. 1990. Minimizing dog-induced biases in game bird research. Wildlife Society Bulletin 18: 351-356.
- HINES, J. E. 1986. Social organization, movements, and home ranges of blue grouse in fall and winter. Wilson Bulletin 98: 419-432.
- LINZ, G. M., J. E. DAVIS, JR., R. M. ENGEMAN, D. L. OTIS,AND M.L.AVERY. 1991. Estimating survival of bird carcasses in cattail marshes. Wildlife Society Bulletin 19: 195- 199.
- MCCRARY, M. D., R. L. MCKERNAN, R. W. SCHREIBER, W. D. WAGNER, AND T. C. SCIARROTTA. 1986. Avian mortality at a solar energy power plant. Journal of Field Ornithology 57: 135-141.
- NORTH DAKOTA AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE. 1999. North Dakota Agricultural Statistics 1999. North Dakota Agricultural Statistics Service, Fargo, USA.
- Novo~, C., M. CATUSSE, AND L. ELLISON. 1996. Capercaillie *(Tetrao urogallus)* summer population census: comparison of counts with pointing dogs and route census. Gibier Faune Sauvage 13:1-11.
- PHILIBERT, H., G. WOBESER, AND R. G. CLARK. 1993. Counting dead birds: examination of methods. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 29: 284-289.
- ROSENE, W. JR., AND D. W. LAY. 1963. Disappearance and visibility of quail remains. Journal of Wildlife Management 27: 139-142.
- SAVERENO,A. J., L.A. SAVERENO, R. BOETTCHER, AND S. M. HAIG. 1996. Avian behavior and mortality at power lines in coastal South Carolina. Wildlife Society Bulletin 24: 636-648.
- SEBER, G.A. E 1982. Estimation of animal abundance and related parameters. Second edition. Hafner, New York, New York, USA.
- SOKAL, R. R.,AND EJ. ROLF. 1981. Biometry. Second edition. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, California, USA.
- SOUTH DAKOTA AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE. 1999. South Dakota Agricultural Statistics, 1998- 1999. South Dakota Agricultural Statistics Service, Sioux Falls, USA.
- TOBIN, M. E., AND R. A. DOLBEER. 1990. Disappearance and recoverability of songbird carcasses in fruit orchards. Journal of Field Ornithology 61: 237-242.
- WOBESER, G. ,AND A. G. WOBESER. 1992. Carcass disappearance and estimation of mortality in a simulated die-off of small birds. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 28: 548-554.

**jeffrey Homan** (photo) is a research wildlife biologist with the United States Department of Agriculture's National Wildlife Research Center (NWRC). He is located at the Great Plains Field Station, Bismarck, North Dakota. Jeff holds a Ph.D. in zoology from North Dakota State University. His research emphasis is on environmental impacts of cattail management and efficacy of herbicide applications on semipermanent wetlands. Previous research endeavors included habitat selection of wintering ring-necked pheasants, small-scale remote sensing of vegetation, nest-site selection of common grackles, and blackbird feeding ecology. His professional interests lie in

#### 296 Wildlife Society Bulletin 2001, 29(1):292-296

evaluating nonlethal methods to reduce avian damage to agricultural crops. **George Linz** is project leader at the Great Plains Field Station. He received his Ph.D. in zoology from North Dakota State University. His research interests include ecology of blackbirds in relation to ripening sunflower and developing methods of reducing blackbird damage to sunflower. **Brian Peer** is a visiting assistant professor in the Department of Biology at Lawrence University, Appleton, Wisconsin. Brian received a Ph.D. from the University of Manitoba. As a National Science Foundation postdoctoral research associate at the University of California, Santa Barbara, he investigates co-evolutionary relationships between avian brood parasites and their hosts.



**Associate editor:** Ford