

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

## DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Op-Eds from ENSC230 Energy and the  
Environment: Economics and Policies

Undergraduate Research in Agricultural  
Economics

---

Fall 12-13-2019

### Subsidize or Suffer

Dallas Smith

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, [dallas.smith@huskers.unl.edu](mailto:dallas.smith@huskers.unl.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ageconugensc>



Part of the [Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons](#), [Natural Resources and Conservation Commons](#), [Oil, Gas, and Energy Commons](#), and the [Other Environmental Sciences Commons](#)

---

Smith, Dallas, "Subsidize or Suffer" (2019). *Op-Eds from ENSC230 Energy and the Environment: Economics and Policies*. 103.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ageconugensc/103>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Undergraduate Research in Agricultural Economics at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Op-Eds from ENSC230 Energy and the Environment: Economics and Policies by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Dallas Smith

ENSC 230

### Subsidize or Suffer

The standard for energy production and consumption in the US has historically been the use of coal or oil. In the earliest days of the industrial revolution, coal was king. For a society to achieve such a goal as the expansion and fortification of infrastructure, well, society as a whole, the means absolutely justify the ends. But what if the means of producing energy didn't *have* to look like what they did over a hundred years ago? Well they don't. The use of new renewable, sustainable energy could prove to be beneficial in many aspects, environmental health especially. By subsidizing the use of renewables for the purpose of energy production, the state of NE could stand to become a positive influence for the rest of the nation in the fight against climate change.

If it isn't entirely obvious to you by now, the world's climate is changing due to anthropogenic activities. In other words, we messed up guys. As the ice caps melt and the air turns a stale brown, the "environmental debt" we have dug ourselves into only grows larger and larger. Unfortunately for the earth, economic principles don't apply and bankruptcy in this case is literally death. To avoid such an event would require a paradigm shift of society, specifically aimed at the energy production sector.

Currently, energy production is one of the US markets with the highest rate of subsidies. But that isn't to say that we are heading in the wrong direction as a society necessarily. The US Energy Information Administration (EIA) reported that in 2010, natural gas received \$24B and coal received \$21B. In 2016, natural gas received \$32B and coal received \$14B, a nearly 40% decrease in funding in just 6 years. This is a good sign, it means that we are willing to put our

chips into another pile at the very least, and at most means that we are willing to invest in more sustainable fuels – as natural burns *slightly* cleaner than oil and much cleaner than coal.

The fossil fuel industry currently employs millions of people. A shift away from the use of fossil fuels would certainly eliminate these jobs. This *could* be seen as a negative through the right (or wrong) lens. But that's not to say that expanding on clean energy wouldn't make any jobs either. A 2017 report from the Department of Energy shows that nearly 1 million clean energy jobs have been created in the US alone. This number being nearly 5 times that of US fossil fuel workers.

By continuing to subsidize the energy industry in the direction of fossil fuels is to cosign on the accelerated heat death of the planet at the hands of the ignorant. But it is not too late! Several regions in the US have or are planning to have economic policies put in place to combat climate change. Currently there is a 30% rebate on solar PV panels at the federal level, which is wonderful for residential and commercial installation. In addition to this, there are currently 14 different financial incentive programs in relation to renewable energy usage. Most of which are locked to specific regions (DSIRE, 2019).

In Nebraska there are strong Net metering laws. This means that any energy produced at the household level has to be connected to the collective power grid. This connection could allow for power produced at the individual level to be sold back to the local energy providers. More money doesn't have to mean a loss in environmental quality!

What Nebraska currently lacks is a substantial subsidy for the implementation of renewables. At the individual level this could look like an installation rebate, and at the industrial level it could simply mimic current practices in the fossil fuels industry.

Sources:

Energy Information Administration. Analysis and Projections. *Direct Federal Financial Interventions and Subsidies in Energy in Fiscal Year 2016*. 2016. <https://www.eia.gov/analysis/requests/subsidy/#4>

DSIRE USA. Nebraska Programs. *NC Clean Energy Technology Center*. 2019.  
<https://programs.dsireusa.org/system/program?fromSir=0&state=NE>