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At opposite ends of the Great Plains, the North-West Mounted Police and the Texas
Rangers emerged in the mid-1870s as key instruments in the extension of state power over distant frontiers. *Policing the Great Plains* reveals how these famous rural constabularies implemented policies designed in Ottawa and Austin to promote the settlement and economic development of the Great Plains. Andrew Graybill argues that these shared political and economic goals ensured that Mounties and Rangers, despite their many differences, helped bring about strikingly similar transformations in Texas and the Canadian Prairies.

By placing Mounties and Rangers in this common history of state and market expansion, Graybill redirects well-worn stories of Mounties and Rangers into more fruitful avenues of inquiry. Each of his four core chapters focuses on a particular stage in the state’s absorption of its frontier and the role the constabularies played in that process. The first two consider the efforts of Rangers and Mounties to confine or remove Indigenous peoples and to dispossess people of mixed ancestry in order to appropriate Aboriginal lands and resources for the use of white farmers, ranchers, and entrepreneurs. The final two chapters explore how the constabularies helped to consolidate that new order. By defending cattlemen and ranching syndicates from the protests of the rural poor and helping mining and railroad corporations to suppress labor unrest, he argues, Rangers and Mounties played critical roles in consolidating the nascent industrial economy in the Great Plains.

But these broad transformations should not mask the differences—both stark and subtle—in the specific policies that governments mandated and in the methods Rangers and Mounties used to effect them. After all, there is no Canadian counterpart to the overt violence the Rangers dispensed in their campaigns against Kiowas, Comanches, and Mexicans in South Texas. Graybill carefully untangles the complicated reasons for these differences. Rather than use them to tell parables of Mountie benevolence or Ranger savagery, he draws out more complicated les-

---

**MICHEL HOGUE**
Department of History
University of Wisconsin-Madison