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Abstract

Background: Although individual symptoms and symptom trajectories for various cardiovascular conditions have been reported, there is limited research identifying the symptom clusters that may provide a better understanding of patients’ experiences with heart disease.

Aims: To summarize the state of the science in symptom cluster research for patients with acute coronary syndrome, myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass surgery, and heart failure through systematic review and to provide direction for the translation of symptom cluster research into the clinical setting.

Methods: Databases were searched for articles from January 2000 through to May 2015 using MESH terms “symptoms, symptom clusters, acute coronary syndrome (ACS), myocardial infarction (MI), coronary heart disease (CHD), ischemic heart disease (IHD), heart failure (HF), coronary artery bypass surgery (CABS), cluster analyses, and latent classes.” The search was limited to human studies, English language articles, and original articles investigating symptom clusters in individuals with heart disease. Fifteen studies meeting the criteria were included.

Results: For patients with ACS and MI, younger persons were more likely to experience clusters with the most symptoms. Older adults were more likely to experience clusters with the lowest number of symptoms and more diffuse and milder symptom clusters that are less reflective of classic ACS presentations. For HF patients, symptom clusters frequently included physical and emotional/cognitive components; edema clustered in only three studies. Symptom expression was congruent across geographical regions and cultures.

Conclusions: The findings demonstrated similarities in symptom clusters during ACS, MI, and HF, despite multiple methods and analyses. These results may help clinicians to prepare at-risk patients for proper treatment-seeking and symptom self-management behaviors.

Keywords: Symptom clusters, symptoms, acute coronary syndrome, coronary heart disease, heart failure, myocardial infarction

Introduction

Traditionally, clinicians have evaluated symptoms individually and in the context of the patient’s presentation in order to assess, triage, or diagnose.1–3 However, patients often experience multiple symptoms concurrently. Recently, researchers have examined symptoms incorporating the concept of clustering, defined as two or more symptoms that occur simultaneously and are related.4–6 Examining symptom clusters may provide clinicians with a broader perspective in order to better understand how patients experience symptoms, which may guide clinical management.
Symptom clusters have also been used to reduce the barriers to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) self-management. Investigators have used symptom clusters to predict treatment choices for COPD and demonstrated how symptom clusters could influence interventions. No outcome data are available to determine whether any of these symptom clusters were more robust than individual symptoms alone.

Analytic techniques in symptom cluster research
Researchers aiming to identify symptom clusters have used different instruments in order to query patients with cardiovascular disease about their symptoms, including instruments that have been previously discussed in the literature, general symptom inventories, disease-specific instruments, and instruments that have been validated for the assessment of individual symptoms. Investigators have also used several statistical methods in order to classify symptom clusters, including latent class analysis, the hierarchical cluster agglomerative approach, factor analysis, and model-based clustering methods. It remains unknown as to whether the use of different analytical techniques results in different symptom clusters.

Methods
The investigation conforms with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data sources
PubMed, CINAHL, MEDLINE, and Web of Science databases were searched for articles from January 2000 through to May 2015 using a combination of the MESH terms “symptoms, symptom clusters, ACS, MI, ischemic heart disease (IHD), coronary heart disease (CHD), HF, CABS, cluster analyses, and latent classes.” The search was limited to human studies, those published in English, and original articles investigating symptom clusters in adults with heart disease.

Study selection
Descriptive or observational studies lack interventions, outcomes, and other study designs to consider when evaluating quality; therefore, we were unable to utilize the Cochrane criteria for systematic reviews of intervention guidelines or the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) of controlled trial guidelines for this systematic review. Instead, we were guided in study selection and evaluation by criteria reported by Grimes and Schulz, who noted that descriptive studies have several important roles in research, as the data are often the first venture into a line of investigation or a condition. This is the case with symptom...
clusters in cardiovascular diseases. According to Grimes and Schulz, good descriptive research, similarly to reporting in the popular press, should include the five “W” questions – who, what, why, when, and where – with an implied sixth question – so what? For the purpose of this review, “who” represents the sample population; “what” represents the symptoms assessed; “why” represents the aims of the studies; “when” is the year of publication; and “where” represents the setting. Our “so what?” includes the interpretation of the findings and recommendations for future research.

