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1. Introduction

Sports related concussions are a growing public health problem that affects millions of individuals in the United States (Langlois et al., 2006). Of particular concern are athletes who participate in contact sports, who are not only at risk for multiple concussions whose cumulative effects are not known (Thurman et al., 1998), but who are also exposed to repetitive head impacts, which have been suggested as a possible cause of chronic brain injury (Chamard et al., 2012; Koerte et al., 2012a, 2012b). Female participation in contact sports has been steadily increasing in the United States since the inception of Title IX in 1972. Research conducted in contact sports where male and females participate at the same level, such as ice hockey, has shown that females are at a higher risk of concussion (0.82/1000 athletic exposures (AE)) than their male counterparts (0.72/1000 AE) (Dick, 2009), but the reasons for this are not well understood.

It has been accepted that the mechanism of concussion is related to accelerations of the head after a direct or indirect impact to the head or body (McCrory et al., 2009), but the exact relationship between the biomechanics of head impacts and clinical outcome is unknown (Duhaime et al., 2012). While the kinematics of head impacts associated with injury are important to understand, it has been proposed that it is equally important to examine impacts that are not associated with diagnosis of concussion (Hutchison, 2011). Evaluating the biomechanics of all impacts may lead to a better understanding of the relative risk of head impacts while also allowing for the evaluation of the relationship between repetitive impacts and long-term cognitive deficits.

Several recent studies have focused on understanding the biomechanics of head impacts sustained in contact sports by utilizing an accelerometer-based head impact monitoring device, the Head Impact Telemetry (HIT) System (Simbex, Lebanon, New Hampshire). The HIT System allows researchers to monitor and record head impacts
sustained by individual helmeted athletes during play. Utilizing this system and the unique laboratory that the playing field provides, researchers have directly measured the frequency, magnitude, and location of head impacts in a variety of sports (boxing, soccer, skiing); however, the majority of studies have focused on football and ice hockey (Broglio et al., 2009; Brolinson et al., 2006; Crisco et al., 2012, 2010; Mihalik et al., 2012, 2008). In our approach to understanding the biomechanics of concussions, we have used data collected by the HIT System to quantify head impact exposure, a multifactorial term that includes the frequency, magnitude, and impact location of head impacts for individual athletes. Previously, we have quantified and reported head impact exposure by specific player positions in collegiate football players (Crisco et al., 2011). In a subsequent study, where this analysis was expanded to impacts associated with diagnosed concussions, we found that a relationship exists between head impact exposure and diagnosis of concussion (Beckwith et al., 2013). Based on these findings, we have proposed that reducing an individual’s head impact exposure is a practical approach for reducing the risk of brain injuries (Crisco and Greenwald, 2011).

Considering the high rate of concussions in ice hockey, the relative youth of its players, and the fact that both males and females participate, the expansion to and application of our previously used methods for quantifying head impact exposure to hockey is warranted. The only previous study of collegiate hockey players compared distributions of head impact exposure between sexes and reported that males experience a higher number of impacts than females and also sustain head impacts greater in magnitude (Brainard et al., 2012). While the study provided valuable insights into sex differences in the biomechanics of head impacts sustained in collegiate ice hockey, it did not provide a player-specific, detailed analysis of the exposure to all head impacts for individual players by sex, position, session type, or team.

The aim of this study was to quantify the frequency, magnitude, and location on the helmet of all head impacts sustained by individual collegiate male and female ice hockey players. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that male hockey players would have a higher frequency of head impacts and would sustain head impacts that resulted in greater magnitudes than female players. We also tested the null hypotheses that head impact frequency, location, and magnitude sustained by individual athletes would not differ by player position, session type, or team.

2. Methods

Ninety-nine (41 male and 58 female) players from two men’s and two women’s National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) hockey programs (Brown University and Dartmouth College, teams denoted arbitrarily as M1, M2 for males and F1, F2 for females) participated in this observational study after informed consent was obtained with institutional review board approval. Teams M1, F1, and F2 participated during the 2009–2010, 2010–2011, and 2011–2012 hockey seasons, while team M2 participated in a single season (2010–2011). Thirty males and 19 females were monitored during one season, 5 males and 20 females during two seasons, and 6 males and 19 females during three seasons. Players were categorized into one of two positions, forward or defense. Goalies were not included in this study. Of the 41 male players, 16 were defenders and 25 were forwards. The 58 female players included 21 defenders and 37 forwards.

