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SUMMARY

Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) is a polyploid, outcrossing grass species native to North America and has recently been recognized as a potential biofuel feedstock crop. Significant phenotypic variation including ploidy is present across the two primary ecotypes of switchgrass, referred to as upland and lowland switchgrass. The tetraploid switchgrass genome is approximately 1400 Mbp, split between two subgenomes, with significant repetitive sequence content limiting the efficiency of re-sequencing approaches for determining genome diversity. To characterize genetic diversity in upland and lowland switchgrass as a first step in linking genotype to phenotype, we designed an exome capture probe set based on transcript assemblies that represent approximately 50 Mb of annotated switchgrass exome sequences. We then evaluated and optimized the probe set using solid phase comparative genome hybridization and liquid phase exome capture followed by next-generation sequencing. Using the optimized probe set, we assessed variation in the exomes of eight switchgrass genotypes representing tetraploid lowland and octoploid upland cultivars to benchmark our exome capture probe set design. We identified ample variation in the switchgrass genome including 1 395 501 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 8173 putative copy number variants and 3336 presence/absence variants. While the majority of the SNPs (84%) detected was bi-allelic, a substantial number was tri-allelic with limited occurrence of tetra-allelic polymorphisms consistent with the heterozygous and polyploid nature of the switchgrass genome. Collectively, these data demonstrate the efficacy of exome capture for discovery of genome variation in a polyploid species with a large, repetitive and heterozygous genome.
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INTRODUCTION

Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) is a perennial C4 grass native to North America, indigenous to southern Canada through the central USA and into northern Mexico (Moser *et al.*, 2004). Switchgrass has the potential to produce abundant biomass in the context of abiotic stress due to its deep root system and the potential for multiple harvests per year (Schmer *et al.*, 2008). Switchgrass is present as two primary ecotypes, referred to as upland and lowland. Upland ecotypes tend toward a smaller growth habit with narrower leaves and stems, while lowland ecotypes are better suited to longer growing seasons, with broader leaves, later flowering times, and greater biomass (Porter, 1966; Casler *et al.*, 2012). All ecotypes of switchgrass are polyploid with the majority of upland ecotypes octoploid...
(2n = 8x = 72) and lowland ecotypes typically tetraploid (2n = 4x = 36), although aneuploidy has been observed (Hopkins et al., 1996; Lu et al., 1998; Costich et al., 2010).

While traditionally cultivated for soil conservation, pasture, and forage, switchgrass is also of interest as a biofuel feedstock crop (McLaughlin and Kszos, 2005; Kole et al., 2012). Genetic and genomic approaches such as genome-wide association studies and genomic selection have the potential to identify important loci and alleles that could enhance the rate of improvement of switchgrass cultivars for use as a biofuel feedstock source. To date, switchgrass genomic resources remain limited. Transcript assemblies have been constructed from Sanger-derived expressed sequence tag libraries, 454 pyrosequences, and RNA-Seq libraries (Tobias et al., 2005, 2008; Palmer et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Childs et al., 2014). Recently, the genome sequence of the AP13 individual of Alamo, a lowland tetraploid cultivar, was reported by the Joint Genome Institute (http://www.phytozome.net/dataUsagePolicy.php?org=Org_Pvirgatum) (Casler et al., 2011). Since the switchgrass genome is polyploid and enriched in repetitive regions (Sharma et al., 2012), challenges are presented with respect to genome assembly. Using analysis of bacterial artificial chromosome end sequences, at least 33% of the switchgrass genome is estimated to be composed of known repeat elements with an estimated density of one gene per 16.4 kb, dependent on whether the region is euchromatic or heterochromatic (Sharma et al., 2012). In addition, switchgrass is largely self-incompatible, and as a consequence, developed cultivars maintain high levels of heterozygosity (Talbert et al., 1983; Taliaferro et al., 1999; Martinez-Reyna and Vogel, 2002; Liu et al., 2012a).

Several methods to obtain data on genome diversity in multiple accessions in highly repetitive and/or polyploid genomes have been used. Comparative genome hybridization (CGH) has been used effectively to identify gene copy number variants (CNV) in maize (Springer et al., 2009; Swanson-Wagner et al., 2010), soybean (McHale et al., 2012), and human (Kallioniemi et al., 1992). Exome capture followed by sequencing of the captured DNA fragments has been effective in highly complex genomes (Winfield et al., 2012) and presents an alternative to CGH for targeted capture of genic sequence and identification of polymorphisms. In brief, a nucleotide probe set is designed to the genic regions of a reference genome or transcriptome, next-generation sequencing genomic DNA libraries are constructed from the target genomes, and then hybridized to the exome capture probes. After discarding unbound fragments, the captured nucleotides are amplified and sequenced using a next-generation sequencing platform, allowing high depth of coverage over the target regions (Bainbridge et al., 2010). While there are variations in the capture method (solid versus liquid phase) and in the sequencing platform, exome capture sequencing has been demonstrated to be effective in maize (Liu et al., 2012b), wheat (Winfield et al., 2012), barley (Mascher et al., 2013), pine (Neves et al., 2013), potato (Uitdewilligen et al., 2013), and human (Walsh et al., 2010), allowing researchers to sequence genomic regions and avoid sequencing the highly repetitive regions of the genome.

