University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

CSE Conference and Workshop Papers

Computer Science and Engineering, Department
of

2009

A Hybrid Test Architecture to Reduce Test Application Time in Full Scan Sequential Circuits

Priyankar Ghosh

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, priyankar@cse.iitkgp.ernet.in

Srobona Mitra

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, srobona@cse.iitkgp.ernet.in

Indranil Sengupta

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, isg@cse.iitkgp.ernet.in

Bhargab B. Bhattacharya

Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, India, bhargab@isical.ac.in

Sharad C. Seth

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, seth@cse.unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cseconfwork>

Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

Ghosh, Priyankar; Mitra, Srobona; Sengupta, Indranil; Bhattacharya, Bhargab B.; and Seth, Sharad C., "A Hybrid Test Architecture to Reduce Test Application Time in Full Scan Sequential Circuits" (2009). *CSE Conference and Workshop Papers*. 2.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cseconfwork/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Computer Science and Engineering, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in CSE Conference and Workshop Papers by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

A Hybrid Test Architecture to Reduce Test Application Time in Full Scan Sequential Circuits

Priyankar Ghosh, Srobona Mitra,
and Indranil Sengupta
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur
Kharagpur-721302, India
{priyankar,srobona,isg}@cse.iitkgp.ernet.in

Bhargab Bhattacharya
Indian Statistical Institute
Kolkata-700108, India
bhargab@isical.ac.in

Sharad Seth
University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Lincoln NE 68588-0115, U.S.A.
seth@cse.unl.edu

Abstract—Full scan based design technique is widely used to alleviate the complexity of test generation for sequential circuits. However, this approach leads to substantial increase in test application time, because of serial loading of vectors. Although BIST based approaches offer faster testing, they usually suffer from low fault coverage. In this paper, we propose a hybrid test architecture, which achieves significant reduction in test application time. The test suite consists of: (i) some external deterministic test vectors to be scanned in, and (ii) internally generated responses of the CUT to be re-applied as tests iteratively, in functional (non-scan) mode. The proposed architecture uses only combinational ATPG to hybridize deterministic testing and test per clock BIST, and thus makes good use of both scan based and non-scan testing. We also present a bipartite graph based heuristic to select the deterministic test vectors and sequential fault simulation technique is used to perform the exact analysis on detected faults during the re-application of internally generated responses of the CUT during testing. Experimental results on ISCAS-89 benchmark circuits show the efficacy of the heuristic and reveal a significant reduction of test application time.

Keywords - ATPG, DFT, BIST, LFSR, and MISR

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the disadvantages of using scan based design is the huge test application time due to scan operations. Reducing the test application time is one of the critical factors to reduce the test cost for a scan circuit [1].

Dynamic compaction based approaches [2], [3], [4] strive to obtain highly compact test set. Static compaction techniques [5], [6] try to reduce the total number of scan operations. Limited scan operations [7], [8] only shift in new values for a subset of the flip-flops, and at the same time shift out the values stored in those flip-flops. An approach [9] tries to reduce the useless patterns generated by LFSRs. Another approach [10] focuses to find a minimum set of segments in the LFSR sequence, where each segment corresponds to a consecutive subsequence of useful test patterns. Another design-for-testability (DFT) technique employed to eliminate test data volume and to reduce test application time in a synchronous sequential circuit is the use of *autoscan* [12], [13], which integrates both the functional mode and the DFT mode in the test process.

In this paper, a hybrid test architecture is proposed to reduce the test application time. The method combines deterministic testing and BIST techniques. The test suite uses external

deterministic test vectors that are applied in the scan mode, as well as internally generated responses of the CUT which are re-applied as tests over several iterations, in non-scan mode. The idea of using response of a test vector as a second vector was used earlier in [11] to generate a test pair for detecting a delay fault.

We elaborate on the basic concept using a small example in Section II. Section III describes the proposed test architecture. The heuristic for generating the test suite is presented in Section IV. The experimental results of this simulation are presented in Section V.

II. MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

In this section the basic procedure is illustrated using a small example. Let us consider the ISCAS-89 benchmark circuit s27 which has 4 inputs, 1 output, 3 flip-flops and 10 gates. ATALANTA generates 6 test patterns for the combinational part of s27. In order to apply each pattern, 3 clock cycles are required to shift in the pattern into the scan chain. We call these vectors *scan test vectors* because these vectors are shifted in or scanned out of the scan chain.

The motivation behind this work is to reduce the test application time by cutting down the number of scan test vectors. Internally generated responses are used as test vectors in order to compensate the fault coverage. On applying a scan test vector, the part of the response that is stored in the flip-flops, is applied at the next clock directly to the pseudo primary inputs of the CUT. An LFSR is used to generate pseudo-random patterns for the primary inputs. Therefore the next test vector is created using the response of the previous vector and the pseudo-random pattern generated by the LFSR which is applied in the next clock. These circulations are done per clock basis, thereby saving a lot of time.

The circulation of the response is continued as long as it detects new faults. When the circulated response fails to detect any new fault among the remaining faults, the circulation is stopped. Experimental results show that even if the circulation of response is continued, new faults are hardly detected.

Table I shows the circulation of response. In this approach we are able to reduce the number of scanned test vectors by 3. So we save 9 clock cycles of test application time. Instead

of circulated responses are applied and the corresponding primary output patterns are compressed into the MISR. The compressed signature is observed before the application of the next scan test vector.

IV. TEST VECTOR GENERATION

To select the scan test vectors we use a two step process. In the first step, a bipartite graph is created, where one independent set represents the faults and the other independent set represents the test vectors. In the second step, the scan test vectors are selected from the graph.

A. Generation of Bipartite Graph

A bipartite graph is $G = \{V, E\}$, where V is set of vertices and E is the set of edges. Let TV represent the test vectors, FL represent the set of faults. TV and FL are the independent sets of graph G . Each edge $e_{ij} \in E$ between vertex $t_i \in TV$ and $f_j \in FL$ indicates that vector t_i detects the fault f_j .

Algorithm 1: Bipartite Graph Generation

```

1  Initialize the degrees of all  $v_i \in V$  to 0 ;
2  foreach each test vector  $t_i \in TV$  do
3    foreach each fault  $f_i \in FL$  do
4      Perform concurrent fault simulation;
5      if  $t_i$  detects  $f_i$  then
6        Create an edge  $e_{ij}$  between  $t_i$ ;
7      end
8    end
9  end

```

B. Heuristic to Select Scan Test Vectors

Scan test vectors are selected from G using a greedy approach. The maximum degree vertex $t_i \in TV$, that is, the vector which detects the maximum number of faults is selected as scan test vector. The test vector t_i is $\langle pi_i \rangle \langle ppi_i \rangle$, where $\langle pi_i \rangle$ is the pattern corresponding to the primary inputs and $\langle ppi_i \rangle$ is shifted into the scan chain. The response of test vector t_i is $r_i = \langle po_i \rangle \langle ppo_i \rangle$, where $\langle po_i \rangle$ is the valuation of the primary outputs and $\langle ppo_i \rangle$ is the pattern stored into the scan chain and typically shifted out of the scan chain. In this work, $\langle ppo_i \rangle$ is used as $\langle ppi_{i+1} \rangle$. The $\langle pi_{i+1} \rangle$ is set using the LFSR. The next test vector $\langle pi_{i+1} \rangle \langle ppi_{i+1} \rangle$ is applied in the next clock, thereby the scan shift operations are avoided.

Sequential fault simulation is done to compute which faults are detected by the scan test vector and its subsequent circulations. The details of the sequential fault simulation algorithm is provided later. When the circulations fail to detect any new fault for a certain specified length C_{len} , the circulation of response is stopped. The reason for continuing the circulation is discussed later. At the end of each circulation, the detected faults and the edges incident on them are deleted from G .

Again the maximum degree vertex $t_i \in TV$ is selected as the next scan test vector and the circulation length of t_i is computed similarly. This process of selecting test vectors for scan operation and generation of its subsequent circulation is continued till the desired fault coverage is achieved.