Players wore 59 Easton (Van Nuys, California) or CCM Vector (Reebok-CCM Hockey, Inc. Montreal, Canada) helmets instrumented with the HIT System. The HIT System measures and records biomechanical data from head impacts including linear and rotational acceleration at the head center of gravity (CG) and impact location on the helmet. The instrumented helmets were equipped with six single-axis accelerometers arranged tangentially to the head and mounted elastically within the helmet’s foam liner to maintain contact with the head and decouple shell vibrations (Brainard et al., 2012; Manoogian et al., 2006; Mihalik et al., 2008). The system collects acceleration data at 1 kHz, time stamps, and stores the data on the helmet. Data are then transmitted by radiofrequency to a computer and entered into a secure database. System design, validation, accuracy, and data reduction methods have been previously described in detail (Crisco et al., 2004; Gwin et al., 2009, 2006; Mihalik et al., 2012, 2010a, 2010b, 2008; Wilcox et al., 2013).

Head impact exposure—including frequency of head impacts, magnitude of head impacts, and impact location on the helmet for individual players—was quantified. This was accomplished using previously established methods to quantify head impact exposure in collegiate football players (Crisco et al., 2012, 2011, 2010). A season was defined as either a practice when an individual participated in a session when the player was present and partook in a game or practice, regardless of whether they sustained an impact during that particular session. Practices were sessions at which players wore protective equipment with the potential of head contact. Game sessions included both competitions and scrimmages. Five measures of impact frequency were computed for each player: practice impacts, game impacts, impacts per season, impacts per practice, and impacts per game. Practice impacts and game impacts are the total number of head impacts for a player during all practices and all games, respectively. To calculate the number of impacts per game and per practice, the frequency of impacts players received was normalized by the number of sessions the player participated in. This accounted for differences in schedules and player attendance. Impacts per season, per game, and per practice are the average number of head impacts for a player during all sessions in a single season, during all games, and during all practices, respectively.

Impact magnitude variables included peak linear acceleration (g), peak rotational acceleration (rad/s²), and HITsp. HITsp is a composite measure of head impact severity that includes linear and rotational acceleration, impact duration, and impact location (Greenwald et al., 2008). Each individual player’s distribution of peak linear acceleration (g), peak rotational acceleration (rad/s²), and HITsp were quantified by the 50th and 95th percentile value of all season impacts. Additionally, impacts were further reduced for analysis by computing the 50th and 95th percentile value of all season impacts at each location.

Impact location variables were computed as azimuth and elevation angles relative to the center of gravity of the head (Crisco et al., 2004) and then categorized as front, side (left and right), back, and top. Four equally spaced regions centered on the mid-sagittal plane make up the front, left, right, and back locations. Impacts to the top of the head were defined as all impacts above an elevation angle of 65° from a horizontal plane through the CG of the head (Greenwald et al., 2008). 2.1. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as median values and [25–75%] interquartile range because cause study variables were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test; p < 0.05). Differences in impacts per season among team and sex were examined separately using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks with a Dunn’s post-hoc test for all pairwise comparisons. The significance of the differences in sex and player positions in impact frequency (impacts per practice, impacts per game, and impacts per season) and in severity measures (50th and 95th percentile peak linear and rotational acceleration, and HITsp) were examined using a two-way ANOVA with a Holm-Sidak post-hoc test for all pairwise comparisons. Statistical significance was set at F < 0.05 and the reported p-values are those for the post hoc test. An identical approach was used to examine the significance of the differences among sex and player positions in frequency and severity measures at each location. Statistical comparisons among impact location were performed with a Friedman repeated measures ANOVA on ranks. All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, Chicago, Illinois).

3. Results

3.1. Overall impact distributions

A total of 37,411 head impacts were analyzed in this study, with 19,880 impacts sustained by males and 17,531 by females. These data were collected during a player median of 109 [96–113] practices and 36 [27–43] games for males and a player median of 142.5 [77.5–174] practices and 53.5 [32–68] games for females. Distributions of the magnitudes of all impacts by peak linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp were skewed toward lower values (Fig. 1). The total number of impacts received by an individual male player during a single season was a median of 287 [200–446] with a maximum of 785 and females received a median of 170 [116–230] and a maximum of 489. The percentiles of players receiving any given number of season impacts are plotted by sex and by team using cumulative histograms (Fig. 2). The number of season impacts for male players was significantly higher than for female players (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). The number of season impacts...
for players on Team M2 was higher than the number of season impacts for players on team M1, Fl, and F2 (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2B). After normalizing for differences in the number of sessions, there was no difference in the number of impacts per game or impacts per practice between M1 and M2 (p > 0.05) or Fl and F2 (p > 0.05).