In this study, we describe the development of an exome capture probe set for switchgrass, allowing cost effective sequencing of non-repetitive, genic regions of a polyploid grass species. The efficacy of this system is demonstrated with eight switchgrass genotypes representing four tetraploid lowland and four octoploid upland cultivars. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), CNV, and presence/absence variants (PAVs) were identified by aligning exome capture sequence reads from the surveyed genotypes against the switchgrass AP13 reference genome. We also report on the suitability of exome capture as a method for detection of structural variation in switchgrass, and the challenges involved with variant detection in a polyploid species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Probe design, optimization, and assessment

At the start of this project, a reference genome for switchgrass was not available and thus, probes for use in exome capture were designed using a set of Sanger-derived P. virgatum transcript sequences available from PlantGDB (Duvick et al., 2008) and a custom transcriptome assembly of pyrosequencing-derived P. virgatum transcript sequences available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). In total, 1 393 704 probes of 50–100 nucleotides in length representing 104 324 transcript sequences (approximately 80 Mb) were synthesized on a prototype array to assess probe performance as described previously (Mascher et al., 2013). Using this prototype array, CGH was performed using four tetraploid individual plants representing lowland (Alamo and Kanol) and upland cultivars (Summer and Dakotah). After assessing probe performance on the CGH array, a prototype exome capture sequencing probe set was constructed by: (i) removing probes that resulted in over- or under-representation of signal intensities in the CGH experiment; and (ii) selecting a subset of probes that represents approximately 50 Mb of target space. Using the same four cultivars as used in the CGH, exome capture sequencing was performed and the results were used to rebalance the probe set as described previously (Mascher et al., 2013). The final probe set used in this study (904 693 probes) is available from Roche-NimbleGen as the SeqCap EZ Developer probe pool ‘120911_Switchgrass_GLBRC_R_EZ_HX1’. In total, 64 496 transcript sequences obtained from PlantGDB (http://plantgdb.org/) and a de novo assembly of
pyrosequencing-derived transcript sequences that represents 52.7 Mb of sequence were used to select the final set of probes. Alignment of the probe set back to the original transcript sequences used in the design resulted in 868 851 probes (96%) aligning to the transcript dataset, of which 861 454 met the alignment criteria of 50% coverage and 90% identity (Figure S1a). Alignment of the probe set to the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) Release 0 of the switchgrass genome (http://www.phytozome.net/dataUsagePolicy.php?org=Org_Psibiricum) resulted in 869 680 (96%) aligned probes, of which 837 454 met the alignment criteria of 50% coverage and 90% identity (Figure S1b). Of these, 693 738 (77%) aligned to annotated genic regions in the Release 0 annotated switchgrass genome, with 143 621 aligning to unannotated regions of the genome assembly. Of the probes aligned to genic regions, approximately 72% aligned to a single gene (Figure S1b) with the remainder aligning to two or more genes (Figure S1b). Of the annotated genes, the probe set represents a total of 50 038 805 bp composed of 168 961 exons in 44 873 genes in Release 0 of the AP13 reference genome.

Exome capture sequence analyses

Exome capture sequencing was performed on a total of eight switchgrass individuals representing seven switchgrass cultivars with representatives from both upland and lowland ecotypes (Table 1). Extracted DNA was subjected to exome capture, followed by paired-end sequencing on the Illumina platform, generating between 145 and 208 million 76-nucleotide reads following quality filtering (Table S1). Filtered reads for each genotype were aligned to both the hard-masked and unmasked version of the AP13 Release 0 switchgrass genome (Joint Genome Institute; http://www.phytozome.com/dataUsagePolicy.php?org=Org_Psibiricum_v0.0) using BOWTIE (Langmead et al., 2009). If reads were permitted to align to multiple locations in the unmasked reference genome sequence, between 76 and 92% of the reads could be aligned (Table S2). A small number of reads were not aligned and these reads were not used in the downstream analyses. A small number of reads were not aligned and these reads were not used in the downstream analyses.

Table 1 Genotype, cultivar, ecotype, and polyploid level for switchgrass samples used in exome capture sequencing in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Ecotype</th>
<th>Cultivar origin</th>
<th>Ploidy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Alamo</td>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>4x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP13</td>
<td>Alamo</td>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>4x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG5-1</td>
<td>SG5</td>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>Wabasso</td>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>4x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car1</td>
<td>Carthage</td>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>8x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Pathfinder</td>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>8x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>She2</td>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>8x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr5</td>
<td>Trailblazer</td>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>8x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*W4 genotype exhibits lowland phenotype and nuclear chromosome number, but upland chloroplast DNA markers (Zalapa et al., 2011).*
decrease in percentage of reads mapping was observed with each genotype when reads were aligned to the hard-masked genome sequence (Table S2) indicating that the probe design process, as well as the exome capture technique, was efficient at excluding repetitive regions of the genome. For variant detection, only uniquely mapping reads were used and between 42.4 and 53.0% of reads uniquely aligned to the hard-masked reference genome. The AP13 individual, from which the reference genome was constructed, had the greatest percentage of reads that aligned (Table 2). A higher percentage of reads aligned in the lowland cultivars compared with the upland cultivars (Table 2), with the exception of lowland genotype W4. This is consistent with a previous study using simple sequence repeats (SSRs) that showed genetic differentiation between upland octoploids and the lowland tetraploid Alamo from which the reference sequence originated (Zalapa et al., 2011).

While the probe sequences were designed from transcript (exonic) sequences, the captured DNA fragments are genomic and thus the sequenced reads include the targeted exonic sequences as well as non-targeted homologous/homeologous exonic sequences that cross-hybridize with the probes, flanking non-genic sequences, and intronic sequences (Figure 1). Overall, between 226 and 317 Mb (16.6–23.3%) of the switchgrass genome could be aligned with at least one read from the exome capture sequences, with AP13 having the highest coverage of the genome, and this was most likely attributable to the enrichment of AP13 sequences included in the probe design and the construction of the reference genome from AP13 (Table 2). In total, 517 Mb of the genome aligned to a captured read from at least one genotype with 105 Mb having at least one read from all eight genotypes (Table 2). At the exon level, between 63.0 and 83.5% of the predicted exons in the annotated Release 0 switchgrass genome had at least 1x coverage from these alignments with 184 883 exons covered in all genotypes (Table 2). With respect to intronic sequence, between 82.1–86.6% of the annotated introns were represented by at least one read, with 104 913 introns covered in all genotypes (Table 2).

