

2007

A review of Shiga toxin Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica in cattle and free-ranging birds: potential association and epidemiological links

Kerri Pedersen

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Kerri.Pedersen@aphis.usda.gov

Larry Clark

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, larry.clark@aphis.usda.gov

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hwi>



Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#)

Pedersen, Kerri and Clark, Larry, "A review of Shiga toxin Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica in cattle and free-ranging birds: potential association and epidemiological links" (2007). *Human–Wildlife Interactions*. 129.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hwi/129>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Human–Wildlife Interactions by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

A review of Shiga toxin *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* in cattle and free-ranging birds: potential association and epidemiological links

KERRI PEDERSEN, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, 4101 LaPorte Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80521-2154, USA and Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA
Kerri.Pedersen@aphis.usda.gov

LARRY CLARK, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, 4101 LaPorte Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80521-2154, USA

Abstract: Cattle are the main reservoir for human infection by pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. To prevent entry of these foodborne pathogens into the human food chain, management factors at the farm level must be identified and controlled. External sources of contamination, such as birds, should be considered as potential sources of transmission over long distances. In this review, we focus on the epidemiology of infection by *E. coli* and *S. enterica* and the consequences of birds acting as disseminators of these pathogens at dairy farms in terms of cattle health and the subsequent effects on human health.

Key words: birds, cattle, *Escherichia coli*, prevalence, *Salmonella enterica*, salmonellosis, STEC

TWO MEMBERS of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*, are ubiquitous microorganisms that cause intestinal infection in humans and animals (Farmer 2003). Although innocuous *E. coli* predominate among the normal flora of the vertebrate intestine, pathogenic forms exist that cause disease of varying severity in humans and other animals (Lewis 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998). Similarly, *S. enterica* may live harmlessly in the intestinal tract, but it is one of the most common foodborne illnesses in humans (Lewis 1997), and it is associated with illness in animals. Because cattle are the main reservoir for human infection by pathogenic *E. coli* and *S. enterica* (Wells et al. 2001), it is assumed that minimizing the presence of these pathogens in the herd will reduce the number of infected cattle sent to slaughter, thus minimizing disease risk to humans (Herriott et al. 1998). As a consequence, research on farm management practices has attempted to identify factors affecting pathogen prevalence in cattle (Hancock et al. 1994, Hancock et al. 1997, Kabagambe et al. 2000). However, 1 source of farm management and biosecurity assessment that has received little attention has been the role that peridomestic and free-ranging birds have in pathogen transmission. In this paper, we review the epidemiology of infection by a pathogenic group of *E. coli* known as shiga toxin *E. coli* (STEC) and *S. enterica* in free-ranging birds and cattle as these bacteria relate to herd management and human health.

The STEC group of *E. coli* produces toxins similar to those produced by *Shigella dysenteriae* (Wray and Woodward 1997). STEC, sometimes referred to as verocytotoxin-producing *E. coli* (VTEC), are capable of causing hemorrhagic colitis (inflammation of the colon resulting in bloody diarrhea), hemolytic uremic syndrome (bloody diarrhea followed by renal failure), hemolytic anemia (fragmented red blood cells), and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (a blood disorder characterized by low platelets, low red blood cell count, abnormalities in kidney and neurological functions (Lewis 1997, Saunders et al. 1999, Donnenberg and Nataro 2000, Murinda et al. 2002) in humans. STEC also have been identified as the causative agents of diarrhea and disease in other animals including cattle, pigs, sheep, goats, cats, and dogs (Willshaw et al. 1997, Wray and Woodward 1997, Zschöck et al. 2000).

The epidemiology of outbreaks of STEC in humans is linked to the consumption of contaminated bovine products (Griffin 1995, Zhao et al. 1995, Mechie et al. 1997, Wray et al. 2000). Dairy cattle have been identified as the main reservoir of STEC (Wells et al. 1991, Faith et al. 1996, Shere et al. 1998, Schmidt et al. 2000). As a result, research has been dedicated to understanding infection in cattle and identifying management factors that can be modified to minimize the occurrence of STEC in the herd. Efforts also have been directed

toward preventing infection in calves because their immature immune systems cause greater morbidity and mortality from STEC infection than in adults (Frank and Kaneene 1993).

The genus *Salmonella* consists of more than 2,600 serotypes that cause a wide spectrum of illness in humans (García-Del Portillo 2000). Most serotypes are not host-specific, although some serotypes are associated more commonly

TABLE 1. The top 10 most frequently reported *Salmonella* serotypes from cattle and humans in Colorado reported to Centers for Disease Control (CDC) in 2003 (2004).

Human	Cattle
<i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>S. newport</i>
<i>S. enteritidis</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i> var. 5-
<i>S. newport</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i>
<i>S. heidelberg</i>	<i>S. montevideo</i>
<i>S. javiana</i>	<i>S. agona</i>
<i>S. montevideo</i>	<i>S. dublin</i>
<i>S. saintpaul</i>	<i>S. kentucky</i>
<i>S. muenchen</i>	<i>S. muenster</i>
<i>S. oranienburg</i>	<i>S. uganda</i>
<i>S. infantis</i>	<i>S. anatum</i>

with infection in humans (*S. typhi*, *S. paratyphi*, Table 1), sheep (*S. abortusovis*), and poultry (*S. gallinarum*) (Varnam 1991, Daniels et al. 1993, Bell and Kyriakides 2002).

