University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural
Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

2014

Combined effects of phosphorus nutrition and elevated carbon dioxide concentration on chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, and nutrient efficiency of cotton

Shardendu K. Singh

Crop Systems and Global Change Laboratory, USDA-ARS; Wye Research and Education Center, University of Maryland, shardendu.singh@ars.usda.gov

Vangimalla R. Reddy

Crop Systems and Global Change Laboratory, USDA-ARS

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub>

Part of the [Earth Sciences Commons](#), [Environmental Sciences Commons](#), and the [Life Sciences Commons](#)

Singh, Shardendu K. and Reddy, Vangimalla R., "Combined effects of phosphorus nutrition and elevated carbon dioxide concentration on chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, and nutrient efficiency of cotton" (2014). *Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty*. 1523.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub/1523>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Combined effects of phosphorus nutrition and elevated carbon dioxide concentration on chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, and nutrient efficiency of cotton

Shardendu K. Singh^{1,2*} and Vangimalla R. Reddy¹

¹ Crop Systems and Global Change Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

² Wye Research and Education Center, University of Maryland, MD, USA

Abstract

To examine the combined effects of phosphorus (P) nutrition and CO₂ on photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence (CF), and nutrient utilization and uptake, two controlled-environment experiments were conducted using 0.01, 0.05 and 0.20 mM external phosphate each at ambient and elevated CO₂ (aCO₂: 400 and eCO₂: 800 μmol mol⁻¹, respectively). The CF parameters were affected more by P nutrition than by CO₂ treatment. Photoinhibition of photosystem II (PSII) was due to increased minimal CF (Fo') and decreased maximal CF (Fm'), and efficiency of energy harvesting (Fv'/Fm'). In addition, reduced electron transport rate (ETR), the quantum yield of PSII (Φ_{PSII}) and CO₂ assimilation (Φ_{CO₂}), and overall photochemical quenching in the P-deficient leaves led to reduction in the efficiency of energy transfer to the PSII reaction center. Stimulation in the Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO₂} and photorespiration (ETR/P_{net}) was found under P deficiency, whereas the opposite was the case under CO₂ enrichment. On average, photosynthetic rate (P_{net}) and stomatal conductance declined by 50–53% at 0.05 mM P and by 70–72% at 0.01 mM P as compared to the 0.20 mM P treatment. However, P deficiency, especially at eCO₂, tended to increase the intrinsic water-use efficiency. In the P-deficient plants, the decline in the P and N utilization efficiency (up to 91%) of biomass production was mainly associated with greater reduction in the biomass relative to the tissue P concentration as the P supply was reduced. However, it was significantly stimulated by eCO₂ especially at higher P supply. The CO₂ × P interaction was observed for some parameters such as Fo', Fm', P utilization efficiencies of photosynthesis and biomass production that might be attributed to the irresponsiveness of these parameters to eCO₂ under low P treatment. Thus, P deficiency limited the beneficial effect of eCO₂. A close relationship between total biomass and photosynthesis with the P and N utilization or uptake efficiencies was found. The P utilization efficiency of P_{net} appeared to be stable across a range of leaf P concentrations, whereas the N-utilization efficiency markedly increased with leaf P and differed between CO₂ levels. An apparent effect of both the treatments (P and CO₂) on N-uptake and utilization efficiency also indicated the alteration in N acquisition and assimilation in cotton plants.

Key words: *Gossypium hirsutum* / nitrogen / photochemical quenching / photosystems

Accepted September 17, 2014

1 Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is one of the most important fiber crops grown worldwide (FAO, 2007). Phosphorus deficiency inhibits cotton growth and development by decreasing leaf and stem expansion, photosynthesis, and total dry matter accumulation leading to lower crop yield (Singh et al., 2006). The ambient atmospheric CO₂ (aCO₂) of approximately 400 μmol mol⁻¹ is estimated to reach 730–1020 μmol mol⁻¹ by the end of 21st century (IPCC, 2007). In general, plants respond positively to an increase in CO₂ concentration, particularly C₃ crop species including cotton. While crop productivity may benefit from rising CO₂, the interactions with other abiotic factors including plant nutritional status may limit the beneficial effects of the elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) on crop growth and yield (Campbell and Sage, 2006; Reddy et al., 2004; Reddy et al., 1997; Singh et al., 2010).

Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence are integral parts of photosynthetic processes in leaves. In the light reaction, the photosynthetic pigments absorb the light energy which is funneled through a functional array of Photosystem II (PSII) and Photosystem I (PSI) to generate chemical energy that will be used for CO₂ fixation in the dark reaction. The absorbed light energy that exceeds the photochemical processes of CO₂ fixation can be either dissipated as heat or re-emitted as chlorophyll fluorescence (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). The maximum photosynthetic activity depends upon the capacity of electron transport (energy transfer) and the metabolically available phosphorus concentration in the chloroplast to maintain optimum photophosphorylation and biosynthesis of carbohydrates (Conroy et al., 1986). Increased utilization of the absorbed light energy in the dark reaction leads to a decrease in chlorophyll fluorescence yield. Detection of chlorophyll fluorescence from PSII provides information on the per-

* Correspondence: Dr. S. K. Singh;
e-mail: shardendu.singh@ars.usda.gov

formance of the photosystem and may reflect overall photosynthetic activity (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000; Roháček, 2002). Environmental stresses such as nutrient deficiency decrease the performance of the photosystem mainly by damaging the PSII, making chlorophyll fluorescence a valuable tool to detect the influence of stress factors on plant photosynthesis (Bown et al., 2009; Maxwell and Johnson, 2000; Shao et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2013a). The series of events that take place during the induction of leaf chlorophyll fluorescence have been detailed previously by Maxwell and Johnson (2000), Genty et al. (1989) and Roháček (2002).

The rate of photosynthesis in many C₃ plants is limited by the current atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Moreover, the growth stimulation by elevated CO₂ is expected to increase the overall plant demand for mineral nutrients such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P). Elevated CO₂ tends to increase the total nutrient accumulation in plants but decreases their tissue concentration leading to alterations in nutrient uptake and use efficiency (Lenka and Lal, 2012; Rogers et al., 1993; Singh et al., 2013b). The critical leaf tissue concentration (defined as concentration to achieve 90% of maximum productivity) of N often decreases under eCO₂. However, this is not always true for P (Conroy, 1992; Conroy et al., 1990; Rogers et al., 1993). Compared to N nutrition, the interactions between P and CO₂ have not been explored much in agronomic crops, but limited studies indicated that higher foliar concentrations of P may be needed for maximum growth in many agronomic crops and trees (Conroy et al., 1990; Rogers et al., 1993).

