

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Documentary Editing: Journal of the Association
for Documentary Editing (1979-2011)

Documentary Editing, Association for


1980

Errata: Being the Correction of a Singular Transposition with Apologies from the Transpositor to His Readers

Jon Kukla

Library of Virginia

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/docedit>

 Part of the [Digital Humanities Commons](#), [Other Arts and Humanities Commons](#), [Reading and Language Commons](#), and the [Technical and Professional Writing Commons](#)

Kukla, Jon, "Errata: Being the Correction of a Singular Transposition with Apologies from the Transpositor to His Readers" (1980). *Documentary Editing: Journal of the Association for Documentary Editing (1979-2011)*. Paper 128. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/docedit/128>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Documentary Editing, Association for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Documentary Editing: Journal of the Association for Documentary Editing (1979-2011)* by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

*Errata: Being the Correction
of a Singular Transposition;
With Apologies From the Transpositor to His Readers*

Having committed (with three inch high characters) a noticeable transposition in the ADE acronym on the cover of the February *Newsletter*, we do not especially savor the experience. Rumors that the *Newsletter's* editor had been taken captive by a group of militant Gaelic spelling reformers are baseless; he simply goofed. From this unhappy circumstance has come some good, however, for careful investigation demonstrates the existence of more than a dozen prior instances of error recorded in the annals

of human history. An antebellum issue of the *Savannah Republican* published this notice:

In our cholera article of yesterday evening, for "No," read "Yes;" and for "Yes," read "No."

And an early nineteenth-century London newspaper printed this notice:

For "*her* grace, the Duke of Bedford," read "*his* grace, the Duchess of Bedford.

— JON KUKLA