University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Vadim Gladyshev Publications

Biochemistry, Department of

August 2006

Selenium metabolism in Trypanosoma: characterization of selenoproteomes and identification of a Kinetoplastida-specific selenoprotein

Alexey V. Lobanov University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Stephan Gromer Biochemie-Zentrum der Universitat Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 504, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Gustavo Salinas Facultad de Química/Ciencias, Instituto de Higiene, Avenida Alfredo Navarro 3051, Montevideo, CP 11600, Uruguay

Vadim Gladyshev University of Nebraska-Lincoln, vgladyshev@rics.bwh.harvard.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biochemgladyshev

Part of the Biochemistry, Biophysics, and Structural Biology Commons

Lobanov, Alexey V.; Gromer, Stephan; Salinas, Gustavo; and Gladyshev, Vadim, "Selenium metabolism in Trypanosoma: characterization of selenoproteomes and identification of a Kinetoplastida-specific selenoprotein" (2006). *Vadim Gladyshev Publications*. 15. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biochemgladyshev/15

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biochemistry, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Vadim Gladyshev Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Selenium metabolism in Trypanosoma: characterization of selenoproteomes and identification of a Kinetoplastida-specific selenoprotein

Alexey V. Lobanov, Stephan Gromer¹, Gustavo Salinas² and Vadim N. Gladyshev*

Department of Biochemistry, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA, ¹Biochemie-Zentrum der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 504, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany and ²Cátedra de Inmunología, Facultad de Química/Ciencias, Instituto de Higiene, Avenida Alfredo Navarro 3051, Montevideo, CP 11600, Uruguay

Received May 23, 2006; Revised July 11, 2006; Accepted July 12, 2006

ABSTRACT

Proteins containing the 21st amino acid selenocysteine (Sec) are present in the three domains of life. However, within lower eukaryotes, particularly parasitic protists, the dependence on the trace element selenium is variable as many organisms lost the ability to utilize Sec. Herein, we analyzed the genomes of Trypanosoma and Leishmania for the presence of genes coding for Sec-containing proteins. The selenoproteomes of these flagellated protozoa have three selenoproteins, including distant homologs of mammalian SelK and SelT, and a novel multidomain selenoprotein designated SelTryp. In SelK and SelTryp, Sec is near the C-terminus, and in all three selenoproteins, it is within predicted redox motifs. SelTryp has neither Sec- nor cysteine-containing homologs in the human host and appears to be a Kinetoplastida-specific protein. The use of selenium for protein synthesis was verified by metabolically labeling *Trypanosoma* cells with ⁷⁵Se. In addition, genes coding for components of the Sec insertion machinery were identified in the Kinetoplastida genomes. Finally, we found that Trypanosoma brucei brucei cells were highly sensitive to auranofin, a compound that specifically targets selenoproteins. Overall, these data establish that Trypanosoma, Leishmania and likely other Kinetoplastida utilize and depend on the trace element selenium, and this dependence is due to occurrence of selenium in at least three selenoproteins.

INTRODUCTION

Trypanosomatids are a group of exclusively parasitic kinetoplastid protozoa, which are responsible for several major human diseases. The most notable of these are sleeping sickness and South American Chagas' disease, caused by *Trypanosoma* spp., and the different forms of leishmaniasis, caused by *Leishmania* spp. Sleeping sickness is endemic in certain regions of Sub-Saharan Africa that encompass 36 countries and 60 million people. It is estimated that 300–500 thousand people are infected and 40 000 die every year of this disease. According to the World Health Organization, Chagas' disease currently affects 16–18 million people, particularly in the South America. Leishmaniasis adds another 12 million people living in 88 different countries (1–3).

Recently, significant efforts have been placed on genome sequencing and annotation of both Trypanosoma and Leishmania, and several completely sequenced genomes of these organisms are currently available (4-6). Correct genome annotation and understanding of protein functions in these organisms are considered crucial for drug development and disease prevention (7,8). However, the use of existing annotation tools did not result in identification of genes coding for selenocysteine (Sec)-containing proteins because Sec, the 21st naturally occurring amino acid in the genetic code, is encoded by UGA, one of three signals that terminate protein synthesis (9,10). Leishmania major (accession no. AAG35734), Trypanosoma cruzi (XM_805940) and Trypanosoma brucei (XP_823164) were reported to contain a gene coding for a homolog of selenophosphate synthetase, an enzyme that generates selenophosphate, a selenium donor compound used for biosynthesis of Sec (11). However, whether this protein is functional in *L.major* is not known, and in addition, selenophosphate synthetase is also involved in pathways other than Sec biosynthesis (12). Thus, whether Leishmania or other Kinetoplastida utilize Sec remains unknown.

Sec is inserted into nascent polypeptides with the help of an RNA structure, designated Sec insertion sequence (SECIS) element (9). We previously reported that SECIS elements in closely related species show a significant level of homology and that evolutionary criteria could be applied to carry out computational searches with increased specificity

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 402 472 4948; Fax: +1 402 472 7842; Email: vgladyshev1@unl.edu

© 2006 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/2.0/uk/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

for these structures (13). Since multiple completely sequenced Kinetoplastida genomes are available, simultaneous analysis of these genomes could help identify selenoprotein genes encoded in these organisms.

Identification of selenoprotein genes in Kinetoplastida is also interesting from an evolutionary point of view: although selenoproteomes have been previously identified in the three domains of life, lower eukaryotes show variable dependence on selenium, with yeast and higher plants lacking selenoproteins (14). Few attempts have been made to explore a larger protozoan community (15,16). Thus, information about similarities and differences among protozoan selenoproteomes may provide valuable insights into evolution of selenium utilization.

In this study, we carried out bioinformatics analyses and identified three selenoprotein genes in Kinetoplastida. Two of them correspond to already known SelK and SelT families, while the third selenoprotein showed no homology to known proteins and thus represents a new selenoprotein family. Metabolic labeling of cells with ⁷⁵Se and auranofin inhibition studies supports these *in silico* findings. These data are discussed with respect to the dependence of Kinetoplastida on selenium.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Databases and programs

Nucleotide sequences of *Trypanosoma congolense*, *T.cruzi*, *Trypanosoma vivax*, *Trypanosoma gambiense*, *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*, *L.major*, *Leishmania infantum* and *Leishmania braziliensis* genomes, as well as predicted proteins sequences, were downloaded from The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (http://www.sanger.ac.uk). SECISearch 2.19 (14) was used for identification of SECIS elements. FASTA package (17) and BLAST were used for similarity search. An online version of MFOLD version 3.2 (18) was used for RNA secondary structure prediction and preliminary analysis of SECIS-like structures. ClustalX was used for calculation of distances between each pair of sequences used to construct the phylogenetic tree.

Identification of distant homologs of known selenoprotein genes

In the search for homologs of known selenoprotein, query sequences were represented by *Chlamydomonas* MsrA (19,20), four *Plasmodium falciparum* selenoproteins (16), *Gallus gallus* SelU (21), protein disulfide isomerase from *Emiliania huxleyi* (22) and the full set of human selenoproteins (14). A stand-alone version of TBLASTN was used to detect nucleotide sequences corresponding to known selenoprotein families. Downstream regions of detected sequences were analyzed for presence of SECIS elements with SECIS-earch. In addition, nucleotide sequences were analyzed with MFOLD (18) to identify SECIS-like structures. All SECIS-like structures were screened for compliance with elements of the current SECIS consensus model (e.g. a non-Watson–Crick quartet in the SECIS core and unpaired AA, AG or CC nucleotides in the apical loop).