Mineral nutrition should take into account both nutrient availability and tissue concentration. Large uncertainty exists about the changes in the mineral availability and the response of P concentration under eCO₂ conditions (Sinclair, 1992). Nutrient acquisition and assimilation by plants are strongly influenced by eCO₂. Elevated CO₂-mediated decreases in tissue nutrient concentrations have commonly been observed in many crops grown under similar nutrient supply at aCO₂ (Conroy, 1992; Cure et al., 1988; Singh et al., 2013b). However, the decreases in tissue nutrient concentration under eCO₂ were not associated with a limitation of growth or photosynthetic processes but increased the nutrient utilization efficiency especially for N (Barrett and Gifford, 1995; Prior et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2013a). The beneficial effect of eCO₂ on plant growth has been shown to decline under N-limited conditions. Such studies with P are limited in row crops such as cotton. Therefore, it is important to understand the interactive effect of P and CO₂ on crop growth and nutrient uptake and utilization (Sinclair, 1992).

The tissue nutrient concentration represents plant nutrient status and is used in the estimation of utilization efficiency in biomass production (Israel and Rufty, 1988; Siddiqi and Glass, 1981). Plants may be more efficient in nutrient acquisition and utilization under eCO₂ due to increased growth and decreased tissue concentration (Pérez-López et al., 2014; Prior et al., 1998; Rogers et al., 1993). However, under P-limited supply this response of eCO₂ may be limited. Previous studies also suggested an increased P and N utilization efficiency of plants when grown under nutrient deficiency (Cure et al., 1988; Prior et al., 1998). Therefore, the eCO₂ may alter the nutrient dynamics inside the plants, which might be esca-

lated under P deficiency. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to determine the changes in chlorophyll fluorescence, gas exchange, and P and N utilization and uptake efficiencies of cotton grown with different levels of P supply under ambient and elevated CO₂ concentration. We hypothesize that chlorophyll fluorescence reflects changes in the photosynthetic efficiency by a decrease due to P deficiency or increase due to eCO₂. Additionally, the P and N utilization efficiencies will be favored by both P deficiency and eCO₂ in cotton.

2 Material and methods

Two experiments (Experiment 1 and Experiment 2) were conducted using six controlled environmental growth chambers (EGC Corp., Chagrin Falls, OH, USA) at USDA-ARS facility in Beltsville, MD, USA. In Experiment 1, cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. cv. deltapine 555) seeds were planted in twelve pots (16 L) filled with fine sand and vermiculate (3 : 1 volume ratio) in six growth chambers. Two levels (400 and 800 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) of CO₂ treatments were initiated each in three chambers from the planting and plants were watered with full-strength Hoagland's nutrient solution (Hewitt, 1952) from emergence to 34 d after planting (DAP). Thereafter, three P treatments were initiated by irrigating with modified Hoagland's nutrient solution (0.01, 0.05, and 0.20 mM KH₂PO₄) at each of the two CO₂ levels. Plants were irrigated using automated drip irrigation until the pots started to flush from the bottom three or five times a day.

In Experiment 2, the same cultivar was first planted outdoor in pots (7.6 L) containing rooting medium similar to Experiment 1. Plants were irrigated with the same nutrient solution as in the previous experiment from emergence to 34 DAP. Thereafter, plants were transferred and randomly assigned to the six growth chambers (20 pots each), and the treatments were initiated as in Experiment 1.

In both experiments, one plant per pot was maintained. A 30/22°C day/night (14 h day and 10 h night) air temperature was maintained during experiments. The photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 800 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ was maintained at plant canopy using a mixture of metal halide and high-pressure sodium lamps during the day. The desired CO₂ concentrations inside the growth chambers were maintained by injecting either CO₂ or CO₂-free air. The CO₂-free air (CO₂ < 1 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) was obtained using a Parker Balston FT-IR Purge Gas Generator Model # 75–62 (Parker Hannifin Corporation, Havertown, MA, USA). The relative humidity varied between 50 and 70% during the experiment among the chambers. The main differences between the above two experiments were initiation of CO₂ treatments, number and size of the pots, and time and frequency of the measurements. Other details of plant growth conditions are given in Singh et al. (2013b).

2.1 Plant biomass

Plants were harvested at 84 and 112 DAP (six pots each) in Experiment 1, and 67, 81, and 91 DAP (six, six and eight pots, respectively) in Experiment 2. Roots were washed in clean water. Plants were separated into roots, stems and

leaves. The dry weights of plant materials were determined after drying in an oven at 70°C for 10 d until constant weight was obtained.

2.2 Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

In both experiments, periodically from 57 to 112 DAP, the gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence (CF) parameters were measured simultaneously on the upper most fully expanded leaves between 9:00 and 13:00 h from three to five individual plants per treatment using a LI-6400 (LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE) with an integrated fluorescence chamber head (LI-COR 6400–40 Leaf Chamber Fluorometer; Li-Cor Inc.). The leaf temperature was set to the day-time air temperature (28°C) and CO₂ was controlled by the CO₂ injection system to match the CO₂ treatments. The PAR, provided by a 6400-02 LED light source, was set to 1500 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Relative humidity inside the cuvette varied between 45 and 60%. To measure fluorescence, the built-in leaf chamber fluorometer was used which uses two red LEDs (center wavelength about 630 nm) and a detector (for radiation at 715 nm in the PSII fluorescence band). A flash light ($> 8000 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), achieved by using 27 red LEDs, was used to measure the maximal fluorescence (Fm'). Rapid dark adaptation to measure minimal fluorescence (Fo') was achieved by turning off the actinic light while using the far-red LED (center wavelength at 740 nm). The far red radiation drives photosystem-I (PSI) momentarily to help drain electrons from PSII. The response of CF parameters to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and internal CO₂ concentration (C_i) was also measured between 69 and 75 d after planting in Experiment 2 using the above-mentioned LI-COR photosynthesis system according to Singh et al. (2013a). The rate of net photosynthesis (P_{net}), stomatal conductance (g_s), intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE, P_{net}/g_s), quantum efficiency by oxidized (open) PSII reaction center in light (Fv'/Fm'), actual flux of photons driving photosystem II (PSII), i.e., electron transport rate (ETR), photochemical yield of PSII electron transport rate (Φ_{PSII}), and quantum yield of CO₂ fixation (Φ_{CO_2}) were automatically computed from the instrument software (details are available in LI-6400 Instruction Manual, version 5, Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA).

