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**ABSTRACT** African elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) are intensively managed in southern Africa and are routinely translocated between reserves. Domesticated elephants are used for elephant-back safaris and interactions with guests. Understanding how elephants respond to such activities is critical because of welfare issues associated with both humans and elephants. We investigated the stress response (i.e., fecal glucocorticoid metabolite secretion [FGM]) of working elephants in Letsatsing Game Reserve, South Africa, over 1 year to evaluate their response to transportation and ecotourism activities. We used free-ranging elephants in adjacent Pilanesberg National Park as controls. Fecal glucocorticoid metabolites were greatest prior to and during translocation and declined over the year. Within 1–2 months of transportation, FGM levels in working elephants became indistinguishable from those in wild elephants. Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels were higher during human interaction days than days without interaction. The highest observed FGM levels were associated with transportation and episodic loud noises. Transportation is a stressful activity for elephants, and ≥3 months should be provided to translocated elephants to acclimate to their new surroundings. Although stress levels of elephants increased slightly when interacting with humans in the contexts we studied, evaluating interactions under a wider range of contexts is necessary to minimize danger to elephants and humans. (JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT 71(4):1257–1260; 2007)
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In the early 1980s, park managers in South Africa and adjacent countries culled African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) herds to remove excess individuals. Managers translocated many of the remaining juveniles to parks and reserves to establish new populations; others were relocated to private sanctuaries. However, translocated juveniles created difficulties as they matured. Juvenile male elephants that were translocated to parks and reserves without adult male elephants bred successfully by 18 years and entered musth unusually early for extended periods (Slotow et al. 2000). Between 1991 and 2000, these elephants killed >100 white rhino (*Ceratotherium simum*) and 5 black rhino (*Diceros bicornis*) in Pilanesberg National Park (Slotow and van Dyk 2001) and Hluhluwe–Umfopozi Park (Slotow et al. 2002). Elephants that were domesticated outgrew private sanctuaries. Releasing them into the wild was not feasible because they were hand-raised by humans. Some of these elephants are being used to carry out elephant-back safaris in areas with and without other wild elephants.

These working elephants provide popular, but controversial, ecotourism activities in South Africa. Understanding how elephants respond to such activities is critical because of welfare issues associated with both humans and elephants. For example, safaris may stress working elephants (i.e., cause increased glucocorticoid secretion), which could compromise immune function, resistance to disease, and survival if stress is chronic (Dunlap and Schall 1985, Wingfield and Farner 1993, Sapolsky et al. 2000). Moreover, stressed animals behave differently, which could have dire consequences when animals are in routine contact with humans; such elephants have killed ≥2 people.

In light of these issues, we investigated fecal glucocorticoid metabolites (FGMs) as a measure of stress response of 5 elephants translocated to and used during safaris in the Letsatsing Game Reserve in South Africa over a 1-year period to determine whether these activities are stressful to elephants. For comparison, we simultaneously measured FGMs in wild elephants.

**STUDY AREA**

The Letsatsing Game Reserve was located in the North West Province of South Africa. The reserve, which was surrounded by an electric fence, encompassed 450 ha and bordered Pilanesberg National Park on the northern and eastern sides. The area was a major ecotourism destination and was situated adjacent to Sun City and the Lost City Complex, a 2-hour drive from Johannesburg, South Africa. The reserve consisted of mixed woodlands with interspersed stands of *Acacia* thickets and areas of open grassland.

The 5 working elephants used in the reserve were orphaned at 2–3 years as a result of herd reductions in the 1980s. After being raised on private farms, these 5 elephants...
were eventually moved to the Elephant Camp in Victoria Falls where their training was completed. All elephants were transported to the reserve in early September 2002. The elephant-back safaris and tourist interaction with the elephants commenced in December 2002.

Safaris were conducted on the reserve in early mornings and late afternoons. The elephants fed naturally between activities and often interacted across the fence with adjacent wild elephants in Pilanesburg National Park. Also, meals were offered where guests interacted with the elephants.

METHODS

To evaluate the annual stress profiles of the 5 elephants in Letsatsing (working elephants), we collected an average of 79 fresh (<24-hr-old) fecal samples (range = 72–83, n = 396) from each elephant between 1 September 2002 and 13 September 2003. We collected samples: 1) <4 days before travel, 2) day of travel, 3) 2–7 days after travel, 4) 8–14 days after travel, 5) 15–29 days after travel, 6) 1–2 months after travel, 7) 3–4 months after travel, 8) 5–6 months after travel, 9) 7–8 months after travel, 10) 9–10 months after travel, and 11) 11–12.5 months after travel. Initially, we collected fecal samples more frequently to allow us to evaluate immediate short-term responses to transportation.