A total of 706 articles were identified in the search process as potentially relevant. Abstracts were independently reviewed by the authors (blinded for review). Conflicts were resolved through discussions with all authors. An additional six articles were identified after hand-searching reference lists. A literature search flowchart conforming to PRISMA guidelines appears in Figure 1. Of these 712 articles, 15 met the following inclusion criteria: (1) included samples of patients with ACS, MI, CABS, and HF; (2) assessed symptom clusters using any measure; and (3) analyzed symptom clusters using cluster statistical methods (factor analysis, latent class analysis, or hierarchical cluster agglomerative analysis). Studies were excluded if: (1) single symptoms only were analyzed; or (2) aggregate data were reported without providing details of the symptoms in each cluster. ACS and MI studies were examined separately because the diagnosis of ACS includes patients with unstable angina.

**Results**

**Data extraction**

Fifteen studies met the inclusion criteria. Nine studies met the criteria for the examination of symptom clusters in ACS, MI, or CABS (Table 1). The aims of the studies were to classify groups of individuals with common symptom clusters. A variety of analytical methods were used, including cluster analysis, latent class analysis, and agglomerative hierarchical cluster analysis. The mean age of participants across studies was 64 years. Younger patients were more likely to be in clusters with the most symptoms.

Six studies were identified that examined symptom clusters in patients with HF. Four prospective studies used hierarchical cluster analysis in order to examine symptom clusters, while two studies used factor analysis. With one exception, all of the HF studies examined the relationships of symptom clusters with outcomes.