S. enterica have been identified as the causative agent of disease in many animals including sheep, cattle, pigs, reptiles, and various bird species (Girdwood et al. 1985, Gay and Hunsaker 1993, Hudson et al. 2000, Winfield and Groisman 2003). Because cattle represent a major reservoir for human foodborne infection (Wells et al. 2001), research efforts have been directed towards reducing *S. enterica* in the herd to prevent contamination at the slaughterhouse and subsequent entry into the human food chain. Prevention of salmonellosis in cattle is a critical factor for dairy producers to reduce economic losses incurred from culling, reduced feed efficiency, decreased weight gain, decreased milk production, abortion, and mortality (Huston et al. 2002).

Sources of infection

Various food products have been implicated in STEC and *S. enterica* infection in humans. Implicated products include raw seeds (Murinda et al. 2002), fruit juice (Griffin 1995, Pell 1997, Zschöck et al. 2000, Bell and Kyriakides 2002), salad (Murinda et al. 2002), fresh fruits (Bacon et al. 2002, Murinda et al. 2002, Winfield and Groisman 2003), alfalfasprouts (Baraket al. 2002,

Bell and Kyriakides 2002), melon (Fukushima et al. 1999), well water (Pell 1997, Fukushima et al. 1999, Zschöck et al. 2000, Murinda et al. 2002), pork (Bacon et al. 2002), chicken (Bacon et al. 2002), seafood (Fukushima et al. 1999), eggs (Pell 1997, Bacon et al. 2002), bean sprouts (Barak et al. 2002, Bell and Kyriakides 2002), salami (Bell and Kyriakides 2002), chocolate (Bell and Kyriakides 2002), vegetables (Pell 1997, Zschöck et al. 2000, Winfield and Groisman 2003), milk and milk products (Griffin 1995, Zhao et al. 1995, Zschöck et al. 2000, Bacon et al. 2002), and undercooked ground beef and other bovine products (Hancock et al. 1994, Zhao et al. 1995, Mechie et al. 1997, Troutt and Osburn 1997). Although outbreaks attributed to contaminated non-animal products are important sources of human infection, fecal contamination of meat by infected cattle is the most common source of STEC infection (DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Troutt et al. 2001) and a major cause of *S. enterica* infection in humans (Wells et al. 2001).

Human health risks associated with dairy cattle

Fecal shedding of *E. coli* O157 occurs in the most cattle herds, with an increase in prevalence occurring during summer months when environmental conditions such as temperature and moisture are conducive to growth of *E. coli* (Herriott et al. 1998, Van Donkersgoed et al. 1999). Shedding of *S. enterica* is common in cattle during late summer and early fall (McEvoy et al. 2003). Infected animals often appear asymptomatic and shed STEC and *S. enterica* intermittently, making detection and diagnosis based on clinical signs more difficult (Kabagambe et al. 2000, Sargeant et al. 2000, Wray and Davies 2000, Yilmaz et al. 2002). Limiting shedding in cattle is essential to prevent dissemination of the bacteria throughout the herd and to reduce the risk of carcass contamination with infected fecal matter at the slaughterhouse, and subsequent entry of STEC or *S. enterica* into the human food chain.

Cattle were identified as the primary reservoir of *E. coli* strain O157:H7 (Montenegro et al. 1990, Cobbold and Desmarchelier 2000, Kobayashi et al. 2001, Yilmaz et al. 2002), soon after it was first recognized in 1982 as an important disease-causing pathogen in humans (Zhao et al. 1995, Faith et al. 1996, Troutt and Osburn 1997). Initially, *E. coli* O157 was thought to be the only serogroup of *E. coli* important in human disease, but since then many non-O157 STEC pathogenic strains of *E. coli* have been identified (Griffin 1995, Beutin et al. 1998, Appendix). Cattle are also major reservoirs of *S.*

enterica (Wells et al. 2001), but unlike *E. coli*, all strains of *S. enterica* are potentially pathogenic to humans (Lewis 1997, McEvoy et al. 2003)

Most dairy cattle enter the meat market when milk production declines, reproduction fails, or mastitis, disease or injury becomes debilitating (Troutt and Osburn 1997). According to the USDA's National Animal Health Monitoring System 1996 Dairy Study, 30.9% of cull (market) dairy cattle were shedding verotoxigenic *E. coli* O157, and 66.7% were shedding *Salmonella* (Wells et al. 1998a). Transportation, modified food rations, and poor health are stressors that induce *S. enterica* and STEC shedding (Daniels et al. 1993, Fedorka-Cray et al. 1998, Galland et al. 2001), and consequently create additional opportunities for contamination of carcasses with enteric bacteria or interchange of bacteria between carcasses (Bettelheim 1997). Contamination at the slaughterhouse increases the risk that the consumer will eat tainted meat, especially if it is undercooked. Limiting contamination is desirable because approximately 17% of the nation's ground beef supply is produced from culled dairy cattle (Troutt and Osburn 1997, Wells et al. 1998b), and the infectious dose of STEC for humans is as low as 10 bacteria (Fukushima et al. 1999).

Various modes of indirect transmission pose a threat to cattle health. For example, manure slurry often is applied as fertilizer to agricultural crops and pastures used for grazing (Mechie et al. 1997). Due to the long-term survival of STEC and *S. enterica* in feces (Pell 1997, Fukushima et al. 1999, Huston et al. 2002), cattle may become infected indirectly by STEC or *S. enterica* while grazing on pastures fertilized with contaminated slurry or by eating silage or other feed harvested from fields treated with slurry (Mechie et al. 1997, Himathongkham et al. 1999). The length of time that STEC and *S. enterica* are able to survive in the environment depends on the manure's source, pH, dry matter content, age and chemical composition (Pell 1997). *E. coli* can survive in the environment anywhere from 1 (Winfield and Groisman 2003) to 105 days (Himathongkham et al. 1999), whereas *S. enterica* can survive up to a year in soil (Winfield and Groisman 2003). In a prevalence survey of 60 dairy cattle herds, a tentative association was found between the number of days between application of manure and grazing or hay harvesting and *E. coli* O157:H7 status in the herd (Hancock et al. 1994). The survey revealed that the longer slurry-treated fields are left before grazing or harvesting, the lower the risk that cattle will become infected. Contaminated manure slurry used as fertilizer

also poses a threat to other animals that graze on crops while STEC or *S. enterica* are still viable. Proper management of manure slurry and an understanding of the factors affecting survival of STEC and *S. enterica* in slurry are essential to prevent exposure.