To perform the sequential fault simulation, we implemented a modified version of the existing concurrent fault simulation algorithm. Typically at the end of the application of the concurrent fault simulation algorithm, each primary output(PO) line and pseudo primary output(PPO) line contains a list of faults(bad-gates) that are detected by the current vector. Since the pattern corresponding to the primary outputs is stored in the MISR, the faults that are detected at primary outputs are dropped from the fault list immediately. Also the vertices that represent these faults along with the edges incident on them are deleted from G . Since the pattern corresponding to the PPOs are not observed immediately, the fault-lists corresponding to the PPO lines are not dropped at that point of time. At the next cycle, the pattern corresponding to the PPOs is re-applied to the PPIs. The fault-lists are added to the corresponding PPIs and the fault simulation is carried out with the response of the previous test vector and the pattern generated by the LFSR. At the end of each circulation, the pattern stored in the scan chain is shifted out. Therefore at the end of circulation, the list of faults corresponding to the PPOs will be definitely detected. Then those faults are dropped and G is updated by removing the vertices that represent these faults along with the edges incident on them.

We have observed that even if a particular circulation fails to detect any new fault, the next circulation may detect new faults. Thus the circulation of response is continued for a certain number C_{len} and if the sequence fails to detect any new fault we select the next scan test vector from G . It is also found from experimental results that the improvement depends on the sequence length and significant improvement can be achieved for large circuits. We have carried out experiments using different sequence length and the result is given in the next section.

It is observed when the fault coverage approaches the target value, faults that remain in the fault list are relatively harder to detect. In other words when the fault coverage approaches desired level, more and more vectors are encountered whose immediate response does not detect any new fault. This problem is addressed in the following way during the selection of the next scan test vector. For those vectors whose immediate response fails to detect any new fault, a flag is set when they are selected for the first time. First the maximum degree vertex $t_i \in TV$ is selected and if its response does not detect any new fault, the next best vector among the untried vector set is selected. After all vectors are tried once, the best among the remaining set is selected.

Experiments show that the test application time depends on the order of application of the scan test vectors. We have experimented with some other alternative approaches which generate a different order of the scan test vectors. However the experimental results show that the approach presented here outperforms other heuristics.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We used the combinational part (available as ISCAS-89 SCAN circuits) of the ISCAS-89 benchmark circuits for test

Algorithm 2: Selecting Scan Test Vectors

input : Bipartite Graph G and C_{len}
output: A set of scan test vectors along with the circulation length for each scan test vector

```

1  while Current fault coverage < desired coverage do
2    Select maximum degree  $t_i \in TV$  ;
3    Perform sequential fault simulation as long as
4    no new fault is detected in consecutive  $C_{len}$  cycles;
5    At the end of fault simulation
6    Compute the circulation length for  $t_i$ ;
7    Drop the detected faults ;
8    foreach detected fault  $f_j$  do
9      Delete the all edges  $e_{kj}$  incident on  $f_j$  ;
10   end
11 end

```

pattern generation. Combinational ATPG tool ATALANTA is used to generate the test patterns for the experiment. The test patterns are obtained after enabling the compaction option of ATALANTA. We have used checkpoint theorem to generate the fault list for every circuit.

We varied the sequence length(C_{len}) for the circulation from 0 to 25 at a step size of 5. Table II shows the corresponding sequence length that maximizes the improvement for the same fault coverage provided by ATALANTA. The equation that we used for computing the improvement is:

$$Imp = \frac{(C_{FS} - C_P)}{C_{FS}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where C_{FS} is the number of clock cycles required in normal scan-based architecture using the test vectors generated by ATALANTA and C_P is the number of clock cycles required using the proposed methodology for the same circuit. In Table II, the different columns are as follows.

- *Init Vec* denotes the number of test-vectors generated by ATALANTA.
- *Scan Vec* represents the number of test-vectors applied in scan mode in the proposed methodology.
- *Circ Resp* denotes the number of vectors that are applied in circulating response mode.
- *Seq Len* is the value of C_{len} that maximizes the improvement.
- *Imp* is the improvement in terms of test application time, following Equation 1.
- *CPU Time* reports the time required for the test vector generation by a machine having Intel dual core cpu (1867 Mhz) with 2 GB RAM.