A synthesis of the data is presented thematically. Summaries of the findings from ACS, MI, and CABS populations appear in Table 1. Summaries of the findings from HF populations appear in Table 2. The individual
Table 1. Summary of symptom cluster studies for patients with acute coronary syndrome/myocardial infarction/coronary artery bypass surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author et al., year</th>
<th>Sample, n; age; % female</th>
<th>Aims</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Abbot et al., 2010  | Elderly CABS patients at time of hospital discharge, n = 226; 71 (±4.9) years; female 17.3% | Identify and describe subgroups of elderly CABS patients based on their symptom profile and examine how psychosocial functioning differed by symptom cluster subgroups over time | Cluster model | Eight symptoms (SOB, fatigue, depression, trouble sleeping, incision pain, edema, anxiety, and poor appetite) | Three clusters  
- Low symptom burden  
- Low-moderate symptom burden  
- Moderate symptom burden | Moderate burden cluster had higher number of symptoms, more anxiety, depression, lower self-efficacy, and poorer physical functioning than the low symptom group |
| DeVon et al., 2010  | Hospitalized ACS patients (two sites), n = 256; 64.4 (±13.6) years; female 44% | Identify subgroups of patients presenting with ACS based on symptom clusters | Latent class analysis | 17 symptoms (sweating, heartburn, lightheadedness, indigestion, SOB, chest pain, palpitations, nausea, difficulty breathing, dizziness, loss of appetite, weakness, numbness in hands, heat sensation, unusually scared, hyperventilation, and unusual fatigue) | Four clusters  
- Heavy symptom burden  
- Chest pain only  
- Sweating and weak  
- SOB and weak | Younger patients were more likely to cluster in the heavy symptom burden group |
| Fukuoka et al., 2007 | Elderly (>65 years of age) patients 1 year after AMI, n = 306; 70.0 (±8.1) years; female 66% | Examine the frequency of cardiac symptoms, identify patient subgroups with respect to symptoms, and compare QOL and psychological distress among subgroups | Hierarchical cluster agglomerative approach | Six symptoms (pain, SOB, fatigue, palpitations, sleep disturbance, and nausea) | Three clusters  
- Weary (SOB, fatigue, and sleep disturbed)  
- Diffuse (fatigue)  
- Breathless (SOB and sleep disturbed) | Weary group had lower HRQOL, higher psychological distress, more hypertension, diabetes, and higher scores compared to the diffuse group |
| Lindgren et al., 2008 | Elderly patients (>65 years of age) hospitalized with ischemic heart disease, n = 247; 76.3 (±6.3) years; female 66% | Describe symptom prevalence, describe patient subgroups that clustered based on symptoms, and compare characteristics of patient subgroups’ experiences | Hierarchical cluster agglomerative approach | Seven symptoms (pain, SOB, fatigue, palpitations, sleep disturbance, nausea, and vomiting) | Three clusters  
- Classical ACS (severe ischemic pain)  
- Weary (severe fatigue, sleep disturbance, and SOB)  
- Diffuse symptoms (mild symptomatology) | Weary group more likely to have a history of heart failure, more psychological distress, and lower QOL |
| McSweeney et al., 2010 | Women with AMI, n = 1270; 64 (±13) years; female 100% 43% black 63 ± 13 years of age 15% Hispanic 64 ± 13 years of age 42% white 67 ± 13 years of age | Generate prodromal and AMI symptom clusters in women, examine the association between the clusters and sample characteristics and describe the percentage of women who experience the same symptoms in the prodromal and acute phases | Cluster analysis | 16 prodromal symptoms (very tired/ unusual fatigue, anxious, heart racing, SOB, indigestion, change in thinking/remembering, chest pain/discomfort, cough, difficulty breathing at night, loss of appetite, arms ache, numbness or burning in hands/fingers, vision problems, sleep disturbance, arms weak/ heavy, and hands/arms tingling)  
20 acute symptoms (any chest pain/ discomfort, SOB, cold sweat, heart racing, nausea, very tired/unusual fatigue, arms weak/ heavy, dizzy or faint, hot/flushed, indigestion, vomiting, vision problems, discomfort centered high in the chest, discomfort between/under shoulder blades, discomfort of left arm/shoulder, hands/arms tingling, loss of appetite, headache, numbness or burning of arms, and numbness in hands/fingers) | Three clusters  
- Cluster 1 (older, silent asymptomatic group)  
- Cluster 2 (diverse, mildly symptomatic group)  