### 3.2. Impact frequency

Males experienced a significantly higher number of impacts per game 6.3 [3.5–9.0] than impacts per practice 1.3 [1.0–1.7] (p < 0.001). Similarly, females had a significantly higher number of impacts per game 3.7 [2.5–4.9] than impacts per practice 0.9 [0.6–1.0] (p < 0.001). Males experienced a significantly higher number of impacts per season, impacts per practice, and impacts per game than females (Table 1). Across all players and within sex, there were no statistically significant differences in the frequency of impacts per game or per practice between forwards and defenders (Fig. 3).

### 3.3. Impact magnitude

When compared to females, males were found to sustain impacts with greater 50th percentile peak linear and peak rotational acceleration, as well as HITsp (Table 2). Males also sustained impacts with greater peak 95th rotational acceleration and HITsp, but the trend in differences in peak linear acceleration did not reach significance. Position was not a factor in magnitude of head impacts for males or females (Table 3). There were no increases from practices to games in head impact magnitude regardless of sex or position (Fig. 3).

### 3.4. Impact location

For both male and female players, frequency and magnitude of head impacts varied by impact location on the helmet. The lowest percent of impacts occurred to the top of the helmet (p < 0.001) (Table 4). There were no statistically significant differences between males and females in the frequency of impacts to different locations on the helmet (p-values ranging from 0.31 to 0.87).

Location was found to be a factor in impact magnitude for both male and female players. Males experienced greater 95th percentile peak

---

**Figure 1.** Study-wide peak linear acceleration (g), peak rotational acceleration (rad/s²), and HITsp distributions of head impacts. Data are a percentage of all impacts for individual players with median [25–75%] values plotted at each bin in the distribution.

**Figure 2.** The cumulative distribution of the percentage of players for the number of impacts per season by sex (A) and team (B).

**Table 1.** Median [25–75th percentile] impacts per season, per practice, per game for male and female players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts per season</th>
<th>Impacts per practice</th>
<th>Impacts per game</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male 287.0 [201.5–444.6]</td>
<td>1.3 [1.0–1.7]</td>
<td>6.3 [3.5–9.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 169.8 [119.0–230.0]</td>
<td>0.9 [0.6–1.0]</td>
<td>3.7 [2.5–4.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Median [25–75th percentile] impacts per season, 50th and 95th percentile peak linear acceleration, peak rotational acceleration, and HTIsp for male and female players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50th percentile Peak linear acceleration (g)</th>
<th>Peak rotational acceleration (rad/sec²)</th>
<th>HTIsp</th>
<th>95th percentile Peak linear acceleration (g)</th>
<th>Peak rotational acceleration (rad/sec²)</th>
<th>HTIsp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Median [25–75th percentile] 95th percentile peak linear acceleration, peak rotational acceleration, and HTIsp for male and female players by position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Peak linear acceleration (g)</th>
<th>Peak rotational acceleration (rad/sec²)</th>
<th>HTIsp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38.5 [33.1–48.4]</td>
<td>4420 [3955–5115]</td>
<td>23.6 [22.0–29.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43.4 [38.5–49.8]</td>
<td>4499 [4076–5221]</td>
<td>26.4 [24.0–29.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40.6 [34.3–50.0]</td>
<td>3431 [3108–3786]</td>
<td>21.5 [20.9–22.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40.9 [36.8–49.2]</td>
<td>3371 [3170–3883]</td>
<td>23.0 [21.3–25.5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Impact location distribution, median [25–75th percentile] percentages of all head impacts to the front, side, top, and back of the helmet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Front (%)</th>
<th>Side (%)</th>
<th>Top (%)</th>
<th>Back (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>29.3 [24.8–32.1]</td>
<td>27.9 [21.5–33.4]</td>
<td>9.1 [5.2–12.1]</td>
<td>31.3 [28.2–37.9]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. After categorizing by sex and player position, the median [25%–75%] 95th percentile peak linear acceleration as a function of the frequency of the median head impacts per session [25–75%]. Filled markers represent men; unfilled markers represent women.

Figure 4. Median [25–75%] 95th percentile peak linear acceleration from impacts to the back of the helmet than impacts to the front or side (p = 0.002) (Fig. 4A). Males also experienced impacts to the side of the helmet that were greater in 95th percentile peak rotational acceleration when compared to impacts to the front (p = 0.002). For females, impacts to the back and top of the helmet were greater in 95th peak linear acceleration than impacts to the front and side (p < 0.001) (Fig. 4B). Females also experienced greater 95th percentile peak rotational acceleration from impacts to the side and back of the helmet than impacts to the top or front (p < 0.001). Impacts to the front of the head and side of the head were significantly greater in 95th percentile peak linear acceleration for males compared to females (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p = 0.028, p = 0.024, respectively) (Fig. 4B). Position did not play a factor in frequency or magnitude of impact location for males or females.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to quantify head impact exposure in individual male and female collegiate ice hockey players and then examine the relationships between head impact frequency, location, and magnitude as a function of sex, player position, session type, and team.

