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A B S T R A C T

Sugarcane borer, Diatraea saccharalis (F.), is a major target of Bt maize in South America and many areas of the US mid-south region. Six laboratory strains of D. saccharalis were established from six single-pair F2 families possessing major resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize hybrids. Susceptibility of the six strains was evaluated on diet treated with each of four purified trypsin-activated Bt proteins, Cry1Aa, Cry1Ac and Cry1F. Bt susceptibility of the six strains was compared with that of known Cry1Ab-susceptible and -resistant strains of D. saccharalis. At least two of the six strains demonstrated a similar level (>526-fold) of resistance to Cry1Ab as shown in the known Cry1Ab-resistant strain, while resistance levels were relatively lower for other strains (116- to 129-fold). All the six strains were highly cross-resistant to Cry1Aa (71- to 292-fold) and Cry1Ac (30- to 248-fold), but only with a low level to Cry1F (<7-fold). Larval growth of all six strains was also inhibited on Bt-treated diet, but, except for Cry1F, the growth inhibition of the six strains was considerably less than that of the Cry1Ab-susceptible larvae. The results provide clear evidence that the observed resistance to Cry1Ab maize in the six strains is a result of resistance to the Cry1A protein in the plants. The low level of cross-resistance between Cry1A and Cry1F suggests that pyramiding these two types of Bt proteins into a plant could be a good strategy for managing D. saccharalis.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Transgenic insect-resistant crops expressing Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) proteins have been used successfully for insect management worldwide since they were first commercialized in 1996 (Cattaneo et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2008; Hutchison et al., 2010; James, 2011). However, as Bt maize provides unprecedented control of some caterpillar pests through a simple seed choice, the widespread use of Bt crops could also accelerate development of resistance in target pest populations. Up to date, field resistance that leads to control failure or significantly reduced control efficacy due to intensive use of Bt crops has been documented in at least four cases: fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda JE Smith to Cry1F maize in Puerto Rico (Storer et al., 2010), African stem borer Bussola fusca Fuller, to Cry1A maize in South Africa (Van Rensburg, 2007), pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders), to Bt cotton in India (Dhurua and Gujar, 2011), and western corn rootworm, Diabrotica virgifera virgifera LeConte, to Cry3Bb1 maize in the United States (Gassmann et al., 2011).

The sugarcane borer, Diatraea saccharalis (F.), is a major maize borer pest in many areas of the mid-southern region of the United States and South America (PRNewswire, 2009; Huang et al., 2012a). Studies have shown that D. saccharalis is inherently less susceptible to Bt proteins than other major maize borer species such as European corn borer, Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner), and southwestern corn borer, Diatraea grandiosella Dyar (Huang et al., 2006). Both Wu et al. (2007) and Ghimire et al. (2011) also reported that most commercial Cry1Ab maize hybrids did not express a “high dose” of Bt proteins for D. saccharalis. To ensure the long-term success of Bt maize for managing stalk borers in the mid-southern region, since 2004, a cost-effective Bt resistance monitoring program has been implemented in the region (Huang et al., 2012a). By using an F2/F3 screen, the monitoring program can detect rare resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize in field populations of D. saccharalis. In 2009, a total of 110 F2 families of D. saccharalis derived from 191 feral individuals sampled from maize fields in southeast Louisiana were examined for resistance to Cry1Ab maize using an F2 screen (Huang et al., 2012a). Eight out of the 191 individuals were identified to possess
major resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize plants. Larvae of these families were able to survive on whole plants of commercial Cry1Ab maize hybrids in the greenhouse (Huang et al., 2012a). Laboratory strains were established for six of the eight families.