VI. CONCLUSION

A new hybrid test architecture is described here and empirically evaluated, which shows significant improvement in test application time. The proposed method makes a good use of both scan-based and non-scan testing. Although the method is studied for the cases of serial scan chain, it can be extended

Circuit	#Init Vec	#Scan Vec	#Circ Resp	Seq Len	Imp(%)	CPU Time(S)
s1196	144	16	515	15	35.00	0.73
s1238	149	75	652	15	26.00	1.14
s1423	69	36	267	15	42.00	0.59
s1494	129	80	104	5	26.00	0.58
s5378	263	205	325	10	21.00	5.36
s9234.1	314	225	1386	20	26.00	31.8
s13207.1	466	350	1183	20	24.00	51.37
s15850.1	448	278	2491	25	36.00	74.98
s35932	69	18	139	15	72.00	18.66
s37417	902	615	3689	20	31.00	311.15
s38584.1	656	350	5030	25	46.00	206.31

TABLE II
IMPROVEMENT WITH OPTIMAL SEQUENCE LENGTH

easily to multiple scan chains, Illinois scan, or other tree-based scan architectures.

As the test controlling scheme is very simple, this test architecture can be implemented for BIST very easily. The proposed method will be useful in transition testing, as circulating tests, being applied in non-scan mode, can be fed at-speed, and the corresponding errors can be accumulated and observed in the scanout mode. Further, the scheme helps in reducing test and response data, because, the number of vectors to be scanned in from the tester, as well as the response vectors to be scanned out are reduced significantly.

We believe that the overhead in terms of the hardware is comparable to the overhead that is typically incurred for BIST architecture. Also this extra hardware does not have any significant effect on the power profile of the circuit.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Rajski, J. Tyszer, M. Kassab, N. Mukherjee, R. Thompson, K. Tsai, A. Hertwig, N. Tamarapalli, G. Eider, and J. Qian, "Embedded deterministic test for low cost manufacturing test", in Int. Test Conf., Baltimore, MD, pp. 301-310, Oct. 2002.
- [2] I. Pomeranz, L. N. Reddy, and S. M. Reddy, "COMPACTEST: A method to generate compact test sets for combinational circuits", IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 1040-1049, Jul. 1993.
- [3] I. Hamazaoglu and J. H. Patel, "Test set compaction algorithms for combinational circuits", IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 957-963, Aug. 2000.
- [4] S. Y. Lee and K. K. Saluja, "Test application time reduction for sequential circuits with scan", IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 1128-1140, Sep. 1995.
- [5] I. Pomeranz and S. M. Reddy, "Test compaction for at-speed testing of scan circuits based on nonscan test sequences and removal of transfer sequences", IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 706-714, Jun. 2002.
- [6] I. Pomeranz and S. M. Reddy, "Static test compaction for full-scan circuits based on combinational test sets and non-scan sequential test sequences", in 16th Int. Conf. VLSI Design, New Delhi, India, pp. 335-340, Jan. 2003.
- [7] W. Lai, C. Kung, and C. Lin, "Test time reduction in scan designed circuits", in European Design Automation Conf., pp. 489-493, Mar. 1993.
- [8] Y. Cho, I. Pomeranz, and S. M. Reddy, "On Reducing Test Application Time for Scan Circuits Using Limited Scan Operations and Transfer Sequences", IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 24, no. 10, Oct. 2005.
- [9] B. B. Bhattacharya, S. C. Seth, and S. Zhang, "Low-Energy BIST Design for Scan-based Logic Circuits" in International Conference on VLSI Design 2003.
- [10] B. B. Bhattacharya, S. C. Seth, and S. Zhang, "On Finding Consecutive Test Vectors in a Random Sequence for Energy-Aware BIST Design" in International Conference on VLSI Design 2005.
- [11] J. Savir and S. Patil, "On Broad-Side Delay Test", VLSI Test Symp., pp. 284-290, Sept. 1994.
- [12] I. Pomeranz and S. M. Reddy, "Autoscan: A Scan Design Without External Scan Inputs or Outputs", IEEE Trans. VLSI Syst., vol. 13, no. 9, Sept. 2005.
- [13] I. Pomeranz and S. M. Reddy, "Autoscan-Invert: An Improved Scan Design without External Scan Inputs or Outputs", IEEE VLSI Test Symposium (VTS 2007), pp. 416-421, May 2007.