University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Mammalogy Papers: University of Nebraska
State Museum

Museum, University of Nebraska State

8-1-1970

Taxonomy of the *Neotoma albigula*-Group of Woodrats in Central Mexico

E. Raymond Hall
University of Kansas

Hugh H. Genoways
University of Kansas, h.h.genoways@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/museummammalogy>

Part of the [Zoology Commons](#)

Hall, E. Raymond and Genoways, Hugh H., "Taxonomy of the *Neotoma albigula*-Group of Woodrats in Central Mexico" (1970). *Mammalogy Papers: University of Nebraska State Museum*. 44.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/museummammalogy/44>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Museum, University of Nebraska State at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mammalogy Papers: University of Nebraska State Museum by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

TAXONOMY OF THE *NEOTOMA ALBIGULA*-GROUP OF WOODRATS IN CENTRAL MEXICO

E. RAYMOND HALL AND HUGH H. GENOWAYS

ABSTRACT.—Study of specimens collected from critical localities since 1944 reveals that: *Neotoma montezumae* and *N. leucodon zacatecae* are indistinguishable from earlier named taxa; *N. latifrons* is a subspecies of an earlier named taxon; and *N. palatina*, previously known from only one specimen and thought by some mammalogists to be merely an aberrant individual of *Neotoma albigula*, is a species distinct from *N. albigula* as Goldman tentatively decided when he named it 64 years ago. In the nasal passage, the partition formed by the vomer that extends well behind the hard palate as a swordlike projection is present in all specimens of *N. palatina*, but the projection is not present in *N. albigula*.

Because of uncertainty about the taxonomic status of woodrats in México for which the names *Neotoma latifrons*, *Neotoma montezumae*, *Neotoma palatina*, and *Neotoma leucodon zacatecae* had been proposed, specimens that would be expected to be helpful in removing the uncertainty have been saved by collectors from The University of Kansas Museum of Natural History on several occasions in the past 20 years. Our conclusions after studying these specimens and other pertinent materials are set forth below.

Catalogue numbers, unless otherwise indicated, are those in The University of Kansas Museum of Natural History. Measurements are in millimeters.

Neotoma albigula leucodon Merriam

Comparison of the holotypes and all other available specimens of *N. a. zacatecae* Goldman and *N. a. leucodon* (see list of specimens beyond) permits us to see in specimens used by Goldman (1910:38) all but one of the cranial features relied on by him to differentiate between the two subspecies. The one feature not seen is the greater arching across the anterior roots of the zygomata in *zacatecae*. The other cranial features relied on by Goldman are seen to be individual variations now that many more specimens than he had in 1910 are available to us. These features are decurved rostrum, longer frontals, heavier maxillary arm of the zygoma, and smaller upper incisors. The dusky instead of grayish or whitish upper lip thought by Goldman (*loc. cit.*) to distinguish *zacatecae* from *leucodon* does not do so in five specimens of *zacatecae* from 13 mi. N Jalpa, Zacatecas; the upper lips of four are dusky and in one white. Neither does the darker color of back and sides ascribed to *zacatecae* by Goldman (*loc. cit.*) appear to be distinctive when taking variation with season and age into account. For example, two of five adults of *leucodon* from 2 mi. ESE Trancoso are as dark as four of five specimens of *zacatecae* from 13 mi. N Jalpa (only 15 miles south of the type locality of *zacatecae*). Furthermore, a specimen from 3 mi. SW Jalpa is as pale as the palest specimen (36875) of *leucodon* available to us.

Baker and Greer (1962:126–127) referred eight specimens from southwestern Durango to *N. a. zacatecae* because of the dark color of three specimens from the Guadian lava field. One of the three (49595, from 1 mi. N Charro) is the darkest available to us of *zacatecae* and *leucodon* but its color is what would be expected of a woodrat living on dark lava. Two other specimens (49596 and 49597 from 15 mi. S and 29 mi. E Durango) are indistinguishable from normal individuals of *leucodon*. A fourth specimen (63078 from 9 mi. N Durango) is paler than many specimens of *leucodon*. We have not seen the other four specimens (one from 4 mi. S Morcillo, one from 5 mi. S Durango, and two from 16 mi. S and 29 mi. W Vicente Guerrero), but they are paler than those we have examined according to Baker and Greer (*op. cit.*).

Results of the comparison of specimens lead us to regard *N. a. zacatecae* as indistinguishable from the earlier named *N. a. leucodon*.

Goldman named *Neotoma montezumae* in 1905 on the basis of an adult male (the holotype), a young male, a young female, and possibly a fourth specimen not seen by us, all from Zimapán, Hidalgo, as well as a juvenal female from nearby Ixmiquilpan. Although *N. montezumae* has long been regarded as more closely allied to the species *Neotoma albigula* than to any other species, *montezumae* until now has stood as a monotypic species. For some unaccountable reason Goldman in his original description in 1905 and in his revision in 1910 compared *montezumae* only with the geographically remote *Neotoma albigula melanura* of Sonora and Chihuahua, instead of with the intervening subspecies *N. a. leucodon* and *N. a. albigula*. His key (1910:17) bracketing *montezumae* with *melanura* on the basis of the fur on the throat and chest being more or less plumbeous basally did not agree with his description (1910:41) of *montezumae* wherein he correctly noted that the fur on the throat and chest is “pure white” and not plumbeous at the base. Noting other characteristics of the species Goldman (1910:42) stated: “The skull [of *montezumae*] shows a slight departure from the *albigula* type in the depth of the anterointernal re-entrant angle of the first upper molar.”

