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Abstract

*Thermotoga maritima* is an anaerobic hyperthermophilic bacterium known for its high amounts of hydrogen (H₂) production. In the current study, the kinetic modeling was applied on the engineered strains of *T. maritima* that surpassed the natural H₂ production limit. The study generated a kinetic model explaining H₂ overproduction and predicted a continuous fermentation system. A Leudking-Piret equation-based model predicted that H₂ production by Tma200 (0.217 mol-H₂ g⁻¹-biomass) and Tma100 (0.147 mol-H₂ g⁻¹-biomass) were higher than wild type (0.096 mol-H₂ g⁻¹-biomass) with reduced rates of maltose utilization. Sensitivity analysis confirmed satisfactory fitting of the experimental data. The slow growth rates of Tma200 (0.550 h⁻¹) and Tma100 (0.495 h⁻¹) are compared with the wild type (0.663 h⁻¹). A higher
maintenance energy along with growth and non-growth H₂ coefficients corroborate the higher H₂ productivity of the engineered strains. The modeled data established a continuous fermentation system for the sustainable H₂ production.
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**Introduction**

The need for energy is increasing across the world and this need is anticipated to increase for the next twenty years. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) based on the “International Energy Outlook 2013 and 2018 project” indicated that the world’s energy consumption will grow by 56% between 2010 and 2040, from 524 quadrillion Btu to 820 quadrillion Btu accompanied by a sudden surge in electricity use after 2020. Among various sources of energy, molecular hydrogen (H₂) offers a promising clean fuel because of its higher energy content per unit weight (142 kJ g⁻¹ or 61,000 Btu lb⁻¹) relative to alternatives [1]. Hydrogen is an energy carrier and energy from hydrogen can be released either by direct combustion which generates water or by conversion to electricity via a fuel cell to power electric vehicles [2–4]. However, the majority of H₂ is derived from nonrenewable sources such as natural gas and coal that threaten environmental quality because of the associated production of CO₂, a green-house gas [5]. In response to this issue, the German *Energiewende* projects to supplant fossil fuel with renewable energy by 2050 [6]. Additionally, several countries have proposed to abandon the use of vehicles powered by fossil fuels [7] and the US Department of Energy (DOE) plans to phase out the use of natural gas by 2050 [8]. Renewable and affordable H₂ production may help mitigate the adverse effects of fossil fuels. When fossil fuels are phased out, continuation of the established hydrogen market would demand a technology for renewable hydrogen production technology.

Biological methods for H₂ production could provide a renewable energy source though low productivity has remained an obstacle for sustainable production. The stoichiometric conversion of organic acids to H₂ during photofermentation by photoautotrophic microbes employ light as an energy source for H₂ production, however the key enzyme, nitrogenase, produces H₂ only in response to nitrogen deprivation, an extreme physiologic stress. Therefore, this bioprocess has
limitations preventing its application at the industrial scale [9]. A sustainable and biologically stress-free method for renewable H₂ production uses microbial fermentation. This is an anaerobic process operating without the need for oxygen or light sometimes called dark fermentation that yields H₂ as a co-product from the partial oxidation of sugar [10]. Microbial H₂ production through dark fermentation is energetically favored as it does not require external energy [11,12] and is compatible with the growing availability of renewable sugar sources obtained from lignocellulosic biomass feedstocks. Among all H₂ producing bacteria, hyperthermophiles are the most promising biological choice [1,13,14] because high cultivation temperature favors the energetics of H₂ production, promotes solubilization and hydrolysis of complex substrates to fermentable sugars, and inhibits growth of H₂ consuming contaminating microorganisms naturally resident in feedstocks. However, in closed batch culture systems accumulation of H₂ inhibits cell growth [15]. This can be alleviated by continuous stripping of H₂ using molecular nitrogen (N₂) influx or the use of an in-line vacuum of the fermentation head-space resulting in improved H₂ production and better growth [16,17]. Therefore, bench-scale bioreactor studies have become crucial since they can be used to address end-product growth inhibition and to enable prediction of process parameters required for scale up [18].

*Thermotoga maritima* is a hyperthermophilic anaerobic bacterium that grows optimally at 80 °C and excretes H₂ to levels that approach the biological/physiological limit of hydrogen production [14,19,20] using simple and complex carbohydrates [21]. Natural strains of *T. maritima* employs the pathways of Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas (85% relative contribution) and the Entner-Doudorof (15% relative contribution) [13,14,22] to produce H₂, CO₂ and organic acids (lactate, acetate) from sugar oxidation (Fig. S2). Four moles of H₂ are produced per mole of glucose by a bifurcating hydrogenase that accepts electrons and protons simultaneously from reduced NADH and ferredoxin [23]. Organic acids including acetate and lactate are also produced in proportional amounts. Lactic acid production is dependent on cultivating conditions as batch cultivation has been reported to shift the metabolism towards lactic acid production under a high partial pressure of H₂ [24] but not in its absence [25].