We also initiated intensive sampling that involved collection of all fecal material from working elephants over 6 24-hour periods. Of the 6 24-hour sampling periods, 3 occurred when the 5 elephants had no interaction with humans and 3 occurred when elephants interacted with tourists during afternoon events or were used for safaris. We also recorded the presence of other potentially stressful events, such as episodic noises (e.g., lightning). Because there is a delay of about 24–36 hours from the time of a stressful event until detection of increased FGM levels in elephant feces, we considered stressors 24–36 hours from events, such as episodic noises (e.g., lightning). Because there is a delay of about 24–36 hours from the time of a stressful event until detection of increased FGM levels in elephant feces, we considered stressors 24–36 hours from the previous day in our evaluation of stress data (Wasser et al. 2000).

In other words, when relating FGM levels to the previous day in our evaluation of stress data (Wasser et al. 2000), values of 90 ng/g should be considered high and indicate a stressed elephant. We observed even higher values for elephants during transportation, although the typical value was about 65 ng/g, indicating an elevated stress response (Wasser et al. 2000). We conducted a standard assay validation, including assessment of parallelism, recovery of exogenous analyte, intra- and inter-assay precision, and assay sensitivity (Jeffcoate 1981, Grotjan and Keel 1996, O’Fegan 2000), to confirm the assay accurately and precisely measured FGM in elephant feces. Inter-assay variation for 5 assays was 6.8% and average intra-assay variation was 3.1%.

We compared intensively sampled FGM data on days when elephants interacted with humans with days they did not using a paired t-test (Zar 1996).

RESULTS

Fecal glucocorticoid metabolites were greatest prior to and during translocation and declined over the year. For example, average FGM levels prior to and up to 1 month after translocation were roughly double the mean values observed during any other sampling period (Fig. 1). Within 1–2 months of transportation, FGM levels in working elephants became indistinguishable from those of wild elephants (Fig. 1).

We observed variation in FGM levels between days when elephants interacted with humans and those days they did not. Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels were higher during human interaction days ($x = 27.8$ ng/g, SD = 10.7, $n = 97$) than days without interaction ($x = 22.9$ ng/g, SD = 8.3, $n = 96$; $t = 34.1$, df = 192, $P < 0.001$).

The highest observed FGM levels were associated with transportation and episodic loud noises. The 2 highest FGM values (170.8 ng/g and 103.5 ng/g) corresponded with transportation, and the next highest values (93.6 ng/g, 77.3 ng/g, and 76.4 ng/g) corresponded to lightning and thunderstorm events and a concert that included fireworks (90.4 ng/g and 76 ng/g).

DISCUSSION

Although transportation and initial stimuli were stressful, elephants quickly acclimated, as evidenced by decreased FGM levels. Early experience of these animals and their prior translocations and interactions with one another might have further modified the stress response (Moberg 2000). The acclimation time allowed also likely contributed to the response of these animals (Moberg 2000).

Transportation was an important acute stressor for these elephants, as evidenced by the correspondingly high FGM levels related to that activity. Based on the results of an adrenocorticotropin (ACTH) challenge conducted by Wasser et al. (2000), values of 90 ng/g should be considered high and indicate a stressed elephant. We observed even higher values for elephants during transportation, although the typical value was about 65 ng/g, indicating an elevated stress response (Wasser et al. 2000). Transportation has long been recognized as an important stressor in domestic and wild animals (e.g., Kannan et al. 2000, Zapata et al. 2004). It is important that animals not be exposed to additional stressors initially after transport because those additional
stressors might result in additional biological cost, and thus could prove harmful to the animal (Moberg 2000).

The use of elephants for ecotourism activities has occurred in places like Thailand for decades, but the activity is still controversial. In Letsatsing, elephants became accustomed to use as working elephants, as evidenced by their annual FGM profile. Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels were higher during days when elephants interacted with humans, but the average increase of 4.9 ng/g was likely not biologically relevant based on ACTH challenge results (Wasser et al. 2000, Millspaugh and Washburn 2004), which suggests a 20 ng/g increase would be biologically meaningful.

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

We offer the following recommendations to those managing working elephants: 1) elephants will be stressed during transportation, and external stressors (e.g., noise) and transportation time should be reduced, 2) providing translocated elephants ≥3 months to acclimate to their new surroundings should help reduce stress levels, 3) allowing elephants to interact with one another after transportation might provide an important behavioral distraction and reduce stress, 4) monitoring stress is a useful diagnostic tool in understanding elephant responses to human activities and time to acclimation (Stead et al. 2000, Foley et al. 2001, Ganswindt et al. 2003) under various conditions, and 5) although stress levels of elephants increased slightly when interacting with humans in the contexts we studied, evaluating interactions under a wider range of contexts is necessary to minimize danger to elephants and humans.
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