

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

The Prairie Naturalist

Great Plains Natural Science Society

6-2014

SAUGER LIFE HISTORY IN THE LOWER PORTION OF THE UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER

Kasey L. Yallaly

Justin R. Seibert

Sara J. Tripp

David P. Herzog

Quinton E. Phelps

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/tpn>



Part of the [Biodiversity Commons](#), [Botany Commons](#), [Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons](#), [Natural Resources and Conservation Commons](#), [Systems Biology Commons](#), and the [Weed Science Commons](#)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Great Plains Natural Science Society at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Prairie Naturalist by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

SAUGER LIFE HISTORY IN THE LOWER PORTION OF THE UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER

—Widespread declines have been observed in numerous riverine fish species due to a host of anthropogenic related perturbations such as channelization, dam creation, and exploitation (Graham 1997, Gerken and Paukert 2009). Understanding life history and population demographics of riverine fishes is critical to their conservation. Specific to our study, the Mississippi River has undergone extensive habitat modifications which have changed the Upper Mississippi River (UMR) from a typical lotic large, free flowing river to multiple reservoir-like pools with reduced flow (LePage 1980, Hurley et al. 1987). These habitat transformations may impact sauger (*Sander canadensis*) populations within the Mississippi River. Several studies have documented sauger population declines due to similar factors in other systems such as the Yellowstone River (McMahon and Gardner 2001), Tennessee River (Pegg et al. 1996), Missouri River (Hesse 1994, McMahon and Gardner 2001) and in tributaries of the Great Lakes (Rawson and Scholl 1978). Dams may limit upstream migration to preferred spawning habitat (Nelson 1968, Pegg et al. 1997) or facilitate high exploitation (Pegg et al. 1996, Maceina et al. 1998) of sauger. Because of recent population declines observed across their range, sauger are globally listed as a species of concern (NatureServe 2013). However, in systems where native sauger populations persist, they remain an important recreational species (Maceina et al. 1998, Betolli et al. 2000, Pitlo et al. 2004, Meerbeek 2008, Meerbeek and Hoxmeier 2011) and top-level predator. Knowledge of population dynamics provides a basis for effective management and conservation of populations, but little is known about sauger dynamics within the lower portion of the UMR. Therefore, our objective was to quantify sauger recruitment, growth, and mortality within Pool 22 of the Upper Mississippi River.

We collected sauger from Pool 22 of the UMR which extends from Lock and Dam 21 (Quincy, Illinois) downstream to Lock and Dam 22 (Saverton, Illinois; river kilometers 301–325). We set ten overnight experimental gill nets each day for five days in late March 2011. Gill nets were 61 m long and were composed of four 15.25-m panels of 38.1, 50.8, 76.2, and 101.6-mm bar mesh. We placed sauger on ice and returned them to the laboratory for further processing.

In the lab, we recorded total length (TL; mm), weight (g) and sex of all sauger. We removed gonads and sagittal otoliths from each sauger. To estimate age, we sectioned, burned, and submerged otoliths in water under a dissecting microscope (4–10 ×) following procedures described by Heidinger and Clodfelter (1987). We reported annuli independently using two experienced readers who had no knowledge of fish length. If age estimates differed between readers, both readers re-observed the structure until a consensus was reached; consensus was reached in all cases.

We collected 101 sauger in our sample. We observed a skewed sex ratio towards females (74% female; 26% male),

thus, we eliminated all males from further analyses. To estimate year-class strength and total annual mortality among females, we created an age-frequency histogram from log-transformed catch-at-age data and calculated a weighted catch-curve regression analysis (Maceina and Pereira 2007) using Fisheries Analyses and Modeling Simulator (FAMS; Slipke and Maceina 2010) software. The sign and magnitude of residuals from the catch-curve regression indicated relative year-class strength, where larger, positive residuals indicated years of higher recruitment and zero or negative residuals indicated years of poorer recruitment. We calculated instantaneous mortality (Z) from the slope of the regression from the descending right limb of the age-frequency distribution. We estimated total annual mortality as $1 - e^{-Z}$ and assessed growth by fitting a von Bertalanffy (1938) model for all female fish using FAMS software (Slipke and Maceina 2010).