On 23 and 24 September 1964, Percy L. Clifton collected an adult female and juvenal male at a place 7 mi. SW Huichapan, 7200 ft., a young female at Ixmiquilpan, 5550 ft., and a subadult female 7½ mi. WSW Ixmiquilpan, 6200 ft. His search was unproductive at that time for other specimens and populations that might link *montezumae* with *N. albigula leucodon*, in the region farther to the west in Querétaro and for many miles to the south in the state of México. In fact he did not find any sign or especially suitable habitat for *Neotoma*, probably due to man's long-continued abuse of the land in this region. In 1896, Nelson and Goldman obtained an old male and subadult female at Marqués, Hidalgo, approximately 40 miles south of Zimapán; these specimens were referred to *Neotoma albigula leucodon* by Goldman (1910:37).

Careful comparison of the specimens mentioned above and the other specimens in the U. S. National Museum and The University of Kansas Museum of Natural History discloses some interesting facts. The deep re-entrant angle

on the occlusal surface of the first upper molar of the holotype of *montezumae* is absent in all other specimens assignable on geographic grounds to *montezumae* but appears in some individuals of *leucodon* (for example, 105855 from 3 mi. E Totatiche, Jalisco). The angle in the holotype is narrow but deep. The considerable depth results from the tooth having been worn down to a level at which the angle probably was deepest. In the specimens of *montezumae* (labeled with reference to Huichapan, Zimapán, and Ixmiquilpan) the only feature that might be thought to differentiate them, as a taxon, from *N. a. leucodon* is narrower skull in adult males. The holotype is the only adult male available of *montezumae* and its skull is narrower than that of the adult male holotype of *leucodon* and the likewise broad skull of the old male from Marqués, but in two other old males of *leucodon* (from Lagos, Jalisco) the skull of one (USNM 78991) is even narrower than that of *montezumae* and the skull of the other (USNM 78992) is as broad as the one from Marqués. Consequently, it seems that the holotype of *montezumae* is merely an individual variant of *leucodon*, not an extreme variant in as much as its interpterygoid space, incisive foramina, and nasals are not so narrow (relative to length of skull) as are the corresponding parts in USNM 78991 from Lagos. We conclude that *Neotoma montezumae* Goldman, 1905, is properly arranged as a synonym of *Neotoma leucodon* Merriam 1894 [= *Neotoma albigula leucodon* Merriam].

Specimens examined of *Neotoma albigula leucodon* (states and localities within each state are listed from north to south), 113 as follows.—DURANGO: Hda. Atotonilco, 6680 ft., 1; 1 mi. N Charro, 6450 ft., 1; 9 mi. N Durango, 6200 ft., 1; 15 mi. S, 29 mi. E Durango, 5700 ft., 2. ZACATECAS: Concepción del Oro, 7680 ft., 1; 15 mi. S Concepción del Oro, 6900 ft., 1; 1 mi. SW San Tiburcio, 7000 ft., 6; 8 mi. S Majoma, 7700 ft., 6; Villa de Cos, 6700 ft., 6; 10 mi. N Zacatecas, 6200 ft., 1; Valapariso, 5 (USNM); 8 mi. SE Zacatecas, 7225 ft., 2; 2 mi. ESE Trancoso, 7000 ft., 7; Plateado, 1 (USNM, holotype of *zacatecae*); 13 mi. N Jalpa, 5000 ft., 6; 3 mi. SW Jalpa, 4600 ft., 1. SAN LUIS POTOSÍ: 8 mi. SW Ramos, 6700 ft., 3; 10 mi. NE San Luis Potosí, 6000 ft., 3; San Luis Potosí, 1 (USNM, the holotype of *leucodon*). JALISCO: 1 mi. S Huejúcar, 5850 ft., 7; La Mesa Maria de León, 7400 ft. (about 22° 25' N, 103° 24' W), 5; 3 mi. S Huejúcar, 5900 ft., 3; 3 mi. E Totatiche, 5600 ft., 17; 10 mi. NW Matanzas, 8000 ft., 5; Belen de Refugio, 5700 ft., 1; Lagos, 2 (USNM); 10 mi. NE Yahualica, 1; 14 mi. SE Lagos de Moreno, 6700 ft., 2; 3 mi. E Unión de San Antonio, 6100 ft., 1. AGUASCALIENTES: 4 mi. WSW Aguascalientes, 6100 ft., 2. GUANAJUATO: La Quemada, 1 (USNM). QUERETARO: Tequisquiapan, 6500 ft., 1 (USNM). HIDALGO: Zimapán, 6200 ft., 3 (USNM, including holotype of *montezumae*); Ixmiquilpan, 6000 ft., 1 (USNM); Ixmiquilpan, 5500 ft., 1; 7½ mi. WSW Ixmiquilpan, 6200 ft., 1; 7 mi. SW Huichapan, 7200 ft., 2; Marqués, 2 (USNM).

Neotoma albigula latifrons Merriam

Merriam in his original description (1894:121), and Goldman (1910:38–39, pl. II, figs. 5 and 5a) when he revised the genus, had available only one specimen, the holotype, of *latifrons*. Goldman (*loc. cit.*) arranged it as a species but noted that it “is rather closely related to *N. a. leucodon* and may intergrade with it in southern Guanajuato.”