Searches for SECIS elements

The default pattern of SECISearch was modified to accommodate *Trypanosoma* SECIS elements identified with the loose pattern of SECISearch and those not detectable by SECISearch but identified by manual searches with MFOLD. The modifications were as follows: (i) the threshold of the free energy of the overall structure was -11.5 kcal/mol, (ii) the minimum length of the stem was 10 bp and (iii) the apical loop was 3–17 nt. Genomic sequences of Kinetoplastida were searched using this modified version of SECISearch. Details of the procedures have been previously described (14,16). Briefly, nucleotide sequences were identified in the genome that meet primary and secondary sequence/structure requirements, satisfy free energy criteria and pass additional structural filters.

All SECIS candidates were analyzed for the presence of at least one homolog in other Kinetoplastida species by searches against a database containing SECIS candidates from other Kinetoplastida species. To align SECIS sequences, we used FASTA with an *E*-value of 1×10^{-8} . Regions upstream of SECIS elements were further analyzed for occurrence of open reading frames (ORFs). An additional requirement was the presence of at least two homologous ORFs in Kinetoplastida. Candidates, in which SECIS elements and ORFs were on different DNA strands, were filtered out.

Cultivation of bloodstream and procyclic *T.brucei brucei*

For the auranofin experiments, culture-adapted bloodstream and procyclic *T.brucei* of the cell line 449 [descendants of strain Lister 427 (23) and stably transfected with pHD449 encoding the tetracycline repressor (24)] were used. Bloodstream *T.brucei brucei* was grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ in HMI-9 medium supplemented with 1.5 mM cysteine, 0.0014% (v/v) βmercaptoethanol, 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (v/v), 50 U/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin and 0.2 µg/ml phleomycin. Procyclic *T.brucei* were grown in MEM-Pros medium (Biochrom) supplemented with 7.5 µg/ml hemin, 10% heat-inactivated FCS (v/v), 50 U/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin and 0.5 µg/ml phleomycin at 27°C.

Auranofin inhibition studies

Auranofin, a highly specific inhibitor of several selenoenzymes (25,26) was tested as an inhibitor of trypanosomal growth. A total of 1×10^5 bloodstream trypanosomes per ml or 2×10^5 procyclic parasites per ml were cultured as described above in the presence of 1 nM to 10 μ M of auranofin dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). To rule out that DMSO by itself might influence growth of cells, an additional control experiment without DMSO was carried out in parallel. A sample without auranofin but with an identical volume of DMSO served as an additional control. After 18 h, the cells were counted in a Neubauer chamber. No growth difference could be detected between these two negative controls. All experiments were carried out in duplicate.



Figure 1. Structures and nucleotide sequence alignment of SelK SECIS elements. Functionally important nucleotides in the apical loop and the Quartet (SECIS core) are shown in bold (in the structure) or in red (in the alignment). Conserved nucleotides are highlighted.

Metabolic labeling with ⁷⁵Se

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

T.cruzi (Tulahuen-2 strain) epimastigotes (proliferative and extracellular stage) were cultured at 28°C in BHI medium [33 mg/ml brain-heart infusion (Difco)] supplemented with 3 mg/ml tryptose, 20 µg/ml hemin, 5 mM KCl and 25 mM sodium phosphate, complement-inactivated 10% fetal bovine serum (v/v), 1.7 mM glucose, 200 µg/ml streptomycin sulfate and 200 U/ml penicillin at pH 7.3. A total of 100 ml exponential-phase parasites $(2 \times 10^7 \text{ cells per ml})$ were harvested by centrifugation at 800 g (Sorvall RC5Cplus, rotor F21S FiberLite) and washed twice with DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium, SIGMA), without fetal bovine serum but supplemented with 1.5 mM L-glutamine, 5.6 mM glucose, 45 mM sodium bicarbonate, 200 µg/ml streptomycin sulfate and 200 U/ml penicillin. The collected parasites were resuspended in 5 ml of DMEM and cultured for 40 h at 28°C in the presence of 400 µCi of ⁷⁵Se provided as 5 µM [⁷⁵Se]selenite (University of Missouri Research Reactor). After 40 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed twice, resuspended in 500 µl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and 1 mM (2S,3S)-3- $(N-\{(S)-1-[N-(4-guanidinobutyl) carbamoyl]$ 3-methylbutyl}carbamoyl)oxirane-2-carboxylic acid (E-64), and sonicated. A total of 10-20 µg of protein from parasite cell homogenates were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and transferred onto a polyvinylidine difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Proteins were stained with Coomassie blue and radioactivity was visualized by autoradiography using a PhosphorImager (Fuji).

To identify selenoprotein genes in *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania*, we initially searched available sequenced genomes of these organisms for occurrence of homologs of known selenoprotein genes with TBLASTN. Two selenoprotein families were found in several Kinetoplastida genomes, including homologs of human SelK (accession no. Q9Y6D0) and SelT (AAH26350).

Alignments of SelK SECIS elements and protein sequences are shown in Figures 1 and 2. All SECIS elements in the SelK family could be found using the default pattern of SECISearch. In addition, both SECIS elements (Figure 1) and protein sequences (Figure 2) were highly conserved among *Trypanosomas* and *Leishmania*. Thus, the SelK SECIS elements found in Kinetoplastida sequences fit very well the eukaryotic SECIS consensus model.

In contrast, SECIS elements in SelT genes (Figure 3) differed from the typical SECIS structure. While SelT protein sequences showed high conservation rate among Kinetoplastida (Figure 4), SECIS elements were much less conserved, and identification of several SelT SECIS elements posed a challenge even with the loose pattern of SECISearch.

To adjust SECISearch for identification of *Trypanosoma* SECIS elements, we developed a modified version of the program (as described in Materials and Methods), which significantly improved specificity of the searches. Application of this program resulted in the detection of all SeIT SECIS elements except that in the *T.cruzi* SeIT gene. Interestingly, predicted SECIS elements in *L.major* and *L.infantum* SeIT genes drastically differed from their *T.gambiense*, *T.congolense* and *T.vivax* counterparts.



Figure 2. Amino acid sequence alignment of SelK sequences. Sec (indicated by U) is shown in red, and the corresponding Cys in blue. The position of these residues in the sequences is indicated by a red star above the sequences. Conserved residues are highlighted. ORFs were predicted in the following sequences: 4 265 315.c000313905.Contig1 (*T.congolense*), Tb927_10_v4 (*T.brucei brucei*), 1 585 712.c000312726.Contig1 (*T.gambiense*), Contig8734 (*T.vivax*), Tcruzi.chrunknown.4757 (*T.cruzi*), LmjF36_01_20040630_V4.0 (*L.major*), LI0706f02.p1k (*L.infantum*), brazil1129f08.q1k (*L.braziliensis*).

We further applied the modified version of SECISearch to analyze entire genomes and genome survey sequences of *T.congolense*, *T.cruzi*, *T.vivax*, *T.gambiense*, *T.brucei brucei*, *L.major*, *L.infantum* and *L.braziliensis*. An additional requirement was the presence of SECIS homologs in other Kinetoplastida (cut-off value of 1×10^{-8} as determined from FASTA alignments of *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* SECIS elements in SelK and SelT genes). However, *L.major*, *L.infantum* and *L.brasiliensis* genomes exhibited very high sequence similarity; therefore, only *L.major* was included in the searches. Upstream regions of SECIS element candidates were analyzed for the presence of at least one homolog in other Kinetoplastida species. Predicted ORFs were then searched against NCBI non-redundant protein database, as well as against predicted Kinetoplastida proteins.

