

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

## DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Faculty Publications in Computer & Electronics Engineering (to 2015)    Electrical & Computer Engineering, Department of

---

2009

### Correction to "The Theory of Quaternion Orthogonal Designs"

Tadeusz Wysocki

*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*, [wysocki@uow.edu.au](mailto:wysocki@uow.edu.au)

Beata J. Wysocki

*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*

Sarah Spence Adams

*Franklin W. Olin College of Engineering*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/computerelectronicfacpub>



Part of the [Computer Engineering Commons](#)

---

Wysocki, Tadeusz; Wysocki, Beata J.; and Adams, Sarah Spence, "Correction to "The Theory of Quaternion Orthogonal Designs"" (2009). *Faculty Publications in Computer & Electronics Engineering (to 2015)*. 14. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/computerelectronicfacpub/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Electrical & Computer Engineering, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications in Computer & Electronics Engineering (to 2015) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Corrections

## Correction to “The Theory of Quaternion Orthogonal Designs”

Tadeusz A. Wysocki, Beata J. Wysocki, and Sarah Spence Adams

Seberry *et al.* claimed that even though the dual-polarized transmission channel cannot be considered as described by means of a single quaternionic gain, the maximum-likelihood (ML) decoding rule can be decoupled for orthogonal space-time-polarization block codes (OSTPBCs) derived from quaternion orthogonal designs (QODs) [1, Sec. IV]. Regrettably, a correction is necessary, and we will show that decoupled decoding using the method presented therein is only optimal for codes derived from certain QODs, not from arbitrary QODs as previously suggested.

Previously, we have utilized the representation of a quaternion variable  $s = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j}$  as  $s = [z_1, z_2]$ , so that a quaternion matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  can be converted into a complex matrix with twice as many columns; we have abused notation and referred to the complex representation of  $\mathbf{Q}$  again as  $\mathbf{Q}$ . It was possible to use the context (e.g., the implied size or domain) to determine which representation of  $\mathbf{Q}$  was being utilized. In this note, we will formalize the notation, thus illuminating a problem with the decoding discussion in [1, Sec. IV].

Manuscript received May 15, 2009; accepted May 15, 2009. Current version published July 15, 2009. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was Athina P. Petropulu.

T. A. Wysocki and B. J. Wysocki are with the Department of Computer and Electronics Engineering, University of Nebraska—Lincoln, Peter Kiewit Institute, Omaha, NE 68182-0572 USA (e-mail: twysocki2@unl.edu).

S. Spence Adams is with the Franklin W. Olin College of Engineering, Olin Center, Needham, MA 02492–1200 USA (e-mail: sarah.adams@olin.edu).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TSP.2009.2026094

Let us define an operator  $\mathbb{C}$  from the quaternion to the complex domain such that

$$\mathbb{C}\{z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j}\} = [z_1 \ z_2] \text{ and } \mathbb{C}^{-1}\{[z_1 \ z_2]\} = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j}. \quad (1)$$

Then, using the background in Section IV of [1], the ML decoding rule for any OSTPBC is equivalent to finding a set of signal symbols that minimizes the following quaternion analog of the standard complex Frobenius norm

$$\|\mathbf{R} - \mathbb{C}^{-1}\{\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{Q}\}\mathbf{H}\}\| \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{R}$  is the received signal vector,  $\mathbf{Q}$  is the code matrix, and  $\mathbf{H}$  is the matrix of complex channel coefficients. However, due to an irresponsible abuse of notation, we assumed in [1] that this is equivalent to finding a set of signal symbols minimizing the squared norm  $\|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{QH}\|^2$ . This abuse of notation and the subsequent expansion of the norm implicitly—and incorrectly—assume that the operator  $\mathbb{C}$  and its inverse are commutative with the quaternion and Hermitian transposes. Although the last line in the incorrect expansion of  $\|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{QH}\|^2$  on [1, p. 263] is now irrelevant, we note for further clarification that the usage there of the orthogonality of  $\mathbf{Q}$  would be incorrect, even if it were possible to get to that line: the matrix should be formally written as  $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{Q}\}$ , which is not orthogonal when viewed correctly as a complex matrix. Therefore, in the general case, the decoupled decoding statistics derived using method presented in [1, Sec. IV] do not lead to ML decoding. To achieve the ML decoding rule, one needs to minimize the norm given by (2), which can only lead to decoupled decoding in special cases, like the case of an example considered in [1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Seberry, K. Finlayson, S. Spence Adams, T. A. Wysocki, T. Xia, and B. J. Wysocki, “The theory of quaternion orthogonal designs,” *IEEE Signal Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 256–265, Jan. 2008.