TABLE 1.—Means and extremes (in parentheses) of measurements of adults of two subspecies of *Neotoma albigula*. The specimens of *latifrons* are from Isla Palmitas, Michoacán, and those of *leucodon* are from various localities in the states of Jalisco, San Luis Potosí, México, and Zacatecas. Cranial measurements were taken as described by Goldman (1910:12).

Measurement	<i>Neotoma albigula latifrons</i>		<i>Neotoma albigula leucodon</i>	
	12 ♂♂	6 ♀♀	8 ♂♂	6 ♀♀
Total length	355 (337–396)	346 (336–371) ³	357 (336–374)	351 (333–388) ⁵
Length of tail vertebrae	140 (130–166)	142 (134–150) ³	154 (139–172)	152 (134–168) ⁵
Length of hind foot	40 (38–41)	39 (36–40) ⁵	38.5(37–41)	37 (36–39)
Length of ear from notch	29.5(28–31)	28 (26–31) ⁵	31 (30–32.5) ⁴	31 (30–34)
Basilar length	40.3(38.8–42.1)	38.9(37–40.5)	40.2(39.2–42.5) ⁷	39.0(38.1–41.4)
Zygomatic breadth	25.2(23.7–26.1)	24.5(23.3–26.0) ⁵	25.7(23.9–27.9)	24.8(24.2–25.2) ⁵
Interorbital breadth	6.0(5.6–6.4)	5.9(5.6–6.2)	6.2(5.9–6.5)	6.2(5.8–6.5)
Length of nasals	17.9(17.0–18.9)	17.3(16.1–18.2)	17.4(16.8–18.8)	17.2(16.0–18.7) ⁵
Length of incisive foramina	10.3(9.7–11.0)	10.4(9.7–11.1)	10.3(8.6–11.1)	9.9(9.3–10.5)
Length of palatal bridge	7.9(7.2–8.3)	7.2(6.9–7.8)	8.5(7.6–9.6)	8.5(7.6–9.4)
Length of maxillary toothrow	9.3(8.7–9.6)	8.9(8.2–9.3)	9.3(8.7–9.7)	8.8(8.5–9.0)

Superscript numbers indicate number of specimens averaged when less than figure given at top of column.

From 15 through 19 June 1967, Percy L. Clifton sought specimens in southern Guanajuato and northern Michoacán that would permit meaningful comment on the taxonomic status of "*Neotoma latifrons*." His field notes for 1967 read: "June 18. After asking many natives about packrats we have come to the conclusion that there haven't been any *Neotoma* here for several years but that there were several years ago. . . . Finally a man told us the only place he had ever seen them was on an island in Lake 'Cuitzeo.' So, we drove to Estación Queréndaro, 6200 ft., Michoacán, which is a small fishing village and train station at the south edge of Lago de Cuitzeo. There are several islands in the lake but some local fishermen thought that an island called 'Palmitas' would be the easiest island to catch rats on. They say there are some albino [individuals of] *Neotoma* on one of the other islands but that they are getting real scarce. We paid several fishermen to help us catch rats. In a half hour we arrived at the island; in another hour and [a] half we had 21 [specimens of] *Neotoma*. There . . . is a great abundance of nests [= houses] on the ground in thorn bushes and nopal cactus. . . . I found no fleas on the rats. We found no babies in the nests and no embryos in the females caught." On the following day Clifton searched the area 4 mi. E Acambara, Guanajuato, and between there and Ciudad Hidalgo, without finding any sign of woodrats and without obtaining specimens in traps set overnight in the area.

All specimens (12 males, six females) preserved from Isla Palmitas are adults (almost old) and those of a given sex show little individual variation. The holotype of *latifrons*, from Queréndaro, approximately 20 km S Isla Palmitas, is a male, barely adult, and therefore younger by at least some months than the males from Isla Palmitas. The holotype differs from the 12 older males from the island in having more reddish pelage, except that new, incoming pelage of the holotype (on the top of the head from half way between the eyes and ears anteriorly) is indistinguishable from that of 112370 from the island. The reddish cast of the remainder of the pelage of the holotype (obtained on 8 August 1892) is attributable to wear and fading in life and possibly to foxing in the course of the 75 years it has been a study specimen. Other features in which the holotype differs from the insular males are as follows: more widely separated temporal ridges that continue anteriorly as supraorbital shelves; broader across frontal region and palatine bones immediately behind third upper molars; shorter skull, nasals, and anterior palatine foramina. Each of these differences in principal part, and possibly entirely, is owing to the lesser age of the holotype. Older specimens (topotypes) from the mainland or younger specimens from the island are desirable, of course, in order to make certain that the difference in ontogenetic age accounts for the differences just mentioned. One difference distinguishing the holotype of *latifrons* from all specimens from Isla Palmitas is the more posterior position of the posterior margin of the hard palate in relation to the last upper molars. We think this is not to be accounted for by difference in age of the specimens. The broader interpterygoid space in the holotype also may be in the same category. Both of these features conceivably are individual variations, but on the other hand may be uniformly present in the mainland population. In that event, separate taxonomic recognition of the population on Isla Palmitas would be indicated. Therefore, it is appealing to speculate about some of the difference being due to isolation, and to hope that someone will obtain an adequate sample from each of the islands mentioned by Clifton. In any event, when and if there is an increase in the population of woodrats on the mainland, another effort should be made to obtain topotypes of *Neotoma latifrons*.