Another indirect mode of transmission at dairy farms is through cattle drinking water. *S. enterica* has been isolated frequently from water sources, which may serve as bacterial reservoirs (Winfield and Groisman 2003). *E. coli* was demonstrated to persist in cattle drinking water several days after initial contamination (Rice and Johnson 2000). The ability of the bacteria to survive in water not only presents an opportunity for cattle to become infected, but birds or other animals that use water troughs also may become infected and spread pathogens within the farm or to neighboring farms (Figure 1).

Free-ranging birds as sources of infection in cattle

Many management factors such as herd size, grouping, manure management, equipment sanitation, feed composition, and feed additives have been associated with STEC and *S. enterica* prevalence in cattle herds (Bender 1995, Van Donkersgoed et al. 1999). Unfortunately, little




FIGURE 1. Potential modes of transmission of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. Dotted lines represent indirect transmission. Cattle manure or bird feces are direct methods of contamination that could lead to infection in birds and cattle. Transmission to humans occurs after consuming contaminated meat, unpasteurized milk, or other dairy products.

attention has been given to external sources of contamination such as veterinarians, introduction of new cattle into the herd, wildlife, rendering trucks, feed, etc. (Figure 1). Although rats and mice may prolong persistence of these microorganisms on the farm by acquiring

infection (Henzler and Opitz 1992), available evidence suggests that they do not play an important role in amplifying transmission (Wray and Davies 2000). Though the literature is sparse, free-ranging birds have been identified as carriers of various strains of STEC (Wallace et al. 1997, Makino et al. 2000) and *S. enterica* (Scholtens and Caroli 1971, Pennycott et al. 1998, Kirk et al. 2002), suggesting that birds are a potential source of external contamination that deserve further scrutiny. Because birds can transport and disseminate pathogens over long distances, their importance as carriers and their role in transmission of STEC and *S. enterica* to cattle has been suggested (Wallace et al. 1997). Birds not only have the potential to transmit disease, but they also consume feed meant for cattle resulting in an estimated \$100 million annual loss to the U.S. agriculture industry (Lee 2005). Contaminated feed can also pose a hazard to human health when microorganisms colonize the animal and multiply on the food product or when toxic products persist as a residue in the meat (Hinton 2000).

E. coli O157 was first isolated from birds in fecal samples collected from free-ranging birds (mainly gulls) in 1997 (Wallace et al. 1997). Since then, gulls have been identified as carriers of additional strains of STEC (O136:H16 and O153:H⁻) (Makino et al. 2000). This carrier role highlights the possibility that gulls could contaminate water or crops with their feces, or they may become infected by foraging on farmland fertilized with manure slurry, thereby, circulating STEC into the environment.

In Italy, feral pigeons (*Columba livia*) were confirmed as natural reservoirs of STEC by isolation of STEC from fecal samples recovered from captured pigeons (Dell'Omo et al. 1998, Morabito et al. 2001). All of the strains produced shiga toxins, and most of the strains contained the *eae* gene and produced cytolethal distending toxin (virulence factors produced by a pathogen and necessary for causing disease in a host). Other studies have isolated STEC strains revealing toxins produced by the *eae* gene in pigeons (Wada et al. 1995, Schmidt et al. 2000, Pedersen 2004). Genomic subtyping has linked both pigeon and environmental isolates of *E. coli* O157:H7 to a common source in some instances (Shere et al. 1998). Because pigeons often live in close association with cattle year-round, the possibility of cross-contamination is high.



Pigeons and cattle.

STEC have been detected in various tissues collected from nestlings of house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) and tree sparrows (*P. montanus*) (Pawiak et al. 1991). Other bacterial species including *Salmonella* spp., *Campylobacter jejuni*, and *Clostridium perfringens* have been identified in fecal samples of house sparrows collected near broiler chicken houses, suggesting that birds gaining access to livestock facilities may transmit bacteria (Craven et al. 2000). The potential for transmission is important because house sparrows, like pigeons, often are found year-round at livestock facilities (Kirk et al. 2002).

Infections with the bacteria *E. coli* O86:K61 and *Salmonella typhimurium* DT40 were identified as the most common causes of mortality in various species of free-ranging finches of the family Fringillidae in Britain (Foster et al. 1998, Pennycott et al. 1998). The *eae* gene and cytolethal distending toxin production were detected in the strains of *E. coli* O86 isolated from the finches, indicating the potential of the organism to cause disease and mortality in the finches. *E. coli* O86:K61 also has been associated with disease in humans, cattle, and other animals (Foster et al. 1998). Given their propensity to congregate in large numbers at birds feeders, finches may pose a threat to other birds and humans that come in contact with fecal-contaminated bird feeders. Although the free-ranging finches examined in Britain were submitted from households that provided supplementary food (Pennycott et al. 1998), house finches are commonly observed at dairies (Kirk et al. 2002). Consequently, infected finch populations have the potential to disseminate these pathogens to domesticated livestock.

