University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Cherry County Extension -- Historical Documents

Extension

1970

Minutes of Meeting: 1970

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cherryext



Part of the Agriculture Commons

"Minutes of Meeting: 1970" (1970). Cherry County Extension -- Historical Documents. 18. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cherryext/18

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska -Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cherry County Extension -- Historical Documents by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

CHERRY COUNTY EXTENSION BOARD MEETING November 6, 1970 2:00 P.M., CST County Extension Office

PRESENT:

Henry Fox

Mrs. Henry Schneider

Kenneth Hall Jim Drinkwalter Mrs. Betty Kime Harry Stokely

Mrs. Paul Krajeski

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Drinkwalter.

Minutes of the May 22, 1970 meeting were read and approved.

Financial statement read.

OLD BUSINESS: The 1970-71 Extension budget was discussed. The assessed valuation of Cherry County is seventy million dollars at .0006 mill levy, yeild \$42,000, Extension legal limit.

A letter from Harlan Baker, Nebraska Association of County Extension Boards was read by Chairman Drinkwalter.

NEW BUSINESS: Agent Stokely gave report on Annual Conference in Lincoln which he and Agent Kime both attended.

Report was given on Combined Annual SWCD and Extension Service Banquet to be held November 17. Mrs. Cozad, Mrs. Schnieder, and Mrs. Krajeski will be in charge of the table decorations.

Announcement was made of the Cattlemen's Roundup at Merriman, December 11, and the ETV program sponsored by the Extension Council that is showing November 5, 12, 19. Extension involvement in Nebraska Livestock Federation was discussed.

Nominations for Board members were made:

- Dist. 4--Kewanee, Table, Sparks, and Valentine Precincts
 1. Kenneth Hall 2. Wayne Olmstead 3. Jack Monroe
 - 4. Mrs. Betty Porath

Dist. 6--Kennedy-Cleveland Precincts

- 1. F. M. "Bud" Reece, Jr. 2. Mrs. Ruth Harms
- 3. Mrs. Judy Imig 4. Mrs. Ellen Simons

Dist. 7--Wood Lake Precinct

1. Mrs. Harriet Cozad / 2. Kenneth Marlatt / 3. Charles Kyser 4. Gene Schleuter -

Each nominee is to be contacted personally to see if they would be willing to serve on the board. Discussion was held on sending ballots to towns people. Hall moved that mail ballots be sent to 4-H and Extension families living in Valenitne Schnieder seconded. Motion carried.

Program suggestions and outlines for the coming months were discussed. Meeting was adjourned.

CHERRY COUNTY EXTENSION BOARD MEETING May 22, 1970

County Extension Office

Present: Henry Fox

F. M. "Bud" Reece

Jim Drinkwalter Marvin Cox

Kenneth Hall

Mrs. Henry Schneider

Agents Stokely and Kime

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Drinkwalter at 2;05 p.m. CDT.

Minutes of March 1970 meeting were read and approved.

Treasurer's report shows \$162.36 fiscal year deficit. Discussion followed. It was moved by Reece to defer to June, Agents travel or portion thereof to take care of fiscal year over spending. Hall seconded it. Motion was carried.

Hall moved financial statement be accepted. Reece seconded it. Motion was carried.

OLD BUSINESS: Discuss Director Adams Agent Allocations.

NEW BUSINESS: Agent Kime discussed possible purchase of used heavy sewing machine for upholstering. Need pointed up as a result of recent upholstering workshops. Discussion. Reece moved to table discussion to next meeting. Fox seconded it. Motion was carried.

1970 - 1971 budget formulated. Schneider moved, Cox seconded, 1970-1971 budget approved as outlined (attached). Motion was carried.

ADJOURN.