Several features of the specimens under consideration clearly place them in the *Neotoma albigula* species-group. In details of the skull, the holotype from Queréndaro and the skulls of specimens from Isla Palmitas resemble each other more than either sample resembles any other population of the species *N. albigula*. For the present, therefore, we may speak of both lots as referable to *Neotoma latifrons*. As a taxon it is characterized by prominent supraorbital shelves, frequently with a "nubbin" at the union of the supraorbital and temporal sections of each shelf, and in comparison with *N. a. leucodon* has a narrower skull with a shorter palatal bridge, and a proportionately shorter tail (Table 1).

Many more study specimens of *Neotoma albigula* are available now than was the case 60 years ago when Goldman made his revisionary study. Our

FIG. 1.—Bacula, in dorsal, lateral, and proximal views of three kinds of woodrats: top, *Neotoma palatina* (107817, 1 mi. NW Mezquitic, 5000 ft., Jalisco); middle, *Neotoma albigula leucodon* (99021, 13 mi. N Jalpa, 5000 ft., Zacatecas); bottom, *Neotoma albigula latifrons* (112374, Isla Palmitas, Lago Cuitzeo, 6200 ft., Michoacán).

examination of the available material reveals that the prominent supraorbital shelves appear in certain specimens of *Neotoma albigula leucodon*, geographically adjacent to *latifrons* on the north and east. For example, the shelves are present, even if slightly less prominent, in 58617, a young female from 1 mi. SW San Tiburico, 7000 ft., and 58622, an adult female, from 8 mi. S Majoma, both in Zacatecas. The occurrence of these supraorbital shelves in *leucodon*, although they are not so prominent as in *latifrons*, suggests close relationship of the two and that the two taxa may intergrade in southern Guanajuato as Goldman suggested. Also, examination of the baculum of two specimens of *latifrons* reveals that the morphology of this structure is essentially the same as that of *leucodon* (Fig. 1). The measurements of the bacula, length 7.4, 6.3, and width of base 2.6, 2.7, fall within the range found by Burt (1960:60) for *N. albigula*, length 5.9 to 7.4 and width of base 2.6 to 3.4.

On the basis of the evidence available to us, we feel that this taxon should stand as *Neotoma albigula latifrons*. However, proof that intergradation occurs between *latifrons* and *leucodon* is lacking. Therefore, when specimens from critical localities become available, the taxonomic relationship of the two kinds of woodrats should be reinvestigated. Moreover, the specimens from Isla Palmitas raise the additional question of whether the name *latifrons* as we have used it is composite by virtue of our applying it both to the mainland population and the insular population in Lake Cuitzeo.

Fig. 2

Neotoma albigula leucodon

Fig. 3

Neotoma palatina

FIGS. 2-3.—Vomer bone in two species of woodrats: *Neotoma albigula leucodon*, KU 105854 ad., 3 mi. E Totatiche, 5600 ft., Jalisco; *Neotoma palatina*, KU 99038 ad., 6 mi. NE Bolaños, Jalisco. Slightly diagrammatic representation of bones in a sagittal section (enclosed by heavy black line) of the skull of two species showing posterior extent of vomer (diagonal lines), with transverse section of vomer above posterior margin of M2 at lower left. Areas with broken lines denote cut or broken surfaces of bones.

Neotoma palatina Goldman

On 12 September 1897, Nelson and Goldman obtained a woodrat at Bolaños, Jalisco, and in 1905 Goldman (p. 27) designated it as the holotype of a new species for which he proposed the name *Neotoma palatina*. In the revision by Goldman (1910:40-41, pl. 3, Fig. 1) he adequately described the specimen, figured the skull (exactly natural size), and wrote: "vomer prolonged posteriorly as a thin vertical plate along median line of presphenoid, partially dividing posterior nares and ending in a point at suture between presphenoid and basisphenoid. . . . This remarkable animal appears to be an aberrant member of the *albigula* group. . . . The posterior prolongation of the vomer . . . is a unique character."

Until now the holotype is the only specimen reported. Considering that it was captured less than 70 miles southwest of the geographic range of the widely distributed *Neotoma albigula* (see Hall and Kelson, 1959:687), some mammalogists, including ourselves, had speculated that the specimen was only an abnormal individual of *Neotoma albigula*. Nevertheless, study of the 55 specimens from 12 localities listed below, mostly collected by Percy L. Clifton, show Goldman's decision to accord specific rank to *Neotoma palatina* to have been correct.

There is no suggestion of intergradation between *N. palatina* and *N. albigula leucodon* in any of the specimens examined (see Fig. 4 for geographic ranges). In a stone fence at a place 3 mi. E Totatiche, Jalisco, 17 specimens typical of *N. a. leucodon* and one typical of *N. palatina* were collected. Three specimens from 5 mi. NE Huejuquilla, Jalisco, and one from 10 mi. NE Huejuquilla, are *N. palatina*, whereas five specimens from Valparaíso, Zacatecas, only 8½ miles to the northeast, are *N. a. leucodon*. The distribution of *N. palatina* is confined

to the barranca of Río Bolaños, its tributaries, and immediately adjacent uplands. In this area *N. a. leucodon* occurs in upland situations and it is there and along the small tributaries that the ranges of the two species geographically approach each other and in the one case meet or possibly overlap.