Outbreaks of salmonellosis attributed to serotype *S. typhimurium* in bird populations have been responsible for large-scale mortality

in many species of domestic and free-ranging birds (Faddoul and Fellows 1966, Goodchild and Tucker 1968, Grimes 1979, Hudson et al. 2000, Daniels et al. 2003). *Salmonella enterica* also have been identified in asymptomatic birds (Adesiyun et al. 1998, Kirk et al. 2002). *S. enterica* infection often spreads via backyard bird feeders where high densities of free-ranging birds congregate during winter when food is scarce (Pennycott et al. 2002). Free-ranging birds such as European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*), great-tailed grackles (*Cassidix mexicanus*), common grackles (*Quiscalus quiscula*), and brown-headed cowbirds (*Molothrus ater*) (Clark and McLean 2003) flock to dairy farms (as many as 5,000 to 10,000 birds on some dairies) during fall and winter (Kirk et al. 2002). This high concentration of birds increases the risk of transmission of *S. enterica* to other birds and cattle, especially in feed bunks. Free-ranging birds have been implicated in contamination of livestock feed while it is stored at the farm (Daniels et al. 2003) and immediately after the feed is placed into feed bunks (Smith and House 1992). The risk that cattle will become infected is magnified by the presence of free-ranging birds, which may transport *S. enterica* to the farm from outside sources or recirculate the microbe within the farm to uninfected animals. *S. enterica* survive in the environment for extended periods, increasing the likelihood for birds to come into contact with the bacteria (Čížek et al. 1994) and subsequently infect other animals. Serotypes of *S. enterica* commonly associated with infection in humans and animals have been identified in asymptomatic free-ranging birds, suggesting that transmission across species is possible (Sambyal and Sharma 1972).

Even though pigeons and other birds have been clearly identified as important carriers of STEC and *S. enterica*, little is known about the length of time that STEC or *S. enterica* are shed or remain viable in bird droppings. Pigeons infected experimentally with *E. coli* O157 shed the pathogen for up to 29 days depending on the infective dose (Čížek et al. 2000). Research suggests that *E. coli* coliform counts in Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*) droppings increase upon excretion (Feare et al. 1999). If this is true also for pigeons and other birds that live in close association with cattle, the risk of indirect transmission would increase.

Migratory birds are important contributors to losses attributed to consumption of feed. However, peridomestic birds, such as pigeons and house sparrows, may be more culpable of disease transmission. Migratory birds are generally absent from dairies during the sum-

mer when a seasonal fluctuation in prevalence of STEC is observed in cattle (Herriott et al. 1998, Garber et al. 1999, Van Donkersgoed et al. 1999). In contrast, peridomestic birds are present during this time and often loaf and roost in or near cattle barns, thus increasing the potential for disease transmission.

Another concern is that indirect transmission will occur when birds or cattle forage or consume silage or feed grown on slurry-treated fields contaminated with STEC or *S. enterica*. Once infected, birds may redistribute STEC or *S. enterica* within the farm or disseminate STEC or *S. enterica* to previously uncontaminated farms. Cattle may also facilitate the cycle by transmitting bacteria to other cattle or birds after becoming infected from contaminated feed sources. In general, farmers do not implement rigorous bird control methods because the economic loss associated with bird consumption of cattle feed is perceived to be less than the intensive labor and financial expenditures associated with an effective bird control program. This perception may change, however, because the additive effects of feed loss and bacterial transmission as a precursor to disease may be sufficient evidence to justify a bird control program.

Conclusions

Because STEC and *S. enterica* are able to survive adverse environmental conditions (Pell 1997, Winfield and Groisman 2003), the bacteria have many opportunities to infect new hosts and continue the cycle via indirect transmission. Indirect transmission of STEC or *S. enterica* to cattle or birds may occur through contaminated domestic animals or wildlife that defecate in drinking water or food sources that are later ingested by other animals (Figure 1). Crops fertilized with contaminated manure slurry may pose a threat if conditions are conducive to bacterial growth (Himathongkham et al. 1999). These crops then present a source of infection for birds such as gulls or Canada geese, that forage on farmland or to cattle that are fed harvested grains or silage that has been grown and stored in conditions that support bacterial growth. In addition, contaminated manure slurry, if not managed properly, may seep into ground water and pose a threat to human health.

Migratory birds, such as European starlings, common grackles, and red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) are found in large numbers at dairies during the fall and winter and may amplify transmission of diseases by spreading the bacteria from 1 farm to another. Peridomestic birds, such as pigeons and sparrows, may

be more important in recirculation of STEC within the farm. Once birds are infected, the risk of cross contamination between cattle and peridomestic birds increases because of their close association.

Birds may be more susceptible than cattle to indirect contamination because of their mobility. Nonetheless, cattle are vulnerable to infection through various outside sources. Many external sources of contamination may influence prevalence of STEC and *S. enterica* in cattle and birds (Figure 1).

Knowledge is paramount in understanding the epidemiological links between STEC and *S. enterica* infection in cattle and free-ranging birds and successful management of both dairy herds and bird populations to minimize cross-infections. Ultimately, the producer loses money if free-ranging birds play a role in disseminating and redistributing STEC or *S. enterica*. The benefit to exploring all potential modes of transmission and cross-contamination lies not only in adapting management efforts to minimize economic loss to the producer, but more importantly, in preventing entry of STEC and *S. enterica* into the human food chain. Epidemiological knowledge is necessary to make educated management decisions that will minimize bacterial prevalence in dairy herds and protect human health via safer bovine products.