2.3 Measurement of SPAD chlorophyll meter reading (SCMR) and tissue phosphorus and nitrogen concentration

In both experiments, the SCMR (an indicator of chlorophyll concentration), tissue P and N concentrations were determined from the same leaves that were used for gas-exchange measurements. The SCMR was taken using a SPAD 502 Plus Chlorophyll Meter (Spectrum Technologies, Inc, Aurora, IL, USA). The tissue P and N concentrations were also measured in plant organs (leaves, stems and roots) of each harvest. The dry plant materials were ground using a Wiley Mill (Wiley® Mill, Thomas Scientific, NJ, USA) to pass through 1 mm screen. The tissue P concentration was quantified in the Soil Testing and Plant Analysis Laboratory, Extension Service, Mississippi State University, MS, USA. The N con-

centration was determined by combustion using a CHN-2000 (Carbon Hydrogen Nitrogen-2000: LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI, USA). The weighted whole-plant P and N concentrations were estimated as the sum of the products of dry mass of plant organs and their nutrient concentration divided by total biomass.

2.4 Nutrient utilization and uptake efficiency

The P and N utilization efficiencies for biomass production (PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio}) were estimated by dividing total biomass by the whole-plant P or N concentration. The P and N utilization efficiencies for P_{net} (PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet}) were calculated by dividing P_{net} by P or N concentration of the same leaf. The total P or N absorbed (mg plant⁻¹) per unit of root biomass was used as an indicator of P or N uptake efficiency (PUpE or NUpE).

2.5 Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS procedures (SAS Enterprise Guide, 4.2, SAS Institute Inc., NC, USA). To test the effect of treatments and their interaction, PROC MIXED with Kenward–Rogers (kr) adjustment of degrees of freedom was used for analysis of variance (ANOVA). The measurements from the individual plants were treated as a replication for each chamber. Treatments (P and CO₂) and their interaction were considered as the fixed and chamber as the random effect. The normality assumptions were assessed using Shapiro–Wilks statistics and log transformation was used if necessary. Any heterogeneity in the data was corrected using the GROUP option in the REPEATED statement of the ProcMixed procedure. The treatment comparisons were conducted by least square means (LSMEANS) procedure (at P = 5%) with the letter grouping obtained using pdmix800 macro (Saxton, 1998). ProcMixed procedure of SAS was also used for regression analysis and to test for the common slopes (P = 5%) between CO₂ levels. In both experiments, ANOVA was used separately for each harvest. Since there were no significant differences for leaf level measurements between the two experiments, the data measured on uppermost fully expanded leaves were combined.

3 Results

3.1 Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthetic processes

Regardless of CO₂ levels, P deficiency reduced Fm' (25–36%), Fv'/Fm' (41–51%), qP (16–22%), ETR and Φ_{PSII} (49–61%), and Φ_{CO_2} (54–80%), whereas it increased Fo' (1–16%), $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}/\Phi_{\text{CO}_2}$ (13–38%), and ETR/P_{net} (21–84%; Table 1). Elevated CO₂ did not show a significant effect on Fo' and Fm'. However, it reduced other CF parameters except the Φ_{CO_2} when averaged across P treatments. The eCO₂-mediated decrease in Fv'/Fm', ETR, and Φ_{PSII} was highest at the lowest P treatment, whereas the decrease in $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}/\Phi_{\text{CO}_2}$ and ETR/P_{net} was greatest in the 0.05 mM P treatment. Regardless of the treatments, the qP, Fo', Fm', Fv'/Fm', and Φ_{PSII} decreased with increase in PAR, whereas ETR in-

Table 1: Effect of CO₂ levels (μmol mol⁻¹) and phosphate (P; mM) supply on minimal (Fo') and maximal (Fm') fluorescence of light-adapted leaves, the efficiency of energy harvesting by oxidized (open) PSII reaction centers in light (Fv'/Fm'), photochemical quenching (qP), electron transport rate (ETR; μmol electron m⁻² s⁻¹), fraction of absorbed photons that are used for dark reaction of a light-adapted leaf [Φ_{PSII}, also called as effective quantum yield; μmol electron (μmol photon)⁻¹], apparent quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation [Φ_{CO₂}; μmol CO₂ (μmol photon)⁻¹], Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO₂} ratio, ETR/net photosynthetic rate (ETR/P_{net}; μmol electron μmol⁻¹ CO₂), SPAD chlorophyll meter reading (SCMR), and intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE; μmol CO₂ mol⁻¹ H₂O) of uppermost fully expanded leaves of cotton. The *P* values of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) between P and CO₂ are given. The data are means of 13 d measurements between 57 and 112 d after planting across two experiments. Within column, means followed by same letters are not significantly different at *P* = 5%.

CO ₂	P	Fo'	Fm'	Fv'/Fm'	qP	ETR	Φ _{PSII}	Φ _{CO₂}	Φ _{PSII} /Φ _{CO₂}	ETR/P _{net}	SCMR	WUE
400	0.20	475.3 ^d	1082.0 ^a	0.56	0.674	246.3	0.376	0.0209 ^b	18.36 ^b	9.77 ^b	53.56	75.63 ^d
	0.05	519.2 ^{bc}	776.4 ^b	0.33	0.566	122.2	0.186	0.0085 ^d	22.18 ^a	12.64 ^a	41.11	82.13 ^c
	0.01	553.4 ^a	788.2 ^b	0.29	0.529	103.2	0.157	0.0075 ^d	21.63 ^a	12.39 ^a	37.64	66.93 ^d
800	0.20	509.9 ^c	1095.4 ^a	0.53	0.628	218.3	0.332	0.0237 ^a	14.21 ^c	7.36 ^c	47.31	122.77 ^b
	0.05	538.4 ^{ab}	822.2 ^b	0.34	0.507	112.5	0.172	0.0109 ^c	16.03 ^c	8.91 ^{bc}	36.92	137.70 ^b
	0.01	514.7 ^c	695.7 ^c	0.26	0.489	82.5	0.127	0.0069 ^d	19.63 ^b	13.55 ^a	35.81	164.32 ^a
ANOVA	CO ₂	0.4445	0.4701	0.0412	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0015	< 0.0001	0.0026	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
	P	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0464
	CO ₂ × P	< 0.0001	0.0009	0.0934	0.6799	0.2484	0.2189	0.0061	0.0111	0.0011	0.1174	0.0011

Figure 1: Response of photochemical quenching (qP), minimal (Fo') and maximal (Fm') fluorescence of light-adapted leaves, the efficiency of energy harvesting by oxidized (open) PSII reaction centers in light (Fv'/Fm'), the fraction of absorbed photon that are used for photochemistry for a light-adapted leaf [Φ_{PSII}, also called as effective quantum yield; μmol electron (μmol photon)⁻¹], and electron transport rate (ETR; μmol electron m⁻² s⁻¹) to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) measured between 69 and 75 d after planting in uppermost fully expanded leaves of cotton grown at either ambient (filled symbols: 400 μmol mol⁻¹) or elevated (unfilled symbols: 800 μmol mol⁻¹) CO₂ under different phosphate (P) treatments. Error bars represent the standard errors of three to four replicates.