- Cluster 3 (younger, minority, multiple distressing symptom group) | Prodromal symptoms:  
- Black women <50 years of age were more likely to report frequent and intense prodromal symptoms  
- Older white women reported the fewest prodromal symptoms  
- Acute symptoms:  
- Younger, obese, diabetic black women reported the most symptoms  
- Older non-obese, non-diabetic white women reported the fewest symptoms |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Sample, n; age; % female</th>
<th>Aims</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riegel et al., 2010</td>
<td>Patients with ACS, n = 311; 67.8 (±11.6 years); female 33%</td>
<td>Identify ACS symptom clusters and determine whether the sample characteristics, response time, and outcomes differ among symptom cluster groups</td>
<td>Cluster analysis</td>
<td>Seven symptoms (chest pain, discomfort, or pressure; left arm pain or discomfort; SOB; sweating; upset stomach; discomfort between breastbone and navel; and sense of dread)</td>
<td>Four clusters • Classic ACS (chest, neck, throat, jaw, back, shoulder, and arm pain) • Stress symptoms (SOB, sweating, nausea, indigestion, dread, and anxiety) • Diffuse symptoms (low frequency of most symptoms)</td>
<td>• Those with diffuse symptoms tended to be older and had higher mortality at 2-year follow-up • Those with classic ACS were more likely to have a history of angina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenfeld et al., 2015</td>
<td>Patients presenting to the emergency department with suspected ACS, n = 874; 59.9 (±14.2 years); female 37%</td>
<td>Identify classes of individuals presenting to the emergency department for suspected ACS who shared similar symptoms and clinical characteristics</td>
<td>Latent class analysis</td>
<td>13 symptoms (chest discomfort, chest pain, chest pressure, SOB, unusual fatigue, lightheadedness, nausea, arm pain, sweating, shoulder pain, upper back pain, palpitations, and indigestion)</td>
<td>Four clusters • Heavy symptom burden • Chest symptoms and SOB • Chest symptoms only • Weary</td>
<td>• Clusters differed by sex, age, discharge diagnosis, functional status, and comorbid conditions • Cluster 1: younger and more women • Clusters 1 and 4: lower physical functioning • Cluster 4: higher number of comorbidities than cluster 2 • Cluster 3: younger, more minority members • Clusters 2 and 4: predominantly men • Cluster 5: older, smallest group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan et al., 2007</td>
<td>Patients with AMI (eight sites), n = 1073; 62.9 (±13 years); female 41%</td>
<td>Identify clusters of symptoms that represent AMI and relate the clusters to specific demographic groups</td>
<td>Latent class analysis</td>
<td>12 symptoms (pain or discomfort anywhere in the chest; pain or discomfort in shoulder, arm, or hand; sweating; general weakness; SOB; fatigue; nausea or vomiting; dizziness or lightheadedness; indigestion; pain or discomfort in the neck or jaw; pain or discomfort in the back and pain or discomfort in the abdomen)</td>
<td>Five clusters • Cluster 1 (high probability for chest and shoulder/arm pain and weakness) • Cluster 2 (high probability for chest and shoulder/arm pain) • Cluster 3 (high probability for chest and shoulder/arm pain, nausea, SOB, sweating, dizziness, weakness, and fatigue) • Cluster 4 (high probability for shoulder/arm pain, abdominal pain, and indigestion) • Cluster 5 (no high-probability symptoms)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman et al., 2010</td>
<td>Patients following CABS, n = 226; 71 (±4.9 years); female 17%</td>
<td>Profile patients after CABS and examine how these profiles could be used by clinicians to identify those who are at risk of impaired functioning</td>
<td>Cluster analysis</td>
<td>Eight symptoms (SOB, fatigue, depression, sleep disturbances, pain, swelling, anxiety, and appetite problems)</td>
<td>Three clusters • Low symptom burden • Moderate symptom burden for sleep problems, swelling, and appetite problems • Moderate symptom burden for all symptoms</td>
<td>The higher the symptom burden, the more limited the physical activity level and functioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS: acute coronary syndrome; AMI: acute myocardial infarction; MI: myocardial infarction; CABS: coronary artery bypass surgery; HRQoL: health-related quality of life; QoL: quality of life; SOB: shortness of breath.
Symptoms used for cluster analyses in each study are presented in Table 3.