Literature cited

- Adesiyun, A. A., N. Seepersadsingh, L. Inder, and K. Caesar. 1998. Some bacterial enteropathogens in wildlife and racing pigeons from Trinidad. *Journal of Wildlife Disease* 34:73–80.
- Bacon, R. T., J. N. Sofos, K. E. Belk, D. R. Hyatt and G. C. Smith. 2002. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolated from beef animal hides and carcasses. *Journal of Food Protection* 65:284–290.
- Barak, J. D., L. C. Whitehand, and A. O. Charkowski. 2002. Differences in attachment of *Salmonella enterica* serovars and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to alfalfa sprouts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68:4758–4763.
- Bell, C., and A. Kyriakides. 1998. *E. coli*: a practical approach to the organism and its control in foods. Blackie Academic and Professional, New York, New York, USA.
- Bell, C., and A. Kyriakides. 2002. *Salmonella*: a practical approach to the organism and its control in foods. Blackwell Science Limited, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Bender, J. 1995. Reducing the risk of *Salmonella* spread and practical control measures in dairy herds. *Bovine Practitioner* 28:62–65.
- Bettelheim, K. A. 1997. *Escherichia coli* in the normal flora of humans and animals. Pages 85–109 in M. Sussman, editor. *Escherichia coli*: mechanisms of virulence. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Beutin, L. 1999. *Escherichia coli* O157 and other types of verocytotoxigenic *E. coli* (VTEC) isolated from humans, animals and food in Germany. Pages 121–145 in C. S. Stewart and H. J. Flint, editors. *Escherichia coli* O157 in farm animals. CABI Publishing, New York, New York, USA.
- Beutin, L., S. Zimmerman, and K. Gleier. 1998. Human infections with shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* other than serogroup O157 in Germany. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 4:635–639.
- Bopp, C. A., F. W. Brenner, P. I. Fields, J. G. Wells, and N. A. Strockbine. 2003. *Escherichia*, *Shigella*, and *Salmonella*. Pages 654–671 in P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, J. H. Tenover, and R. H. Tenover, editors. *Manual of clinical microbiology*. Eighth edition. ASM Press, Washington, D. C., USA.
- Centers for Disease Control. 2004. *Salmonella* surveillance summary, 2003. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.
- Čížek, A., I. Literák, K. Hejlíček, F. Tremel, and J. Smola. 1994. *Salmonella* contamination of the environment and its incidence in free-ranging birds. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine* B 41:320–327.
- Čížek, A., I. Literák, and P. Scheer. 2000. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157 in faeces of experimentally infected rats and domestic pigeons. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 31:349–352.
- Clark, L., and R. G. Mclean. 2003. A review of pathogens of agricultural and human health interest found in blackbirds. Pages 103–108 in G. M. Linz, editor. *Proceedings of a Special Symposium of the Wildlife Society 9th Annual Conference, September 27, 2002, Bismarck, North Dakota, USA*.
- Cobbold, R. and P. Desmarchelier. 2000. A longitudinal study of Shiga-toxigenic *Escherichia coli* (STEC) prevalence in three Australian dairy herds. *Veterinary Microbiology* 71:125–137.
- Craven, S. E., N. J. Stern, E. Line, J. S. Bailey, N. A. Cox, and P. Fedorka-Cray. 2000. Determination of the incidence of *Salmonella* spp., *Campylobacter jejuni*, and *Clostridium perfringens* in free-ranging birds near broiler chicken houses by sampling intestinal droppings. *Avian Diseases* 44:715–720.
- Daniels, E. K., N. E. Woollen, J. S. Dickson, and E. T. Littledike. 1993. Beef cattle salmonellosis: a study of oral *S. typhimurium* and topical *S. newport* inoculations. *Bovine Practitioner* 71:168–170.
- Daniels, M. J., M. R. Hutchings, and A. Greig. 2003. The risk of disease to livestock posed by contamination of farm stored feed by wildlife excreta. *Epidemiology and Infection* 130:561–568.
- DeRoy, C., and C. W. Maddox. 2001. Identification of virulence attributes of gastrointestinal *Escherichia coli* isolates of veterinary significance. *Animal Health Research Reviews* 1:129–140.
- Dell’Omo, G., S. Morabito, R. Quondam, U. Agrimi, F. Ciuchini, A. Macri, and A. Caprioli. 1998. Feral pigeons as a source of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Veterinary Record* 142:309–310.
- Donnenberg, M. S., and J. P. Nataro. 2000. The molecular pathogenesis of *Escherichia coli* infections. Pages 87–127 in J. W. Cary, J. E. Linz, and D. Bhatnagar, editors. *Microbial foodborne diseases: mechanisms of pathogenesis and toxin synthesis*. Technomic Publishing Company, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Faddoul, G. P., and G. W. Fellows. 1966. A five-year survey of the incidence of salmonellae in avian species. *Avian Diseases* 10:296–304.
- Faith, N. G., J. A. Shere, R. Brosch, K. W. Arnold, S. E. Ansary, M. S. Lee, J. B. Luchansky, and C. W. Kaspar. 1996. Prevalence of clonal nature of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on dairy farms in Wisconsin. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

