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**Abstract**

*Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura) is an invasive species that is a devastating pest of soft-skinned fruit crops. Although much effort has been directed toward developing traps and attractants to monitor for *D. suzukii*, current monitoring tools do not reliably predict fruit infestation. The objective of this study was to determine if *D. suzukii* females at different developmental stages are differentially attracted to monitoring traps with fermentation-based baits and ripe fruits. Females were collected on the surface of traps, within traps, and on ripe fruits during three experiments at field locations in North Carolina, USA, and were dissected to determine their reproductive status. In general, females collected on ripe fruits were more likely to have mature eggs present in their ovaries and had higher numbers of mature eggs than females collected on the surface of or within monitoring traps. The results of this study have implications for *D. suzukii* monitoring and the development of effective baits for use in integrated pest management programs.
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The invasive vinegar fly *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura) attacks and can cause substantial economic damage to soft-skinned fruit crops such as raspberries, blackberries, blueberries, cherries, and strawberries (Bolda et al. 2010, Lee et al. 2011). Much effort has been directed to developing traps (Lee et al. 2012, 2013; Iglesias et al. 2014) and attractants (Cha et al. 2012, 2013; Landolt et al. 2012; Basoalto et al. 2013) to monitor for *D. suzukii*, but captures in current traps are poorly correlated to fruit infestation. When six fermentation-based attractants were compared within four host crops across 10 states (Burrack et al. 2015), five of the six attractants detected the presence of *D. suzukii* before the development of fruit infestation. However, once ripe fruits were available, captured flies had fewer mature eggs within their ovaries. One explanation for this result is that females with mature eggs may be more attracted to ripe fruits than to traps with fermentation-based baits. If so, such baits may underestimate the presence of egg-laying *D. suzukii* females when ripe fruits are available and may help explain why captures in currently used monitoring traps do not effectively predict fruit infestation.

Female *Drosophila* must feed to mature eggs (Markow and O’Grady 2008). Yeasts, a common component of fermentation-based baits, are an important source of nutrients for *Drosophila* species that can dramatically impact their ability to produce eggs (Chippindale et al. 1993, Chippindale et al. 1997, Simmons and Bradley 1997, Ganter 2006). During previous experiments, we observed male courting behavior, male–male aggression, and mating pairs on the surface of traps containing a yeast, sugar, and water mixture, which suggested to us that flies may be using traps to locate mates.

Based on these observations, we developed a series of hypotheses. First, young, reproductively immature females will be more attracted to fermentation-based baits than older, reproductively mature, egg-laying females. Next, older flies will be more attracted to ripe fruits than to baits. Finally, flies orienting to traps will spatially partition this resource. Specifically, flies within traps will more likely be young females seeking food to mature eggs, whereas females on the surface of traps will be seeking mates and be more likely to already have mature eggs.

Our objective was to determine if reproductive status affects female *D. suzukii* attraction to and capture in monitoring traps containing fermentation-based baits, and whether flies collected directly from ripe fruits differed in reproductive status from those collected on and within traps. Determining if there are patterns associated with female attraction to fermentation-based baits and ripe fruits will lead to improved monitoring tools and a better understanding of how to interpret fermentation-based trap captures and their use in IPM programs.
Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

We conducted three experiments. The first compared flies collected within traps with those collected on ripe fruits. Three traps (fermenting cup bait; Burrack et al. 2015) were deployed at least 3 m apart within a mixed planting of raspberry and blackberry cultivars at the Upper Mountain Research Station (UMRS) in Ashe County, North Carolina, from 11–12 October 2013. Three traps were also deployed along a brush-lined creek that ran parallel to the planting; each trap was located 10 m away from a trap within the planting. Flies were collected from within traps 24 h after they were deployed, whereas flies were periodically aspirated off ripe raspberries and blackberries located near traps.

Next, we compared flies collected on the surface of traps with those collected within traps. Four traps (yeast and sugar bait; Burrack et al. 2015) were deployed within a commercial blackberry field in Cleveland County (CC), NC, on 25–26 July and 30–31 August 2014. Four traps were also deployed between the field and an adjacent wooded edge, 20 m away from traps within the field. Many D. suzukii and other drosophilids were observed on the surface of traps but did not necessarily enter them, and these flies were aspirated from the surface of traps for 1 min before flies were collected within traps. Flies were collected hourly for 24 h, except during darkness.