In *Neotoma albigula* the vomer extends posteriorly to the anterior end of the presphenoid and no farther. On the anterior part of the vomer a keel drops as far as the floor of the narial passage, creating paired nasal passages all the way back to the posterior end of the premaxillary process that separates the two anterior palatine foramina one from the other. At that point the keel becomes abruptly shallower, and posteriorly disappears well short of the posterior end of the presphenoid (see Fig. 2). A transverse cut through the narial passage between the second upper molars of an adult male *N. a. albigula* (USNM 265007) from Tucson, Arizona, reveals no trace of a longitudinal septum, soft tissue, bony tissue, or cartilaginous tissue. In *Neotoma palatina*, the deepest part of the keel continues posteriorly past the sutural union of the premaxillary- and maxillary-processes and separates the anterior palatine foramina. Posteriorly the keel is only slightly shallower, and it separates the dorsal half to two-thirds of the narial passage all the way to the anterior end of the presphenoid (see Fig. 3). Instead of terminating there, the keel, shaped like the blade of a hunting knife, although unattached to either the roof or floor of the narial passage, extends back approximately to the end of the hard palate, and in some individuals even farther, usually having a bayonetlike process (not in 99038) terminating near the suture between the presphenoid and basioccipital. The termination varies from individual to individual being anywhere from 2.2 behind to 2.7 in front of the suture. By peering obliquely through the anterior palatine foramina a person can see the deep keel extending from roof to floor in the narial passage of *palatina*, and the shallow keel extending only one-third of the way to the floor in *albigula*, at the anterior end of the maxillary process.

N. palatina differs further from *N. albigula leucodon* in cranial features as follows: narrower sphenopalatine vacuities; large aperture, instead of small aperture (or none at all) in *albigula*, where the pterygoid bone joins the palatal bone; wider interpterygoid space; and less inflated tympanic bullae in most specimens. Goldman (1910:27–28) pointed out these differences, but thought the sphenopalatine vacuities in the holotype of *palatina* were “completely closed by the palatines.” Close inspection of our specimens reveals these vacuities in every individual, and we think moistening and then removing the membranous tissue still adhering to the skull of the holotype will reveal that it too has narrow vacuities. For measurements of this species, see Table 2.

The measurements and morphology of the baculum of the two species are different (Fig. 1); in six adults of *palatina* the baculum averages longer 7.1 (6.6 to 7.5) and broader across the base 3.4 (3.25 to 3.8) than in 13 specimens of *albigula* listed by Burt (1960:60) (length 6.1, 5.9 to 7.4; width 2.9, 2.6 to 3.4). In lateral view, the configuration of the bases of the bacula of the two species

TABLE 2.—Means and extremes (in parentheses) of measurements of *Neotoma palatina*. The specimens are from localities, in Jalisco, recorded with reference to Bolaños and Villa Guerrero. Cranial measurements were taken as described by Goldman (1910:12).

Measurement	2 Old ♂♂	6 Adult ♂♂	6 Adult ♀♀
Total length	374, 350	368 (333–404)	350 (326–378)
Length of tail vertebrae	161, 139	162 (145–180)	157 (144–171)
Length of hind foot	37, 39	37 (36.0–38.5)	35.5(34.0–37.0)
Length of ear from notch	31, 30.5	30 (28–32)	30 (28.5–32)
Basilar length	42.8, 39.5	38.8(37.5–40.5)	37.7(36.5–38.9)
Zygomatic breadth	25.7, 25.9	24.7(23.2–26.0)	23.7(23.1–24.6)
Interorbital breadth	6.0, 6.3	5.8(5.5–6.1)	5.7(5.4–6.0)
Length of nasals	—, —	16.9(16.0–17.9)	16.8(16.2–17.5)
Length of incisive foramina	11.0, 10.2	10.2(9.4–10.8)	9.9(9.4–10.3)
Length of palatal bridge	7.9, 7.3	7.5(7.2–7.8)	7.2(6.9–7.8)
Length of maxillary tooththrow	9.1, 8.6	9.2(8.8–9.5)	8.9(8.3–9.3)

differ, and in a view of the proximal end, the baculum of *palatina* is seen to be more deeply notched dorsally than is the baculum of *albigula*.

Although *N. a. leucodon* and *N. palatina* closely resemble each other in color of pelage, *palatina* has less ochraceous buff on the sides and most specimens have less on the back as can be seen when specimens of *leucodon* and *palatina* of the same age and stage of pelage, taken at the same time, are compared.

Specimens examined of *Neotoma palatina* (all from Jalisco, arranged by locality from north to south), 55 as follows.—10 mi. NE Huejuquilla, 6800 ft., 1; 5 mi. NE Huejuquilla, 6200 ft., 3; 1 mi. NW Mezquitic, 5000 ft., 4; 3 mi. N Villa Guerrero, 5600 ft., 16; 4½ mi. W Villa Guerrero, 5200 ft., 1; 4 mi. W Villa Guerrero, 5500 ft., 3; 3 mi. E Totatiche, 5600 ft., 1; 6 mi. ENE Bolaños, 5350 ft., 10; 4 mi. ENE Bolaños, 4400 ft., 1; 2 mi. E Bolaños, 3550 ft., 6; 1 mi. E. Bolaños, 3350 ft., 6; Bolaños, 3 (KU 2, USNM 1).