A concern for exome capture based genome diversity estimations in switchgrass is the variation of genome ploidy levels between samples. To determine how the ploidy of the queried genotypes affected depth of coverage, the average number of bases with a specified minimum depth of coverage across all tetraploids and octoploids was determined (Figure 2). Total coverage between the two ecotypes across the whole genome is consistent at the lower end of the coverage spectrum while at higher depths total coverage varies between upland and lowland genotypes. However, depth of coverage in the probe target regions is highly consistent between the two ecotypes at all observed depths, with the number of covered bases also consistent across varying coverage depths. The process of exome capture involves hybridization to the probe sequence and thus, each probe has the potential to capture allelic, paralogous, homologous and/or homeologous sequences depending on the extent of sequence identity between the probe and the captured sequence. These data suggest that employment of NimbleGen exome capture and sequencing in switchgrass is not appreciably

![Figure 1](image-url)  
*Figure 1.* Example of read alignments from exome capture sequencing and alignment to the switchgrass reference genome. Screenshot from the Tablet visualization program demonstrating the alignment positions of reads obtained from switchgrass exome capture. The top yellow box delineates the locus Pavirv00038283, which encodes a nitrogen metabolic regulation related protein annotated in the AP13 reference genome. The red boxes underneath the gene indicate predicted exon positions while the yellow boxes with embedded tick marks indicate probe positions. Underneath the gene level graphs, the read depth is plotted as an intensity graph with the blue-boxed region showing individual reads from exome capture sequencing of AP13 aligned against the AP13 reference genome.
affected by an ascertainment bias in probe design, use of a single reference genome for read alignment, or by ploidy of the sample.

At approximately 15 Gbp of sequencing output, 84–88% of the target sequences had a greater than five-fold depth of coverage (Figure 3a). Exome capture sequencing can be readily multiplexed to increase cost efficiency and throughput. To understand how reduced quantities of sequence data would affect read depth coverage of both the target and non-target regions, simulations of higher levels of multiplexing were undertaken by sampling subsets of reads from the initial capture pool and aligning these reads against the reference genome (Figure 3a). With approximately 7.5 Gbp of sequence, 80–85% of the targeted sequence retained five-fold or greater coverage, and at 3.7 Gbp of sequence output, 70–78% of targeted positions still retained a five-fold or greater coverage, corresponding to between 36-41 Mbp of the targeted exome. If the sequence output is decreased to 1.75 Gbp, the coverage decreases more substantially to between 54-68%, and the differences in coverage between samples become much more apparent. As expected, the median depth of coverage for all samples decreases in a linear fashion as sequence output decreases, indicating that analyses that rely on depth of coverage variations may be more affected by multiplexing than analyses relying on a depth threshold (Figure 3b).

Single nucleotide polymorphism

Using an average of 13.5 Gb of sequence per genotype and a stringent filter of a read depth of five and a minimum of two reads for an alternate allele, we identified 1 395 501 total loci with a SNP in at least one of our eight genotypes (Table 2, Table S3). After filtering for positions with no missing data points, variant detection revealed 578 078 unique loci with SNPs (Table 3, Table S3). Overall, the majority of SNPs were bi-allelic (84%) followed by tri-allelic (15%), and tetra-allelic (1%) when compared across all individuals (Table 3). A total of 231 843 SNPs occurred only within a single ecotype (i.e., upland or lowland), with upland genotypes yielding approximately twice as many ecotype-restricted SNPs than lowland genotypes. Private SNPs, i.e. SNPs restricted to a single genotype, were limited in three of the lowlands (A5, AP13, SG5-1) and more abundant in W4 and the upland octoploids (Table 3). Undoubtedly, an expansion of surveyed genotypes will reduce the number of private SNPs and provide more confident annotations of ecotype-specific SNPs as well.

As the reference genome to which the reads were aligned is derived from AP13, it is not unexpected that AP13 had the lowest exonic SNP density, suggesting limited sequencing errors in the reference genome and a high degree of separation in the assembly of the subgenomes, including alleles, and in mapping reads to their cognate location (Table 4). While A5 and SG5-1 had higher exonic SNP densities compared with AP13, the density was less than that observed in W4 and all of the upland octoploids, consistent with previous studies using SSRs that showed clear genetic differentiation between cultivars due to geographic origin, ecotype, and ploidy (Zalapa et al., 2011). All of the upland genotypes and W4 had greater SNP density in the intronic regions compared to their exonic regions (Table 4). However, A5, AP13, and SG5-1 had a lower SNP density in intronic regions compared with exonic regions. Furthermore, even for W4 and the upland genotypes, the overall SNP density in introns was not substantially higher than that observed in exons. This does not appear to be an artifact of total Mb of coverage of the annotated AP13 intronic space, as the SNP density was determined based on the number of intronic and exonic bases having at least five-fold coverage in each individual. However, the lower than expected SNP density in introns may be due to the distribution of reads within introns, which is significantly skewed towards the regions flanking the intron/exon splice site (Figure 1). Previous work in humans demonstrated that regions of exons and introns nearest the exon-intron boundaries have lower SNP density compared to regions distal to the boundaries, presumably due to the
requirement for sequence conservation in areas involved in mRNA splicing (Majewski and Ott, 2002). Examination of read depth distribution in the introns of the W4 individual (Figure S2) shows that, overall, the intronic regions outside of the intron-exon boundaries (defined here as the 15 bp nearest the boundary) have a substantially lower depth of coverage compared with the 15 bp regions flanking the exon-junction. However, in exons, the read distribution is more comparable between the flanking regions and the remainder of the exon with high depths of coverage for the majority of the exonic space (Figure S3). This indicates that for introns, despite the total Mb of intronic bases with the minimum coverage to call polymorphisms (five reads), the skew in coverage near the conserved intron/exon boundaries results in a lower overall SNP density in introns than anticipated. Another possible explanation for the division in intronic density between these three individuals and W4 and the upland genotypes is that these three lowland individuals are more closely related to the reference cultivar AP13 and highly divergent alleles in these individuals may fail to align to the AP13 reference genome and thus are not called in our pipeline. This is a limitation of all read-based polymorphism detection methods in which reads derived from highly diverged alleles will fail to align to the allele represented in the reference genome sequence thereby resulting in an underestimation of polymorphism.