Male players were found to have a higher frequency of head impacts per practice, per game, and per season than female players. The difference in impact frequency between sexes can most likely be attributed to gender-specific rules in ice hockey. Checking, or purposeful body contact of an opposing player, is allowed in men’s hockey, whereas it is illegal in women’s. In a previous study in the same subject population, we found that while head impacts that resulted from contact with another player occurred at a higher rate per game for males compared to females, contact with another player was the most frequent head impact mechanism for both sexes (Wilcox et al., 2013). Similar to previous studies in collegiate football and youth hockey, both male and female players were found to have higher impacts per game than impacts per practice (Crisco et al., 2011, 2010; Mihalik et al., 2008). Accordingly, overall injury rates in collegiate ice hockey have been reported to be eight times higher in games than in practices for males and five times higher for females (Agel et al., 2007a, 2007b). The number of impacts per practice, per game, and per season for male and female hockey players were considerably lower than those previously reported in collegiate football (Crisco et al., 2011, 2010). When considering the difference in head impact frequency between the two sports, it should be noted that, in this study, the number of head impacts were normalized by the number of sessions individual players participated in, regardless of whether or not they received a head impact. Crisco et al. normalized the frequency of head impacts by the number of sessions at which a player sustained at least one impact (Crisco et al., 2011, 2010). For an individual athlete, the number of AE will be higher than or equal to the number of sessions at which a single impact occurs.

Male hockey players were found to sustain head impacts that resulted in greater acceleration magnitudes than females. When one
consider that impacts of greater magnitude have more associated risk for concussion and that females are reported to have a higher incidence of concussion, the data presented here may seem counterintuitive (Beckwith et al., 2013; Dick, 2009). Several additional factors may contribute to the differences in biomechanics of head impacts and concussion incidence between males and female players in collegiate ice hockey, including physiological differences, psychological factors, and rule variations within the sport by gender. Mihalik et al. (2012) reported an average linear acceleration in male youth hockey players of 18.3 g for defensemen and 18.4 g for forwards, which was substantially higher than our median 50th percentile linear acceleration for male and female defensemen and forwards, which ranged from 15.0 to 15.8 g. This discrepancy may be associated with differences in analysis; in that study average values were computed, while in the present study we computed the median value to account for the positively skewed, non-normally distributed data. While the 95th percentile peak rotational acceleration for male hockey players in this study—4424 rad/sec^2—was comparable to the reported value of 4378 rad/sec^2 in collegiate football, both of these values are considerably greater than what we found in female hockey players—3409 rad/sec^2. The 95th percentile peak linear acceleration, 62.7 g, and HITsp, 32.6, reported in collegiate football are comparable to the reported value of 4378 rad/sec^2 for male hockey players in this study—4424 rad/sec^2. The disparity may be attributed to the fact that player positions in football tend to be highly specialized with very different objectives. Similar to findings in previous studies in youth hockey (Mihalik et al., 2008), we observed no differences in frequency or magnitude of head impacts between defenders and forwards. There are conflicting data available on player position and injury rates in hockey; some studies have reported that forwards sustain higher overall injury and concussion rates than defenders, while others found no differences (Agel et al., 2007a, 2007b; Flik et al., 2005; Hutchison, 2011; McKnight et al., 1992). A limitation of this study is that we did not analyze the relationship between head impact exposure and the diagnosis of concussion.

In summary, we have shown that head impact exposure for collegiate ice hockey players is dependent upon impact location and categorized by sex. Filled markers represent men; unfilled markers represent women.

Figure 4. Median [25–75%] of the 95th percentile peak linear (g) (A) and peak rotational (rad/sec^2) acceleration (B) as a function of the median [25–75%] frequency of impacts at each helmet location and categorized by sex. Filled markers represent men; unfilled markers represent women.
back of the helmet result in the greatest peak linear accelerations, while impacts to the side and back of the helmet are associated with high rotational accelerations. Our findings also suggest, when compared to the literature, that head impact exposure for individual athletes is dependent upon which sport they play. It has been proposed that reducing an individual’s head impact exposure is a practical approach for reducing the risk of brain injuries (Crisco and Greenwald, 2011). Strategies to decrease an individual athlete’s exposure need to be sport and gender specific, with considerations for team and session type.
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