Figure 2: Response of photochemical quenching (qP), minimal (Fo') and maximal (Fm') fluorescence of light-adapted leaves, the efficiency of energy harvesting by oxidized (open) PSII reaction centers in light (Fv'/Fm'), the fraction of absorbed photon that are used for photochemistry of a light-adapted leaf [Φ_{PSII}, also called as effective quantum yield; μmol electron (μmol photon)⁻¹], and electron transport rate (ETR; μmol electron m⁻² s⁻¹) to internal CO₂ concentration (C_i; μmol mol⁻¹) measured between 69 and 75 d after planting in uppermost fully expanded leaves of cotton grown at either ambient (filled symbols: 400 μmol mol⁻¹) or elevated (unfilled symbols: 800 μmol mol⁻¹) CO₂ under different phosphate (P) treatments. Error bars represent the standard errors of three to four replicates.

Figure 3: Relationship of net photosynthetic rate (P_{net}) with stomatal conductance (g_s) and SPAD chlorophyll meter reading (SCMR) for upper most fully expanded cotton leaves grown at either ambient (filled symbols and solid lines: $400 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) or elevated (unfilled symbols and dashed lines: $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) CO_2 under different phosphate (P) treatments. Data are from individual plants measured between 57 and 112 d after planting across two experiments. The coefficients of the linear regression analysis are also given. The asterisk (*) indicates significant ($P < 5\%$) difference for the given coefficient between CO_2 levels.

creased with PAR (Fig. 1). These CF parameters, except F_o' , increased with C_i and the 0.20 mM treated plants showed the highest values across CO_2 treatments (Fig. 2). The CF parameters tended to be lower under $e\text{CO}_2$ versus $a\text{CO}_2$ especially at the 0.20 mM P in response to both PAR and C_i .

The phosphate deficiency exhibited a similar decline in P_{net} and g_s across CO_2 treatments (Fig. 3A). Averaged across all measurements, P_{net} and g_s declined by 50–53% at 0.05 mM P and by 70–72% at 0.01 mM P as compared to the 0.20 mM

P treatment. The slope of the linear regression of P_{net} with g_s and SCMR differed between CO_2 levels (Fig. 3). The $e\text{CO}_2$ increased P_{net} by 13.33% and decreased g_s by 33% when averaged across P treatments. The SCMR declined significantly by 22–30% in P-deficient leaves across CO_2 levels (Table 1). SCMR was also lower (4.8–11.65%) at $e\text{CO}_2$ versus $a\text{CO}_2$. The WUE exhibited significant $\text{CO}_2 \times \text{P}$ interaction. The WUE tended to be higher under low P and at the $e\text{CO}_2$ except at the lowest P treatment (Table 1).

Figure 4: Relationship of leaf tissue phosphorus concentration (P) with minimal fluorescence (F_o'), the efficiency of energy harvesting by oxidized (open) PSII reaction centers in light (F_v/F_m'), electron transport rate (ETR; $\mu\text{mol electron m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), the fraction of absorbed photon that are used for photochemistry for a light adapted leaf [Φ_{PSII} , $\mu\text{mol electron } (\mu\text{mol photon})^{-1}$], the apparent quantum yield of CO_2 assimilation [Φ_{CO_2} , $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 (\mu\text{mol photon})^{-1}$], photochemical quenching (qP), Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO_2} ratio, and the ratio of ETR/net photosynthetic rate (ETR/P_{net} ; $\mu\text{mol electron } \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$) for upper most fully expanded cotton leaves grown at either ambient (filled symbols and solid lines: $400 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) or elevated (unfilled symbols and dashed lines: $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) CO_2 under different phosphate (P) treatments. Data are from individual plants measured between 57 and 112 d after planting across two experiments. The coefficients of the linear regression analysis are also given. The asterisk (*) indicates significant ($P < 5\%$) difference for the given coefficient between CO_2 levels.

Figure 5: Relationship of leaf tissue phosphorus concentration (P) with SPAD chlorophyll meter reading (SCMR) and intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE; $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}$) for upper most fully expanded cotton leaves grown at either ambient (filled symbols and solid lines: $400 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) or elevated (unfilled symbols and dashed lines: $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) CO₂ under different phosphate (P) treatments. Data are from individual plants measured between 57 and 112 d after planting across two experiments. The coefficients of the linear regression analysis are also given. The asterisk (*) indicates significant ($P < 5\%$) difference for the given coefficient between CO₂ levels.

3.2 Relationship between tissue P concentration and photosynthetic parameters

The tissue P concentration exhibited a significant linear relationship with many of the studied parameters excluding one with WUE (Fig. 4 and 5). The leaf P concentration also

showed a significant linear increasing effect on Fo', Fv/Fm', ETR, Φ_{PSII} , qP, and Φ_{CO_2} (Fig. 4A–F). In contrast, the ratio of $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}/\Phi_{\text{CO}_2}$ and ETR/P_{net} significantly increased as leaf P concentration decreased, and the values tended to be higher at aCO₂ versus eCO₂ (Fig. 4G–H). The SCMR increased with leaf P concentration and the slope between CO₂ levels did not differ for SCMR versus leaf P (Fig. 5A). The relationship between WUE and the leaf tissue P concentration was not significant. However, WUE tended to be higher at eCO₂ versus aCO₂ (Fig. 5B).