Detected SNPs, both on-target and total SNPs, appear to increase in a linear relation to sequencing output, with no plateau detected even at 16 Gbp of sequencing output (Figure 4a). Also, the number of SNPs detected in genotypes more diverged from the reference genotype remained higher than that of more closely related genotypes even as read number decreased (Figure 4b). This can be attributed to several factors, first being that deeper read coverage of intronic regions will permit increased SNP discovery as more intron sequence reaches the requisite read coverage for SNP calling. Second, deeper read depth will result in the discovery of more minor alleles in each genotype, especially octoploids as seen in Figure 4(a).

![Figure 3](image-url) Distribution of on-target coverage across switchgrass genotypes obtained from exome capture sequencing. The percentage of target bases with a depth of coverage of five or greater (a) and the median coverage of target bases (b) are plotted against total sequencing output. Regression lines were obtained through fitting the model $y = a\log(x) + b$ for (a) and a linear model for (b).
Third, any bias in the library preparation, capture process, or sequencing method will be amplified with deeper coverage such that systematic errors will be called as SNPs.

To demonstrate the efficacy of exome sequencing as a technique for characterizing switchgrass germplasm, we conducted phylogenetic analysis on all eight genotypes using 487,965 bi-allelic SNP loci containing no missing data (Figure 5). Upland and lowland genotypes clustered with other members of their ecotype, demonstrating the ability of exome-derived SNPs to accurately categorize switchgrass germplasm. Both AP13 and A5 are individuals from the Alamo cultivar and surprisingly, AP13 did not cluster closely with A5. These two individuals originated from different seed lots of Alamo, potentially originating from different locations and/or generations of seed multiplication (Casler et al., 2012; M. D. Casler, unpublished data) and thus may be more genetically distinct than individuals from Alamo that originate from a single seed lot (Gunter et al., 1996). Alternatively, variation among individuals within cultivars could be quite high. Genotype W4 is an individual from the lowland tetraploid cultivar Wabasso and was previously shown to be genetically distinct from the other lowland tetraploid Alamo and SGS cultivars, based on SSR markers (Zalapa et al., 2011). Interestingly, W4 exhibits an insertion in its chloroplast genome previously believed to be diagnostic for the upland ecotype, yet clearly maintains a lowland-type tetraploid nuclear genome and phenotypic habitat, suggestive of ancient upland-lowland hybridization (Zalapa et al., 2011; Jakubowski et al., 2012).

### Structural variation in switchgrass ecotypes

Copy number variation is a form of structural variation which, relative to a single reference genome, is manifested as a reduction or increase in the number of loci. These variations can result from deletions, insertions, or duplications and have been shown to be associated with disease in humans (Lupski et al., 1991; Chartier-Harlin et al., 2004) as well as in grass species such as maize (Springer et al., 2009; Swanson-Wagner et al., 2010), sorghum (Zheng et al., 2011), rice (Hurwitz et al., 2010), and barley (Munoz-

---

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>No. SNPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total SNPs</td>
<td>578,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland-restricted</td>
<td>162,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowland-restricted</td>
<td>69,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private SNPs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>8930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP13</td>
<td>7404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-1</td>
<td>7615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>21,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car1</td>
<td>22,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>24,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>She2</td>
<td>18,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr5</td>
<td>21,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allelic diversity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-allelic</td>
<td>487,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-allelic</td>
<td>85,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetra-allelic</td>
<td>4306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exome capture sequencing reads were aligned to the switchgrass Release 0 reference genome and stringent criteria (>5x depth of coverage, no missing data or positions with less than the requisite coverage, and minor allele frequency >0.05). For each position, when alternate alleles were present, at least one of those alleles was required to be supported by at least two reads to call a SNP in that genotype. Private SNPs indicates the number of SNPs restricted to that genotype. Upland and lowland restricted SNPs indicate the number of SNPs that were present only in that ecotype.

---

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>SNPs/kbp exon</th>
<th>SNPs/kbp intron</th>
<th>Exon bases covered</th>
<th>Introns bases covered</th>
<th>Exon SNPs</th>
<th>Introns SNPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowland (4x)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>34,165,964</td>
<td>23,300,957</td>
<td>129,285</td>
<td>82,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP13</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>35,560,711</td>
<td>24,360,088</td>
<td>81,732</td>
<td>38,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-1</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>33,196,576</td>
<td>22,148,226</td>
<td>120,371</td>
<td>75,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>33,029,595</td>
<td>21,142,397</td>
<td>158,115</td>
<td>107,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland (8x)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car1</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>34,388,417</td>
<td>22,997,111</td>
<td>172,291</td>
<td>120,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>34,848,309</td>
<td>22,662,065</td>
<td>155,524</td>
<td>107,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>She2</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>32,808,272</td>
<td>21,813,549</td>
<td>154,854</td>
<td>109,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr5</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>34,231,228</td>
<td>22,555,598</td>
<td>151,535</td>
<td>105,853</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNPs were identified where a position had at least 5x depth of coverage and at least two reads supported the alternate allele at that position, with a minor allele frequency of >0.05. Where multiple alternate alleles existed at a position, at least one of those alleles was required to be supported by at least two reads. Exon and intron bases covered indicates the number of exon and intron bases in each genotype where the depth of coverage is greater than five-fold. SNP density was determined using the number of intron and exon bases with sufficient coverage to call SNPs (five-fold depth of coverage or greater).
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Amatriain et al., 2013). Historically, CNV is detected through array hybridization, with the strength of the hybridization signal given as the indicator of amplification or deletion of the query locus. Detection of CNVs using next-generation sequencing technologies utilizes variation in read depth as well as read pair information to identify putative CNVs (Bolon et al., 2011; Chia et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2013). Using the exome capture sequencing dataset, we evaluated CNV in the surveyed genotypes using normalized depth of read coverage relative to AP13 and uniquely aligning reads. Using the approach described in maize (Chia et al., 2012), EDGER was used to generate fold change in read depth, and using the cutoff criteria described in Swanson-Wagner et al. (2010), ‘up-CNV’ and ‘down-CNV’ were identified. In total, 946 up-CNVs and 7227 down-CNVs were identified in the seven genotypes (Tables 5, S4 and S5). Presence–absence variants (PAVs) represent an extreme class of down-CNVs and were identified in this study using a criterion of a minimum of five reads detected in AP13 and no reads detected in the query genotype. A total of 3336 PAVs was identified in the seven query genotypes, indicating extreme levels of CNV in switchgrass (Tables 5, S6).