This analysis identified six homologous groups of candidates, including homologs of SelK and SelT, as well as a new selenoprotein family designated SelTryp (Table 1). Two other



Figure 3. Structures and nucleotide sequence alignment of SelT SECIS elements. Functionally important nucleotides in the apical loop and the Quartet (SECIS core) are shown in bold (in the structure) or in red (in the alignment). Conserved nucleotides are highlighted. Separate alignments for *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* are shown due to lack of homology between SECIS elements from these two groups of organisms.

candidates were filtered out because SECIS elements and ORFs were on different DNA strands, and the last SECIS candidate corresponded to a predicted ORF with no suitable in-frame TGA triplet.

SelTryp SECIS elements, their alignments, and alignments of the corresponding selenoproteins are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Like SelT structures, SelTryp SECIS elements were conserved within *Trypanosoma* and within *Leishmania*, but little conservation was detected between *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* SelTryp SECIS elements (Figure 5).

In the SelTryp ORF, Sec was present in the C-terminal region, within a conserved C-terminal peptide, SI(V)I(V)-CI(V)SUPR (U is Sec). Although in known selenoproteins Sec is most often found in loops located between secondary structures, the C-terminal location is also common to eukary-otic selenoproteins (e.g. thioredoxin reductase, SelK, SelS and SelO). In SelTryp, Sec is present within a CxxU motif, which is often found in selenoproteins that carry our redox function through reversible formation of a selenenylsulfide bond. This observation suggests a redox function for the CxxU motif in SelTryp.

Analysis of SelTryp sequences revealed distant homology to a rhodanese-like protein from *Thermobifida fusca* YX (accession no. YP_291141). Two rhodanese homology domains (RHOD) could be seen in SelTryp by CD-Search (27) in the region spanning 500–585 and 621–774 amino acids. An additional analysis of amino acid sequences using SMART (28), AnDom (29) and PROSITE (30) predicted the occurrence of a metallo- β -lactamase fold (Figure 6). The presence of conserved cysteines in the rhodanese domains within a 6 amino acid active site loop (CXG-GXR) suggested that this protein belonged to a YceA subfamily (31). In addition, the use of DisEMBL (32) revealed

the lack of secondary structures in the C-terminal region of Sel-Tryp, suggesting a flexible C-terminal Sec-containing tail.

The N-terminal sequences of SelTryp belong to a metallo- β -lactamase superfamily of proteins and are followed with rhodanese domains. Proteins with the metallo- β -lactamase fold catalyze a wide variety of reactions, partly because this fold allows selectivity for different metals. For example, hydrolytic metallo- β -lactamase proteins mostly bind zinc, redox-active rubredoxin:oxygen-oxidoreductases contain a di-iron cluster, and glyoxalases II (thiolesterases) contain iron, manganese or zinc (33).

Subcellular localization predictions using iPSORT [(34), http://hc.ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp/iPSORT/], TargetP 1.1 [(35), http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/] and PredictProtein [(36), http://www.predictprotein.org/) suggested a mitochondrial localization of SelTryp, whereas the SelT sequence contains a potential export signal. In good agreement with its human homolog, the Kinetoplastida SelK has a predicted transmembrane motif.

All selenoprotein-coding genes that were found in *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* lacked introns. In *Leishmania* selenoproteins, the distance from Sec-encoding UGA codons to SECIS elements was between 800 bp (SelTryp) and 1100 bp (SelK). In *Trypanosoma* selenoprotein genes, this distance was more variable: ~200 bp for SelK and SelTryp, and 850 bp for SelT.

Analysis of selenoprotein ORFs against annotated *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* genomes revealed that SelK genes were not annotated at all, except for the *T.cruzi* SelK gene, for which a wrong ORF was predicted. SelTryp genes were misannotated because the in-frame UGA codons were interpreted as stop signals. SelT genes were split into two parts. One part

corresponded to the N-terminal regions of the proteins and was predicted to terminate at the Sec-encoding UGA codons, and the second was predicted to initiate from an AUG codon, which corresponded to the internal methionine downstream of the Sec UGA codon, continuing until the true stop signal.

In addition to protein components of the Sec insertion machinery (selenophosphate synthetase, Sec tRNA-specific