3.3 Nutrient utilization and uptake efficiency

The PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} showed a significant CO₂ × P interaction and declined on averaged 33.40% in P-deficient versus 0.20 mM P-treated plants across CO₂ levels with greater decline at the lowest P (Table 2). Elevated CO₂ significantly increased PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} only at the two highest P levels. The P and N utilization efficiencies of biomass production exhibited significant treatment effects at all harvests in both the experiments (Table 2). In general, P deficiency reduced whereas eCO₂ increased PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} across experiments. Averaged across CO₂ treatments, the PUE_{Bio} combined for the two low P treatments decreased by 87.14 and 65.0% in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2, respectively, as compared to 0.20 mM P at the final harvests. However, this decrease in NUE_{Bio} was greater, e.g., 91.34 and 79.29% in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2, respectively. Elevated CO₂ significantly stimulated both PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} especially at 0.20 mM P, and this stimulation was either small or none at the lowest P treatment (Table 2). Irrespective of the harvest dates in both experiments, the two low P treatments significantly decreased the averaged PUE and NUE of plants by 55–78% and 30–55%, respectively, across CO₂ levels (Table 3). There were strong linear correlations of P_{net} with PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet}, and total biomass with PUE_{Bio},

Table 2: Effect of CO₂ levels ($\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) and phosphate (P; mM) supply on P and N utilization efficiency of biomass production (PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} respectively; $\text{g}^2 \text{ dry weight mg}^{-1} \text{ P}$), and photosynthesis [PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} respectively; $\text{g} (\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ P}$]. The PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} data are combined across both experiments. The PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} data are the means of 6–8 plants for Experiment 1 (84 and 112 days after planting, DAP) and Experiment 2 (67 and 91 DAP). The P values of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) between P and CO₂ are given. Within columns, means followed by same letters are not significantly different at $P = 5\%$.

CO ₂	P	Experiment 1						Experiment 2			
		84 DAP				112 DAP		67 DAP		91 DAP	
		PUE _{Pnet}	NUE _{Pnet}	PUE _{Bio}	NUE _{Bio}	PUE _{Bio}	NUE _{Bio}	PUE _{Bio}	NUE _{Bio}	PUE _{Bio}	NUE _{Bio}
400	0.20	23.25 ^c	8.57 ^b	41.61 ^b	2.55 ^b	110.77 ^b	4.24 ^b	15.77	0.57	74.79	2.43
	0.05	17.27 ^d	3.33 ^d	10.93 ^c	0.36 ^d	34.00 ^c	0.86 ^c	18.04	0.37	25.90	0.51
	0.01	16.01 ^d	2.76 ^d	9.69 ^c	0.31 ^d	10.06 ^d	0.20 ^e	14.36	0.26	24.96	0.54
800	0.20	33.95 ^a	13.13 ^a	73.87 ^a	3.92 ^a	222.16 ^a	7.71 ^a	25.77	0.92	90.48	4.09
	0.05	27.00 ^b	5.45 ^c	32.60 ^b	1.12 ^c	35.64 ^c	0.83 ^{cd}	18.30	0.40	31.85	0.98
	0.01	15.91 ^d	3.20 ^d	17.67 ^c	0.71 ^{cd}	5.92 ^d	0.18 ^{de}	12.34	0.28	32.76	0.67
ANOVA	CO ₂	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.2137	0.0306	0.0425	0.0263
	P	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0351	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
	CO ₂ × P	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0065	0.0242	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0740	0.0505	0.9494	0.1608

Table 3: Effect of CO₂ levels (μmol mol⁻¹) and phosphate (P; mM) supply on P and N uptake efficiency (PUpE_{Bio} and NUpE_{Bio} respectively; mg P g⁻¹ root dry weight). The data are the means of 6–8 plants for Experiment 1 (84 and 112 d after planting, DAP) and Experiment 2 (67 and 91 DAP). The *P* values of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) between P and CO₂ are given. Within columns, means followed by same letters are not significantly different at *P* = 5%.

		Experiment 1				Experiment 2			
		84 DAP		112 DAP		67 DAP		91 DAP	
CO ₂	P	PUpE	NUpE	PUpE	NUpE	PUpE	NUpE	PUpE	NUpE
400	0.20	5.99	97.49 ^b	5.35	156.2	3.95	108.6	5.41 ^b	163.2
	0.05	2.62	78.71 ^{bc}	2.93	113.2	1.60	79.0	2.00 ^{cd}	99.9
	0.01	1.99	64.58 ^{cd}	1.06	43.6	1.47	73.8	1.71 ^{cd}	81.2
800	0.20	6.69	125.20 ^a	5.00	145.3	4.23	115.1	6.68 ^a	156.7
	0.05	2.61	80.30 ^{bc}	2.90	125.0	2.05	89.4	2.36 ^c	91.2
	0.01	1.85	44.80 ^d	1.24	48.4	1.65	70.8	1.37 ^d	47.5
ANOVA	CO ₂	0.4601	0.582	0.7219	0.7698	0.083	0.328	0.0564	0.0387
	P	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
	CO ₂ × P	0.3361	0.0078	0.5184	0.3393	0.8014	0.5308	0.0255	0.2444

NUE_{Bio}, PUpE, and NUpE (*P* < 0.1%; Fig. 6). The regression slope across two levels of CO₂ did not differ for P_{net} versus PUE_{Pnet} and total biomass versus PUE_{Bio} and PUpE (Fig. 6A, C, E). However, it differed significantly for and P_{net} versus NUE_{Pnet} and total biomass versus NUE_{Bio} and NUpE, (Fig. 6 B, D).

3.4 Relationship between tissue-P concentration and nutrient-efficiency parameters

A significant relationship between leaf tissue P concentration and PUE_{Pnet} was not observed. However, PUE_{Pnet} appeared to be greater at eCO₂ versus aCO₂ (Fig. 7A). The NUE_{Pnet} significantly increased with leaf P concentration and the response was also significantly higher at eCO₂ versus aCO₂ (Fig. 7B). The PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} exhibited a linear decline with tissue P concentrations and differed between CO₂ levels (Fig. 7 C, D). Elevated CO₂ exhibited a greater increase in PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} per unit increase of the tissue P concentration (Fig. 7 C, D). The PUpE and NUpE also decreased with tissue P concentration across both CO₂ levels (Fig. 7E, F).

4 Discussion

4.1 Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthetic parameters

In the P-deficient leaves, an increased Fo' clearly indicated reduced efficiency of energy trapping at the PSII and the consequent inhibition of electron transfer as deduced from low Fv'/Fm' and ETR. The increased Fo' is related to the loss of chlorophyll (*i.e.*, SCMR) and disconnection of the light harvesting antennae from the PSII reaction center (Conroy et al.,

1990; Moseley et al., 2002). The change in Fv'/Fm' was associated with both an increased Fo' and decreased Fm', at which a low Fm' suggested increased non-photochemical quenching due to enhanced mechanism of energy dissipation. This was supported by a concomitant decrease in qP leading to reduced Φ_{PSII} and Φ_{CO₂} (Baker and Rosenqvist, 2004). In fact, ETR and Φ_{PSII} showed a parallel decrease in response to low P supply across CO₂ levels as also deduced from the range of PAR and C_i values (Fig. 1 and 2) indicating photoinhibition due to damage to the PSII reaction center. These CF parameters often responded to the increase in C_i roughly up to 250 μmol mol⁻¹, thereafter saturation occurred.