Copy number variants and PAVs from each genotype were further examined to identify ecotype and ploidy-restricted CNVs and PAVs. For up-CNVs, 267 CNVs were restricted to tetraploid lowland ecotypes while 469 up-CNVs were restricted to octoploid upland ecotypes (Table 5). Examination of Pfam domain enrichment in the JGI AP13 annotated reference genes with up-CNV (Tables S7, S8) showed enrichment in the protein kinase domain (PF00069) in both the upland and lowland genotypes, which is consistent with the high copy number known for protein kinases in plant genomes (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project, 2005). Various domains typically found in transposable elements (PF03108, PF10551, PF13456) were also enriched in the up-CNVs in both lowland and upland genotypes. This is not surprising based on the abundance of repetitive transposons in switchgrass (Sharma et al., 2012) and suggestive of incomplete repeat

Figure 4. Numbers of single nucleotide polymorphisms identified from eight switchgrass genotypes. The numbers of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected between the eight sampled genotypes and the AP13 reference sequence across the whole genome (a) or only in targeted regions (b) are shown. Regression lines were determined by fitting a linear plot to the average of the number of SNPs detected.
masking during the annotation process. Domains found in other genes that are members of large gene families were enriched in up-CNVs, but different domains were enriched in the two ecotypes. In lowland ecotypes, the zein seed storage protein domain (Song et al., 2001) and the prolamin-like family domain (Xu and Messing, 2009), both known to be found in large gene families in grass species, were enriched in up-CNVs. In upland ecotypes the pentatricopeptide (PPR) repeat (Geddy and Brown, 2007) was enriched in up-CNVs. Interestingly, transcription factors were also enriched in up-CNVs in uplands including the KNOX domain (PF03790, PF03791) known to be involved in plant development by regulating meristem maintenance and organ patterning (Hake et al., 2004).

For the down-CNVs, 2560 were restricted to lowland tetraploid ecotypes, while 2265 were restricted to upland octoploid ecotypes. For PAVs, 963 were restricted to lowland tetraploid ecotypes while 1117 were restricted to upland octoploid ecotypes (Table 5). Highly enriched Pfam domains observed in ecotype-restricted down-CNVs and PAVs included PPR domains, leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains (Michelmore and Meyers, 1998), and transposable element-related domains, domains which are found frequently in large gene families (Tables S9, S10, S11 and S12). In soybean, genes encoding a nucleotide binding domain which is typically found in LRR disease resistance genes were significantly enriched in CNVs (McHale et al., 2012) while in Arabidopsis, PAVs were predicted for one-third of the nucleotide binding LRR genes in a survey of 18 accessions (Guo et al., 2011). As this class of genes is hypothesized to be rapidly evolving to adapt to pathogen divergence, detection of down-CNVs in switchgrass may be reflective of reduced hybridization of the probe sequences and/or reduced alignment of highly diverged sequence reads to the AP13 reference genome. Interestingly, several domains of unknown function were enriched in both upland and lowland down-CNVs and PAVs, suggesting that these are highly variable regions of the switchgrass genome.

The Pfam domain enrichment data suggested that up-CNVs, down-CNVs, and PAVs were associated with genes belonging to large gene families, consistent with observations seen in maize using CGH (Swanson-Wagner et al., 2010). Thus, we determined the representation of these structure variants relative to paralogous gene family membership. Clustering of the entire predicted switchgrass proteome (Release 0, 65 878 genes encoding 70 071 proteins) with the predicted proteomes of five other grass species (rice, maize, sorghum, B. distachyon, S. italica) yielded 23 811 (36.1%) lineage-specific switchgrass genes.
while 29,759 (45.2%) switchgrass genes were ‘core orthologs’ and present in an orthologous cluster with all six grass species including switchgrass (Table 6). Interestingly, a higher percentage of CNV and PAVs were lineage-specific, consistent with studies in maize (Swanson-Wagner et al., 2010) that revealed CNVs were associated with lineage-specific genes. In contrast, CNVs and PAVs were under-represented in the core ortholome (Table 6). Using a less stringent definition of the ‘core ortholome’ to address annotation issues with any one of the six grass genomes, a similar pattern of under-representation of CNVs and PAVs were observed. If the ortholome was defined as having a switchgrass protein and at least two other grass species (i.e., a three species cluster), 63.7% of the switchgrass proteinome was present in a cluster with 26.9–36.0% of the up-CNVs, 36.6–44.2% of the down-CNVs, and 30.8–35.8% PAVs present in an orthologous cluster depending on the genotype. Thus, as with maize, these CNVs and PAVs may not serve essential functions in switchgrass or may be annotation artifacts. Indeed, the switchgrass reference genome and annotation are early drafts and the discovery of enrichment of domains associated with transposable elements in genes with up-CNV suggests incomplete repeat masking.