**	1	
H.sapiens	1	
G.gallus	1	
D.rerio	1	
C.elegans	1	MRIHDELQKQDMSKFGVFI GULFFMSVCDVLRIEEHSH-DQNHVHEKDDFEAEF
A.thallana	1	PK
O.sativa	1	MDRVQLLL'G PALLFLSDLSHIFAPPPPHLRHPHHHPPHHHPH
C.reinhardtii	1	GSKAP
D.melanogaster	1	MDRLTGRNVALUV C
A.gambiae	1	VRSFVRSH KLLAAV
T.brucei brucei	1	MRNRFVRISFSLALLWAAFLAANAGSATNVDNDATDYSSHRVKYDE
T.gambiense	1	MRNRFVRISFSLALLMAAFLAANAGSATNVDNDATDYSSHRMKYME
T. congolense	1	MRACMTSQLRRTVLSFLLFAVVISSSLRVHGGTGKSGSDDSTESRVKHDQ
T.vivax	1	MIKTLISLFILV TAAFSATLGVQSRDITVDTORDEDLR EYIS
T.cruzi	1	MNCPRTLFFSLLLIFLLVVFTTVHGAAGDDGRD-TSDTEGDDDSLOKYNEVI
L.major	1	MSVSLFAKRRPRRATELLVVASLA LLVSAACCANSAREVQSTVEHNKDPVAYLD
L.infantum	1	MSASLFAKRRPRRATVLLVVASLA LFVSAACCANSAREVQPTVDQNKDPVAYDD
L.brasiliensis	1	MPVSLFVKRRQRRGMALFIVVS A LFVFAACRANSAHEVQPTQEGNKDPAYEG
100-00 ACC		
H.sapiens	1	MQYATG
G.gallus	1	MAYATG
D.rerio	1	MQFATG
C.elegans	55	GDETDSQSFS GTEEDHIEV EQSSF
A.thaliana	31	SQHQSPPS STLDFP
O.sativa	45	РРНННРРННРНРРННРНРРН РНРРННРНРРНН РНРНРD
C.reinhardtii	33	SQAR QSA DPDGG
D.melanogaster	16	LCAGYAL FARGEKEIPVTKFGQ
A.gambiae	16	LLAGLAVS VEAEKEIPLTKFSQ
T.brucei brucei	49	KEADUKQMUHEKGEAFHHLRSMRELILAVLKLEKREEA
T.gambiense	49	KEADUKQMLHEKGEAFHHLRSMRELILAVLKLEKREEA
T. congolense	53	EVTDEKQVLHEKGEPFHHLRTKKELIEAVLQVEKEEA
T. vivax	47	DDADUKLMLHEKGESFLHLETKEQLIDALIKLEQKEKS
T. cruzi	52	EVSDERQMLHEKKQPFHHLRSKQELIHAIITLEKKEKL
L.major	58	DEVD QQMLYEKTHGRVQVSAFRDKKELVAAVRKLEEREDAESSFNERVTAAMQRKAALT
L.infantum	58	DEVDLQQMLYEKTHGQVPVSAFRDKKELVAAVRKLEEREDEEASFNARVMAAMQRKAALM
L.brasiliensis	58	DEVDIQQ HEKTHGQVQVSAFRS EELVAAVRKIEE EDAEVSFDEQVKAAMQRKAA
H.sapiens	7	
G.gallus	7	
D.rerio	7	
C.elegans	81	
A.thaliana	47	
O.sativa	85	
C.reinhardtii	47	
D.melanogaster	39	
A.gambiae	39	
T.brucei brucei	87	
T.gambiense	87	
T. congolense	91	
T. vivax	85	
T. cruzi	90	
L.major	118	QQNSGENAPSAKAGSRVSSSSPRHHRSVAEESNDIKDSKEQKMR-KVAQLMD-EEDDMKT
L.infantum	118	QQNNGADAPSAKAGSRVSSPSPRHHRSAAEENNNIKDSKEKKTR-KVVQLMD-E-DEMKT
L.brasiliensis	116	SEEAASVASSSSSQSHRSAAAEGAHIKDNKERNTHAKVVQLMDGDEGEAKL
100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100		
H.sapiens	7	PLUKFQICVS <mark>U</mark> GYREVFEEY
G.gallus	7	PLLKFQICVSUGYRRVFEDY
D.rerio	7	PLL &FQICVS <mark>U</mark> GY & RVFE BY
C.elegans	81	VKPTAVHHAKDLPTLR FYCVSCGY QAF QF
A.thaliana	47	AQKSAQKSTGVGYGNT E N CISCSY GTAVS
O.sativa	85	PAAEAIQANVDGAGYGTT ELQ CASCSY GTAMT
C.reinhardtii	47	LSLGGK L HVSECN <mark>SUC</mark> MRGAEVQV
D.melanogaster	39	NIAPTWTFLYCYSCGYRWAFEWY
A.gambiae	39	DVGNYGAT TFLYCYSCGYRKAFDDY
T.brucei brucei	87	VTDTVQHEVRVEYCSG <mark>UCYR</mark> HHYEEV
T.gambiense	87	VTDTVQHEVRVE <mark>YC</mark> SG <mark>UGYR</mark> RHYEEV
T. congolense	91	FSKARFSKARMSDTVQHEVRVE <mark>YC</mark> SG <mark>UGYR</mark> HYEEV
T. vivax	85	QNNVLRTSTIQHELRVEYCSGUGYRRHYEDV
T. cruzi	90	VEKLRRRRDTKASRPHVLRVEYCSG <mark>U</mark> GYRRHYEEM
L.major	176	QDGPSRSPEKARATDVSAAGGGAGYAGATKASPNRQLAAVHALEWLYCTG <mark>U</mark> GYAMY <mark>FE</mark> DM
L.infantum	175	QDGPSHSQEKARATDVSAAGGGAGYAGAKKASPTRQLSAMHELEWLYCTG <mark>UGY</mark> A Y <mark>FE</mark> DM
L.brasiliensis	167	GDAPSHSQQKGRATSGYAGAKKTLSGRPLSVVHKLELYCTGUGYP YFE T

H.sapiens	27	MRVISQRYPDIRIEGENYLPQPIYRHIASFLSVFKLVLIGLIVGKD
G.gallus	27	MRVISQRYPDIRIEGENYLPQPIYRHIASFLSVFKLVLIGLIIVGKL
D.rerio	27	TQALYQRYBDIRIEGENYLPLPLYRHIASFLSMFKLLLIGVIILGKI
C.elegans	113	TTFAKEKYPNMPTECANFAPVLWKAYVAQALSFVKMAVLVLVLGCIN
A.thaliana	77	KKMLESVFPGLDVVLANYPAPAPKRILAKVVPVAQVGVIGLIMGGEÇ
O.sativa	121	KRMLETSFPGIHVILHNYPPFPKRVLGKLVPILQVGAIATIMAGDF
C.reinhardtii	71	MELARRRYPGLEVVGTPYPLPAWKVPVVKALQVVQFGLLGMCLAGDK
D.melanogaster	62	VGLUGEKYPQUQWNCGNYDPPGLNYYISKMIFALKIIIIVSVWSAVS
A.gambiae	65	HNLILEKYPEITIRGSNYDPSGVNMLLSKVLLVTKLLLIAALMSNYD
T.brucei brucei	118	AESILRSLEPELREQQKGK-KPFIKFVGVVYSVGAFREFIGNILSTGFLASIAISFFA
T.gambiense	118	AESLLRSLEPELREQQKGK-KPFIKFVGVVYSVGAFREFIGNILSTGFLASIAISFFA
T.congolense	122	AEHULRSLEPELRQRQSRK-KPFIKFVGVVYSVGTTREMIGGILSTGFVLLLIVSVLT
T.vivax	116	IEELTRRLPPNLQRRQKQHGNPFIKYVGIIYPVGLVREMISNLLSAFFVVSLALSFVP
T.cruzi	125	VHHISRSLEPN-KNQEPILKFLPSVYSVGIVRETIGSFLSLLFIASLIIGIGA
L.major	236	KQQLQHTLPNAQEVRIVGGTYPTPPARALAAKVCSTAFLASLGVALAG
L.infantum	235	KQQLQRTLENAQEVRIVGGTYPTPPARALAAKACSTAFLASLGVALAG
L.brasiliensis	219	KQQLQRVLENAGDVQIVGGTYPTPPARALAAKVCSTAFLASLGMALAG
H.sapiens	74	PFAFFGMQAPS-IWQWGQENKVYACMMVFFLSNMIENQCMSTGAFEITLNDVPVWSKLES
G.gallus	74	PFAFFGMQAPS-IWOWGQENKVYACMMVFFLSNMIENQCMSTGAFEITLNDVPVWSKLES
D.rerio	74	PFALCGMQAPG-IWVWSQENKIYACMMVFFFSNMIENQCWSTGAFEITLNDVPVWSKLES
C.elegans	160	PFERFGLGYPQ-ILQHAHGNKMSSCMLVFMLGNLVEQSLISTGAFEVYLGNEQIWSKIES
A.thaliana	124	IFPMIGIAQPPAWYHSLRANRFGSMASTWLLGNFLQSFLQSSGAFEVSCNGELVFSKLKE
O.sativa	168	IFPRLGMVPPP-WYYSLRANRFGTMATIWLFGNFAQSFLQSSGAFEVYCNGDLVFSKLAE
C.reinhardtii	118	VFAALGVPVPAWYTONVASNRFGAAMGVWFVGNMVVTNMONTGAFEVFFNGDLIFSKLAE
D.melanogaster	109	PFTFLG_NTPS-WWSH_QANKIYACMMIFFLGNM_EAQLISSGAFEITLNDVPVWSKLQT
A.gambiae	112	IGRYIGNPFAG-WWOWCFNNKLYASMMIFFLGNTLEAQLISSGAFEITLNDVPVWSKLET
T.brucei brucei	175	PFLRGALPPHIAEWIEQHRGMVVGAGFMMN-MVASSLLOSGAFEVYLNGSLIYSKLEI
T.gambiense	175	PFLRGALPEHIAEWIEQHRGMVVGAGFMMN-MVASSLLQSGAFEVYLNGSLIYSKLEI
T.congolense	179	PFLRGVIPPHIAQWIEQHRYTILGVGFVMN-IISANLLQSGAFEVYLDGVLVYSKLEI
T.vivax	174	SILSMVLPVTIMARLQSHRTAVLIAGFILN-MVAANLTQSGAFEVYLDGNLVYSKLES
T.cruzi	177	PYFVGIFPENVVTWVEQNRTMIIAAGEVAN-LICGSILQSGAFEMEMDDTLIFSKLQQ
L.major	284	QQLV-FLPPTVLNFLAQORGMLLCAGFLLN-MLGGALGQTGAFEVSLDGELLFSKLQA
L.infantum	283	QQLV-FLPETVLNF1AQQRGML1GAGF1LN-MVGGALGQTGAFEVSLDGEL1FSKLQA
<i>L.brasiliensis</i>	267	GQLA-FLPEAALNETVQQRGMLVGTGELLN-MIGSSUTQTGAFEVTLDGELTESKLQA
H.sapiens	133	GW PSMOOLVOLDNEWKLNVHMDSIPHHRS
G.gallus	133	CHLPSMOOTVOTLDNEWKLNVHMESMPHHRS
D.rerio	133	GHLPSMOOLVOILENEMKMSMHMDTLPPHQS
C.elegans	219	GRVPSPOEFMOL IDAOLAVLGKAPVNTESFGEFOOTV
A.thaliana	184	GRFEGEIELRDLSSGTMTKPFVTGSY
O.sativa	227	QRFPSEFELRDIINSRLPDSLVGKNVGKSLS
C.reinhardtii	178	GRMPSVPELISPMOAFFEGPAGLHVGGAGASRPGLTGAGMGHGPELSGVGAAAVGLTG
D.melanogaster	168	GRFPSPEVLFQIIDNHLQFTEKVQENPDFVK
A.gambiae	171	GRFPAPQEMFQIIDNHLQFANKIEPNPDFVK
T.brucei brucei	232	GAVPTAETLADHILRQUISGTAAGURTA
T.gambiense	232	GAVPTAETLADHILRQIISGTAAGTRTA
T.congolense	236	NTVPSAGTLAKHILOKIIEANAAGGTVH
T.vivax	231	GVVERAEALAEFIVOK IEASAT
T.cruzi	234	NKMLSAVDLAEIVICALVHAPE
L.major	340	GAVEAVAEURRIVLOKTLLDKYGDSSEASS
L.infantum	339	GAVETVAEHRRIVLOKTLLDKYGDSSAASS
L.brasiliensis	323	GAVPTVEVWRRIILOKTLLDKYGDNSARPL