Cluster concepts
Clustering can be conceptualized as groups of symptoms or groups of individuals clustered by personal characteristics and symptoms. The studies were nearly evenly divided between these two concepts. Important covariates, such as sex, age, and race, which influenced symptom cluster membership were included in the statistical analysis in most of the studies reported here. Age was significantly associated with cluster membership, with the youngest patients being more likely to be in the heavy symptom burden cluster in a study of 256 patients with ACS. Similarly to studies in ACS patients, sex and race were statistically significantly associated with cluster membership in a study by Ryan et al. Age, race, body mass index (BMI), history of heart disease, diabetes, and smoking were associated with the clusters of symptoms in a cluster analysis study by McSweeney et al. Following MI, younger, obese, diabetic black women reported the most acute symptoms, while older, non-obese, non-diabetic White women reported the fewest. In a study of HF patients, age was the only predictor of membership in each of three clusters (odds ratio (OR) = 0.965–0.969, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.94–0.99, p ≤ 0.001), while diabetes was a significant predictor of the emotional cluster (OR = 0.644, 95% CI = 0.42–0.99, p = 0.046).

Study designs
Most studies had cross-sectional, descriptive designs. Five were secondary data analyses, and one examined repeated measures at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months following hospital discharge for CABS. In a large multisite study, Rosenfeld et al. examined symptom clusters in 874 patients evaluated in the emergency department for possible ACS; this was the only study in which symptoms were measured as they were occurring.

Objectives of symptom cluster analyses
There were many differences in the aims of the analyses, with most ACS/MI studies focusing on classifying groups of individuals who shared clinical characteristics or common clusters of symptoms. Variations in symptom clusters were evaluated by patients’ general physical and mental health, mood states, and QOL in a study of elders hospitalized for MI or CABS. The authors concluded that older adults experienced more diffuse and milder symptoms that were less reflective of classic ACS presentations. One year later, the same cohort was sampled in order to determine the frequency of cardiac symptoms and to determine whether the subgroups varied based on QOL and psychological distress. Patients in the weary group had the poorest recovery outcomes, lower health-related QOL, and more psychological distress.

Abbott et al. described cluster subgroups, determined if cluster subgroups varied by demographic and clinical characteristics, and examined the impact of symptom clusters on psychological functioning over time in 226 patients who had undergone CABS. There was a significant difference between patients in the low symptom burden cluster group and those in the moderate symptom burden group: those in the low symptom burden group had higher physiological functioning and lower anxiety or depression. The investigators also found that, regardless of recovery time, cluster group membership was significantly associated with mental scale scores.

Zimmerman et al. examined the impact of the three patient clusters on physical functioning and physical activity at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after surgery using data from the Abbott et al. study. All three groups improved in both physical functioning and physical activity over time. Riegel and colleagues examined symptom clusters, delay times, and outcomes in 331 individuals who had confirmed ACS. Riegel et al. also measured mortality rates within two years of follow-up in an ACS cohort. Subjects in the diffuse symptom group (no highly represented symptoms) had higher mortality rates; however, this group was significantly older than the other groups, which may explain these differences.