Kinetic modeling using various approaches [10] has been applied to biological H₂ formation to optimize H₂ production and to achieve
process scale up [26]. However, the Thauer limit (Thauer et al., 1977), the thermodynamic limit of 4mol of H$_2$ per mole of hexose imposed by cell-based energetic constraints, is the major obstacle limiting economic industrial production of H$_2$ through dark fermentation [27]. Overcoming this limit by improving the conversion of sugar to H$_2$ could lead to a superior H$_2$ production system and a new technology that may supersede fossil fuel-based H$_2$ production. Previously, genetically engineered cell lines of *T. maritima* were developed using a method called transient gene inactivation that were called Tma100 and Tma200 [25,28]. These cell lines were found to over-produce H$_2$ relative to the natural (wild type) lineage and thereby surpassed the H$_2$ productivity previously proposed for a fermentative bacterium. While applications of these strains are of some interest, kinetic parameters must be obtained first by utilizing modeling and bioreactor-based studies.

Here kinetic modeling was performed to predict the maximum H$_2$ molar yields and growth of the evolved *T. maritima* cell lines using maltose as a fermentable substrate. The kinetic modeling can be employed for modeling hydrogen production from engineered strains overproducing hydrogen and may provide a basis for large scale and continuous fermentation processes.

### Materials and methods

**Strains and bioreactor set up**

*Thermotoga maritima* MSB8 (wild type, type, strain) and excess H$_2$ producing strains (Tma100 and Tma200) [25], used in this study, were cultivated in 3L double-jacketed glass bioreactors (Applikon, MA) at 80 °C containing 1.5 L complex medium [29]. Bioreactor-based cultivation was employed to overcome growth inhibition caused by H$_2$ accumulation through headspace exchange. Prior to inoculation, the cultivation medium was reduced by addition of 0.1% (w/v) Na$_2$S followed by supplementation with maltose at a final concentration of 15 mM (mM). As shown in Fig. 1, anaerobic conditions in the bioreactor were maintained by continuous sparging of N$_2$ at 15 mL min$^{-1}$. The medium was stirred at 200 rpm using two axial impellers. Temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen were monitored using immersed sensors and a pH of 7.0 was maintained by metered addition of HCl.
or NaOH as needed using peristaltic pumps. To minimize water loss, the water vapor present in the outgassing headspace was returned to the vessel by condensation using a chilled water supply. Samples were removed periodically using an external syringe to determine culture cell density determined as the optical density (OD$_{600}$), and subsequent organic acid and residual maltose analysis. For H$_2$ sampling, a gas tight syringe (Hamilton) was used to withdraw samples from a rubber septum located on the head plate of the bioreactor.

**Analysis of metabolites**

Analysis of headspace gas was performed by injecting 500 mL volumes into a gas chromatograph (GC 400 Series, GOWMAC, PA) fitted with a thermal conductivity detector. N$_2$ gas was used as a carrier and separation of the sample gas was carried out at 70 °C using a molecular sieve column (GOWMAC). For headspace CO$_2$ analysis, a Varian (430) GC equipped with an Alltech Porapak C-5000 column was used. Helium was used as the carrier gas, and column temperature was maintained at 65 °C to separate CO$_2$. Calibration curves were obtained by injecting various volumes of pure H$_2$ and CO$_2$, and the amount of H$_2$ and CO$_2$ in the headspace was estimated by comparison to these.
values. The ideal gas law was used to calculate the amount of H₂ and CO₂ that was produced at standard temperature and pressure (STP). Organic acids and maltose concentrations were determined in culture supernatants by HPLC with comparison to standards. Prior to injection, samples were clarified by centrifugation at 10,000×g rpm for 10 min and then filtered (AcroDisc, 0.45 μM). Samples (1 μL) were analyzed using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system and an automated sampler equipped with a Refractive Index Detector and a Hi-Plex H column (ChromTech) operated at 65 °C. Isocratic separations used 4 mM sulfuric acid at a rate of 0.4 mL per minute. Aqueous metabolite concentrations were calculated by regression analysis relative to standards. Cell dry weights (cdw) were determined using cell samples from mid-exponential phase cultures.

**Kinetic modeling**

Mathematica 10.0 package (Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL, USA) was used to solve all ordinary differential equations, data fitting, calculating parameters with standard errors, and performing ANOVA sensitivity analysis. In Mathematica, the Fitmodel equation was used to perform 1000 iterations. The entire data were statistically analyzed by ANOVA to estimate standard error, t-statistic, and P-values. The P-value is the most critical parameter necessary to assess the quality of data fitting and must be below 0.05 to be statistically significant.

**T. maritima growth**

The growth of *T. maritima* was modeled assuming cell growth was dependent on first order kinetics:

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu X
\]  

where \(X\) was cellular biomass (g L⁻¹), \(t\) was time (h), and \(\mu\) (h⁻¹) was the proportionality constant generally known as the specific growth rate.