Figure 4. Amino acid sequence alignment of SelT sequences. Conserved residues are highlighted. Sec (indicated by U) is shown in red, and the corresponding Cys in blue. The following sequences were used to construct the alignment: tviv326d03.p1k_1 and tviv326d03.p1k_2 (*T.vivax*), gamb21f07.q1k_2 (*T.gambiense*), Tb927.5.860 and Tb927.5.870 (*T.brucei brucei*), congo270d08.q1k_5 and congo270d08.q1k_6 (*T.congolense*), Tc00.1047053505163.60 and Tc00.1047053505163.70 (*T.cruzi*), LmjF35.1110 (*L.major*), LI0881h10.q1k (*L.infantum*), brazil1006d02.p1k and brazil74d01.q1k (*L.braziliensis*).

elongation factor), Sec tRNA genes were identified. Although tRNAscan-SE used with default settings (37) failed to recognize Sec tRNA genes in *L.major*, the use of ARAGORN (38) identified Sec tRNAs in *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* genomes. The predicted Sec tRNAs were then successfully verified using COVE with a Sec tRNA profile. All Sec tRNAs in Kinetoplastida could also be found with a tool adapted for unusual tRNAs (39). All Sec insertion machinery genes and selenoprotein genes were located either on different chromosomes or, when on the same chromosome, they were distant from each other. Thus, although Kinetoplastida form operon-like structures, the Sec insertion trait genes are spread throughout the parasite genomes.

As a preliminary test to verify that these sequences are indeed expressed as predicted we exploited two peculiarities of Trypanosomes. First, mature *T.brucei brucei* mRNA molecules share a common 5' sequence (the so called spliced leader, SL). Thus, a common forward primer can be used for PCR on a *T.brucei brucei* cDNA preparation and subsequent sequencing allows verification that a predicted start codon is correct and to rule out that our sequence is a part of a larger one. Second, as trypanosomal protein expression is primarily regulated at the transcriptional level, the detection of the

Table 1	۱.	Computational	analysis of	Kinetoplastida	genomes for	SECIS	elements	and se	lenoprotein g	enes
---------	----	---------------	-------------	----------------	-------------	-------	----------	--------	---------------	------

Organism	Database size, bp	Number of SECIS candidates that satisfy primary and secondary structure criteria	Number of SECIS candidates that satisfy free energy criteria	Selenoproteome	
T.congolense	36 631 425	5482	1646	SelK, SelT, SelTryp	
T.vivax	52 907 595	5851	1848	SelK, SelT, SelTryp	
T.brucei brucei	29 866 766	4601	1109	SelK, SelT, SelTryp	
T.gambiense	29 310 016	4504	1106	SelK, SelT, SelTryp	
L.major	38 793 468	4111	2334	SelK, SelT, SelTryp	
L.infantum	194 921 525	18 267	9992	SelK, SelT, SelTryp	
L.braziliensis	129 419 413	11 982	5861	SelK, SelT	





Figure 5. Structures and nucleotide sequence alignments of SelTryp SECIS elements. Functionally important nucleotides in the apical loop and the Quartet (SECIS core) are shown in bold (in the structure) or in red (in the alignment). Conserved nucleotides are highlighted. Separate alignments for *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* are shown due to lack of homology between SECIS elements from these two groups of organisms.

respective mRNA (via cDNA) is essentially a proof that the respective protein is expressed. Using this approach, we were able to identify unequivocally the respective mRNA of SelT and SelK in *T.brucei brucei* (data not shown).

To directly test if selenium is inserted into *Trypanosoma* proteins, we metabolically labeled *Trypanosoma* cells with ⁷⁵Se. Following SDS–PAGE, the ⁷⁵Se profile was visualized with a PhosphorImager. A major ⁷⁵Se-containing high-molecular weight band was detected at the top of the gel (Figure 7). This ⁷⁵Se species was insoluble (Figure 7A), but selenium could be partially released by treatment with urea and high concentrations of reducing agents (data not shown). This band did not correspond to the three selenoproteins, and in fact this form of selenium has not been previously observed in other species. Determination of the nature of the high-molecular weight selenium species should await further studies.

The soluble fraction of *Trypanosoma* cell extracts had little ⁷⁵Se (Figure 7A). However, longer exposure to a PhosphorImager screen revealed a 10 kDa band that migrated in accord with the predicted molecular mass of SelK (Figure 7B). In addition, minor bands that were labeled with ⁷⁵Se could be detected, but they corresponded to a protein profile detected by Coomassie blue staining (Figure 7C). These additional bands probably derived from non-specific labeling of proteins with selenium wherein this trace element entered sulfur pathways and was inserted in place of sulfur in methionine and cysteine residues. However, the candidate radioactive SelK band had no corresponding protein band, further suggesting that this was

a specific selenoprotein band. These data show that selenoproteins are expressed in *Trypanosoma* cells in the lifecycle stage at low level, and that only some selenoproteins could be visualized by metabolic ⁷⁵Se labeling. Whereas SelT and SelTryp could not be detected with ⁷⁵Se, specific ⁷⁵Se insertion into 10 kDa and high-molecular weight bands verified our prediction of the use of selenium by *Trypanosoma* cells.

