

1998

NF98-365 Second Generation European Corn Borer Scouting and Treatment Decisions

Robert J. Wright

University of Nebraska--Lincoln, rwright2@unl.edu

John F. Witkowski

University of Nebraska--Lincoln, jwitkowski1@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#)

Wright, Robert J. and Witkowski, John F., "NF98-365 Second Generation European Corn Borer Scouting and Treatment Decisions" (1998). *Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension*. 880.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist/880>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Second Generation European Corn Borer Scouting and Treatment Decisions

by Robert J. Wright, *Extension Entomologist, South Central Research and Extension Center*
John F. Witkowski, *Extension Entomologist, Northeast Research and Extension Center*

The decision to treat for European corn borer is a complex one affected by many variables, such as weather, plant maturity, borer survival and development, anticipated corn prices, insecticide efficacy, and costs versus anticipated returns. This publication discusses the factors growers need to consider when assessing the need for control of second generation European corn borers in non-Bt corn.

Fields that have green silks and are shedding pollen during the peak period of moth flight are most susceptible to second generation infestation. To determine the need to control second generation European corn borers, begin scouting when the second flight of moths appears, usually in mid-July. Also, the *Nebraska European Corn Borer Management Software Program* can be used to predict the timing of second generation egg-laying. Scout fields regularly, at least once every three to five days, especially during the early half of the moth flight period. Select a minimum of 50 plants per field, choosing plants from several different parts of the field. Examine the underside of leaves for white borer egg masses. These masses, usually found on leaves in the middle third of the plant (frequently near the midrib), normally hatch in about five days. Each egg develops a black spot just before hatching.

Application timing is critical to reasonable control. Best control (approximately 50-70 percent, depending on timing, application method and product choice) is realized when application is timed to first significant egg hatch and when young larvae are still located in the leaf axils. Larvae which have bored behind the leaf axil, into the sheath or are in or on the ear are not likely to be controlled. As the plant approaches blister stage and beyond, potential economic benefits of an insecticide application rapidly decline.

A worksheet has been developed to help determine whether treatment of second generation European corn borers in corn is economical. For this worksheet you need to know:

1. Average number of egg masses per plant in field
2. Crop stage
3. Expected yield

4. Expected value of corn
5. Expected percent control with insecticide
6. Cost of control (product plus application costs)

This worksheet may be useful in closely evaluating the many factors influencing the cost/benefit relationships involved in treating second generation European corn borers. Average values are suggested in the worksheet and may need to be modified in certain situations:

- Borer survival is suggested to be three borers per egg mass. On average, European corn borer egg masses contain 20 eggs, although this may vary from 10 to 40. Three borers per 20 eggs equals a 15 percent survival rate. Larval survival will vary with weather conditions and field type (dry land versus irrigated). In irrigated corn, larval survival is likely to be 20 percent or more, but in dryland corn it's likely to be 10 percent or less. Exposure to hot, dry weather greatly decreases egg survival.
- Yield loss per borer is suggested to be 4 percent per borer for infestations before silks turn brown, and 3 percent per borer after silks turn brown, but before blister stage. These values only account for physiological yield loss (reduced yield from corn borer damage to water and nutrient uptake through the stalk) and do not consider the potential for yield loss due to stalk breakage or ear drop.
- Percent control with insecticides is suggested to be equal to 70 percent. This is a good average value for second generation European corn borer control, although if you have data to suggest higher or lower control levels under your conditions, change this value.

The best control that can be achieved usually will prevent much of the stalk and leaf sheath tunneling, but will not necessarily prevent invasion of the ear tip. This is especially true if the borer flight period is extended or a partial third generation occurs. Stalk protection is critical for the plant to fully develop the ear. While late worms that attack the ear tip do reduce grain quality, they do not reduce yields as seriously as borers that tunnel in stalks. Early harvest and selection of a corn variety that has good ear retention should minimize ear drop.

Generally, liquid and granular formulations of the same insecticide are equally effective against second generation European corn borer larvae. However, if other insects (except spider mites) are present and/or European corn borer moth numbers are high, liquid formulations are preferred over granules because of their broader spectrum of activity and the added advantage of obtaining some moth control. If spider mites are present, select an insecticide that is least likely to contribute to rapid increases in mite numbers (see *Spider Mite Management in Corn and Soybeans*, NebGuide G1167).

Additional Sources of Information

The European Corn Borer: Biology and Management,
1997, J. F. Witkowski and R. J. Wright.

European Corn Borer Ecology and Management. 1996. C. E.
Mason et al. North Central Regional Extension Publication
327, Iowa State University, Ames.

*Nebraska European Corn Borer Management Software
Program* is available for \$71.23; send orders to Bob
Wright, SCREC, Box 66, Clay Center NE 68933. Make check
payable to University of Nebraska. Specify 5 1/2"
or 3 1/2" disk.

Management Worksheet for Second Generation European Corn Borers	
An interactive web version of this worksheet is also available	
_____	Number of egg masses per plant x 3 borers per egg mass* = _____ borers per plant
_____	Borers per plant x 4% yield loss per borer** = _____ percent yield loss
_____	Percent yield loss x _____ expected yield (bu per acre) = _____ bushels per acre loss
_____	Bushels per acre loss x \$_____ sale price per bu = \$_____ loss per acre
\$_____	loss per acre x 70% control*** = \$_____ preventable loss per acre
\$_____	preventable loss per acre
-\$_____	cost of control (product + application costs)
=\$_____	profit (+) or loss (-) per acre if treatment is applied
If preventable loss exceeds cost of control, insecticide treatment is likely to result in economic benefit.	
* Assumes survival rate of three borers per egg mass; may vary with weather and egg mass size.	
** Use 3 percent loss per borer per plant if infestation occurs after silks are brown. The potential economic benefits of treatments decline rapidly if infestations occur after the corn reaches the blister stage.	
*** 70% is an average, you may use another value if desired.	

File NF365 under: INSECTS AND PESTS
C-6, Field Crops
Issued April 1998

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Elbert C. Dickey, Director of Cooperative Extension, University of Nebraska, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension educational programs abide with the non-discrimination policies of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.