Information on cross-resistance of an insect pest to insecticides is essential for understanding mechanisms of resistance and developing resistance management strategies. Cross-resistance is common among Bt toxins (Tabashnik et al., 1994, 2000; Zhao et al., 2001; Siquiera et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005; Ali and Luttrell, 2007; Wu et al., 2009; Crespo et al., 2011), but several studies also showed that no or low level of cross-resistance can exist among Bt proteins in some cases. For example, a Cry1Ab resistant strain of *O. nubilalis* was not resistant to Cry9C and had only a very low level of cross-resistance to Cry1F (Siquiera et al., 2004). Similarly, Cry2Ab resistant strains of cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner), were found to be susceptible to purified Cry1Ac protein as well as Cry1Ac cotton plants (Mahon et al., 2007; Downes et al., 2010). In *D. saccharalis* generations of the backcross. The backcrossed and re-selected resistant maize hybrids in the greenhouse (Huang et al., 2012a). Laboratory families were able to survive on whole plants of commercial Cry1Ab, Cry1Ac, and Cry1F. Purified (99.9%) trypsin-activated Cry proteins were obtained from Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio, USA. The activated Cry proteins were lyophilized before they were used in the bioassays. The purity of these four proteins was determined using high-performance liquid chromatography and sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Pusztaí-Carey et al., 1995; Masson et al., 1998).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sources of Cry1Ab-susceptible and -resistant strains of *D. saccharalis*

A Cry1Ab-susceptible strain (Cry1Ab-SS) of *D. saccharalis* was established using larvae collected from maize fields near Winnsboro in Northeast Louisiana in 2009. The Cry1Ab-SS strain is susceptible to purified Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, and Cry1Ac (Huang et al., 2012b), as well as to Bt maize plants expressing Cry1Ab, Cry1A105, Cry2Ab2, and/or Cry1F (Wangila et al., 2012). Six Cry1Ab-resistant strains of *D. saccharalis* were developed from six out of the eight single-pair families that were identified to possess major resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize in 2009 (Huang et al., 2012a). These eight single-pair families were selected using an F2 screen from 191 feral individuals sampled from maize field in southeast Louisiana in 2009 in the same location as the Cry1Ab-SS strain was collected. These families have demonstrated survival on Cry1Ab maize plants in the greenhouse and thus are considered to carry major resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize plants (Huang et al., 2012a). The six Cry1Ab maize resistant strains were labeled: SCB-RR-43A, SCB-RR-L5B, SCB-RR-L6, SCB-RR-41, SCB-RR-46, and SCB-RR-54, respectively. In addition, a known Cry1Ab-resistant strain (Cry1Ab-RR-2004) of *D. saccharalis* was also included in the bioassays in this study to serve as a positive control. Cry1Ab-RR-2004 was established from a field collection in 2004, which has been well documented to be highly resistant to both Cry1Ab-maize plants and purified Cry1Ab protein (Huang et al., 2007a, 2007b; Wu et al., 2007; Ghimire et al., 2011; Wangila et al., 2012). Individuals of the seven resistant strains were backcrossed 2–3 times with individuals from the Cry1Ab-SS strain and re-selected for Bt resistance using leaf tissue from Cry1Ab expressing maize plants during the F2 generations of the backcross. The backcrossed and re-selected resistant strains were used in the current bioassays.

2.2. Sources of Cry proteins

Susceptibility of Cry1Ab-SS and the seven Bt resistant strains of *D. saccharalis* was assayed with four individual Cry proteins: Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, Cry1Ac, and Cry1F. Purified (99.9%) trypsin-activated Cry proteins was incorporated into a meridic diet prepared for rearing *D. saccharalis* (Bio-Serv, Frenchtown, NJ). Each bioassay included 6-Cry protein concentrations plus one untreated control. The range of Cry protein concentrations varied slightly based on preliminary bioassays. In most cases, Cry protein concentrations used in each bioassay ranged from 0.0316 to 31.6 μg/g or 0.0316 to 100 μg/g. There were two bioassays for testing SCB-RR-L5B with each of Cry1Aa and Cry1Ab, whereas one bioassay was conducted for all other combinations of Cry protein and insect strain. For diet incorporation, individual Cry proteins were first suspended and diluted in distilled water. The desired Cry protein concentrations were achieved by mixing appropriate volumes of Cry protein solution into the diet just prior to dispensing the diet into individual cells of 128-cell trays (Bio-Ba-128, C-D International, Pitman, NJ). Diet mixed with distilled water was used as a control in each bioassay. In the bioassay, approximately 0.7 ml of treated diet was poured into each cell using 10 or 20-ml syringes (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ). One neonate (<24-h old) was placed on the diet surface of each cell. Each combination of insect strain by Cry protein concentration was replicated 4 times with 16–32 larvae in each replication. The bioassay trays were held in an environmental chamber maintained at 28 °C, 50% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. Larval mortality, larval weight, and the number of surviving larvae that did not gain significant weight (<0.1 mg per larva and still in first instar) were recorded on the 7th day after inoculation.