T brucei brucei	1	
T. gambionco	1	
1.gallibiense	1	
T. congolense	1	WSEVRRSRVARF PULLEVAGALADEOGADVIORLROYRN
T. vivax	1	BAGSLSDACCRDVQRLRCYRN
T.cruzi	1	MEKEGGKEEKGPAKCPPAQS DSMQRKNRSTF PVLLE AGSLDDT CQE I TRRLRQYRN
L.major	1	WPEYPVL EVAGSMDDALVRDVTQRLRRYRN
L. infantum	1	
D, III GIIC GII	-	
		low complexity region
T.brucei brucei	42	RYAPILRLVTTLIAPVMEESQLFGDDDSCVESAAVADDGRLKEGVTEMAGATAVVG
T.gambiense	42	RYAPILRL TTL APVMEESQLFGDDDSCVESAAVADDGRLKEGVTEMAGATAVVG
T.congolense	41	RYAPILELIATLVTPAVESOLFGVGEGVGDAEGADAS
T vivax	41	RYAPTLELMTTTAPSVDFORLFCSANTDAFROSDKEL AGOCECT
Toruzi	61	
1.01021	22	
L. major	32	RYOP LECOFTLVATIVPEARLEGDD-SAAALEAOPHPITAAAGQLFTAPK AV VNRTY
L.infantum	32	RYOP BRONFTLVATAVPEARLEGDD-SAAALEA PNPTTAAACQLFTAPK AV VNKIH
Thursd hunsed	0.0	
I. Drucel brucel	98	GDGALKGKUGGVKKGKGPPD11APTCAVWAEKKC1VVHRLHDKEV
T.gambiense	98	GDGAIRGRUGGVKRGKGPLITAPRSAVVAEKRLIVVHRLHDKEV
T.congolense	79	VNKRITVVHRLHEGE
T.vivax	88	VGVGRSKPVEPVEALVAAPHAAVVAEKKITVVRRLHEGAD
T.cruzi	111	GESGSGSMVAKELEGDSLIAAPCAAIMAEREIEVVSELHENND
Lamajor	91	PLVSRFYPROPUCAO KSCSDNTAGUEPAMUPCAST AL OPCWUD PATAVI SCUTTAG
Linfantum	91	DIVERTING CONSERVITE FRANCES A OPEN DEATA SC TT AD
L. Intancum	91	PLVSKEIPHQLUCEQANANDIIIGMLPAADPOADSEA QPCW DPRIAA GGUIIEAD
		metallo-β-lactamase fold
T.brucei brucei	143	-PD-AVEKLERFMSYHFLPLALLINCOPNISPDDVPFVLRIYVHEATRTASFLVADLS
T.gambiense	143	-PD-AVEKLERFMSYHFLPLALLINCOP-NISPDDVPFVLR YVHEATRTASFLVADLS
T. congolense	118	-VP-AAEKI, EKEMAYHFI, PLAIMINRYPDUSI, SDVPFVLR, YVHEATRTASFI, VADPF
T wiway	128	CD AVYKI FTEMAYHEI DI ALI INI KS_ ISICOTDI I DI YVHEATETASEI WODY
I.VIVAX	120	CHO AVARLET MATHELE LALL NERS - TIGGTEL ER TVHEATRIAGE EV VRV
1.cruzi	154	GMS-AVERLEAFMAYOFLPLALIHNCHPKISLSERSFLLRHYVHEAIRISSFLLADLO
L.major	151	YHDRAVRE LEDFMAYHFLPFAMTENCSPVEATSASAKAFVLR YVNEASRTSSFLLADPF
L.infantum	151	CHDRAVRRVEDFMAYHFLPLAMT NCSPPEAISTSAKAFVLR YVNEASRTSSFLLADPF
T.brucei brucei	199	KRAAAIIDPQVD-VSCYEEDLAFLQVQLVGIVLTHCFVDIAMGHAALLEH
T.gambiense	199	KRAAAIIDPQVD-VSCYEEDLAFLQVQLVGIVLTECFVDIAMGHAALLEH
T.congolense	174	YKTGVIIDPQAD-VSCYEADLAFLEVRLIAVVVTCCFVDIAMGHAALLER
T.vivax	184	EGTAAVIDPOVD-ISCYVADILALOVREVATVLTHCFVDIAMGHCALLKR
T. cruzi	211	EKTAATTOPOLD-ISMYEADISALBURICGVVLSHCFVDVVMGHAALLIK
I. major	211	MT ASVDADAVAGAAT TOPRA O FAVAADI SPECUTI SCI ET C VOCASCIAFI S
I infortum	211	
L. Infancum	211	TA ASVPADAVAGAATTDERA QI LATAADISK GVIISPITTPITCIVDGASGLALITES
T brucei brucei	248	HETAKLISCTP VSTCYCCSDCIST HISSRI HIRCISVESESPECIAVELHIDO
T. gambionco	210	
1.gambiense	240	HE IN THE REPORT OF THE REPORT
1.congolense	223	HPGAELLSGIPWDPVKCWSENGPGVQLGIKLQLKCVPVPSFSPECLVVELHLDTS
T. VIVAX	233	YPDMCLLSCAPTEPRREVDENGSQCMWPEFQLSARLALKCVPIPSFSPECSVVELHLDGV
T.cruzi	260	HPEAVLLSSTPMTQEVDWPTEGWPT_SLSPRLQLHCVPVPSFSPECMLVELHYNST
L.major	271	FPAARVVSGIPLEPAGTT-EDVQLSPRLRLRTVRVPAFSPECLLAELYFCGV
L.infantum	271	FPAARVVSGIPLGPAGTT-EDVQLSPRLRLRTVRVPAFSPECLLAEIYFCDM
T.brucei brucei	303	LLALFTGTVMGTDAVPRYEFFCDFPLPLEPQGDLQHTSAMPAPEVARRFLR
T.gambiense	303	LLALFTGTVMGTDAVPRYEFFGDFELPLEPQGDLOHTSAMPAPEVARRFLR
T. congolense	278	MUCLETGTAL STDAVPRDEFFAREPVPLVPSEUDOOPPPLLAAFAAORELK
Tuivar	203	LIALETGTVLATDAVERHEEFDDERSNILTSLOLOG-CVERCECMKCCUDATAVACORI
T. orugi	235	
I.Cruzi	310	LIGIT GID VERHEFF DE FELSENSINSSCEATRIATIVAQRELK
L.major	322	LKELCIGV WSTDAAPRCELLQWSAFPREIGHWPTPPSFSINGGSGDRDAALAHTHEMLK
L.infantum	322	LK LCTGV W <mark>STDAAPRCULLQWSAFPRET</mark> GHVPTLPPSSINSDSGDRDAALAHTHEMLK
There is a second second	254	
T. gambiance	354	ER WOALEFFUPAAGHVOPWDHVVVFPS
.gambiense	334	DAVWDAITTE
T.congolense	329	ER WERYFFFRETEYEOPLDYVVFPS
T.vivax	352	ERWWDRYLFPEVTQEBGQILDHVVVFPS
T.cruzi	367	ERLWDRYFAQTGEGNNGQTL HVV FPS
L.major	382	KYLTDAYFSPLCASVRGPSWPSGRAAKSARTDEALANQEEQMEEATPPSTALVQVVLPT
L.infantum	382	KYL DAYFSPLCASVSEPSRPSGRAAKSARTEEALANQEEQMEEATLES GLOVV LP



Figure 6. Amino acid sequence alignment of SelTryp sequences. Conserved residues are highlighted. Sec (indicated by U) is shown in red. Sequences used in the alignment were as follows: Tc00.1047053507485.100 (*T.cruzi*), tviv195d03.q1k_2 (*T.vivax*), Tb927.4.3410 (*T.brucei brucei*), gamb564d12.p1k_15 (*T.gambiense*), congo936h09.q1k_1 (*T.congolense*), LmjF34.0950 (*L.major*), LinJ34.0860 (*L.infantum*). Location of rhodanese domains, metallo- β -lactamase domain and the CxxU motif is indicated above the sequences. Active site cysteines in rhodanese domains are highlighted in blue and additional conserved cysteines in SelTryps in green. Conserved histidines that may be involved in metal coordination are shown in pink.