- 62:1519–1525.
- Farmer, J. J., III. 2003. Enterobacteriaceae: introduction and identification. Pages 636–653 in P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Tenover, M. H. Tenover, and R. H. Tenover, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology. Eighth edition. ASM Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Feare, C. J., M. F. Sanders, R. Blasco, and J. D. Bishop. 1999. Canada goose (*Branta canadensis*) droppings as a potential source of pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of the Royal Society of Health* 119:146–155.
- Fedoraka-Cray, P. J., D. A. Dargatz, L. A. Thomas, and J. T. Gray. 1998. Survey of *Salmonella* serotypes in feedlot cattle. *Journal of Food Protection* 61:525–530.
- Foster, G., H. M. Ross, T. W. Pennycott, G. F. Hopkins, and I. M. McLaren. 1998. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O86:K61 producing cyto-lethal distending toxin from free-ranging birds of the finch family. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 26:395–398.
- Frank, N. A., and J. B. Kaneene. 1993. Management risk factors associated with calf diarrhea in Michigan dairy herds. *Journal of Dairy Science* 76:1313–1323.
- Fukushima, H., K. Hoshina, and M. Gomyoda. 1999. Long-term survival of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O26, O111, and O157 in bovine feces. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65:5177–5181.
- Galland, J. C., H. F. Trout, R. L. Brewer, B. I. Osburn, R. K. Braun, P. Sears, J. A. Schmitz, A. B. Childers, E. Richey, K. Murthy, E. Mather, and M. Gibson. 2001. Diversity of *Salmonella* serotypes in cull (market) dairy cows at slaughter. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 219:1216–1220.
- Garber, L., S. Wells, L. Schroeder-Tucker, and K. Ferris. 1999. Factors associated with fecal shedding of verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 on dairy farms. *Journal of Food Protection* 62:307–312.
- García-Del Portillo, F. 2000. Molecular and cellular biology of *Salmonella* pathogenesis. Pages 3–49 in J. W. Cary, J. E. Linz, and D. Bhatnagar, editors. Microbial foodborne diseases: mechanisms of pathogenesis and toxin synthesis. Technomic Publishing Company, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Gay, J. M., and M. E. Hunsaker. 1993. Isolation of multiple *Salmonella* serovars from a dairy two years after a clinical salmonellosis outbreak. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 203:1314–1320.
- Girdwood, R. W. A., C. R. Fricker, and D. Munro. 1985. The incidence and significance of salmonella carriage by gulls (*Larus* spp.) in Scotland. *Journal of Hygiene* 95:229–241.
- Goodchild, W. M., and J. F. Tucker. 1968. Salmonellae in British free-ranging birds and their transfer to domestic fowl. *British Veterinary Journal* 124:95–101.
- Griffin, P. M. 1995. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Pages 739–761 in M. J. Blaser, P. D. Smith, J. I. Ravdin, H. B. Greenberg, and R. L. Guerrant, editors. Infections of the gastrointestinal tract. Raven Press, New York, New York, USA.
- Grimes, T. M. 1979. Observations on *Salmonella* infections of birds. *Australian Veterinary Journal* 55:16–18.
- Hancock, D. D., T. E. Besser, M. L. Kinsel, P. I. Tarr, D. H. Rice, and M. G. Paros. 1994. The prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy and beef cattle in Washington state. *Epidemiology and Infection* 113:199–207.
- Hancock, D. D., D. H. Rice, D. E. Herriott, T. E. Besser, E. D. Ebel, and L. V. Carpenter. 1997. Effects of farm manure-handling practices on *Escherichia coli* O157 prevalence in cattle. *Journal of Food Protection* 60:363–366.
- Henzler, D. J., and H. M. Opitz. 1992. The role of mice in the epizootiology of *Salmonella enteritidis* infection on chicken layer farms. *Avian Diseases* 36:625–631.
- Herriott, D. E., D. D. Hancock, E. D. Ebel, L. V. Carpenter, D. H. Rice, and T. E. Besser. 1998. Association of herd management factors with colonization of dairy cattle by shiga toxin-positive *Escherichia coli* O157. *Journal of Food Protection* 61:802–807.
- Himathongkham, S., S. Bahari, H. Riemann, and D. Cliver. 1999. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* in cow manure and cow manure slurry. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 178:251–257.
- Hinton, M. H. 2000. Infections and intoxications associated with animal feed and forage which may present a hazard to human health. *Veterinary Journal* 159:124–138.
- Hudson, C. R., C. Quist, M. D. Lee, K. Keyes, S. V. Dodson, C. Morales, S. Sanchez, D. G. White, and J. J. Maurer. 2000. Genetic relatedness of *Salmonella* isolates from non-domestic birds in southeastern United States. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 38:1860–1865.
- Huston, C. L., T. E. Wittum, B. C. Love, and J. E. Keen. 2002. Prevalence of fecal shedding of *Salmonella* spp. in dairy herds. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 220:645–649.
- Kabagambe, E. K., S. J. Wells, L. P. Garber, M. D. Salman, B. Wagner, and P. J. Fedorka-Cray. 2000. Risk factors for fecal shedding of *Salmonella* in 91 U.S. dairy herds in 1996. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 43:177–194.
- Kirk, J. H., C. A. Holmberg and J. S. Jeffrey. 2002. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in selected birds captured on California dairies. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 220:359–362.
- Kobayashi, H., J. Shimada, M. Nakazawa, T. Morozumi, T. Pohjanvirta, S. Pelkonen, and K. Yamamoto. 2001. Prevalence and characteristics of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from healthy cattle in Japan. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 67:484–489.
- Kullas, H., M. Coles, J. Rhyhan, and L. Clark. 2002. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* serogroups and human virulence factors in faeces of urban Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*). *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* 12:153–162.
- Lee, C. D. 2005. Got starlings? Bird control options for dairies. *Proceedings of the Western Dairy Management Conference* 7:77–82.
- Lewis, M. J. 1997. *Escherichia*. Pages 267–275 in D. Greenwood, R. C. B. Slack, and J. F. Peutherer, editors. Medical microbiology. A guide to microbial infections: pathogenesis, immunity, laboratory diagnosis and control. Fifteenth edition. Churchill Livingstone, New York, New York, USA.
- Makino, S., H. Kobori, H. Asakura, M. Watarai, T. Shirahata, T. Ikeda, T. Takeshi, and T. Tsukamoto. 2000. Detection and characterization of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from seagulls. *Epidemiology and Infection* 125:55–61.
- McEvoy, J. M., A. M. Doherty, J. J. Sheridan, I. S. Blair, and D. A. McDowell. 2003. The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in bovine faecal, rumen and carcass samples at a commercial abattoir. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 94:693–700.
- Mechie, S. C., P. A. Chapman, and C. A. Siddons. 1997. A fifteen-month study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a dairy herd. *Epidemiology and Infection* 118:17–25.
- Montenegro, M. A., M. Bulte, T. Trunpf, S. Alksic, G. Reuter, E. Bulling, and R. Helmuth. 1990. Detection and characterization of fecal verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from healthy cattle. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 28:1417–1421.