RECOGNIZED KINDS OF *NEOTOMA ALBIGULA*-GROUP OF WOODRATS

Geographic ranges are shown on the map (Fig. 4). Unless listed below, marginal localities (those in italics not plotted on the map), type localities, citations to places and dates of publication of names, and first uses of current name-combinations are to be found in "The Mammals of North America" by Hall and Kelson (1959:686–689). Numbers 1 to 18 correspond to the same numbers on Fig. 4.

1. *Neotoma albigula albigula* Hartley, 1894 (synonym, *Neotoma intermedia angusticeps* Merriam, 1894). MARGINAL RECORDS (Hall and Kelson, 1959:686, unless otherwise noted).—New Mexico: Chama Canyon; Rinconado; 25 mi. SW Tucumcari. Texas: Washburn; Armstrong Co.; 6 mi. SSE Lazare (Dalquest, 1968:19); Llano; *Black Gap region*, Brewster Co.; The Basin, Chisos Mts., 5200 ft. Coahuila: Fortín, 3300 ft.; Monclova; Jaral; Jimulco. Durango (Baker and Greer, 1962:126): *San Juan*, 10 mi. WSW Lerdo; Mapimí; 7 mi. NW Conejos, 4100 ft.; 6 mi. E Zavalza, 4150 ft. Chihuahua: Santa Rosalia; Santa Eulalia. Sonora: Hermosillo. Arizona: Nogales. Sonora: Santo Domingo. Arizona (Cockrum, 1961: 191–194): *Papago Well*; 9 mi. E Papago Well; E base Crater Mt., 13 mi. N Ajo, 1200 ft.; *Gila Bend*; near Buckeye; *Wickenburg*, 2500 ft.; Congress Junction; Big Sandy Creek; *Hualpai Mts.*, 5800 ft.; Kingman, 3300 ft.; Gold Basin, 3000 ft.; *Peach Spring*, 4000 ft.;

FIG. 4.—Geographic ranges of the species and subspecies of the *Neotoma albigula*-group. Numerals on the map correspond to those in the list of species and subspecies.

1. <i>N. a. albigula</i>	7. <i>N. a. mearnsi</i>	13. <i>N. a. subsolana</i>
2. <i>N. a. brevicauda</i>	8. <i>N. a. melanura</i>	14. <i>N. a. venusta</i>
3. <i>N. a. durangae</i>	9. <i>N. a. melas</i>	15. <i>N. a. warreni</i>
4. <i>N. a. laplataensis</i>	10. <i>N. a. robusta</i>	16. <i>N. nelsoni</i>
5. <i>N. a. latifrons</i>	11. <i>N. a. seri</i>	17. <i>N. palatina</i>
6. <i>N. a. leucodon</i>	12. <i>N. a. sheldoni</i>	18. <i>N. varia</i>

Seligman; Montezuma Well, 3500 ft.; 7 mi. N Payson, 4500 ft.; Salt River, about 12 mi. N McMillenville, 3000 ft.; White River, Chiricahua Ranch, 4700 ft., 20 mi. NE Calva; San Francisco River, 13 mi. above Clifton, 4000 ft. New Mexico: Glenwood; Datil Mts.; Riley; San Rafael; Gallup; Canyon de Chelly; Grants; Cabezón.

2. *Neotoma albigula brevicauda* Durrant, 1934. MARGINAL RECORDS (Finley, 1958:291, 293).—Utah: type locality. Colorado: 1 mi. SW Gateway, 4600 ft.; Coventry 6800 ft.; Bedrock, 5150 ft.

3. *Neotoma albigula durangae* J. A. Allen, 1903. MARGINAL RECORDS (Baker and Greer, 1962:127, unless otherwise noted).—Chihuahua: Parral (Hall and Kelson, 1959:686). Durango: 3 mi. E Las Nieves, 5400 ft.; 4 mi. NNE Boquilla, 6300 ft.; 7 mi. NNW La Zarca, 6000 ft.; 8½ mi. N Alamillo, 5900 ft.; 2.6 mi. S Alamillo, 4900 ft.; Mt. San Gabriel; La Ciénega de las Vacas; Arroyo de Bucy; Rancho Santuario.

4. *Neotoma albigula laplataensis* F. W. Miller, 1933. MARGINAL RECORDS (Cockrum, 1961:194–195, unless otherwise indicated).—Utah: Recapture Canyon, 12 mi. N Blanding, 6000 ft. (Hall and Kelson, 1959:686). Colorado: Wetherill Mesa, Mesa Verde National Park (Douglas, 1967:322); type locality. Arizona: St. Michaels, 7000 ft.; St. Johns, 5800 ft.; 3 mi. SE Springerville; Turkey Creek, 3400 ft.; Canyon Padre; Winona, 6400 ft.; Red Lake; 12 mi. WSW Anita; Supai Canyon; Bass Camp, 6600 ft.; Rainbow Lodge, 6400 ft., Navajo Mtn.

5. *Neotoma albigula latifrons* Merriam, 1895 (as *Neotoma latifrons*). MARGINAL RECORDS (present paper).—Michoacán: Isla Palmitas; type locality.