Figure 7. Selenoprotein expression in *T.cruzi*. *T.cruzi* epimastigote cells were radiolabeled with 75 Se and collected after 40 h of DMEM culture. Proteins were electrophoresed under reducing conditions on a 10% SDS–PAGE gel, transferred onto a PVDF membrane, analyzed by PhosphorImager (A and B) exposure time 30 min and 72 h, respectively and stained with Coomassie blue (C). Predicted molecular masses of *T.cruzi* selenoproteins were 9.5 kDa (SelK), 28.8 kDa (SelT) and 89 kDa (SelTryp). Lane 1: supernatant of epimastigote cells after sonication; lane 2: resuspended pellet after epimastigote sonication; lane 3 total epimastigote extract; lane 4: molecular weight markers (Sigmawide). An arrow on (B) shows a specific selenoprotein band, probably corresponding to SelK.

Gold(I) compounds, such as auranofin, are highly specific inhibitors of several eukaryotic selenoenzymes. We therefore studied the impact of auranofin on the growth of T.brucei brucei. As shown in Figure 8, this compound was highly toxic for bloodstream and procyclic stages of the parasite with IC₅₀ values in the lower nanomolar range. This renders auranofin a highly interesting drug candidate per se. It should be noted that the growth medium contains significant amounts of plasma proteins. As auranofin is preferentially bound to plasma proteins ($\sim 60\%$), it can be assumed that the active (i.e. free) drug concentration in our experiment was even lower (40). In this context, it is important to stress that the three selenoproteins contain putative redox centers (CxxU in the case of SelT and SelTryp, and CxxxU in SelK), and that impaired redox balance may influence Kinetoplastid infections (41). Yet, further studies are required to verify the selenium dependence and determine the concentration of this trace element that is required for viability of the parasites in different developmental stages.

To examine the evolutionary history of the Sec trait in Kinetoplastida, we constructed a phylogenic tree for Sec tRNA (Figure 9). Trypanosoma sequences clustered with other eukaryotes, suggesting a common origin of the Sec insertion system. In the tree, Trypanosoma Sec tRNAs formed a cluster with animal Sec tRNAs and Chlamydomonas Sec tRNA. This cluster was separated from the plasmodial cluster. Together with the finding of a eukaryotic Sec-specific elongation factor, these data suggest that the Sec insertion system is Kinetoplastida is similar to the previously characterized eukaryotic Sec insertion systems. Nevertheless, identification of a protein specific for this group of organisms (SelTryp) highlights the fact that low eukaryotes may possess novel selenoproteins. One recent study revealed four such proteins in Plasmodia (16). With an ever increasing pace at which new genome sequences become completed, further computational analyses should reveal yet additional



Figure 8. Effect of auranofin on parasite growth. (a) Bloodstream and (b) procyclic *T.brucei brucei* (strain 449) cell cultures were incubated with different concentrations (shown on a logarithmic scale) of the gold-compound auranofin. Indicated are the percentages of viable cells after 18 h of incubation compared to a culture in the absence of auranofin. All experiments were done in duplicate. The error bars indicate the standard deviation.

selenoproteins, and with them new pathways of selenium utilization in biology.

In conclusion, we carried out an *in silico* analysis of all available sequenced Kinetoplastida genomes for the presence of selenoprotein genes. By computationally predicting SECIS elements, we characterized the *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* selenoproteomes, which consist of three selenoproteins. Among them, SeIT and SelK were distant homologs of previously identified mammalian selenoproteins. A new selenoprotein, SelTryp, was also discovered. This selenoprotein has two rhodanese and one rubredoxin:oxygen oxidoreductase domains and appears to be a Kinetoplastida-specific multidomain redox protein of unknown function. All selenoprotein genes were previously misannotated in sequence databases.



Figure 9. Phylogenetic tree of Sec tRNAs. Phylogenetic tree of Sec tRNAs was constructed using ClustalX program for alignments of tRNA sequences and calculating the distances between them. TreeView was used for tree visualization.

Metabolic labeling of *Trypanosoma* cells with ⁷⁵Se revealed specific insertion of this radioisotope into a defined set of proteins, and in addition, *Trypanosoma* cells were found to be sensitive to a gold(I) compound, auranofin, which specifically targets selenoproteins. These findings, together with the presence of the Sec-decoding trait in Kinetoplastida genomes suggest that these organisms utilize selenium and depend on this trace element, and that this dependence is likely due to