- Morabito, S., G. Dell'Omo, U. Agrimi, H. Schmidt, H. Karch, T. Cheasty, and A. Caprioli. 2001. Detection and characterization of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in feral pigeons. *Veterinary Microbiology* 82:275–283.
- Murinda, S. E., L. T. Nguyen, S. J. Ivey, B. E. Gillespie, R. A. Almeida, F. A. Draughon, and S. P. Oliver. 2002. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in bulk tank milk and fecal samples from cull cows: a 12-month survey of dairy farms in east Tennessee. *Journal of Food Protection* 65:752–759.
- Parma, A. E., M. E. Sanz, J. E. Blanco, J. Blanco, M. R. Vinas, M. Blanco, N. L. Padola, and A. I. Etcheverría. 2000. Virulence genotypes and serotypes of verotoxinogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle and foods in Argentina. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 16:757–762.
- Pawiak, R., M. Mazurkiewicz, J. Molenda, J. Pinowski, and A. Wieliczko. 1991. The occurrence of *Escherichia coli* strains pathogenic to humans and animals in the eggs and nestlings of *Passer* spp. Pages 139–151 in *Proceedings of International Symposium of the Working Group on Granivorous Birds*. INTECOL, September 14–17, 1989, Shipsk, Poland.
- Pedersen, K. 2004. Estimation of the prevalence of shiga-like toxin *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* in pigeons of Fort Collins, Colorado. Thesis, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Pell, A. N. 1997. Manure and microbes: public and animal health problem? *Journal of Dairy Science* 80:2673–2681.
- Pennycott, T. W., R. N. Cinderey, A. Park, H. A. Mather, and G. Foster. 2002. *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serotype Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli* O86 in free-ranging birds at two garden sites in southwest Scotland. *Veterinary Record* 151:563–567.
- Pennycott, T. W., H. M. Ross, I. M. McLaren, A. Park, G. F. Hopkins, and G. Foster. 1998. Causes of death of free-ranging birds of the family Fringillidae in Britain. *Veterinary Record* 143:155–158.
- Rice, E. W., and C. H. Johnson. 2000. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy cattle drinking water. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83:2021–2023.
- Sambyal, D. S. and V. K. Sharma. 1972. Screening of free-living animals and birds for *Listeria*, *Brucella*, and *Salmonella* infections. *British Veterinary Journal* 128:50–55.
- Sargeant, J. M., J. R. Gillespie, R. D. Oberst, R. K. Phebus, D. R. Hyatt, L. K. Bohra, and J. C. Galland. 2000. Results of a longitudinal study of the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on cow-calf farms. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 61:1375–1379.
- Saunders, J. R., M. J. Sergeant, A. J. McCarthy, K. J. Mobbs, C. A. Hart, T. S. Marks, and R. J. Sharp. 1999. Genetics and molecular ecology of *Escherichia coli* O157. Pages 1–25 in C. S. Stewart and H. J. Flint, editors. *Escherichia coli* O157 in farm animals. CABI, New York, New York, USA.
- Schmidt, H., J. Scheef, S. Morabito, A. Caprioli, L. Wieler, and H. Karch. 2000. A new shiga toxin 2 variant (Stx2f) from *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigeons. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66:1205–1208.
- Scholten, R. T., and G. Caroli. 1971. Role of pigeons in the spread of salmonellosis: incidence of different types of *Salmonella typhi-murium* var. *copenhagen* in pigeons, man and other animals. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 37:473–476.
- Shera, J. A., K. J. Bartlett, and C. W. Kaspar. 1998. Longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 dissemination on four dairy farms in Wisconsin. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 64:1390–1399.
- Smith, B. P., and J. House. 1992. Prospects for *Salmonella* control in cattle. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Bovine Practitioners* 25:67–73.
- Sussman, M., 1997. *Escherichia coli* and human disease. Pages 3–48 in M. Sussman, editor. *Escherichia coli: mechanisms of virulence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Troutt, H. F., and B. I. Osburn. 1997. Meat from dairy cows: possible microbiological hazards and risks. *Revue Scientifique et Technique Office International des Epizooties* 16:405–414.
- Troutt, H. F., J. C. Galland, B. I. Osburn, R. L. Brewer, R. K. Braun, J. A. Schmitz, P. Sears, A. B. Childers, E. Richey, E. Mather, M. Gibson, K. Murthy, and A. Hogue. 2001. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp in cull (market) dairy cows at slaughter. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 219:1212–1215.
- U. S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 1998. *E. coli* O157 and *Salmonella*—Status on US Dairy Operations, NAHMS Dairy '96, Centers for Animal and Health Monitoring, Report N268.598.
- Van Donkersgoed, J., T. Graham, and V. Gannon. 1999. The prevalence of verotoxins, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* in the feces and rumen of cattle at processing. *Canadian Veterinary Journal* 40:332–338.
- Varnam, A. H. 1991. *Salmonella*. Pages 51–85 in A. H. Varnam, editor. *Foodborne pathogens: an illustrated text*. Wolfe Publishing, London, United Kingdom.
- Wada, Y., H. Kondo, M. Nakazawa, and M. Kubo. 1995. Natural infection with attaching and effacing *Escherichia coli* and adenovirus in the intestine of a pigeon with diarrhea. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* 57:531–533.
- Wallace, J. S., T. Cheasty, and K. Jones. 1997. Isolation of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from free-ranging birds. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 82:399–404.
- Wells, J. G., L. D. Shipman, K. D. Greene, E. G. Sowers, J. H. Green, D. N. Cameron, F. P. Downes, M. L. Martin, P. M. Griffin, S. M. Ostroff, M. E. Potter, R. V. Tauxe, and I. K. Wachsmuth. 1991. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 and other shiga-like-toxin-producing *E. coli* from dairy cattle. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 29:985–989.
- Wells, S. J., P. J. Fedorka-Cray, T. Besser, P. McDonough, and B. Smith. 1998a. *E. coli* O157 and *Salmonella*—status on U.S. dairy operations. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services, Centers for Epidemiology and Animal Health, National Animal Health Monitoring System, Fort Collins, Colorado, Info sheet #N286.598.
- Wells, S. J., S. L. Ott, and A. Hillberg Seitzinger. 1998b. Key health issues for dairy cattle—new and old. *Journal of Dairy Science* 81:3029–3035.
- Wells, S. J., P. J. Fedorka-Cray, D. A. Dargatz, K. Ferris, and A. Green. 2001. Fecal shedding of *Salmonella* spp. by dairy cows on farm and at cull cow markets. *Journal of Food Protection* 64:3–11.
- Willshaw, G. A., S. M. Scotland, and B. Rowe. 1997. Vero-cytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Pages 421–448 in M. Sussman, editor. *Escherichia coli: mechanisms of virulence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Winfield, M. D. and E. A. Groisman. 2003. Role of nonhost environments in the lifestyles of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 69:3687–3694.
- Wray, C. and R. H. Davies. 2000. *Salmonella* infections in cattle. Pages 169–190 in C. Wray and A. Wray, editors. *Salmonella* in domestic animals. CABI, New York, New York, USA.
- Wray, C., I. M. McLaren, L. P. Randall, and G. R. Pearson. 2000. Natural and experimental infection of normal cattle with *Escherichia coli* O157. *Veterinary Record* 147:65–68.