The doubling time of the individual cell lines was estimated using the condition: \(X = 2X\) at \(t = t_d\) where \(t_d\) is the doubling time (h in Eq (1):

\[
t_d = \frac{0.695}{\mu}
\]
Monod's equation or the logistic approach [30] has been widely used for modeling growth. Here the logistic approach was used for modeling the growth of *T. maritima* due to its simplicity for calculation of batch fermentation data and the utilization of significant biological and bioreactor geometric parameters.

The logistic model can be presented as:

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} \left(1 - \frac{X}{X_{\text{max}}}\right) X
\]

where \(\mu_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum specific growth rate (h\(^{-1}\)) and \(X_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum attainable biomass (g L\(^{-1}\)). Applying initial condition: \(X = X_0\) at \(t = t_0\) Eq (3) can be simplified to the biomass equation:

\[
X = \frac{X_0 X_{\text{max}} e^{\mu_{\text{max}}t}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_0 + X_0 e^{\mu_{\text{max}}t}}
\]

**Product formation**

The Leudking-Piret equation [30] was used to model the productions of H\(_2\) and acetate, where the rate of product formation was dependent on both growth and non-growth associated production, as shown in the following equation:

\[
\frac{dP}{dt} = \alpha \frac{dX}{dt} + \beta X
\]

where \(P\) is the concentration of product i.e. H\(_2\) or acetate (mmol L\(^{-1}\)), \(\alpha\) is the growth associated coefficient (mmol g\(^{-1}\)), and \(\beta\) is the non-growth associated coefficient (mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)).

The formation of products can be divided into three different classes [31]: (i) Class I that represents products connected to biomass formation exclusively (\(\alpha \neq 0; \beta = 0\)), (ii) Class II that concerns products moderately connected with biomass formation (\(\alpha \neq 0; \beta \neq 0\)), and (iii) Class III represents products that are unrelated to biomass formation (\(\alpha = 0; \beta \neq 0\)). The experimental data and modeling show that H\(_2\) and acetate formation by *T. maritima* fall into the Class II category.

**Maltose consumption**

An equation that describes maltose consumption can be represented by the utilization of the sugar in biomass formation, maintenance, and metabolite (product) formation:
\[- \frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{1}{Y_{X/S}} \frac{dX}{dt} + mX + \frac{1}{Y_{P/S}} \frac{dP}{dt} \tag{6}\]

where \(S\) is the substrate concentration (mmol L\(^{-1}\)), \(Y_{X/S}\) is the biomass yield coefficient (g-biomass mmol-maltose\(^{-1}\)), \(m\) is the maintenance coefficient (mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)), and \(Y_{P/S}\) is the product yield coefficient (g-biomass mmol-maltose\(^{-1}\)). \(H_2\) and acetate productions in \(T.\ maritima\) can be related to biomass using the expression: \(Y_{P/X} = -\frac{dP(t)}{dX(t)}\), where \(Y_{P/X}\) is the yield of biomass-based product.

**Sensitivity analysis**

The parameters obtained from the modeling of biomass (\(t_d, \mu_{max}, X_{max}\)), \(H_2\) production (\(\alpha_{H_2}, Y_{H_2/S}\)), acetate production (\(\alpha_{Ac}, \beta_{Ac}, Y_{Ac/S}\)), and substrate (maltose) consumption (\(m, Y_{X/S}\)) were calibrated and analyzed using ANOVA sensitivity analysis. Apart from the values of t-statistic, \(P\)-value, and \(R^2\), the data were subjected to analysis with fitted residuals and estimated variance.

**Simulation of continuous \(H_2\) production**

Continuous \(H_2\) production was simulated with a continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) consistent with the experimental conditions. The assumptions for the continuous culture simulation were (i) the inflow stream to CSTR had a maltose concentration of 15 mM with no biomass, (ii) the inflow and outflow were set to the same flow rate, and (iii) the respective calculated batch parameters for the three cell lines were assumed to be the same in the experimental conditions. The following equations were used to represent the growth, maltose consumption, and product formation (\(H_2\) and acetate):

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = -DX + \mu X \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = D(S_0 - S) - \left( \frac{1}{Y_{X/S}} \frac{dX}{dt} + m_s X + \frac{1}{Y_{P/S}} \frac{dP}{dt} \right) \tag{8}
\]

\[
P = Y_{P/X} X \tag{9}
\]

where \(D\) is the dilution rate (h\(^{-1}\)), and \(S_0\) is the initial substrate amount (g L\(^{-1}\)).
Results and discussion

Kinetic modeling

The kinetic modeling of growth (Equation (4)), H$_2$ production (Equation (5)), acetate production (Equation (5)), and maltose utilization (Equation (6)) resulted in best-fit plots as shown in Fig. 2. The related kinetic parameters are listed in Table 1.