6. *Neotoma albigula leucodon* Merriam, 1894 (synonyms, *Neotoma montezumae* Goldman, 1905, and *Neotoma leucodon zacatecae* Goldman, 1905). MARGINAL RECORDS (present paper, unless otherwise indicated).—Durango (Baker and Greer, 1962:127): Hda. Atotonilco, 6680 ft. San Luis Potosí (Dalquest, 1953:160): 6 km S Matehuala; Presa de Guadalupe; 3 mi. NW Tepeyac; 10 mi. NW Ciudad del Maíz. Hidalgo: Zimapán; Ixmiquilpán; Marqués. Guanajuato: La Quemada. Jalisco: 3 mi. E Unión de San Antonio, 6100 ft.; 10 mi. NE Yahualica. Zacatecas: 3 mi. SW Jalpa. Jalisco: 3 mi. E Totatiche, 5600 ft.; La Mesa Maria de León, 7400 ft., 22° 25' N, 103° 24' W. Zacatecas: Valparaíso. Durango (Baker and Greer, 1962:127, as *N. a. zacatecae*, unless otherwise indicated): 16 mi. S, 29 mi. W Vicente Guerrero, 6675 ft.; 9 mi. N Durango; 1 mi. N Chorro; 26 mi. SW Yerbánis, 6725 ft. (Baker and Greer, 1962:127, as *N. a. leucodon*).

7. *Neotoma albigula mearnsi* Goldman, 1915. MARGINAL RECORDS (Cockrum, 1961:194).—Arizona: S of Wellton; 9 mi. E Papago Well, 1100 ft.; Alamo Canyon, Ajo Mts.; 26 mi. S Wellton, 500 ft.

8. *Neotoma albigula melanura* Merriam, 1894. MARGINAL RECORDS (Hall and Kelson, 1959:688, unless otherwise indicated).—Sonora: type locality. Chihuahua: Mojarachic; Batopilas. Sinaloa: 3 mi. N, 1 mi. E San Miguel, 350 ft. (Jones *et al.*, 1962:157); 2½ mi. N El Fuerte (*Ibid.*). Sonora: Alamos; Batamotal; Bahía San Pedro.

9. *Neotoma albigula melas* Dice, 1929.

10. *Neotoma albigula robusta* Blair, 1939.

11. *Neotoma albigula seri* Townsend, 1912.

12. *Neotoma albigula sheldoni* Goldman, 1915.

13. *Neotoma albigula subsolana* Alvarez, Univ. Kansas Publ., Mus. Nat. Hist., 14:141, April 30, 1962, type from Miquihuana, 6400 ft., Tamaulipas. MARGINAL RECORDS (Alvarez, 1962:143, unless otherwise indicated).—Coahuila: 9 mi. E Hermanas; Panuco, 3000 ft. Nuevo León: Santa Catarina (Hall and Kelson, 1959:686); Ojo de Agua (*Ibid.*); Iturbide, Sierra Madre Oriental, 5000 ft.; 9 mi. S Aramberri, 3900 ft. Tamaulipas: Joya Verde, 35 km SW Cd. Victoria (on Jaumave Road), 3800 ft. (Alvarez, 1963:451); Jaumave (Hall and Kelson, 1959:686); 9 mi. SW Tula, 3900 ft. (Alvarez, 1963:451); Nicolás, 56 km NW Tula, 5500 ft. (*Ibid.*). Nuevo León: Doctor Arroyo, 5800 ft. Coahuila: 8 mi. N La Ventura, 5500 ft.; north slope Sierra Guadalupe, 10 mi. S, 5 mi. W General Cepada, 6500 ft.; 6 mi. E Hermanas.

14. *Neotoma albigula venusta* True, 1894 (synonyms, *Neotoma cumulator* Mearns, 1897, and *Neotoma desertorum grandis* Elliot, 1904). MARGINAL RECORDS (Cockrum, 1961:195–196, unless otherwise indicated).—Arizona: Colorado R., 31 mi. N, 2½ mi. W Camp

Mohave; Mineral Park; Kingman; Congress Junction; Harquahala Mts., 7½ mi. S Salome, 5000 ft.; Norton; Wellton; 4 mi. S Gadsden. Sonora: Costa Rica Ranch (Hall and Kelson, 1959:688). Baja California: Colonia Lerdo (*Ibid.*); E base Cocopah Mts. (*Ibid.*). California: Borrego Spring (*Ibid.*); Long Canyon, 29 mi. N, 19½ mi. W Mecca (Rainey, 1965: 29). Arizona: Fort Mohave.

15. *Neotoma albigula warreni* Merriam, 1908. MARGINAL RECORDS (Hall and Kelson, 1959:688, unless otherwise indicated).—Colorado: 2 mi. E Wetmore, 5700 ft.; junction Huerfano and Cucharas rivers; 3 mi. NW Highbee, 4300 ft.; Two Buttes Peak, 4500 ft. Oklahoma: Regnier, 4500 ft. Texas (Cutter, 1959:449): 10 mi. S, 3 mi. W Gruver; 2 mi. S, 11 mi. E Pringle. New Mexico: Clayton. Colorado: 11 mi. N, 8 mi. E Branson, 5600 ft.; 9 mi. SW Walsenburg, 6600 ft.

16. *Neotoma nelsoni* Goldman, 1905.