The reduction in *g_s* under eCO₂ has commonly been observed in many crops, which often results in reduced transpiration and increased WUE (Reddy et al., 1995; Singh et al., 2013a). This is in accordance with an observed increase in WUE under eCO₂. Phosphorus deficiency also increased the WUE except at the lowest P treatment under aCO₂ exhibiting a CO₂ × P interaction. The lower WUE under severe P stress at aCO₂ condition might be attributed to a greater reduction in P_{net} than *g_s* leading to lower carbon gain per unit loss of water during transpiration. The observed non-significant negative slope of WUE versus leaf P regression across CO₂ levels also showed that WUE tended to be higher at eCO₂, indicating cotton plants may be more efficient in water use under P deficiency when grown in CO₂ enriched environments (Fig. 5B). Decreases in P_{net}, *g_s*, and SCMR under P deficiency have been reported previously and attributed to the reduction in leaf chlorophyll and tissue P concentrations, and limitations caused in the CO₂ diffusion and photo-biochemical processes (Singh et al., 2013a). The functional relationship between P_{net} and *g_s* clearly indicated higher P_{net} at a given *g_s* under eCO₂.

Figure 6: The relationship of net photosynthetic rate with the P and N utilization efficiency of photosynthesis (PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} , respectively), relationship of plant total biomass with P and N utilization efficiency of biomass production (PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} , respectively) and P and N uptake efficiency of cotton grown at either ambient (filled symbols and solid lines: $400 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) or elevated (unfilled symbols and dashed lines: $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) CO₂ under different phosphate (P) treatments. The coefficients of the linear regression analysis are also given, and the intercept was forced to pass through origin (zero). The asterisk (*) indicates significant ($P < 5\%$) difference for the given coefficient between CO₂ levels.

The Φ_{PSII} provides a direct estimate of the efficiency of light use for electron transport by PSII (Baker and Rosenqvist, 2004; Singh et al., 2013a). The low Φ_{PSII} resulting from the restricted consumption of chemical energy may lead to decreased photochemical quenching which can be detected by reduction in the F_v/F_m' ratio as also observed in the current study. The increased Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO_2} ratio in the P-deficient leaves clearly indicates lower quantum efficiency and electron consumption by processes other than CO₂ fixation such as photorespiration, nitrogen metabolism or pseudocyclic electron flux (Edwards and Baker, 1993). An increased photorespiration under P stress was evident in the current study from the higher values of the ETR/P_{net} ratio (Singh and Reddy, 2011). Therefore, CF parameters across the P treatments indicate structural changes within the chloroplasts thylakoid membrane. Decreased F_v/F_m' and increased F_o' , Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO_2} , and ETR/P_{net} ratio suggest damage to the photosystem functioning in P-deficient leaves.

The response of CF parameters to P deficiency is shown by the significant linear relationship with the tissue P concentration (Fig. 4). The photochemical quenching along with other CF parameters was increased with leaf P, indicating the dependence on P supply for normal functioning of the photosynthetic system. The inverse trend in Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO_2} and ETR/P_{net} ratios signified an increased requirement of quanta for CO₂ fixation with a concomitant increase in photorespiration, respectively. Jacob and Lawlor (1993) found increased photorespiration in P-deficient sunflower due to changes in the enzyme kinetics favoring oxygenation more than the carboxylation. Thus, CF parameters reflected a marked decline in the photosynthetic efficiency in P-deficient cotton leaves in accordance with our hypothesis. However, marked increases in the CF parameters under eCO₂ were not observed, which partly contrasts the hypothesis.

Figure 7: Relationship of tissue P concentration with P and N utilization efficiency of photosynthesis [PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} , respectively; g ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) $\text{mg}^{-1} \text{ P}$] and biomass production (PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} respectively; g^2 dry weight $\text{mg}^{-1} \text{ P}$), and P and N uptake efficiencies ($PUpE$ and $NUpE$; mg P g^{-1} root dry weight) of cotton plants grown at either ambient (filled symbols and solid lines: $400 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) or elevated (unfilled symbols and dashed lines: $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) CO_2 under different phosphate (P) treatments. The data are combined across two experiments. For P versus PUE_{Bio} , NUE_{Bio} , $PUpE$, and $NUpE$ data are from the final harvests of Experiment 1 (112 d after planting, DAP) and Experiment 2 (91 DAP). The coefficients of the linear regression analysis are also given. The asterisk (*) indicates significant ($P < 5\%$) difference for the given coefficient between CO_2 levels.

The acclimation/down-regulation of cotton photosynthetic processes to the long-term CO_2 enrichment may be partly caused by imbalance between CO_2 fixation and utilization of assimilates (Barrett and Gifford, 1995; Singh et al., 2013a). The $e\text{CO}_2$ -mediated alteration in the photosynthetic processes may also be adjusted by the distribution of absorbed light energy between photochemical and non-photochemical processes in the chloroplasts. In this study, the extent of changes in the CF parameters was less in CO_2 than P treatments. The low sensitivity of CF parameters to $e\text{CO}_2$ in cotton has been postulated previously (Betsche, 1994). The increase in F_o' at $e\text{CO}_2$ was also consistent across the measured PAR and C_i especially under high P supply (Fig. 1 and 2) and was similar to the observation made in other species (Conroy et al., 1990). The significant decreases in the F_v'/F_m' , ETR, and Φ_{PSII} under the lowest P supply at $e\text{CO}_2$ were also apparent from their regression with tissue P concentration and signified a greater damage to the photosystem functioning. Due to the reduction in photorespiration, the energy demand might be lower at $e\text{CO}_2$ versus $a\text{CO}_2$ and this excess

radiant energy may also lead to thylakoid energization as indicated by small changes or reduction in qP and other CF parameters (Betsche, 1994). The $e\text{CO}_2$ -mediated reduction in the Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO_2} ratio and photorespiration as deduced from the lower ETR/P_{net} ratio in $e\text{CO}_2$ versus $a\text{CO}_2$ treatment were also found by Edwards and Baker (1993) and Singh et al. (2013a). This was supported by inverse relationship (negative slope) of tissue P concentration with Φ_{PSII}/Φ_{CO_2} and ETR/P_{net} ratios exhibiting lower values at $e\text{CO}_2$ (Fig. 4G, H).

4.2 Nutrient utilization and uptake efficiency

Contrary to one of our hypotheses, PUE_{Pnet} , PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} decreased due to P deficiency. As discussed below, this might have been caused by the percentage decrease in the P_{net} and TBM in the P-deficient plants. However, the stimulating effects of $e\text{CO}_2$ on these parameters were in accordance with our hypothesis, especially in the two higher P-treatments.