Growth kinetics

To maintain anaerobic conditions and to avoid H$_2$ associated growth inhibition of the cell lines, the headspace of the bioreactor was continuously replaced by nitrogen (N$_2$) sparging at a constant rate of 15 mL min$^{-1}$ throughout the duration of the fermentation. Fig. 2a shows

![Graphs showing biomass, maltose consumption, H$_2$ production, and acetate production](image-url)

Fig. 2. Fitting of experimental data of growth, maltose consumption, H$_2$ production and acetate production in wild type, Tma100 and Tma200 to the kinetic models. Experimental: wild type (●), Tma100 (▲) and Tma200 (■). Kinetic model fitted curves for wild type (—), Tma100 (−−) and Tma200 (⋯⋯).
the fitting of the experimental growth data using Equation (4). The ANOVA analysis (p-values < 0.05) and optimal fitting ($R^2$ value of 0.99) of the data confirm the reliability of the model. As evident by the initial slopes of growth curves shown in Fig. 2a, the model fitting demonstrated higher parametric determination of specific growth rates in the wild type followed by Tma200 (approximately 75% lower than wild type) and finally by Tma100 (approximately 83% lower than wild type). These variable growth rates resulted in approximately 45% and 59% lower overall biomass for Tma100 and Tma200 than that of the wild type, respectively. The differences in values of the biomass production rates observed between the cell lines were due to different doubling times and specific growth rates as listed in Table 1 and were independent of H$_2$-associated growth inhibition [20] since a continuous flow of N$_2$ avoided H$_2$ accumulation [16]. Biomass based productivity of H$_2$ (96 mmol H$_2$ g$^{-1}$ cdw) in the wild type was comparable with prior fermentation studies (94 mmol g$^{-1}$ cdw) carried out with T. maritima [32].

### Table 1. Fitted values of estimated parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Thermotoga maritima strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Biomass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time, $t_d$ (h)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum specific growth, $\mu_{\text{max}}$ (1/h)</td>
<td>0.663 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum biomass, $X_{\text{max}}$ (g/L)</td>
<td>0.267 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) H$_2$ Production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ formation coefficient, $\alpha$ (mol-H$_2$/g-biomass)</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ non- growth associated coefficient, $\beta$ (mol-H$_2$/g-biomass)</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum H$_2$ production (% cdw)</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass based hydrogen yield, $Y_{\text{H}_2}$ (mol-H$_2$/g-biomass)</td>
<td>0.204 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Acetate (AA) Production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA formation coefficient, $\alpha$ (mol-AA/g- biomass)</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA non- growth associated coefficient, $\beta$ (mol-AA/(g-biomass * h))</td>
<td>0.0033 ± 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass based AA yield, $Y_{\text{AA}}$ (mol-AA/g-biomass)</td>
<td>0.059 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Maltose Consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum biomass yield, $Y_{\text{X}}$ (g-biomass/mol-maltose)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance coefficient, $m$ (g-biomass/(mol-maltose * h))</td>
<td>0.370 ± 0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate based H$<em>2$ yield, $Y</em>{\text{H}_2}$ (mol-H$_2$/mol-maltose)</td>
<td>6.22 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate based AA yield, $Y_{\text{AA}}$ (mol-AA/mol-maltose)</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio (H$_2$/AA)</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AA — Acetic Acid; H$_2$ — Hydrogen gas.
Relationships between maltose consumption, growth and product formation

The maltose consumption rates, growth and product yields were estimated on the basis of limiting substrate (maltose) utilization during fermentation. The experimental values of maltose consumption in the cell lines were fitted ($p < 0.05$ and $R^2 = 0.99$) for their respective experimental data points using Equation (6) (Fig. 2b). The wild type grew faster (specific growth rate = 0.663 h$^{-1}$) and therefore utilized more than 95% of the available maltose in 30 h of fermentation. The reduction in the growth rates of Tma100 (0.495 h$^{-1}$) and Tma200 (0.550 h$^{-1}$) was consistent with a slower rate of maltose uptake and consumption. The poor growth of Tma100 resulted in a residual amount of unutilized maltose (6.75 mM) in the bioreactor after 30 h of fermentation. Tma200 showed an intermediate growth pattern again resulting in a residual amount of unutilized maltose (4.70 mM). The reason for the residual maltose was due to a slower maltose uptake by the mutated maltose transporter of Tma100 and Tma200 [25].

It has been reported that non-growing or slowly growing microbial cultures can recycle much of the metabolic energy derived from the initial fermentation of sugar into driving additional H$_2$ production [33]. To determine if this might be occurring in this study, we determined the amount of substrate utilized for non-growing biomass and the maintenance coefficient for all three strains (Table 1). The estimated values of the maintenance coefficients showed that Tma100 and Tma200 strains utilized ~1.37 and ~2.54-fold more maltose respectively than the wild type for their non-growing components that contributed towards the formation of comparatively higher fermentation products than that of wild type. Importantly, fermentations in fermentative organisms have been optimized by evolution to produce cell biomass and not H$_2$ [34]. This has been a major obstacle for the production of biological H$_2$ in an economically viable manner but could be overcome if H$_2$ formation could be uncoupled from biomass formation and cell growth. From the data presented here it was evident that a greater proportion of maltose contributed to formation of fermentative products (H$_2$ and acetate) rather than biomass in strains Tma100 and Tma200 and was accompanied by slower growth (Table 1). The higher g cdw mol$^{-1}$ maltose ratio in the wild type indicated that the maltose consumed by the wild type strain resulted in
an excess of biomass formation in deference to formation of fermentative products including H₂ (Fig. 5).