17. *Neotoma palatina* Goldman, 1905. MARGINAL RECORDS (present paper).—Jalisco: 10 mi. NE Huejuquilla, 6800 ft.; 1 mi. NW Mezquitic, 5000 ft.; 3 mi. N Villa Guerrero, 5600 ft.; 3 mi. E Totatiche, 5600 ft.; 6 mi. ENE Bolaños, 5350 ft.; 4 mi. ENE Bolaños, 4400 ft.; 2 mi. E Bolaños, 3550 ft.; type locality; 5 mi. NE Huejuquilla, 6200 ft.

18. *Neotoma varia* Burt, 1932.

PERIPHERAL SPECIES AND UNSOLVED PROBLEMS

The three species *Neotoma nelsoni*, *N. palatina*, and *N. varia* (the last known to us by only the description) resemble *Neotoma albigula* morphologically more than they resemble any other species, and we infer from this that the three are genetically more closely related to *N. albigula* than to any other species. Two, *N. nelsoni* and *N. varia*, are geographic isolates, and all three are peripheral to the much larger geographic range of *N. albigula*. This arrangement of a polytypic species having peripheral species is a common arrangement as pointed out by several zoologists (for example, Mayr, 1966:80, 261, 386–393, 496, 526, and 544).

Lest the reader conclude that the authors think, and lest others conclude, that little remains to be done with the systematics of the *Neotoma albigula*-group of woodrats as a means of elucidating their evolutionary history, we wish to emphasize that careful comparative study of all of the existing museum specimens probably would significantly alter the taxonomic arrangement suggested immediately above. Our arrangement, then, attempts to show our present understanding. One matter not touched on here is the intergradation of *Neotoma albigula* and *Neotoma micropus* in southeastern Colorado (see Finley, 1958: 302, 315). Also, the results of the meeting of these same two species remain to be evaluated where they meet (and overlap geographically according to the literature as it now stands) farther south in Texas and on the tableland of México. [After our manuscript was transmitted (13 May 1969), Anderson, Univ. Kansas Mus. Nat. Hist. Misc. Publ., 51:25–50, 11 July 1969, published important information on the relationship of *Neotoma albigula* and *N. micropus* in Chihuahua. Also, he regarded the Río Conchos as the boundary between the two subspecies *Neotoma a. albigula* and *N. a. durangae*; thereby the range of *N. a. durangae* is extended northeastward to include the southern part of the geographic range of *N. a. albigula* shown on our map, Fig. 4.]

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Charles O. Handley, Jr., and associates in the United States National Museum (USNM) and to Dr. Richard H. Manville and his associates in the United States Biological Surveys Collection for making specimens available to us for comparison, and thank the Trustees of the Kansas University Endowment Association for financial assistance, from the Watkins Fund, with field work that yielded essential specimens from critical localities, and are likewise grateful to the National Science Foundation for financial assistance (GB-5909) with some phases of our work in the museum laboratory.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALVAREZ, T. 1962. A new subspecies of wood rat (*Neotoma*) from northeastern Mexico. Univ. Kansas Publ., Mus. Nat. Hist., 14: 139-143.
- . 1963. The Recent mammals of Tamaulipas, México. Univ. Kansas Publ., Mus. Nat. Hist., 14: 363-473.
- BAKER, R. H., AND J. K. GREER. 1962. Mammals of the Mexican state of Durango. Publ. Mus., Michigan State Univ., Biol. Ser., 2: 25-154.
- BURT, W. H. 1960. Bacula of North American mammals. Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool., Univ. Michigan, 113: 1-75.
- COCKRUM, E. L. 1961. The Recent mammals of Arizona. The Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, viii + 276 pp.
- CUTTER, W. L. 1959. The Warren wood rat in Texas. J. Mamm., 40: 448-449.
- DALQUEST, W. W. 1953. Mammals of the Mexican state of San Luis Potosí. Louisiana State Univ. Studies, Biol. Ser., 1: 1-229.
- ———. 1968. Mammals of north-central Texas. Southwestern Nat., 13: 13-21.
- DOUGLAS, C. L. 1967. New records of mammals from Mesa Verde National Park, Colorado. J. Mamm., 48: 322-323.
- FINLEY, R. B. 1958. The wood rats of Colorado: distribution and ecology. Univ. Kansas Publ., Mus. Nat. Hist., 10: 213-552.
- GOLDMAN, E. A. 1905. Twelve new wood rats of the genus *Neotoma*. Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, 18: 27-33.
- . 1910. Revision of the wood rats of the genus *Neotoma*. N. Amer. Fauna, 31: 1-124.
- HALL, E. R., AND K. R. KELSON. 1959. The mammals of North America. The Ronald Press Co., New York, 2: viii + 547-1083 + 79.
- JONES, J. K., JR., T. ALVAREZ, AND M. R. LEE. 1962. Noteworthy mammals from Sinaloa, Mexico. Univ. Kansas Publ., Mus. Nat. Hist., 14: 147-159.
- MAYR, E. 1966. Animal speciation and evolution. Harvard University Press, xiv + 797 pp.
- MERRIAM, C. H. 1894. Abstract of a study of the American wood rats, with description of fourteen new species and subspecies of the genus *Neotoma*. Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, 9: 117-128.
- RAINEY, D. G. 1965. Observations on the distribution and ecology of white-throated wood rat in California. Bull. Southern California Acad. Sci., 64: 27-42.

Museum of Natural History, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, 66044. Accepted 2 April 1970.