The ACS/MI studies mostly focused on identifying and describing symptom clusters in order to improve symptom assessment and reduce delays in seeking treatment, while the HF studies attempted to tie clusters to the outcome variables of functional status, event-free survival, mortality, and hospital readmissions.

Patient populations
Symptom cluster studies were identified in cardiovascular populations with four different diagnoses (ACS, MI, CABS, and HF), although most of the studies sampled patients with ACS/MI and HF. Most of the studies were conducted with hospitalized patients (n = 9), and five studies (33%) enrolled patients in outpatient settings or by telephone. A total of 7104 patients were included in the 15 studies: 4321 inpatients, 2063 outpatients, and one study with a combination of inpatients and outpatients. Sample sizes were generally large and varied from 117 to 1270. Three studies examined symptom clusters in international populations. Moser et al. described and compared symptoms in 720 patients with HF from inpatient and outpatient settings in three global regions — Asia (China and Taiwan), Europe (The Netherlands and Sweden), and the United States — in a cross-sectional, observation study. Congruence of symptom expression was found across cultures. Song et al. sampled 421 patients with HF exclusively in South Korea, and Ryan et al. included a study conducted in England (n = 88).
Table 2. Summary of symptom cluster studies for patients with heart failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year, country</th>
<th>Sample, n: age; % female)</th>
<th>Aims</th>
<th>Method (instruments and statistics)</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Herr et al., 2015, United States | NYHA class II–IV HF from single center, n = 117; 56.0 (±13) years; female 38% | Identify symptom clusters in individuals with HF and evaluate the relationship of identified clusters to functional status | Seven instruments: 1 CV specific 6 generic Factor analysis with principal components | Nine symptoms (dyspnea, fatigue, anxiety, depression, bodily pain, appetite, hunger, sleep disturbance, and cognitive impairment) | Three clusters | • Concurrent presentation of sickness behaviors and discomforts of illness may augment symptom effects  
• Functional limitations increase at a faster rate when sickness behaviors increase |
| Hertzog et al., 2010, United States | HF patients from outpatient clinic, n = 139; 70.6 (±9.7) years; female 24% | Explore the use of cluster analysis to identify subgroups of HF patients whose patterns of symptoms may help guide clinical management | Heart FailureSymptom Survey Hierarchical cluster analysis | 14 symptoms (SOB at rest; SOB with activity; SOB when lying in bed; SOB upon waking during the night; swelling in feet, ankles, or legs; abdominal bloating; fatigue, tiredness, or lack of energy; chest pressure or heaviness; fluttering in chest; worsening cough; dizziness or light-headedness; difficulty sleeping; forgetfulness or difficulty concentrating; and depression or feeling down) | Three clusters | Cluster 1: less impaired and higher QOL, lower BMI, and high education levels  
Cluster 2: more impaired, more arthritics, and less angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use  
Cluster 3: higher BMI than cluster 1, more college members, and less SOB and sleep difficulty than cluster 2 |
| Jurgens et al., 2009, United States | Hospitalized patients with HF, n = 687; 71 (±12.5) years; female 51% | Identify the number, type, and combination of symptoms in hospitalized HF patients and how these relationships with comorbid illnesses and age affect symptom clusters between men and women with HF, differences in patient clusters among symptom clusters, and the impact of symptom clusters on cardiac event-free survival | Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire Factor analysis | Nine symptoms (edema, sleep difficulties, worrying, feeling depressed, cognitive problems, dyspnea, difficulty in walking or climbing, fatigue/increased need to rest, and fatigue/decreased energy) | Three factors | Clusters occurred more frequently in older patients, but caused less impact |
| Lee et al., 2010, United States | HF patients recruited from outpatient clinics, n = 331; 61 (±11) years; female 35% | Compare symptom clusters between men and women with HF, differences in patient clusters among symptom clusters, and the impact of symptom clusters on cardiac event-free survival | Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire Hierarchical cluster aggregative approach | Eight symptoms (edema, sleep disturbances, worrying, feeling depressed, cognitive problems, dyspnea, fatigue/increased need to rest, and fatigue/decreased energy) | Two clusters:  
• Physical symptoms (dyspnea, fatigue/increased need to rest, fatigue/low energy, and sleep disturbance)  
• Emotional/cognitive symptoms (worrying, feeling depressed, and cognitive problems) | Patients with high physical and emotional distress more often female, younger, and with more comorbidities and more often in NYHA classes III or IV, younger, and with more comorbidities  
High physical distress only more often female, in NYHA classes III or IV, and used fewer beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, or angiotensin receptor blockers  
High emotional distress only were younger  
Emotional/cognitive distress only predicted cardiac event-free survival  
University of symptom expression across cultures  
Symptoms clustered similarly among the culturally diverse sample  
Edema did not cluster with physical symptoms in any country |
| Moser et al., 2014, United States, China, Taiwan, The Netherlands, and Sweden | Inpatients and outpatients with HF, n = 720; 66.3 (±13.3) years; female 36.8% | Identify and compare symptom clusters in HF patients from the United States, Europe, and Asia | Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire Hierarchical cluster aggregative approach | Nine symptoms (edema, sleep difficulties, worrying, feeling depressed, cognitive problems, dyspnea, difficulty in walking or climbing, fatigue/increased need to rest, and fatigue/decreased energy) | Two clusters:  
• Physical capacity (dyspnea, difficulty walking or climbing, fatigue/increased need to rest, and fatigue/low energy)  
• Emotional/cognitive symptoms (worrying, feeling depressed, and cognitive problems) | • University of symptom expression across cultures  
• Symptoms clustered similarly among the culturally diverse sample  
• Edema did not cluster with physical symptoms in any country |
| Song et al., 2010, South Korea | Patients hospitalized for HF, symptoms 2 weeks prior to hospitalization, n = 421; 62 (±14) years; female 39.7%, South Korean 100% | Explore which physical symptom clusters occur in HF patients and determine the impact of symptom clusters on event-free survival | Memorial Symptom Assessment Survey-HF Hierarchical cluster aggregative approach | Ten symptoms (SOB, lack of energy, difficulty sleeping, difficulty breathing when lying flat, waking up breathless at night, swelling of legs or ankles, dizziness, chest discomfort, palpitations, and lack of appetite) | Two clusters:  
• Dyspneic (SOB, difficulty breathing when lying flat, and waking up breathless at night)  
• Weary (lack of energy, lack of appetite, and difficulty sleeping) | Increased distress from weary symptom cluster predicted cardiac re-hospitalization  
Increased distress from the dyspneic symptom cluster predicted cardiac mortality at up to 12 months following discharge |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>SOB/difficulty breathing</th>
<th>Fatigue</th>
<th>Sleep disturbance</th>
<th>Swallowing/dysphagia</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Chest pain, arm, neck, jaw, back</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>Palpitations</th>
<th>Dyspnea or pressure</th>
<th>Dizziness/light-headedness</th>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>Loss of or poor appetite</th>
<th>Sweating</th>
<th>Indigestion/heartburn</th>
<th>Indiscernible pain</th>
<th>Numbness</th>
<th>Cough</th>
<th>Heartburn</th>
<th>Heat sensation</th>
<th>Hyperventilation</th>
<th>Unusually scared</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronary artery bypass surgery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbot et al., 2010</td>
<td>CSS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman et al., 2010</td>
<td>CSS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeVon et al., 2010</td>
<td>Symptoms of Acute Coronary Index</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riegel et al., 2010</td>
<td>14 symptoms</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenfeld et al., 2015</td>
<td>ACS Symptom Checklist</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute myocardial infarction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukuoka et al., 2007</td>
<td>Cardiac Symptoms Severity Instrument</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindgren et al., 2008</td>
<td>Cardiac Symptoms Severity Instrument</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McSweeney et al., 2010</td>
<td>McSweeney Acute and Prodromal MI Survey</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan et al., 2007</td>
<td>12 symptoms</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart failure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herr et al., 2015</td>
<td>Multiple instruments used</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertzig et al., 2010</td>
<td>HF Symptom Survey</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurgens et al., 2009</td>
<td>Minnesota Living With HF Questionnaire</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2010</td>
<td>Minnesota Living With HF Questionnaire</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moser et al., 2014</td>
<td>Minnesota Living With HF Questionnaire</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al., 2010</td>
<td>Memorial Symptom Assessment Survey-HF</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symptoms are listed by the cumulative frequency as the most common to the least common by frequency.

ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CSS: Cardiac Symptom Survey; HF: heart failure; SOB: shortness of breath.
Age

Mean age ranged from 56 years to 76.3 years. The definition of older and younger patients varied between studies. Fukuoka and colleagues defined elderly as ≥65 years of age. McSweeney et al. defined younger as <50 years of age. DeVon et al. did not define younger and older, but the mean ages among four clusters ranged from 57 to 67.5 years, with younger women more likely to be in the heavy symptom burden group. Similarly, the mean age ranges for four clusters in Rosenfeld et al.’s study were 54.8–65.4 years, and younger patients were more likely to be in the heavy symptom burden cluster.

Number and type of symptoms entered into the cluster analysis

The number of symptoms differed widely and ranged from 6 to 33. Most studies (n = 13) evaluated 6–14 symptoms; the mean number of symptoms evaluated was 11.3. Collectively, the most common symptoms measured were shortness of breath (n = 14), fatigue (n = 13), sleep disturbances (n = 10), swelling (n = 8), and depression (n = 7). Notably, all of the HF studies measured swelling, SOB, fatigue, and sleep disturbances; some type of pain was measured in all of the non-HF studies. Cognitive impairment was measured only in the HF studies, while incisional pain was measured solely in the CABS studies. Individual symptoms appear in Table 3.

Measures

The use of a variety of multidimensional, multi-symptom instruments in order to measure symptom clusters is evident in this review of the literature. These included a secondary analysis; intensity of seven cardiac symptoms; six of those seven symptoms in a follow-up; the ACS Symptom Checklists; responses to eight early recovery (6 weeks and 3 months following surgery) symptoms from the Cardiac Symptom Survey; and 14 symptoms generated from the REACT trial (seven symptoms) and from patients (seven symptoms). The volume and variation of instruments used in the studies make comparisons across studies challenging.

In the nine ACS/MI/CABS studies evaluated, six different instruments were used. Two studies used the same instrument, and two used iterations of previous instruments. Herr and colleagues identified symptom clusters in HF patients, evaluating nine symptoms using seven different instruments. Jurgens et al. analyzed the data of 687 patients from the Heart Failure Quality of Life Trial Collaborators registry in order to identify symptom clusters in patients who were hospitalized with a confirmed diagnosis of acute HF. Nine symptoms from the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLHFQ) were analyzed using factor analysis.

Hertzog et al. used cluster analysis in order to identify patient subgroups with HF whose symptom patterns might help guide clinical management. Symptoms were measured with the Heart Failure Symptom Survey, which is a modified version of the Cardiac Symptom Survey. The instrument most commonly used in the HF studies was the MLHFQ. This instrument was used in acute to chronic HF patients and in an international study, allowing some comparisons of clusters. In the Song et al. study, the presence and level of perceived distress of ten physical symptoms that are specific to HF reported for the previous 2 weeks were assessed using the Memorial Symptom Assessment—Heart Failure questionnaire. Patients in the weary cluster who experienced more distress had a 50% higher risk of re-hospitalization within 1 year of discharge.

Analytic techniques

Some investigators analyzed clusters of symptoms using factor analysis and some grouped individuals according to personal characteristics and common clusters. Most studies (n = 6) used hierarchical cluster agglomerative techniques; four used cluster analysis techniques; three used latent class; and two used factor analysis. Investigators used several statistical software programs, including SPSS, SAS, MPlus, and Latent Gold.

Number of clusters

The number of clusters across studies ranged from two to five. Only one study identified five clusters. The three studies that identified two clusters sampled patients with HF. The three studies that identified four clusters included ACS patients with classic-type clusters (chest symptoms) and less classic clusters. Most studies (n = 8) found three clusters.

Cluster labels

Researchers labeled symptoms by: (1) intensity or “burden” (n = 3); (2) type, such as physiological or psychosocial (n = 5); (3) a cross between intensity and specific symptoms (n = 7); and (4) as “typical,” “atypical,” or “classic” (n = 2). A number of investigators used the same labels in order to identify clusters such as “weary,” “diffuse,” “physical,” and “emotional/cognitive.” The terms “low,” “moderate,” and “heavy symptom burden” were commonly used across patient populations.
**Internal validity**

Some studies were well-powered and had large sample sizes, supporting the internal validity of their findings. For example, Ryan et al. completed a secondary data analysis of MI symptoms from nine different research studies \( (n = 1073) \) in order to identify the cluster of symptoms for AMI and to determine whether clusters were related to demographic groups.