To observe the amount of fermentative products (H₂, CO₂ and acetate) synthesized by the strains (Tma100 and Tma200) [25], the substrate-based product yields were calculated. Tma100 and Tma200 were found to be superior in H₂ and acetate yields as compared to the wild type; the yields of H₂ production were ~1.56 and ~1.86-fold higher, respectively, whereas acetate yields were ~1.46 and ~1.58-fold higher, respectively compared with the wild type. Tma100 and Tma200 were also found to produce 1.40-fold and 1.65 fold higher molar yield of CO₂ than the wild type suggesting increased oxidation of the sugar.

Relationship of H₂ production to kinetic parameters determined from biomass formation and maltose consumption

To obtain H₂-related kinetic parameters such as the H₂ formation coefficient, maximum production, and maximum yield, the experimental data for H₂ production for each cell line were fitted using the Leudking-Piret Equation (5) as shown (Fig. 2c). The fitted values for these parameters are listed in Table 1. The statistical analysis (p < 0.05) and model fitting (R² = 0.99) verified a higher confidence in the predictions. It was observed that the H₂ formation coefficient (a), that represented growth-associated H₂ production, remained ~ 1.53 and ~2.26-fold higher for Tma100 and Tma200 relative to the wild type, respectively. This highlighted that H₂ formation was growth dependent, which was greater for Tma100 and Tma200 even though their growth rates were lower than that of the wild type. This could mean that production of H₂ in Tma100 and Tma200 was higher on a per cell basis. The production of H₂ in the stationary phase is represented by b, which is the non-growth H₂ coefficient. A comparatively low value of b for the wild type than that of Tma100 and Tma200 suggested that H₂ production remained lower in the wild type under non-growth conditions, while Tma100 and Tma200 produced H₂ constantly even under non-growth conditions. This underscores a unique capacity of Tma100 and Tma200 to produce H₂ continuously and concomitantly with slow biomass production. To determine the value for H₂ production per unit biomass, the respective exponential growth phase values of H₂ were linearly regressed (Fig. 3). The slopes represented the
H₂ production per mg-cdw. The higher slopes of H₂ production for Tma200 (2 fold) and Tma100 (1.6 fold) relative to the wild type were also consistent with higher H₂ productivity per unit biomass. The intercept, representing the minimum concentration of biomass (on a cdw basis) that evolved H₂ and was found to be twice as high for Tma200 (1.56 mg L⁻¹) relative to those of both the wild type (0.84 mg L⁻¹) and Tma100 (0.78 mg L⁻¹). Therefore, the results indicated that a lower quantity of biomass and corresponding growth resulted in H₂ overproduction by Tma100 and Tma200 (Fig. 5). This is consistent with prior studies in other organisms where reducing the substrate feeding to decrease biomass resulted in higher H₂ production [35]. As reported previously, the differential growth patterns observed for wild type, Tma100 and Tma200 were a result of their respective ability to transport and ferment maltose [25]. Based on the modeling reported here, H₂ production was a function of both growth and maltose utilization, and a reduction in both yielded more fermentative products.

**A kinetic and redirected metabolism pathway-based explanation for the higher molar yield of H₂**

The most interesting outcome from studies using Tma100 and Tma200 was that H₂ production per g cdw increased relative to the wild type and the molar yield of H₂ surpassed the previously
proposed limit of 4 mol of H₂ per hexose [19]. For Tma100, the ratio of moles of H₂ per mole of maltose was 9.69 ± 0.20 and for Tma200 the ratio was 11.54 ± 0.22. This confirms the hydrogen yield to go beyond the predicted Thauer limit. (Table 2). It also emphasizes the unique nature of these strains.

Higher values of H₂ formation coefficient in combination with higher non-growth H₂ coefficients for Tma100 and Tma200 suggested that H₂ production in these strains was a continuous process. This could be one of the kinetic modeling-based explanations of the higher molar yield of H₂. Furthermore, the maintenance energy coefficient, (a physiological parameter) that specifies the amount of energy cells require for maintaining homeostasis in the absence of growth [36], was found to be twice as high for Tma100 and three times higher for Tma200 relative to that of the wild type. This suggests that energy from maltose catabolism is used to maintain the cellular integrity of Tma100 and Tma200 instead of being used for cellular multiplication. A relationship of higher maintenance coefficients to the highest yields of H₂ is consistent with prior studies in other hyperthermophiles [37].