2.4. Data analysis

Larval mortality was measured as ‘practical’ mortality as described in Huang et al. (2007b) and calculated using the equation:

\[
\text{mortality} (\%) = 100 \times \left(\frac{\text{number of dead larvae} + \text{number of surviving larvae that had a body weight of } < 0.1 \text{ mg per larva}}{\text{total number of insects assayed}}\right)
\]

The mortality of each insect strain at a Cry protein concentration was corrected for larval mortality on the control diet using the method described by Abbott (1925). Corrected dose/mortality data were subjected to probit analysis (Finney, 1971; SAS Institute Inc, 2010) for determining Cry concentrations that produced a 50% mortality value (LC50) and the corresponding 95% confidence limit (CL). The treatments used in the probit analysis in a bioassay included the highest concentration that produced zero mortality, the lowest concentration that resulted in 100% mortality, and all results between those extremes. Data for those cases that contained two bioassays were pooled in the probit analysis. Resistance ratios for each Cry protein were calculated using the LC50 value of a resistant strain divided by the LC50 of the Cry1Ab-SS strain. In some cases, the LC50 value of an insect strain was considered to be greater than the highest Cry concentration used in the bioassay if its larval mortality was <50% at the highest concentration.

In addition, percentage of larval growth inhibition of *D. saccharalis* on a Cry protein-treated diet was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{larval growth inhibition} (\%) = 100 \times \left(\frac{\text{body weight of larvae feeding on control diet} - \text{body weight of larvae feeding on Bt diet}}{\text{body weight of larvae feeding on Bt diet}}\right)
\]
weight of larvae feeding on control diet – 0.1 mg) (Huang et al., 2012b). Growth inhibition data were first analyzed using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with insect strain and Cry concentration as the two main factors to determine the effect of main factor and interaction (SAS Institute Inc, 2010). Because there were so many combinations of insect strain and Cry concentration, growth inhibition data of the eight insect strains were then analyzed using one-way ANOVA for each Cry protein concentration. Comparison among insect strains at a specific Cry concentration was determined using the least square difference test at α = 0.05 level (SAS Institute Inc, 2010). Based on the available data, comparisons among the eight insect strains were made in the range of Cry protein concentration from 0.1 to 31.6 μg/g for Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac and from 0.0316 to 10 μg/g for Cry1F.

3. Results

3.1. Median lethal concentration, LC₅₀

The LC₅₀ value of the Cry1Ab-SS strain of D. saccharalis on Cry1Ab diet was 0.19 μg/g with a 95% CL of 0.16–0.22 μg/g (Table 1). Compared to the Cry1Ab susceptible strain, the Cry1Ab-RR-2004 and all other six strains that were identified to possess resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize in 2009 were considerably less susceptible to Cry1Ab, Cry1Aa, and Cry1Ac (Table 1). The LC₅₀ values of Cry1Ab-RR-2004, SCB-RR-43A, SCB-RR-L5B, and SCB-RR-54 couldn’t be determined for Cry1Ab with the probit analysis because the highest concentrations used in the bioassays resulted in <50% mortality. Thus, resistance ratios to Cry1Ab based on the highest Bt concentrations used in the bioassays for the four strains were >53-fold for SCB-RR-54 and >526-fold for Cry1Ab-RR-2004, SCB-RR-43A, and SCB-RR-L5B. The LC₅₀ values of Cry1Ab for the three other resistant strains were similar, ranged from 22.1 μg/g for SCB-RR-46 to 24.6 μg/g for SCB-RR-L6, which were 116- to 129-fold greater than the LC₅₀ of the susceptible strain. The differences in LC₅₀ values of Cry1Ab between the resistant and Cry1Ab-SS strains were significant based on the non-overlapping of the 95% confidence limits for all the three resistant strains (Table 1).