Copy number and presence/absence variation is not limited to single genes interspersed along the chromosome and larger tracts of CNV and PAV have been reported in other species (Springer et al., 2009; Cook et al., 2012; Iovene et al., 2013). To assess the genome distribution of structural variation in switchgrass, we mapped the Release 0 genes to the pseudomolecules of Release 1, which has 636 Mb of the total 1230 Mb assembled genome anchored to 18 pseudomolecules (http://www.phytozome.net/panicumvirgatum_er.php). As shown in Figure S4, only a few up-CNVs were found in clusters while many down-CNVs including PAVs were found in clusters; however, the majority of these are composed of two genes and may represent minor structural variation between the switchgrass genotypes. A small subset of these structural variants spanned multiple genes and may represent more substantial structural variation in the switchgrass genome. The biggest cluster was observed in a region of chromosome 8b (Figure 6). Genes across this region encode an organelle RNA recognition domain protein, protein kinase domain protein, cathepsin propeptide inhibitor domain protein, triose-phosphate transporter family protein as well as conserved genes of no known function and a hypothetical gene. Currently, a substantial portion of the switchgrass genome remains unanchored to a linkage group and is not represented in the Release 1 pseudomolecules, thereby limiting our ability to accurately detect larger-scale CNV and introgressions. When a fully anchored and complete switchgrass genome sequence is available, we can determine the extent of larger-scale structural variation at the ecotype, population, and individual level including potential introgressions.

Some previous studies have shown that certain classes of genes have high rates of polymorphism and thus are fast evolving (Clark et al., 2007; McNally et al., 2009). As copy number variation could confound determination of SNP density, we removed all genes with CNV and then classified the genes into a high-SNP density class if they had SNP densities 1 standard deviation from the mean (Table S13). Using Pfam domain annotations, enrichment tests were performed to identify gene ontology (GO) terms associated with high-SNP density genes (Fisher’s exact test, \( P \leq 0.05 \), Table S14). Pfam domains involved in various levels of protein interaction were enriched in the high-SNP density genes consistent with previous studies examining intra-species diversity (Clark et al., 2007; McNally et al., 2009). The most highly enriched domains in those genes are protein kinase domains, LRR domains, and PPR domains (Table S14). As discussed above, LRR receptor kinases are found in a large gene family that play a critical role in plant pathogen response (Michelmore and Meyers, 1998), and possess hyper-variable regions as well as high rates of diversification. PPR domain containing genes are a very large gene family in plants whose functions have not been fully elucidated but have been shown to be extremely variable between species and are not strongly conserved (Geddy and Brown, 2007).

CONCLUSIONS
We have generated a robust probe set to interrogate genetic diversity in exonic regions of switchgrass. We were
able to demonstrate limited ascertainment bias in the probe design, exome capture process, and bioinformatics analyses using lowland tetraploid as well as upland octoploid genotypes. We were able to identify ample genetic variation between genotypes of lowland and upland ecotypes as well as within the two ecotypes, which is consistent with previous studies that showed genetic differentiation of switchgrass based on geographic origin, ploidy and ecotype. Furthermore, we identified structural variation in the switchgrass genome that may be a contributor to phenotypic variation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Probe design and alignment to the reference genome**

Two sets of transcripts were used for probe design. The PlantGDB (Duvick et al., 2008) assembly of *P. virgatum* Sanger-derived expressed sequence tags (Release 181a; 120 524 unique transcripts) and a custom assembly of *P. virgatum* pyrosequencing-derived transcript sequences downloaded from NCBI (SRR064785-SRR064802). The pyrosequencing-derived RNA-Seq reads were trimmed of low-quality sequences using the fastq_quality_trimmer tool from the FASTX TOOLKIT (version 0.0.13; http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). Reads <200 nucleotides were discarded, and the quality threshold parameter was set to 20. Fasta and quality files were prepared from the fastq files using the fastq_to_fasta and fastq_quality_converter tools from the FASTX TOOLKIT. The runAssembly program from the NEWBLLER package (Roche, http://www.454.com/products/analysis-software/) was used to assemble the sequences. A minimum read overlap of 25% and a minimum overlap identity of 90% was required during assembly. In total, 31 871 pyrosequencing-derived transcripts were generated. Both the PlantGDB and pyrosequencing-derived transcript assemblies were filtered by removing sequences <250 nucleotides in length, sequences with more than 10% gaps, and any sequence with more than 50% low complexity sequence. Highly redundant sequences and transposable element-related sequences were removed from the switchgrass transcript assembly collection leaving 104 621 sequences that were used for probe design for CGH testing. Known grass genes important for cell wall synthesis were identified by surveying literature and keyword searches, and the transcript assemblies were aligned to these genes of interest. After initial CGH testing, a final set of probes designed from PlantGDB and pyrosequencing-derived transcript assemblies were selected to provide a total length of probe sequences of approximately 50 Mbp. First, we aligned all switchgrass transcript assemblies to the *S. italica* predicted proteome (Bennetzen et al., 2012). For each *S. italica* protein, a single switchgrass sequence was chosen based on highest alignment scores with those switchgrass sequences matching cell-wall-related genes of interest given priority; any switchgrass sequences that had matched any cell-wall-related genes of interest but that did not have alignments with *S. italica* proteins were also kept. Second, other switchgrass sequences that did not align to the *S. italica* proteome were randomly chosen until the length of all probes from retained switchgrass sequences totaled 50 Mbp. Sequences with probes with weak CGH signals were not considered. In total, 64 496 sequences (PlantGDB and pyrosequencing-derived) representing 52.7 Mb of transcript sequence were used to select the final set of probes.