Irrespective of the CO₂ treatment, the effect of P deficiency on the P and N utilization and uptake efficiencies was consistent across the harvest dates in both experiments. As compared to the 0.20 mM P treatment, the decline in PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} was highest at 0.01 mM P supply. In contrast, eCO₂ increased PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} by 46–63% across P treatments. However, this increment was minimal or none at the lowest P treatment. Under severe P-deficiency, the decline in PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} reflects the lack of the stimulatory response of eCO₂ on P_{net}, which may be attributed to the increased photoinhibition, photo-biochemical limitation and decrease in photorespiration causing an imbalance in CO₂ fixation and recycling of inorganic phosphate in chloroplasts (Betsche, 1994; Singh et al., 2013a; Singh et al., 2013b).

The PUE_{Pnet} was mainly influenced by the photosynthetic capacity of plants as deduced from the significant linear relationship between P_{net} and PUE_{Pnet} (Fig. 6A). However, a stable PUE_{Pnet} across a range of leaf tissue P concentrations was also apparent from its non-significant relationship with tissue P across CO₂ levels (Fig. 7A). Although a significant PUE_{Pnet} versus tissue-P relationship was not established, PUE_{Pnet} tended to be higher at eCO₂. The P_{net} exhibited a close relationship with NUE_{Pnet} which was also significantly increased with an increase in tissue P indicating the dependence of the photosynthetic processes on the N availability.

The linear relationship between tissue P with PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} (Fig. 7B, C) revealed that due to P deficiency the biomass decreased more than tissue-P concentration, leading to the marked decrease in P and N utilization efficiencies of biomass production across the CO₂ treatments in both experiments. This was also reflected in the decreased P and N uptake efficiencies, in which the total nutrient acquisition per unit of root mass decreased more than the tissue P or N concentration in P-deficient plants. Growth rate, nutrient uptake and tissue concentration play a major role in the determination of nutrient utilization efficiency which may vary among species. For instance, Cure et al. (1988) found an increase in P-use efficiency in P-deficient soybean, which might have been caused by a greater decrease in tissue-P concentration in proportion to the biomass of soybean as the P supply was reduced. Moreover, a continued increase in tissue P-concentration, while the maximum growth was attained in the P-sufficient plants, may also cause the decline in the P-utilization efficiency as postulated by Cure et al. (1988).

The strong linear correlation between total biomass and the P and N utilization efficiencies demonstrates the relationship between cotton biomass production and nutrient-utilization efficiencies across P supply and CO₂ levels (Fig. 6C, D). Plants may be more efficient in nutrient acquisition and utilization under eCO₂ due to increased shoot and root growth, and alteration in the physiology including reduced tissue nutrients (Pérez-López et al., 2014; Rogers et al., 1993). In the current study, eCO₂ increased PUE_{Pnet} and NUE_{Pnet} by 46–63% across P treatments. However, this increment was limited to the two high P treatments. Similarly, PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} were also increased mainly at the two higher P treatments. The lack of eCO₂ stimulation under the lowest P treatment of these and other parameters might have also led to the ob-

served CO₂ × P interactions. For instance, under the lowest P treatments the PUE_{Pnet} was almost similar at both aCO₂ and eCO₂. Similar inferences can also be made from the relationship between tissue P concentration and other parameters, which indicates a similar response across the CO₂ levels when the tissue P concentration was lower (Fig. 4 and 7). Therefore, at very low tissue-P concentration the lack of response in nutrient efficiency and photosynthetic parameters to eCO₂ was due to insufficient tissue-P concentrations (Conroy, 1992). Previous studies have also reported that cotton plants grown under CO₂ enrichment were more efficient in the nutrient retrieval and utilization. However, under severe P stress the response to eCO₂ was highly reduced mainly due to severe reduction in growth (Prior et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2013b).

The N utilization and uptake efficiencies were closely associated with both tissue-P concentration and biomass production, or P_{net} indicating greater dependence of plant growth and photosynthesis on N. Compared to the other nutrients, N is required at the highest amount and directly influences photosynthetic processes and plant growth. The P deficiency-mediated changes in N metabolism have been reported in previous studies (Fleisher et al., 2013; Rufty et al., 1993). Although N was not limiting in the root media, both treatments (P and CO₂) altered N acquisition and assimilation by the plants as revealed by changes in N uptake and utilization efficiencies.

5 Conclusions

The CF parameters were affected more by P nutrition than CO₂ treatments. A consistent increase in the Fo' was associated with a decrease in photochemical quenching and other CF parameters in the P-deficient leaves, leading to reduction in the efficiency of energy transfer to the PSII reaction center and quantum yield. The P deficiency consistently decreased P and N uptake and utilization efficiencies for biomass production and photosynthesis. Due to P deficiency, the decreases in the PUE_{Bio} and NUE_{Bio} were mainly attributed to the greater percentage decreases in the biomass production versus tissue P concentration. The utilization and uptake efficiencies of P and N tended to show greater response to eCO₂ versus aCO₂, especially at the higher P nutrition. Thus, the beneficial effect of eCO₂ on cotton photosynthesis and nutrient efficiency is decreased under P deficiency and might be even negative under severe P deficiency.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Darryl Baxam (Engineering Technician) for help in maintaining the growth chambers. Thanks also go to Mariam Manzoor for providing assistance during some of the growth measurements.

References

- Baker, N. R., Rosenqvist, E. (2004): Applications of chlorophyll fluorescence can improve crop production strategies: an examination of future possibilities. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 1607–1621.