- Wray, C., and M. J. Woodward. 1997. *Escherichia coli* infections in farm animals. Pages 49–84 in M. Sussman, editor. *Escherichia coli: mechanisms of virulence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Yilmaz, A., H. Gun, and H. Yilmaz. 2002. Frequency of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Turkish cattle. *Journal of Food Protection* 65:1637–1640.
- Zhao, T., M. P. Doyle, J. Shere, and L. Garber. 1995. Prevalence of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a survey of dairy herds. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 61:1290–1293.
- Zschöck, M., H. P. Hammann, B. Kloppert, and W. Wolter. 2000. Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in faeces of healthy dairy cows, sheep and goats: prevalence and virulence properties. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 31:203–208.

Appendix

STEC serogroups identified in wild birds, cattle, and humans.

STEC	Birds	Cattle	Humans	References
O1	X	X	X	Sussman 1997, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O2		X	X	Sussman 1997, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Bopp et al. 2003
O4		X	X	Sussman 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999
O5	X	X	X	Sussman 1997, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Kullas et al. 2002, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O6	X	X	X	Sussman 1997, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Pedersen 2004
O8	X	X	X	Sussman 1997, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Pedersen 2004
O15	X	X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Pedersen 2004
O18	X	X	X	Sussman 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Morabito et al. 2001, Kullas et al. 2002
O22		X	X	Montenegro et al. 1990, Wells et al. 1991, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Bopp et al. 2003
O23		X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998
O25	X		X	Sussman 1997, Willshaw et al. 1997, Kullas et al. 2002, Pedersen 2004
O26		X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Griffin 1995, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Fukushima et al. 1999, Cobbold and Desmarchelier 2000, DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Bopp et al. 2003
O45	X	X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Sussman 1997, Morabito et al. 2001, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O55		X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Bopp et al. 2003
O75	X	X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Morabito et al. 2001
O79		X	X	Bopp et al. 2003
O91	X	X	X	Montenegro et al. 1990, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Beutin 1999, Kullas et al. 2002, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O103	X	X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Griffin 1995, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O104		X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Bopp et al. 2003

O105	X	X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Pedersen 2004
O111		X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Griffin 1995, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Fukushima et al. 1999, DebRoy and Maddox 2001
O113		X	X	Montenegro et al. 1990, Griffin 1995, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Beutin 1999, Bopp et al. 2003,
O114		X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998
O117	X	X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Pedersen 2004
O118		X	X	Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Kullas et al. 2002, Bopp et al. 2003
O121		X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Bopp et al. 2003
O128	X	X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O136	X	X		Montenegro et al. 1990, Willshaw et al. 1997, Beutin 1999, Makino et al. 2000
O145	X	X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, DebRoy and Maddox 2001, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O153	X	X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999, Makino et al. 2000, Bopp et al. 2003
O157	X	X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Wallace et al. 1997, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Herriott et al. 1998, Shere et al. 1998, Fukushima et al. 1999, Beutin 1999, Čížek et al. 2000, Barham et al. 2002, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O163		X	X	Wells et al. 1991, Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin et al. 1998, Bopp et al. 2003
O165	X	X	X	Parma et al. 2000, Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004
O168		X	X	Willshaw et al. 1997, Bell and Kyriakides 1998, Beutin 1999
O172	X	X	X	Bopp et al. 2003, Pedersen 2004

KERRI PEDERSEN is a wildlife disease biologist with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Wildlife Research Center. Her previous employment was with the Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study. She received her B.S. degree in biology from Oregon State University and her M.S. in ecology from Colorado State University.