Compared to Cry1Ab protein, Cry1Aa appeared to be more toxic to D. saccharalis. The calculated LC₅₀ value of Cry1Aa based on larval mortality of Cry1Ab-SS was 0.04 μg/g with a 95% CL of 0.03–0.05 μg/g (Table 1). The LC₅₀ values of the seven resistant strains ranged from 2.84 μg/g (71-fold) for SCB-RR-54 to 11.7 μg/g (292-fold) for SCB-RR-43A, which were significantly greater than that of Cry1Ab-SS based on the non-overlapping of the 95% confidence limits. Differences in LC₅₀ values of Cry1Aa were also observed among the seven resistant strains. The LC₅₀ value of Cry1Aa for SCB-RR-43A was greater than that of SCB-RR-41 (3.05 μg/g), SCB-RR-46 (3.76 μg/g), SCB-RR-L5B (4.11 μg/g), and SCB-RR-L6 (6.33 μg/g) based on the non-overlapping of the 95% confidence limits.

The seven Bt resistant strains of D. saccharalis were also highly resistant to Cry1Ac protein. The calculated LC₅₀ value of Cry1Ac for

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cry protein</th>
<th>Insect strain</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Slope ± SE</th>
<th>LC₅₀ (95% CL) (μg/g)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Resistance ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cry1Ab</td>
<td>Cry1Ab-SS</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.19 (0.16, 0.22)</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cry1Ab-RR-2004</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-43A</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-L5B</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.10</td>
<td>24.57 (14.70, 51.10)</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-41</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.17</td>
<td>22.87 (14.36, 45.95)</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-46</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.14</td>
<td>22.11 (15.27, 36.59)</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-54</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cry1Aa</td>
<td>Cry1Aa-SS</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03, 0.05)</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cry1Aa-RR-2004</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.17</td>
<td>7.72 (6.38, 9.39)</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-43A</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.23</td>
<td>11.68 (10.10, 12.43)</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-L5B</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.30</td>
<td>4.13 (3.27, 5.15)</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-46</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.30</td>
<td>6.33 (5.24, 7.73)</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-54</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.33</td>
<td>3.05 (2.28, 4.17)</td>
<td>39.05</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-46</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.23</td>
<td>3.76 (3.15, 4.50)</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-54</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.75</td>
<td>2.84 (0.70, 27.89)</td>
<td>665.35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cry1Ac</td>
<td>Cry1Ac-SS</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.23 (0.17, 0.32)</td>
<td>46.30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cry1Ac-RR-2004</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.10</td>
<td>6.14 (4.60, 8.80)</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-43A</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.17</td>
<td>6.93 (5.58, 8.69)</td>
<td>35.86</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-L5B</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.08</td>
<td>14.17 (9.93, 21.57)</td>
<td>40.65</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-46</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.45</td>
<td>56.96 (30.95, 381.19)</td>
<td>24.76</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-54</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.28</td>
<td>9.49 (6.49, 14.06)</td>
<td>96.56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cry1F</td>
<td>Cry1F-SS</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.13</td>
<td>17.26 (10.61, 34.78)</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCB-RR-43A</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.25</td>
<td>8.81 (5.62, 15.44)</td>
<td>57.35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Practical larval mortality was defined as the number of dead larvae plus surviving larvae that did not demonstrate significant weight gains (<0.1 mg/larva) in a 7-day bioassay divided by the total number of larvae in the test.

b n = total number of neonates assayed.

c The LC₅₀ value of an insect strain was considered to be greater than the highest Cry concentration used in the bioassay if its larval mortality was <50% at the highest concentration.