- Barrett, D. J., Gifford, R. M. (1995): Acclimation of photosynthesis and growth by cotton to elevated CO₂: Interactions with severe phosphate deficiency and restricted rooting volume. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 22, 955–963.
- Betsche, T. (1994): Atmospheric CO₂ enrichment: kinetics of chlorophyll a fluorescence and photosynthetic CO₂ uptake in individual, attached cotton leaves. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 34, 75–86.
- Bown, H. E., Mason, E. G., Clinton, P. W., Watt, M. S. (2009): Chlorophyll fluorescence response of *Pinus radiata* clones to nitrogen and phosphorus supply. *Cien. Inv. Agr.* 36, 451–464.
- Campbell, C. D., Sage, R. F. (2006): Interactions between the effects of atmospheric CO₂ content and P nutrition on photosynthesis in white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.). *Plant Cell Environ.* 29, 844–853.
- Conroy, J. (1992): Influence of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations on plant nutrition. *Aust. J. Bot.* 40, 445–456.
- Conroy, J. P., Milham, P. J., Reed, M. L., Barlow, E. W. (1990): Increases in phosphorus requirements for CO₂-enriched pine species. *Plant Physiol.* 92, 977–982.
- Conroy, J. P., Smillie, R. M., Küppers, M., Bevege, D. I., Barlow, E. W. (1986): Chlorophyll a fluorescence and photosynthetic and growth responses of *Pinus radiata* to phosphorus deficiency, drought stress, and high CO₂. *Plant Physiol.* 81, 423–429.
- Cure, J. D., Rufty, T. W., Israel, D. W. (1988): Phosphorus stress effects on growth and seed yield responses of nonnodulated soybean to elevated carbon dioxide. *Agron. J.* 80, 897–902.
- Edwards, G. E., Baker, N. R. (1993): Can CO₂ assimilation in maize leaves be predicted accurately from chlorophyll fluorescence analysis? *Photosynth. Res.* 37, 89–102.
- FAO (2007): Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations (online). Available at: <http://faostat.fao.org>.
- Fleisher, D. H., Wang, Q., Timlin, D. J., Chun, J.-A., Reddy, V. R. (2013): Effect of carbon dioxide and phosphorus supply on potato dry matter allocation and canopy morphology. *J. Plant Nutr.* 36, 566–586.
- Genty, B., Briantais, J. M., Baker, N. R. (1989): The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 990, 87–92.
- Hewitt, E. J. (1952): Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition. Tech. Comm. 22, Commonwealth Bureau of Horticulture and Plantation Crops. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau Farnham Royal, Bucks, UK.
- IPCC (2007): Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, in Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., Miller, H. L. (eds.): Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Israel, D. W., Rufty, T. W. (1988): Influence of phosphorus nutrition on phosphorus and nitrogen utilization efficiencies and associated physiological responses in soybean. *Crop Sci.* 28, 954–960.
- Jacob, J., Lawlor, D. W. (1993): Extreme phosphate deficiency decreases the *in vivo* CO₂/O₂ specificity factor of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase in intact leaves of sunflower. *J. Exp. Bot.* 44, 1635–1641.
- Lenka, N. K., Lal, R. (2012): Soil-related constraints to the carbon dioxide fertilization effect. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 31, 342–357.
- Maxwell, K., Johnson, G. N. (2000): Chlorophyll fluorescence: a practical guide. *J. Exp. Bot.* 51, 659–668.
- Moseley, J. L., Allinger, T., Herzog, S., Hoerth, P., Wehinger, E., Merchant, S., Hippler, M. (2002): Adaptation to Fe-deficiency requires remodeling of the photosynthetic apparatus. *EMBO J.* 21, 6709–6720.
- Pérez-López, U., Miranda-Apodaca, J., Mena-Petite, A., Muñoz-Rueda, A. (2014): Responses of nutrient dynamics in barley seedlings to the interaction of salinity and carbon dioxide enrichment. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 99, 86–99.
- Prior, S. A., Torbert, H. A., Runion, G. B., Mullins, G. L., Rogers, H. H., Mauney, J. R. (1998): Effects of carbon dioxide enrichment on cotton nutrient dynamics. *J. Plant Nutr.* 21, 1407–1426.
- Reddy, K. R., Koti, S., Davidonis, G. H., Reddy, V. R. (2004): Interactive effects of carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition on cotton growth, development, yield, and fiber quality. *Agron. J.* 96, 1148–1157.
- Reddy, V. R., Reddy, K. R., Hodges, H. F. (1995): Carbon dioxide enrichment and temperature effects on cotton canopy photosynthesis, transpiration, and water-use efficiency. *Field Crop. Res.* 41, 13–23.
- Reddy, V. R., Reddy, K. R., Wang, Z. (1997): Cotton Responses to Nitrogen, Carbon Dioxide, and Temperature Interactions, in Ando, T., Fujita, K., Mae, T., Matsumoto, H., Mori, S., Sekiya, J. (eds.): Plant Nutrition for Sustainable Food Production and Environment., pp. 867–872.
- Rogers, G. S., Payne, L., Milham, P., Conroy, J. (1993): Nitrogen and phosphorus requirements of cotton and wheat under changing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Plant Soil* 155/156, 231–234.
- Roháček, K. (2002): Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters: the definitions, photosynthetic meaning, and mutual relationships. *Photosynthetica* 40, 13–29.
- Rufty, T. W., Israel, D. W., Volk, R. J., Qiu, J., Sa, T. (1993): Phosphate regulation of nitrate assimilation in soybean. *J. Exp. Bot.* 44, 879–891.
- Saxton, A. M. (1998): A macro for converting mean separation output to letter groupings in PROC MIXED. 23rd SAS User Group Intl., SAS Institute, NC, USA.
- Shao, G., Li, Z., Ning, T., Zheng, Y. (2013): Responses of photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence, and grain yield of maize to controlled-release urea and irrigation after anthesis. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 176, 595–602.
- Siddiqi, M. Y., Glass, A. D. M. (1981): Utilization index: A modified approach to the estimation and comparison of nutrient utilization efficiency in plants. *J. Plant Nutr.* 4, 289–302.
- Sinclair, T. R. (1992): Mineral nutrition and plant growth response to climate change. *J. Exp. Bot.* 43, 1141–1146.
- Singh, S. K., Badgujar, G., Reddy, V. R., Fleisher, D. H., Bunce, J. A. (2013a): Carbon dioxide diffusion across stomata and mesophyll and photo-biochemical processes as affected by growth CO₂ and phosphorus nutrition in cotton. *J. Plant Physiol.* 170, 801–813.
- Singh, S. K., Badgujar, G. B., Reddy, V. R., Fleisher, D. H., Timlin, D. J. (2013b): Effect of phosphorus nutrition on growth and physiology of cotton under ambient and elevated carbon dioxide. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 199, 436–448.
- Singh, S. K., Kakani, V. G., Surabhi, G. K., Reddy, K. R. (2010): Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) genotypes response to multiple abiotic stresses. *J. Photoch. Photobio. B.* 100, 135–146.
- Singh, S. K., Reddy, K. R. (2011): Regulation of photosynthesis, fluorescence, stomatal conductance and water-use efficiency of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) under drought. *J. Photoch. Photobio. B.* 105, 40–50.
- Singh, V., Pallaghy, C. K., Singh, D. (2006): Phosphorus nutrition and tolerance of cotton to water stress: I. Seed cotton yield and leaf morphology. *Field Crop. Res.* 96, 191–198.