the occurrence of at least three selenoproteins in these organisms. The absence of SelTryp homologs (either Sec or Cys forms) in the human host may also be relevant to drug development: selective inhibition of this selenoprotein might lead to new drugs to treat typanosomatid infections. Finally, these findings highlight the fact that lower eukaryotes evolved unique selenoproteomes, whose analysis should suggest new uses of the trace element selenium in biology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank L. Krauth-Siegel and her group for providing *T.brucei brucei* strain 449, F. Irigoín for providing *T.cruzi* epimastigotes and helpful support, T. Irsch for PCR analyses, and U. Göbel for excellent experimental assistance. This work is supported by NIH grant GM061603 and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft grant GR 2028/1-2. Funding to pay the Open Access publication charges for this article was provided by GM061603.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rassi,A.,Jr, Rassi,A. and Little,W.C. (2000) Chagas' heart disease. *Clin. Cardiol.*, 23, 883–889.
- Sternberg, J.M. (2004) Human African trypanosomiasis: clinical presentation and immune response. *Parasite Immunol.*, 26, 469–476.
- 3. Gelb,M.H. and Hol,W.G.J. (2002) Drugs to combat tropical protozoan parasites. *Science*, **297**, 343–344.
- 4. Berriman, M., Ghedin, E., Hertz-Fowler, C., Blandin, G., Renauld, H., Bartholomeu, D.C., Lennard, N.J., Caler, E., Hamlin, N.E. and Haas, B. (2005) The genome of the African trypanosome *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Science*, **309**, 416–422.
- El-Sayed,N.M., Myler,P.J., Blandin,G., Berriman,M., Crabtree,J., Aggarwal,G., Caler,E., Renauld,H., Worthey,E.A. and Hertz-Fowler,C. (2005) Comparative genomics of trypanosomatid parasitic protozoa. *Science*, **309**, 404–409.
- Ivens, A.C. (2005) The genome of the kinetoplastid parasite, Leishmania major. Science, 309, 436–442.
- Krauth-Siegel, R.L., Bauer, H. and Schirmer, R.H. (2005) Dithiol proteins as guardians of the intracellular redox milieu in parasites: old and new drug targets in Trypanosomes and Malaria-causing Plasmodia. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 44, 690–715.
- Bhatia, V., Sinha, M., Luxon, B. and Garg, N. (2004) Utility of the *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Sequence database for identification of potential vaccine candidates by *in silico* and *in vitro* screening. *Infect. Immun.*, 72, 6245–6254.
- Low,S.C. and Berry,M.J. (1996) Knowing when not to stop: selenocysteine incorporation in eukaryotes. *Trends. Biochem. Sci.*, 21, 203–208.
- Tujebajeva, R.M., Copeland, P.R., Xu, X.M., Carlson, B.A., Harney, J.W., Driscoll, D.M., Hatfield, D.L. and Berry, M.J. (2000) Decoding apparatus for eukaryotic selenocysteine insertion. *EMBO Rep.*, 1, 158–163.
- Jayakumar, P.C., Musande, V.V., Shouche, Y.S. and Patole, M.S. (2004) The selenophosphate synthetase gene from *Leishmania major*. DNA Seq., 15, 66–70.
- Romero, H., Zhang, Y., Gladyshev, V.N. and Salinas, G. (2005) Evolution of selenium utilization traits. *Genome Biol.*, 6, R66.
- Kryukov,G.V. and Gladyshev,V.N. (2002) Mammalian selenoprotein gene signature: identification and functional analysis of selenoprotein genes using bioinformatics methods. *Meth. Enzymol.*, 347, 84–100.
- Kryukov, G.V., Castellano, S., Novoselov, S.V., Lobanov, A.V., Zehtab, O., Guigo, R. and Gladyshev, V.N. (2003) Characterization of mammalian selenoproteomes. *Science*, **300**, 1439–1443.
- Mourier, T., Pain, A., Barrell, B. and Griffiths-Jones, S. (2005) A selenocysteine tRNA and SECIS element in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *RNA*, **11**, 119–122.
- Lobanov,A.V., Delgado,C., Rahlfs,S., Novoselov,S.V., Kryukov,G.V., Gromer,S., Hatfield,D.L., Becker,K. and Gladyshev,V.N. (2006) The Plasmodium selenoproteome. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 34, 496–505.
- Pearson,W.R. and Lipman,D.J. (1988) Improved tools for biological sequence comparison. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 85, 2444–2448.
- Zuker,M. (2003) Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 31, 3406–3415.
- Novoselov, S. V., Rao, M., Onoshko, N.V., Zhi, H., Kryukov, G.V., Xiang, Y., Weeks, D.P., Hatfield, D.L. and Gladyshev, V.N. (2002) Selenoproteins and selenocysteine insertion system in the model plant cell system, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *EMBO J.*, **21**, 3681–3693.

- Fu,L.H., Wang,X.F., Eyal,Y., She,Y.M., Donald,L.J., Standing,K.G. and Ben-Hayyim,G. (2002) A selenoprotein in the plant kingdom: mass spectrometry confirms that an opal codon (UGA) encodes selenocysteine in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* glutathione peroxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 277, 25983–25991.
- Castellano, S., Novoselov, S.V., Kryukov, G.V., Lescure, A., Blanco, E., Krol, A., Gladyshev, V.N. and Guigo, R. (2004) Reconsidering the evolution of eukaryotic selenoproteins: a novel nonmammalian family with scattered phylogenetic distribution. *EMBO Rep.*, 5, 71–77.
- Obata,T. and Shiraiwa,Y. (2005) A novel eukaryotic selenoprotein in the haptophyte alga *Emiliania huxleyi*. J. Biol. Chem., 280, 18462–18468.
- Cunningham, M.P. and Vickerman, K. (1962) Antigenic analysis in the *Trypanosoma brucei* group, using the agglutination reaction. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 56, 48–59.
- Biebinger, S., Wirtz, L.E., Lorenz, P. and Clayton, C. (1997) Vectors for inducible expression of toxic gene products in bloodstream and procyclic *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 85, 99–112.
- Gromer, S., Arscott, L.D., Williams, C.H., Jr, Schirmer, R.H. and Becker, K. (1998) Human placenta thioredoxin reductase. Isolation of the selenoenzyme steady state kinetics and inhibition by therapeutic gold compounds. J. Biol. Chem., 273, 20096–200101.
- Alger,H.M. and Williams,D.L. (2002) The disulfide redox system of *Schistosoma mansoni* and the importance of a multifunctional enzyme, thioredoxin glutathione reductase. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, **121**, 129–139.
- Marchler-Bauer, A. and Bryant, S.H. (2004) CD-Search: protein domain annotations on the fly. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 32, 327–331.
- Letunic, I., Copley, R.R., Schmidt, S., Ciccarelli, F.D., Doerks, T., Schultz, J., Ponting, C.P. and Bork, P. (2004) SMART 4.0: towards genomic data integration. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 32, D142–D144.
- Schmidt,S., Bork,P. and Dandekar,T. (2002) A versatile structural domain analysis server using profile weight matrices. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., 42, 405–407.
- Falquet,L., Pagni,M., Bucher,P., Hulo,N., Sigrist,C.J., Hofmann,K. and Bairoch,A. (2002) The PROSITE database, its status in 2002. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 30, 235–238.
- Bordo, D. and Bork, P. (2002) The rhodanese/Cdc25 phosphatase superfamily. Sequence-structure-function relations. *EMBO Rep.*, 3, 741–746.
- Linding, V., Jensen, L.J., Diella, F., Bork, P., Gibson, T.J. and Russell, R.B. (2003) Protein disorder prediction: implications for structural proteomics. *Structure*, 11, 1453–1459.
- Schilling, O., Wenzel, N., Naylor, M., Vogel, A., Crowder, M., Makaroff, C. and Meyer-Klaucke, W. (2003) Flexible metal binding of the metallo-β-lactamase domain: glyoxalase II incorporates iron, manganese, and zinc *in vivo*. *Biochemistry*, 42, 11777–11786.
- Bannai, H., Tamada, Y., Maruyama, O., Nakai, K. and Miyano, S. (2002) Extensive feature detection of N-terminal protein sorting signals. *Bioinformatics*, 18, 298–305.
- Emanuelsson,O., Nielsen,H., Brunak,S. and von Heijne,G. (2000) Predicting subcellular localization of proteins based on their N-terminal amino acid sequence. J. Mol. Biol., 300, 1005–1016.
- Rost, B. and Liu, J. (2003) The PredictProtein server. Nucleic Acids Res., 31, 3300–3304.
- Lowe, T.M. and Eddy, S.R. (1997) tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 25, 955–964.
- Laslett,D. and Canback,B. (2004) ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 32, 11–16.
- Lobanov,A.V., Kryukov,G.V., Hatfield,D.L. and Gladyshev,V.N. (2006) Is there a 23rd amino acid in the genetic code? *Trends in Genet.*, 22, 357–360.
- Snyder,R.M., Mirabelli,C.K. and Crooke,S.T. (1986) Cellular association, intracellular distribution, and efflux of auranofin via sequential ligand exchange reactions. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 35, 923–932.
- Müller,S., Liebau,E., Walter,R.D. and Krauth-Siegel,R.L. (2003) Thiol-based redox metabolism of protozoan parasites. *Trends Parasitol.*, 19, 320–328.