d Resistance ratios for a Cry protein were calculated by dividing the LC₅₀ value of a Bt maize resistant strain by that of the Cry1Ab-susceptible strain (Cry1Ab-SS).
Cry1Ab-SS was 0.23 µg/g with a 95% CL of 0.17–0.32 µg/g (Table 1). In contrast, the LC50 values for the seven resistant strains ranged from 6.93 µg/g for SCB-RR-43A (30-fold) to 61.4 µg/g (267-fold) for Cry1Ab-RR-2004. The differences between Cry1Ab-SS and the resistant strains were significant for all the seven resistant strains based on the non-overlapping of the 95% confidence limits (Table 1). As observed in the bioassays with Cry1Ab and Cry1Aa, resistance ratios to Cry1Ac varied among the seven resistant strains. Except for SCB-RR-46 (75-fold), resistance ratios to Cry1Ac in Cry1Ab-RR-2004 (267-fold) and SCB-RR-L6 (248-fold) were significantly greater than those observed in other strains (30- to 62-fold) based on the non-overlapping of the 95% confidence limits.

Compared to Cry1Ab, Cry1Aa, or Cry1Ac, all seven Cry1Ab maize-resistant strains of *D. saccharalis* showed considerably lower resistance ratios to Cry1F. The calculated LC50 value of Cry1F for Cry1Ab-SS was 0.37 µg/g with a 95% CI of 0.31–0.45 µg/g (Table 1). LC50s of the seven resistant strains ranged from 0.57 µg/g for SCB-RR-43A to 2.56 µg/g for Cry1Ab-RR-2004. The 1.5-fold difference between Cry1Ab-SS and SCB-RR-43A was not significant based on the overlapping of the 95% confidence limits. The resistance ratios (2.4- to 6.9-fold) for the other six resistant strains were significant based on the non-overlapping of the 95% confidence limits. Varied susceptibility to Cry1F was also observed among the six resistant strains but the differences were small, <3-fold.

### 3.2. Larval growth inhibition

The main effect of insect strain and Cry concentration on larval growth inhibition was significant for all four Cry proteins \( F \gg 48.16; \text{df} = 7, 144–161; P < 0.0001 \) for insect strain and \( F \gg 225.56; \text{df} = 5, 144; P < 0.0001 \) for Cry concentration. The effect of the interaction of insect strain and Cry concentration was also significant \( F \gg 5.5; \text{df} = 33–35, 144–161; P < 0.0001 \). Larval growth inhibition of Cry1Ab-SS and the seven Bt-resistant strains increased as Cry protein concentrations increased for all four Cry proteins (Fig. 1). However, except for Cry1F, growth inhibition of Cry1Ab-SS strain increased considerably faster than that of the seven Bt-resistant strains.

The general patterns of concentration-larval growth responses were similar for Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, and Cry1Ac (Fig. 1). At each Cry concentration, the effect of insect strain on larval growth inhibition was significant for all these three proteins \( F \gg 12.65; \text{df} = 7, 28; P < 0.0001 \) for Cry1Aa, \( F \gg 10.57; \text{df} = 6–7, 24–28; P < 0.0001 \) for Cry1Ac, \( F \gg 4.58; \text{df} = 7, 24–28; P < 0.0023 \) for Cry1Ac. Larval growth of Cry1Ab-SS strain on diet treated with one of the three proteins was severely inhibited even at low concentrations. For example, at 0.1 µg/g, Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac inhibited larval growth of the Cry1Ab-SS strain by 96.8%, 81.8% and 76.0%, respectively, which were significantly greater \( P < 0.05 \) than that observed for the seven resistant strains. Larval growth of Cry1Ab-SS at 0.316 µg/g of Cry1Aa, 3.16 µg/g of Cry1Ab, and 1 µg/g of Cry1Ac was completely inhibited, while the corresponding growth inhibition was only 34.2–63.6%, 50.2–91.0%, and 51.9–76.7%, respectively, for the seven resistant strains. Larval growth of the seven resistant strains was not completely inhibited at 3.16 and 10 µg/g for all three proteins but the growth was completely or nearly completely stopped at 31.6 µg/g for Cry1Aa and Cry1Ac. Differences in growth inhibition were also observed among the seven resistant strains. For Cry1Aa, growth inhibition of SCB-RR-43A, SCB-RR-L5B, and SCB-RR-41 at concentrations of 0.1–1 µg/g was generally greater than that of other resistant strains but the differences decreased or disappeared at >3.16 µg/g. On Cry1Ab treated diet, compared to other resistant strains, growth of Cry1Ab-RR-2004 was less inhibited at most concentrations. In most cases,
growth inhibition of SCB-RR-43A was also somewhat less than that of the other five resistant strains which showed similar dose-growth response. For Cry1Ac, relative to other resistant strains, larval growth of Cry1AB-RR-2004 in most cases was also less affected at the concentrations of 0.1–3.16 µg/g but the differences became smaller at 10 and 31.6 µg/g.