**Table 6** Distribution of all switchgrass genes and genes with copy number and presence/absence variation in switchgrass-specific gene family

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Total number of genes</th>
<th>Switchgrass-specific genes (% of total)</th>
<th>Down-CNVs in switchgrass-specific genes (% of total)</th>
<th>PAVs in switchgrass-specific genes (% of total)</th>
<th>Total number of conserved genes (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2432</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>2432</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car1</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cer1</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>2139</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Representation of annotated genic sequences by the probes in the Roche-NimbleGen SeqCap EZ Developer probe pool design 120911_Switchgrass_GLBC_R_EZ_HX1 (904 693 probes) was determined by alignment of the probe sequences to the AP13 reference genome Release 0 (http://www.phytozome.net/dataUsage-Policy.php?org=Org_Pvirgatum) using GMAP (version 2013-03-31) (Wu and Watanabe, 2005) with default parameters. After alignment, only probe sequences with alignment of at least 50% of their length and with 90% identity were used for further analyses. To determine exonic coverage in the AP13 reference genome, any exon with at least 1 bp of probe alignment was considered to have coverage. We considered this reasonable given the nature of exome capture reads to trail far beyond the end of the capture probes themselves (Figure 1). Any gene with coverage of at least one exon was considered covered. Total covered exon sequence was the sum of all covered exons, with exon lengths as determined by the switchgrass Release 0 gene annotations. Probes were also checked using these alignment methods and criteria by mapping back to the set of transcripts used in the probe design.

Plant material and DNA isolation

In total, 11 switchgrass individuals, representing 10 cultivars that included upland and lowland ecotypes as well as tetraploid and...
octoploids were used in this study. For the CGH and pilot exome capture sequencing experiments, the tetraploid lowland cultivars (Alamo and Kanlow) and tetraploid upland cultivars (Summer, Dacotah) genotypes were used. For the exome capture sequencing datasets described in this study (Table 1), the lowland individuals represented four cultivars: Alamo [AS 4x] and AP13 [4x]), SG5-1 [SG5, 4x], and Wabasso [W4, 4x] while the upland individuals represented four cultivars: Carthage (Car1, 8x), Pathfinder (P2, 8x), Shelter (She2, 8x), and Trailblazer (Tr5, 8x). Total genomic DNA was extracted from freeze-dried leaves using the CTAB extraction protocol (Saghaiamarof et al., 1984).

Exome capture sequencing, read alignment and analysis

Using the eight genotypes listed in Table 1, exome capture sequencing was performed using the protocol established by Roche-NimbleGen for SeqCap EZ Developer library preparation, as detailed in Mascher et al. (2013). Eight separate libraries were constructed and each sample was captured twice and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform generating 76 nucleotide paired-end reads. Initial read quality assessment was performed using the FASTQC program (v0.10.0; http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Cutadapt (v1.1; https://code.google.com/p/cutadapt/) was used to remove adapter sequences, PCR primers, and to trim bases with a quality score below 20. Reads shorter than 35 bases in length after trimming were discarded.

Filtered exome capture sequence reads were aligned to the hard-masked P. virgatum Release 0 reference genome (http://www.phytozome.net/dataUsagePolicy.php?org=Org_Pvirgatum) using BOWTIE version 0.12.7 (Langmead et al., 2009), requiring unique alignments with a maximum of a single mis-matched nucleotide in the first 35 bases of the read. Initial analysis was performed on approximately 16 Gbp of sequencing output from each individual (Table S1). To simulate lower quantities of sequencing output, random sampling of initial reads was used to simulate multiplexing of four, 10, and 20 samples per lane, with the assumption of approximately 32 Gbp of sequence per lane of unplexed data. Initial sequencing data comprised roughly one-half of an Illumina HiSeq lane for each individual, so to simulate four samples per lane, approximately one-half of the reads from the initial read set were randomly selected to make up a simulated multiplexed sample. Approximately one-fifth of the reads were randomly selected to simulate 10 samples per lane, and one-tenth of the reads were randomly sampled to simulate 20 samples per lane. Sampling was performed using the Perl rand() function and each sampling simulation was performed 100 times to ensure accuracy. Alignment criteria were identical to those of the initial analysis. Read depth calculation was performed using the genomeCoverageBed function of the BEDTOOLS program (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) with the –d flag set. For simulated multiplexing experiments, the average depth was calculated across all simulations at that multiplexing level (e.g., all 10-plexed experimental coverage was averaged to determine the coverage for a 10-plexed sample). Depth plots were generated using the GGPLOT2 package for the R statistical package.

Single nucleotide polymorphism analysis

Read alignments that met the mapping criteria were processed with the index, sort, merge, and pileup functions of the SAMTOOLS package (Li et al., 2009) version 0.1.12a. The -Bcf options were used for the pileup command, and index sort and merge were run with default parameters (Li et al., 2009). Resultant pileup files were filtered with custom Perl scripts. To call a position as polymorphic, at least one sample required a minimum of five reads at the position, and at least two (or at least 5%, whichever is greater) of those reads must represent an alternate allele. In cases where more than one alternate allele is present at a location, at least one of those alleles must be represented by at least two reads or 5% of all reads at that position, whichever is greater. Additional filtering was performed to generate the SNP set used for phylogenetic analysis. In order for a position to be used for this analysis, all individuals must meet the above criteria for this position, and no positions were allowed to have missing data, insufficient depth of coverage to call an allele, or to be considered a minor allele (number of non-reference reads <5% of all reads). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using PHYLPARV + 3.695 (Felsenstein, 1989). Bootstrapting was performed using the seqboot function (1000 replicates). Genetic distances were calculated using the genDist function with default parameters, neighbor-joining trees were generated using neighbor function with default parameters, and a consensus tree was generated using consense with default parameters. Resulting trees were visualized with FIGTREE v. 1.4.0 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

High-SNP density genes were defined as genes with a SNP density at least one standard deviation greater than the mean SNP density for all genes. When determining high-SNP density genes, genes that were found to be CNVs or PAVs were removed from the dataset, with the rationale that high or low levels of polymorphism density may instead be detection of duplicated genes or reduced gene copy number.