The direction of the carbon flux through the Entner-Doudoroff (ED) or Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) or the Pentose Phosphate Pathway (PPP) can determine the amount of H₂ and other metabolites. Normally, T. maritima utilizes the EMP (85%) and the ED (15%) pathways without using the PPP ultimately leading to a maximum production of 4 mol of H₂ and 2 mol of acetate per mol of glucose consumed [14]. If the carbon flux is rerouted through the PPP, the additional reductants can be produced leading to either 8 mol H₂ per mole of glucose (maximum) along with 1 mol acetate per mole of glucose⁻¹ or 5.33 mol H₂ mol⁻¹ glucose (minimum) along with 1.67 mol acetate per mole of glucose depending on reutilization of intermediates (Fig. S1). The carbon flux is rerouted through the PPP in the engineered strains and the glycolytic pattern resembles the letter “p”. This can be defined as the p-type metabolism that results in maximum hydrogen productivity. In contrast, carbon flows through the EMP and ED pathway in the wild type and the overall carbon flow pattern resembles the letter “q”. This can be defined as the q-type metabolism that is less productive (Fig. 5). The production of ATP is an important consideration because it is required to sustain bacterial growth. Generation of 8 mol H₂ mol⁻¹ glucose leads to 1 mol ATP formation whereas 5.33 mol H₂ mol⁻¹ glucose leads to 1.63 mol ATP (Fig. S1). The lower
Table 2. Hydrogen productivity and molar yield of H\textsubscript{2} by various hyperthermophiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Growth temperature ((T_{opt}) °C)</th>
<th>Cultivation Mode</th>
<th>(working volume/fermenter vol)</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Molar yield (mol H\textsubscript{2} mol glu\textsuperscript{–1})</th>
<th>(H_2) production rate (mmol g_cdw\textsuperscript{–1} h\textsuperscript{–1})</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga maritima DSM 3109</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>100mL/1.25L serum bottle</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>75-80 (cal)</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga maritima</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>1.5L/2.3L</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>2.12 (growth phase)</td>
<td>10.65 (cal)</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga neapolitana</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>600mL/2.4L glass reactor</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga neapolitana DSM 435</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>50mL/160 mL serum bottle</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga maritima</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>60mL/120 mL bottle</td>
<td>Ara</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotoga elfi DSM 9442</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>1L/3L</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloramator celer (formerly known as Thermobrachium celer)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>50mL/120 mL serum bottle</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloramator celer</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Batch (pH = 8)</td>
<td>1L/3L</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>1L/3L</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.48 (D = 0.05) and 2.90 (D = 0.15)</td>
<td>19.80 (D = 0.05) and 19.9.50 (D = 0.15) (cal)</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>0.75L/2L</td>
<td>Glu (1.9 g L\textsuperscript{–1})</td>
<td>3.0–3.60</td>
<td>13.33–25.39 (cal)</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>1L/3L</td>
<td>Suc</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldicellulosiruptor owensensis OL</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>1L/3 STR</td>
<td>Xyl</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>21.59 (cal)</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldicellulosiruptor owensensis OL</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>1L/3 STR</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.73 (cal)</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>10L/12L</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>4.0 (predicted)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoanaerobacter mathrani A3N</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>10mL/125 mL serum bottle</td>
<td>Glu/Suc/Xyl</td>
<td>2.64/2.68/2.07</td>
<td>6.23 (glu)/6.13 (suc)/4.90 (xyl)</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrococcus furiosus</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>1L/2L</td>
<td>Mal</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>25–100 (cal)</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mal-maltose, Glu-glucose, Suc-sucrose, Xyl-xylose, Pyr-pyruvate, Cell-cellulose, Ara-arabinose, YE-yeast extract, CO\textsubscript{e} carbon mono-oxide, STR- Stir Tank reactor. Cal-mmol H\textsubscript{2} g cdw\textsuperscript{–1} h\textsuperscript{–1} was calculated by diving the H\textsubscript{2} mmol h\textsuperscript{–1} L\textsuperscript{–1} to g cdw L\textsuperscript{–1} using the original plots or values published in corresponding references.
amount of ATP production does not offer any benefit to a fermentative bacterium instead it is likely to affect growth negatively. The organism would prefer to extract the maximum amount of ATP when carbon is redirected through the PPP. This was the case for Tma200 that generated 5.77 mol H₂ mol⁻¹ glucose and 1.87 mol acetate mol⁻¹ glucose representing the attainment of a stable metabolic condition that could generate enough ATP to sustain growth while synthesizing H₂ above the previously proposed hypothetical limit. In addition to the involvement of the PPP, the overall Gibbs free energy change for the metabolic reactions for Tma100 and Tma200 remained supportive of enough ATP formation and excess H₂ production [25].

Physiologically, a metabolic shift towards acetate offers another plausible reason of the increased H₂ molar yield by Tma100 and
Tma200. In the absence of any detectable ethanol production [38] and a reduced quantity of lactate formation (none for Tma100 and a 75% reduction for Tma200 relative to the wild type) it appears that metabolism has been redirected in Tma100 and Tma200. A metabolic shift has been observed in different knockout mutants of another organism [39], however, in the present study this metabolic shift seems to occur as a result of variable maltose uptake and growth in Tma100 and Tma200. Prediction of the molar yield of acetate and a non-growth associated coefficient by Leudking-Piret equation verified the metabolic shift in Tma100 and Tma200 (Table 1).