For Cry1F, effect of insect strain on larval growth inhibition of D. saccharalis was also significant at each of the six concentrations assayed (F > 21.9; df = 7, 24; P < 0.0001). However, the difference among insect strains at a specific concentration was in general much smaller than that recorded in the bioassays with the other three Cry proteins. Unlike observed in the bioassays with Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, or Cry1Ac, Cry1AB-SS larvae did not show a consistently greater growth inhibition than the resistant strains at most concentrations tested. At 0.0316–0.316, growth inhibition of SCB-RR-43A was also somewhat less than that observed in most other insect strains but the difference disappeared at > 1 µg/g.

4. Discussion

Because of the high cost to purchase purified Cry proteins, susceptibility of D. saccharalis to Cry1Ab protein could be assayed up to only 100 µg/g in this study. The LC50 values could not be determined with the probit analysis for four of the seven resistant strains because larval mortality at the highest concentration tested did not reach 50% (Table 1). Nevertheless, the bioassay data showed that the Cry1AB-SS strain was susceptible to Cry1Ab protein with an LC50 value of 0.19 µg/g, which was similar to the value reported in previous studies (Huang et al., 2007b, 2008). The known Cry1AB-resistant strain (Cry1Ab-RR-2004) of D. saccharalis again exhibited highly resistant (>526-fold) to Cry1Ab in this study. The resistance levels of Cry1AB-RR-2004 to Cry1Ab protein appeared to be greater than that observed in a bioassay that was conducted six years ago (Huang et al., 2007b). The Cry1AB-RR-2004 was continuously selected on Cry1Ab maize leaf tissue since it was established in 2004–2005. The long-term continued selection apparently elevated the resistance ratio. The current study also demonstrated that all six strains of D. saccharalis that were collected in 2009 and identified to possess major resistance alleles to Cry1Ab maize were also highly resistant to the purified trypsin-activated Cry1Ab protein. Due to the availability of the amount of Cry1Ab protein, the highest concentration used in assaying SCB-RR-54 was only 10 µg/g. At this concentration, larvae of SCB-RR-54 showed only a very low mortality, 6.5%, and thus the actual resistance ratio to Cry1Ab in this strain should be much greater than 53-fold. Based on the larval growth data we expected that susceptibility of SCB-RR-54 to Cry1Ab should be similar as observed in the other resistant strains. In summary, the results of this study provide clear evidence that the observed survival on Cry1Ab maize in the F2 screen in the six strains (Huang et al., 2012a) is the result of resistance to the Cry1Ab protein in the plants.

Although all six strains of D. saccharalis that were established from field populations collected in 2009 were highly resistant to purified Cry1Ab protein, variation in the Cry1Ab susceptibility existed among strains. Based on larval growth and mortality, resistance level to Cry1Ab in SCB-RR-43A and -LSB were apparently greater than in SCB-RR-L6, SCB-RR-41, and SCB-RR-46. The varied Cry1Ab susceptibility among these resistant strains warrants further study to find out the reasons that cause the differences. Different traits or mechanisms of resistance could exist among these strains. One of the advantages of F2 screen is that it is able to detect different alleles of resistance in field populations (Andow and Alstad, 1998; Huang, 2006). However, the difference could be also due to varied levels of homozygosity of resistance among these strains because all of the six resistant strains had not been intensively selected after they were established using F2 screen. The low slopes (0.82–1.17) of the dose–response curves of the three strains that were available for probit analysis also indicate a non-homozygous status of the resistance alleles among individuals in these strains.