State of Nebraska Budget Form CY-2

Expenditure Estimates for General Fund Fiscal Year XXX 1, 1970 to XXXXX, 1971 XXXX July 1 Budget June 30

Office or Department Extension Office

Cherry County

					ount,	
			Expense	Ensuing Year		
Line		Prior Year	Current Year	67-1-70 to	6-3b-71	
No.	Detail Expenditure Accounts	76-1-68 to	6-1-69 to			
		56-30-69	5-301-70	Proposed	Adopted	
		(1)	1800.00	(3)	2000.00	
1	Agent Salary - Man	1699.80				
2	Agent Salary - Man(Thedford Dist.)	1375.00	1650.00		2160.00	
3	Agent Salary - Man					
4	Agent Salary - Woman	1119.60	1220.00		1420.00	
5	Agent Salary - Woman					
6	Aides Salary					
7	Office Assistant Salary	3306.00	3106.55		3800.00	
8	Office Assistant Salary					
9	Mileage and Travel Expense	1752.82	2189.28		2300.00	
10	Supplies and Stationery	705.65	419.15		450.00	
11	Postage, Express, Freight & Drayage	71.35	113.16		150.00	
12	Telephone and Telegraph	497.24	665.32		675.00	
13	Rep. & Main. of Furniture & Equipment	61.00	0.00		75.00	
14	Heat. Light. Power and Water					
15	New Equipment	00.00	26.95		75.00	
16	Rent of Buildings	7.50	92.00		150.00	
17	Area Staff Support					
18	Miscellaneous	509.28	348.71		400.00	
19	Board Members Travel				400.00	
20	DV61 U DE IIIAC L D L L U VALLE					
21						
22					r)	
23				100	5	
24						
25					*	
26						
27			18			
28				,	·	
29						
30						
31						
32						
33	Total (To Dage 3)	77 705 00	77 005 00	7	31. 055 -	
34	Total (To Page 3)	11,105.00	111,605.00		14.055.0	

To The County Board:

	Reque	st is	hereby	made	for	the	adopt:	ion	οf	the	estimat	ed	budget	exp	enses	for
the	fiscal	year	commend	cing {	XXX	1,	1970,	and	l er	nding	JUMEX 3	Ø,	1971,	as	shown	
here	eon.				June						May 3	1				

Dated	May	22	19_7	0
Dated	riuy	44	19_'	

CHERRY COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE Office, Department or Activity

CHERRY COUNTY EXTENSION BOARD MEETING County Extension Office, Valentine March 23, 1970

PRESENT: Jim Drinkwalter

Bud Reece

Doug Tetherow Kenneth Hall Henry Fox Mae Schneider Mrs. Glenn Lahaye

Betty Kime, Home Agent

Harry Stokely, County Agent

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Drinkwalter 2 p.m.

Financial report given by Stokely and accepted.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Announcement of newly elected board members.

Extension District 1 - Mrs. Glenn Lahaye elected
95 ballots sent 42% returned
Extension District 2 - Mrs. Mae Schneider elected
95 ballots sent 42% returned
Extension District 5 - Marvin Cox elected
90 ballots sent 36% returned

- 2. Agent Kime did not go to Extension Winter School, because of sickness.
- 3. Agent Stokely discussed the hiring of Kathy Paxson as Extension Office secretary.
- 4. Agent Kime reported attending the Extension Home Economists President Regional Meeting at Milwaukee.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Thorough discussion of the resolutions that were made by State Association of County Extension Boards and that were questioned by State Extension Administration. Letter from Mrs. Clair Duvala, Secretary N.A.E.E.B. READ concerning resolutions.

Action on resolutions as follows:

Resolution 2 - Reece moved, Tetherow second, that the Board favor Resolution 2 as outlined by the N.A.C.E.B., carried

Resolution 3 - Reece moved, Hall second, that the Board favor allowing optional decisions by individual units involved, carried

Resolution 4 - Reece moved, Lahaye second, that board favor resolution 4 as outlined by the N.A.C.E.B., carried.

Action by the Board on the resolutions will be sent to State Extension Director, Dr. Adams.

CHERRY COUNTY EXTENSION BOARD MEETING March 23, 1970 Page 2

- 2. Agent Stokely discussed the current Extension Budget so that members can prepare themselves for the May Budget Meeting.
- 3. Governor's letter on Project 93 was read. No action taken.