**Acetic acid production and kinetic parameters**

In a fermentative H₂ producing organism, organic acid excretion can determine the effectiveness of H₂ production. A metabolic shift towards lactate production decreased the H₂ production rate [40] whereas an increase in acetate improved the H₂ production rate [12,41]. As no lactate was produced by Tma100 whereas a reduction
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**Fig. 5.** A proposed model explaining feedstock uptake and the resultant metabolic transition from the letter “q” shaped (q-type metabolism) to “p” shaped (p-type metabolism). The wild type accumulates excessive carbon that forces q-type metabolism which is created by the use of the EMP pathway. In the engineered strain uptake of carbon is slow which activates p-type metabolism formed by the use of the PPP. Redirection of energy consumption from cell reproduction to maintenance energy enables more H₂ formation than the cell mass and the opposite is true in the wild type. Hydrogen can be used either to generate energy via a fuel cell or as a fuel for the hydrogen vehicles.
of 75% of lactate was observed in Tma200, only the experimental data for acetate formation was modeled. Previously, in small batch culture studies, the molar yield of acetate has been reported to be 2 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose in *T. maritima* [14]. However using a larger scale batch culture, a lower yield of 1 mol acetate mol\(^{-1}\) glucose was observed [32]. Furthermore, in a chemostat study of *Pyrococcus furiosus*, a yield of 1.27 mol of acetate per mole of glucose was reported [42]. This suggested that the theoretical value of 2 mol of acetate mol\(^{-1}\) of glucose may not be achieved using a larger scale of batch cultivation. In this study, however, the molar yield of acetate for wild type was estimated to be 0.90 mol mol\(^{-1}\) of glucose (1.82 ± 0.02 mol mol\(^{-1}\) maltose) which is comparable (1 mol acetate mol\(^{-1}\) glucose) to prior larger scale batch culture studies [32]. Additionally, a lower theoretical molar yield of acetate could result from a loss of carbon as L-alanine excretion as has been reported previously in *T. maritima* [32,43]. Since H\(_2\) production was tightly linked to acetate production, a proportional increase in the acetate molar yield was achieved by Tma100 and Tma200. The overall yields of acetate in both engineered strains were very close to as predicted by the involvement of the PPP (Fig. S1). The model fitting of the acetate produced by the strains (Fig. 2d) showed that acetate was both a growth and non-growth associated product. The growth associated coefficient (\(\alpha\)) increased 1.96 and 2.50-times for Tma100 and Tma200, respectively relative to the wild type (Table 1). The values of non-growth associated growth (\(\beta\)) increased by 2.06 and 1.42-fold for Tma100 and Tma200, respectively relative to the wild type (Table 1). Biomass-based acetate yield by Tma100 and Tma200 was ~1.98-fold higher than that of the wild type (Table 1). Carbon recovery was over 90% indicating a balanced stoichiometry and indicated that the major carbon source was maltose while other carbon present in yeast extract added to the growth medium did not contribute significantly to fermentation product formation [25]. A separate validation of the fermentation was pursued by calculating the oxidation-reduction balance of the overall fermentation reaction for all strains. The oxidation-reduction balance of oxidized and reduced products was close to the theoretical value of 1.0. This showed that the products were in balance and were accurately determined without any indication of new products present in significant quantities [25].
Simulation of a continuous biohydrogen production system

Growth simulation in a bioreactor

Kinetic modeling can provide a comprehensive analysis of experimental data to predict operating conditions [44] that are required for continuous fermentation. Continuous culture studies are crucial to achieve a more stable and higher yield of productivity ([45,46]. Since, H₂ is mostly a growth dependent product, a continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) may be the best choice for continuous H₂ production. Here, kinetic modeling of the bioreactor-based experimental data was used to estimate various kinetic parameters to define H₂-specific attributes necessary for H₂ over-producing strains. These became the basis for simulating a continuous H₂ production system.

The dilution rate (D) is an important factor that maintains cultivated organisms in their most productive phase leading to stabilization of the continuous culture-based system [47]. To predict a stable system for H₂ production, the determined kinetic parameters were employed to simulate a continuous stirred tank reactor. For the simulation of continuous H₂ production, Equations (7)–(9) were solved using the parameters for the respective strains as listed in Table 1. The comparative simulation results for all the cell lines at three different dilution rates are presented in Fig. 4. A lower dilution rate (0.01 h⁻¹) maintained a majority of the biomass (~119.6, 118.8, and 118.6 mg L⁻¹ of wild type, Tma200 and Tma100, respectively) compared to the dilution rate of 0.1 h⁻¹ (~100.6, 100.0, and 109.6 mg L⁻¹ of wild type, Tma200 and Tma100, respectively). Both lower rates (0.01 and 0.1 h⁻¹) were likely to maintain a steady state after 5 hr until the end of the fermentation. A higher dilution rate (1 h⁻¹) would result in a loss of significant biomass. The loss of biomass at a higher dilution rate can be attributed to the higher dilution rate (D) that approached the value of μ_max (Table 1). Consequently, at a higher dilution rate, the continuous culture system is predicted to become unstable due to wash-out of the biomass.