Cross-resistance is most likely when toxins share key structural features (Tabashnik et al., 1996). Data of this study showed that all seven Bt resistant strains of D. saccharalis demonstrated a significantly level of cross-resistance to Cry1Aa and Cry1Ac but the pattern of cross-resistance appears to be varied among insect strains and Bt proteins. Except for SCB-RR-L6, cross-resistance level to Cry1Aa appears to be positively correlated to the level of resistance to Cry1Ab. In contrast, cross-resistance level to Cry1Ac was not highly correlated with the levels of Cry1Ab resistance. For example, SCB-RR-43A was highly resistant to both Cry1Ab (>526-fold) and Cry1Ac (292-fold) but it showed the least cross-resistance level (30-fold) to Cry1Ac among the seven resistant strains, while SCB-RR-L6 that showed a relatively low level (129-fold) of resistance to Cry1Ab demonstrated a relatively high level of cross-resistance to both Cry1Aa (158-fold) and Cry1AC (248-fold). The varied patterns of cross-resistance further indicate that different alleles and/or mechanisms of resistance could exist among these strains.

Varied cross resistance patterns for different Bt proteins have been reported in several other lepidopteran species targeted by Bt crops (Tabashnik et al., 1994; Ferré and Van Rie, 2002; Siqueria et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005; Pereira et al., 2010; Crespo et al., 2011). In most cases, the underlying physiological mechanisms of cross-resistance among Bt proteins are complex and somewhat unpredictable (Bauer, 1995). It is believed that the most likely factor that relate to the cross-resistance patterns could be the specific binding sites in the insect midgut brush border membrane. In the diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella (L.), Granero et al. (1996) reported that Cry1Ab and Cry1F shared a high-affinity binding site. Additional studies suggest that there may be four different Bt binding sites in the midgut of P. xylostella: site 1 for Cry1Aa; site 2 for Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, Cry1Ac, Cry1F, and Cry1B; site 3 for Cry1J; and site 4 for Cry1C (Ballester et al., 1999; Ferré and Van Rie, 2002). Results of the present study showed that all seven Cry1AB resistant strains of D. saccharalis were also highly resistant to Cry1Aa and Cry1Ac, indicating these three Bt proteins could share similar binding sites, as suggested in P. xylostella. However, these highly Cry1Ab-resistant strains of D. saccharalis exhibited only a very low level (1.5 to 6.9-fold) of cross-resistance to Cry1F. The results of the present study support the assumption that, besides a low-affinity binding site shared for Cry1Ab and Cry1F (Hua et al., 2001), there is another high-affinity binding site for Cry1F but not for Cry1Ab in D. saccharalis as it is suggested in O. nubilalis (Siqueria et al., 2004; Crespo et al., 2011). Although considerable variation in cross-resistance to Cry1Aa, Cry1Ac, and Cry1F were observed among the seven Cry1Ab-resistant strains of D. saccharalis, the overall cross-resistance pattern to these Bt proteins in D. saccharalis is similar to that observed in O. nubilalis. Crespo et al. (2011) reported that a strain of O. nubilalis with >1000-fold resistance to Cry1Ab also exhibited high levels (>535-fold) of cross-resistance to Cry1Ac and Cry1Aa but only low levels (<4-fold) of cross-resistance to Cry1F. Similar cross-resistance patterns were also observed in another strain of O. nubilalis that had a lower level of Cry1Ab resistance (Siqueria et al., 2004). The low level of cross-resistance between Cry1A and Cry1F in the maize stalk borers suggests that pyramiding these two types of Cry proteins into a plant could be an effective strategy for managing maize stalk borers.
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