**Discussion**

**ACS, MI, and coronary bypass grafting**

The finding that younger patients were more likely to be in clusters with the most symptoms and older adults more likely to be in clusters with the fewest number of symptoms may hinder treatment-seeking and self-care behaviors. Older adults also experienced more diffuse and milder symptom clusters that are less reflective of a classic ACS presentation. These symptoms have been linked to poorer recovery, lower health-related QOL, more psychological distress, and higher mortality. Classic and weary clusters were very common in ACS patients, and clinicians should be vigilant for more non-specific symptoms such as fatigue and sleep disturbances that may represent ACS, especially in older adults. Older adults tend to attribute symptoms as normal signs of aging, but should be counseled that most symptoms are not normal and should be evaluated. In addition, older adults who are at risk for CHD can be educated regarding nonspecific symptoms and told about American Heart Association recommendations to call emergency services within 5 minutes of the onset of chest pain. Finally, because approximately 32% of patients with ACS do not experience chest pain, individuals with a history of CHD should be reminded that the symptoms of ACS are often vague and may be difficult to distinguish from other health problems.

**Heart failure**

HF symptom clusters frequently have physical and emotional/cognitive components, reflecting the functional decline and cognitive impairment associated with HF. Different investigators use different labels, but the individual symptoms in the cluster are similar. For example, the label “sickness behaviors” contains nearly the same symptoms as the label “emotional/cognitive.” The label may be informative for other researchers, but, regardless of labels, the information can be used to counsel patients regarding the importance of responding to multiple symptoms that co-occur and may signal deterioration in their condition. Of the six HF studies, three used the MLHFQ, and all used multidimensional measures of symptoms.

Clusters with the highest burden or severity of symptoms were related to higher New York Heart Association (NYHA) class (greater physical impairment) and higher BMI. Higher levels of distress were correlated with worse outcomes, such as cardiac arrest. Higher levels of distress were also associated with increased re-hospitalization independent of NYHA class, BMI, age, or sex. Notably, edema appeared in a symptom cluster (discomforts of illness) in only one study of outpatients, which included stable patients optimized on guideline-directed therapy. In two other studies, edema appeared in a cluster when the sample included patients with acute HF and in a sample in which 21% of patients were not prescribed diuretics. It is possible that edema was treated as a sign rather than a symptom in some studies, or was not perceived as stressful and consequently underreported by patients. This requires further research in order to determine whether this is clinically relevant.

Symptom clusters across international cohorts were reported in only one study, and no differences were found. Whether symptoms, symptom burden, or expression of symptoms vary across cultures requires further research. Future research should examine potential mechanisms in order to determine whether symptom clusters are related from a biological perspective. In addition, whether symptom clusters change throughout the course of a disease (acute versus chronic phases) has not been investigated in cardiovascular populations. Finally, the impact of symptom clusters on patient outcomes should be evaluated for all populations in order to determine whether symptom clusters add value to patient assessment and, if so, how to optimize symptom-related interventions.

**Conclusions**

Differences in cluster concepts (clustering symptoms versus clustering groups of individuals with common symptom clusters), study design (retrospective versus prospective), sample characteristics (inclusion and exclusion criteria and adjustment of confounders), measures (no standardized instruments and unidimensional versus multidimensional measures), and statistical analyses make it challenging to compare results across studies and to generalize findings. None of the studies addressed the possible mechanisms of action explaining symptom clusters. Studies of all patient populations had long-term goals of developing interventions in order to improve patient outcomes.
Implications for practice
There are no direct practice implications resulting from this review of the emerging field of symptom clusters in ischemic heart disease; however, we recommend:

- Clinicians should be vigilant for more nonspecific symptoms such as fatigue and sleep disturbances that may represent acute coronary syndrome, especially in older adults.
- Older adults who are at risk of coronary heart disease should be educated regarding nonspecific symptoms and be counseled to call emergency medical services within 5 minutes of the onset of chest pain.
- Patients with heart failure should be informed of the importance of responding to multiple symptoms that co-occur and may signal deterioration of their condition.

Implications for research

- Research should include conceptual models and adjust for customary potential confounders such as sex, age, and race.
- There is a need for population-based studies that use standardized symptom measures.
- No studies of patients with acute coronary syndrome have addressed how symptoms within a cluster may be related.
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