Meeting adjourned.

Betty Kime, Secy. Protem.



COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

EAST CAMPUS

LINCOLN, NB 68503

February 10, 1970

Mr. James Drinkwalter Cherry County Extension Board President Valentine, Nebraska 69201

Dear Mr. Drinkwalter:

Under Nebraska law, Cooperative Extension work is conducted under a Memorandum of Agreement between each of the County Extension Boards and the Director of Extension representing the University of Nebraska. At a recent (January 19th) meeting of the Nebraska Association of County Extension Boards several resolutions were passed which, if implemented, would require changes in the present staff and staffing policy which was approved by the University Board of Regents on May 19, 1969.

Since many of you were not present at the Scotts Bluff meeting and therefore you may not have the advantage of the background thinking which led to the resolutions, we are attempting to briefly explain the resolutions and to provide you with the implications involved if they are adopted.

It seems proper, therefore, that you, as members of the Cherry County Extension Board, discuss these resolutions and give us your reaction to each one. We need your thinking on these matters in considering possible revision of the present policy.

2. "Be it Resolved Where two or more counties form units for Home Agents, the Home Agent shall be placed at the discretion of the Boards of the unit. A unit is an organization of one or more counties formed for the employment of an Agent or Home Agent."

Adoption of this resolution as a policy would remove from the Director or his designee, such as the District Supervisor, any authority to help determine where an office should be located. What then could be done in a case where two or more boards could not agree on a location?

Mr. James Drinkwalter Page 2 February 10, 1970

3. "Be it Resolved Where two or more counties form a unit, the counties' share of home agents' salaries shall be paid by the unit board."

We have acted as the "paymaster" for salary, mileage, subsistence and materials for multi-unit arrangements to simplify payment of funds. We would be glad to leave this optional but foresee some difficulty when an agent receives four or more checks, perhaps on as many dates. There are also some legal questions as to how a group of boards would perform this function without issuing several separate checks, securing bonding coverage for a central fund, etc.

4. "Be it Resolved To eliminate in State staffing policies first, second, and third priorities in the hiring of Home Agents."

Presently, priorities are based on both workload and the organizational structures best adapted to serving the whole state with only 52 positions. If the policy were altered to accommodate the resolution, we would then be forced to place priorities strictly on the basis of workload. Certainly some priority system of assigning agents will be necessary as long as we have fewer home agent positions than units.

Attached is a questionnaire which we would like to have returned by April 1, 1970, to guide us in considering these resolutions.

If you have questions as to the background of the resolutions, it is suggested that you contact the NACEB director in your district.

Yours very truly,

John L. Adams

Director

Attached - 1

	nty Extension Board Questionnaire for NACEB resolutions numbers 2, 3, and 4, which ommend changes in the present staff and staffing policy.
Retu	by not later than April 1, 1970. Ag Hall, East Campus University of Nebraska Lincoln, Nebraska 68503
2.	Be it Resolved Where two or more counties form units for Home Agents, the Home Agent shall be placed at the discretion of the Boards of the unit. A unit is an organization of one or more counties formed for the employment of an Agent or Home Agent.
	We favor altering the policy to accommodate the above resolution. We oppose altering the policy to accommodate the above resolution. We favor neither action above and suggest the following:
3.	Be it Resolved Where two or more counties form a unit, the counties' share of Home Agents' salaries shall be paid by the unit board. We favor altering the policy to accommodate the above resolution. We oppose altering the policy to accommodate the above resolution. We favor letting it be optional with the units involved as to whether they pay agents directly as per the resolution, or through the state office for convenience. We favor none of the above and suggest the following:
4.	Be it Resolved To eliminate in State staffing policies first, second, and third priorities in the hiring of Home Agents.
	We favor altering the policy to accommodate the above resolution. We oppose altering the policy to accommodate the above resolution. We favor neither action above, and suggest the following:
A m	ajority (in accordance with our Constitution and By-Laws) of the Extension Board of

(Please feel free to provide any additional suggestions which you may have about these or other Extension matters.)