Structural variation analyses

To assess CNV and PAV, the numbers of reads that mapped to each gene were counted and normalized by library size, and the depth of coverage was computed for each gene using EDGER (Robinson et al., 2010). A ratio for gene coverage depth was established by dividing the normalized depth for each gene by the normalized depth of the same gene in the reference AP13 and then taking the log of the ratio. P-values were computed using a biological coefficient of variation of 0.4 using EDGER following the authors’ instructions (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vignettes/edgeR/inst/doc/edgeRUsersGuide.pdf). For each genotype, genes with a positive log2 ratio above the 99th percentile value and a P-value <0.05 were identified as up-CNVs, while genes with a log2 ratio lower than the negative value of the up-CNV cutoff and P-value <0.05 were identified as down-CNVs. The initial set of down-CNVs were further examined to identify PAVs that met the criteria of no reads mapped to the gene in the query genotype, where at least 5x coverage for the same gene was observed in the AP13 reference genotype.

To examine structural variation at the genome level, putative CNVs were examined for their distribution along the 18 switchgrass chromosomes. Annotated genes (65 878) from the JGI Release 0 annotation (http://www.phytozome.net/panicumvirgatum,php) were aligned to the Release 1 pseudomolecules using GMAP (version 2013-03-31) (Wu and Watanabe, 2005) with default parameters. In total, 65 167 genes aligned at the 50% coverage and 90% identity cutoff criteria, of which, 40 047 genes were assigned a chromosomal location based on the best match. No chromosomal information was available for 25 120 genes as they mapped to the contigs that were not assigned to chromosomes in Release 1. For 40 047 genes with a chromosomal location, assessment of localized clustering of structural variation was conducted with a cluster defined as two or more putative CNVs adjacent on a Release 1 pseudomolecule, and the results were visualized using FIGTREE.
To investigate the distribution of CNVs or PAVs in paralogous and orthologous gene families, OrthoMCL (Li et al., 2003) with default parameters was used to search for ortholog clusters in the predicted proteomes of six grass species including switchgrass (Release 0), B. distachyon ([The International Brachypodium Initiative, 2010] http://www.phytozome.netnbrach.php), Oryza sativa ([International Rice Genome Sequencing Project, 2005], http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu), S. italica ([Benetzen et al., 2012], http://www.phytozome.net/foxtailmillet.php), Zea mays [maize, (Schmutz et al., 2009), http://www.phytozome.net/maize.php], and Sorghum bicolor [sorghum, (Paterson et al., 2009) http://www.phytozome.net/sorghum_e.php]. Switchgrass genes that failed to cluster with any other species or remained as singletons were defined as switchgrass-specific.

**Pfam enrichment tests**

InterProScan (Zdobnov and Apweiler, 2001) was used to annotate the Release 0 predicted proteins with Pfam domains (Punta et al., 2012). Pfam domain enrichment was performed using a Fisher’s exact test with a significance threshold of \( P < 0.05 \). Pfam terms meeting this criterion were considered to be enriched.

**Data access**

Exome capture reads have been deposited in the NCBI SRA under accession number (BioProject ID PRJNA244250). Single nucleotide polymorphisms identified in this study (Table S3) are available for download from the Dryad Digital Repository (http://datadryad.org/) at this DOI (http://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.b5zpg).  
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Figure S1. Summary of mapping of exome capture probes to the transcriptome design sequences and the switchgrass reference genome Release 0. A. A total of 904,693 probes used in the exome capture sequencing experiment were mapped to the original set of transcripts used to design the probe set using GMAP (version 2013-03-31) (Wu and Watanabe 2005) and alignments that passed the cutoff criteria of ≥ 50% coverage and ≥ 90% identity were sorted into bins based on how many times they mapped to the full transcript set. B. A total of 904,693 probes used in the exome capture sequencing experiment were mapped to the AP13 reference genome Release 0 (hard-masked, http://www.phytozome.net/dataUsagePolicy.php?org=Org_Pvirgatum) using GMAP (version 2013-03-31) (Wu and Watanabe 2005). Probes that passed the mapping quality cutoffs were further analyzed to assess the mapping frequency and location in the genome.
Figure S2. Coverage distribution of introns. Graphical plot of the frequency of intron bases at a given coverage level in the W4 individual. The Y-axis represents the normalized coverage of introns at the depth specified on the X-axis. Blue bars represent the amount of coverage in the first 15 bp of an intron, the green bars represent the amount of coverage in the last 15 bp of an intron, and the red bars represent the amount of coverage in the remaining portion of the intron. Normalized values for intron coverage were determined by summing the number of bases at each coverage level for each category (bases in the first 15 bp of an intron, bases in the last 15 bp of an intron, remainder) then dividing by the total number of bases in that category. This ratio was then multiplied by 1000 to create whole numbers.
Figure S3. Coverage distribution of exons. Graphical plot of the frequency of exon bases at a given coverage level in the W4 individual. The Y-axis represents the normalized coverage at the depth specified on the X-axis. Blue bars represent the amount of coverage in the first 15 bp of an exon, the green bars represent the amount of coverage in the last 15 bp of an exon, and the red bars represent the amount of coverage in the remaining portion of the exon. Normalized values for intron coverage were determined by summing the number of bases at each coverage level for each category (bases in the first 15 bp of an exon, bases in the last 15 bp of an exon, remainder) then dividing by the total number of bases in that category. This ratio was then multiplied by 1000 to create whole numbers.
**Figure S4. Clusters of copy number variants in switchgrass.** The number of clusters of total, up-, and down-copy number variants (CNV) for seven switchgrass genotypes, including three lowland (A5, SG5-1 and W4) and four upland ecotypes (Car1, She2, Tr5 and P2). The total number of clusters for each genotype is listed at the top of the bar.