Finally, we compared flies collected in all three locations: on the surface of traps, within traps, and on ripe fruits. Eight traps (yeast and sugar bait; Burrack et al. 2015) were deployed within a mixed planting of blackberry cultivars at the Piedmont Research Station (PRS) in Rowan County, NC, on 20–21 July 2016. Traps were placed 12 m apart within three rows, and were checked for fly activity every 30 m from 5:30pm until dark and again from 6:45–9 am. Flies were aspirated from the surface of each trap for 1 min before flies were collected from within. Flies were then collected from ripe berries surrounding the trap by blowing them into small 10- by 18-cm mesh bags with drawstring closures.

Flies collected in all three experiments were preserved in 70% ethanol and dissected under a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZX10, Center Valley, PA). The total number of mature eggs in both ovaries were counted; eggs were considered mature when they possessed fully formed respiratory filaments (Fig. 1).
Data Analysis

Females were compared according to 1) the likelihood that they had one or more mature egg(s), and 2) the total number of mature eggs present in their ovaries. Trap placement (CC, UMRS) and time of year (CC) were also tested to determine if they affected mature egg counts. Analyses were conducted using generalized linear mixed models via PROC GLIMMIX in SAS v. 9.4 (Table 1), and post hoc means comparisons made using the Tukey-Kramer test.

Results

The likelihood of having one or more mature eggs(s) present differed among females. Those collected on ripe berries at UMRS were more likely to have one or more mature eggs (range = 0–14 per female) than females within traps (range = 0–7 per female; $F_{1,50} = 16.19, P = 0.0002$). Similarly,
females collected on ripe berries (range = 0–19 per female) and on the surface of traps (range = 0–10 per female) at PRS were more likely to have one or more mature eggs than females collected within traps (range = 0–7 per female; $F_{2,227} = 8.64, P = 0.0002$). However, females collected on the surface of (range = 0–10 per female) and within traps (range = 0–2 per female) at CC were equally likely to have one or more mature eggs ($F_{1,67} = 0.05, P = 0.83$).

Females collected on ripe berries generally had more mature eggs than females on the surface of and within traps, whereas females on the surface of traps had more mature eggs than females within traps; however, the magnitude and significance of these relationships differed between sites (Fig. 2). Females collected on ripe berries at UMRS had over five times more mature eggs on average than females within traps ($F_{1,50} = 27.09, P < 0.0001$). Although females collected on ripe berries and on the surface of traps at PRS had similar numbers of mature eggs, females collected in both locations had over twice as many mature eggs as females within traps ($F_{2,198} = 10.98, P < 0.0001$). However, females collected on the surface of traps at CC did not have more mature eggs than females within traps ($F_{1,59} = 1.05, P = 0.31$).

When ripe fruits were readily available, monitoring traps attracted females with low mature egg counts regardless of whether they were located within or outside of the crop. Females collected within traps placed inside the crop planting and within traps placed along the creek at UMRS had similarly low numbers of mature eggs ($F_{1,55} = 0.57, P = 0.45$), as did females collected at traps placed within the crop field and between the crop field and wooded edge during the harvest period at CC ($F_{1,6} = 0.04, P = 0.84$; Table 2). During both the harvest and postharvest periods at CC, females collected on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site(s)</th>
<th>Fixed effects</th>
<th>Random effects</th>
<th>Distribution/link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Collection location (on trap surface/within trap/on ripe fruit)</td>
<td>Binomial/logit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response variable: Likelihood of having one or more mature egg(s) present in ovaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMRS</td>
<td>1. Collection location (within trap/on ripe fruit)</td>
<td>Trap</td>
<td>Lognormal/identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Trap placement (within planting/along creek)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1. Collection location (on trap surface/within trap)</td>
<td>Trap</td>
<td>Lognormal/identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trap placement (within crop field/between field &amp; edge)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Collection location (on trap surface/within trap)</td>
<td>Trap placement + trap</td>
<td>Lognormal/identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>collection period (harvest/postharvest)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>1. Collection location (on trap surface/within trap/on ripe fruit)</td>
<td>Time of day</td>
<td>Lognormal/identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(30-m interval) + trap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Response and explanatory variables, including fixed and random effects, for all generalized linear mixed models and the distribution of response variables.