Simulation of maltose consumption during continuous cultivation

The effect of dilution rate on maltose consumption was estimated at three dilution rates. At a lower dilution rate, due to the presence of a
higher number of cells in the bioreactor, an excess of substrate utilization was likely. From a simulation plot of maltose consumption, it was evident that a lower dilution rate (0.01 h\(^{-1}\)) would result in fast utilization of maltose in the wild type with a slower rate of consumption by Tma100 and Tma200. Therefore, the inherent ability of Tma100 and Tma200 to utilize less maltose makes them more economic in regard to substrate utilization. Since, bioreactor washout is predicted to occur at 1.0 h\(^{-1}\), no maltose utilization would take place even in the presence of 15 mM maltose.

\(H_2\) production simulation in a bioreactor

At a lower dilution rate (0.01 h\(^{-1}\)) \(H_2\) production would remain constant without any fluctuation and the \(H_2\) production rate would be significantly higher in Tma100 and Tma200 relative to that of the wild type. At an intermediate flow rate (0.1 h\(^{-1}\)) \(H_2\) production would fluctuate and would collapse at 1 h\(^{-1}\) due to washout of cells as has been seen in CSTR simulation studies on \(H_2\) production [48]. This suggested that maintaining a flow rate of 0.01 h\(^{-1}\) would retain the optimum number of cells of Tma100 (118.6 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and Tma200 (118.8 mg L\(^{-1}\)) thereby, allowing them to produce more \(H_2\) than that of the wild type at a reduced rate of maltose utilization. From this observation, it was reasonable to conclude that maintaining a lower biomass for the wild type (equivalent to Tma100 and Tma200) could improve \(H_2\) production by the wild type organism. However, as the only way to maintain a lower biomass of the wild type would be to increase the flow rate, it is evident from the simulation that an increase in flow rate will decrease \(H_2\) production even though the biomass of the wild type will become equivalent to strains Tma100 or Tma200 at the steady state. This supports the notion that the productions of \(H_2\) from Tma100 and Tma200 are higher on a per cell basis and will remain higher than that of wild type under any kinetic condition.

Acetic acid production simulation in a bioreactor

Similar to \(H_2\) production, a proportional amount of acetate would be produced under continuous cultivation conditions and the overall concentration would be higher than that of the wild type. A higher dilution rate (1 h\(^{-1}\)) would result in a decrease of acetate due to wash
out of acetate producers. This simulation-based prediction considered results obtained from an experimental batch study [48]. From the overall simulation, a dilution rate of (0.1 h\(^{-1}\)) would be suitable for a constant amount of H\(_2\) production in the continuous culture. As excess H\(_2\) production is an inherent property of Tma100 and Tma200, and the wild type cannot achieve this despite manipulation of cultivation conditions, the evolved \textit{T. maritima} cell lines are ideal candidates for economic and large scale H\(_2\) production.

**Conclusions**

The kinetic modeling of product formation by \textit{Thermotoga maritima} cell lines (wild type, Tma100, and Tma200) presents the relationship between maltose utilization, biomass production, and the syntheses of H\(_2\) and acetate. Fitting of the experimental data showed that the excess H\(_2\) production by Tma100 and Tma200 resulted from the contributions of growth and non-growth coefficients. The slow growth of Tma100 and Tma200 resulted from their reduced maltose uptake rates that shifted the q-type metabolism to p-type metabolism in these strains. The kinetic parameters were used to simulate a continuous and stable culture-based H\(_2\) production system. Besides, the study suggests an improved design for large-scale biological hydrogen system.
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Figs. S1 & S2
**Figure S1.** A proposed model of metabolites formation when carbon is redirected through the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP). The panel A shows the minimum amount of H₂ production and the panel B shows the maximum amount of H₂ production via the PPP.
Figure S2. Proposed central metabolic pathways in *T. maritima*. **GK**- Glucose kinase, **PGI**- Phosphoglucone isomerase, **PFK** -Phosphofructokinase, **FBA** - Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase, **TIM** - Triose-phosphate isomerase, **G6PDH** - Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, **ilvD** - Phosphogluconate dehydratase, **gnd** - 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, **KDG** - 2-keto-3-deoxygluconate, **KDPG** - 2-Keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate, **GAP** - Glyceraldehyde -3-phosphate, **1,3 BPG** - 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate, **GAPDH**-Glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase, **H₂ase**-Hydrogenase, **ldh**- lactate dehydrogenase, **PFOR**- Pyruvate Ferredoxin, **Pta**-Phosphate acetyltransferase, **ackA**- Acetate kinase.