Fig. 2.
surface and within traps had similar numbers of mature eggs ($F_{1,69} = 1.46, P = 0.23$), although females collected at traps during the postharvest period had nearly three times as many mature eggs as females collected during harvest ($F_{1,10} = 5.51, P = 0.041$; Table 2).
Our results support the idea that reproductively mature females with higher numbers of mature eggs are more attracted to ripe fruits than to fermentation-based baits, although the olfactory responses of individual females were likely affected by their physiological state. Female *D. suzukii* raised on diet in the laboratory were sensitive to fruit volatiles in two recent studies (Keesey et al. 2015, Revadi et al. 2015a). However, headspace volatiles from vinegar were attractive to *Drosophila melanogaster* Meigen regardless of age, sex, and mating status, provided the flies had been starved (Becher et al. 2010). Reproductively mature *D. suzukii* females may therefore be more attracted to ripe fruits for oviposition if they are well-fed, but more attracted to fermentation odors from rotting fruits or monitoring traps when hungry or unable to find sufficient nutrients to maintain egg maturation. In fact, *D. suzukii* females became more attracted to both fruit and yeast odors following mating (Mori et al. 2017), suggesting the importance of being able to locate both resources.

Wild *D. suzukii* females had a low degree of egg maturation compared with females reared under controlled laboratory conditions (Plantamp et al. 2016), which suggests that wild flies may not necessarily achieve full reproductive potential. In our study, *D. suzukii* females collected on ripe fruits at UMRS had twice as many mature eggs as females collected on ripe fruits at PRS. Ripe fruits at UMRS were not harvested and fermented and rotted in the field, which may have provided food for flies, as we observed several *D.
suzukii females collected on ripe fruits had red and purple midguts when dissected. Conversely, ripe fruits at PRS were removed for use in other experiments and all females collected on ripe fruits had clear midguts. Our results support the hypothesis that females with higher numbers of mature eggs were attracted to monitoring traps once oviposition and food resources were depleted during the postharvest period at CC (Table 2). It is therefore likely that both resource quality and abundance can affect the responses of reproductively mature D. suzukii females to olfactory cues in the field.

Our results also support the idea that reproductively immature females with low numbers of mature eggs are more attracted to fermentation-based baits than to ripe fruits. Regardless of whether such females are looking for nutrients to mature eggs or potential mates, the fermentation-based attractants used in monitoring traps may be useful in removing reproductively immature females from the field before they mate and commence egg-laying. Laboratory studies suggest that D. suzukii females can become inseminated within 24 h of emergence, but do not produce offspring until 2.5 d after emergence (Revadi et al. 2015b), which agrees with an earlier estimate of 1–4 d following emergence for the onset of offspring production (Kanzawa 1935). If wild D. suzukii behave similarly, under optimal conditions, females have ~2–4 d to mate, find a protein-rich food source to start egg maturation, and find a suitable oviposition substrate. Therefore, there is potentially a 4-d window in which mass trapping with fermentation-based baits could remove reproductively immature females before they start to lay eggs in crop fields, perhaps using a yeast species or strain attractive to D. suzukii (Hamby et al. 2012, Scheidler et al. 2015).

Our results point to differing levels of attraction to ripe fruits and monitoring traps with fermentation-based baits by D. suzukii females at different developmental stages, and provide needed context to interpret results of previous studies in which traps with fermentation-based baits were used to monitor for D. suzukii. Future research should address whether the mating status of females on the surface of monitoring traps differs from those within traps. Controlled laboratory studies that compare newly emerged, virgin females and mated, reproductively mature females for differences in sensitivity to fermentation volatiles and volatiles associated with ripe fruits would also be helpful in further defining differential attraction.
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