Five cohorts of female sauger were present in our sample (i.e., ages 3–7). The 2008 year class (i.e., age-3) appeared to be the most abundant (Fig. 1). The 2008 and 2006 year classes appeared to be the strongest with residuals resulting in 0.09 and 0.677, respectively. The 2007, 2005 and 2004 year classes were weaker with residuals of -0.51 , -0.018 and -0.295 , respectively. Two cohorts (i.e., ages 1 and 2) were not sampled during our study possibly because these year classes had not recruited to our gear at the time of sampling. Total annual mortality rate among females ages 3 through 7 was 47.3% ($r^2 = 0.82$, $n = 75$, $P = 0.03$). Mean-length-at-age of female sauger was comparable to mean-length-at-age of sauger of both sexes from the Tennessee River (Buckmeier 1995) and was higher than that of sauger of both sexes from Pool 13 of the Upper Mississippi River (Pitlo et al. 2004; Fig. 2). von Bertalanffy growth functions adequately described sauger mean length at age for all female fish ($L_{inf} = 514.30$, $K = 0.70$, $t_0 = 0.77$, $n = 75$, $P < 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.96$). The von Bertalanffy growth coefficient for our study was considerably higher than those for sauger within the Missouri River (Braaten and Guy 2002) and Pool 4 of the Upper Mississippi River (Mammoliti 2007).

Prior to our study, the status of sauger within Pool 22 was unknown; however, we provided baseline characteristics needed for future comparisons. The lack of male sauger in our sample prevented assessment of the entire sauger population in Pool 22 of the UMR. We are unaware if this is related to sampling bias, sex-specific habitat, or if this is the actual sex structure of the population. However, assessment of female sauger does provide some insight. The maximum age (i.e., 7 years) of female sauger within our sample was lower than that reported at a more northerly location on the Mississippi River (Pitlo et al. 2004). Scott and Crossman (1998) found that maximum age in the north appeared to be higher than in the south. Differences in longevity relative to northern and southern latitudes have been documented for *Sander* spp. populations along a latitudinal gradient in which fish in

southern latitudes grew faster, matured earlier and died at a younger age than fish in northern latitudes (Beverton 1987). However, because of our small sample size, we may have failed to capture older fish, thus additional sampling is needed.

Total annual mortality for female sauger in our study was comparable to mortality rates observed by Steuck (2006) in Pools 11 and 13 of the UMR and by many of the states within the Mississippi Interstate Cooperative Resource Association (MICRA; Mammoliti 2007). However, Jaeger et al. (2005) documented lower mortality in the Yellowstone River than our study. Dames and Brown (2010) indicated that mortality rates of sauger in Pool 22 were due to natural causes rather than exploitation. It is unknown at this time what role habitat alteration and fragmentation plays in mortality of sauger in this particular reach.

This study provides reference data to inform management and conservation efforts, which is currently lacking within the lower portion of the UMR for sauger. However, because our sampling was limited, more information is needed to fully assess the population. We recommend sampling a wider range of habitats to attempt to capture a larger sample of male sauger. Future efforts also should focus on identifying potential factors that regulate recruitment such as use and availability of spawning sites, age-0 habitat, forage availability,

and predation by and competition with native and non-native species. Monitoring growth and determining the source of mortality for sauger will provide the framework for future efforts and aid fisheries managers in conserving sauger in the UMR.

We thank C. Tripp and D. Ostendorf from Missouri Department of Conservation for aiding in sauger collection. We also thank M. Kaemingk at South Dakota State University for help with edits. This study was funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Upper Mississippi River Restoration - Environmental Management Program's Long Term Resource Monitoring component implemented by the U.S. Geological Survey, Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center and carried out by the Missouri Department of Conservation.—*Kasey L. Yallaly, Justin R. Seibert, Sara J. Tripp, David P. Herzog and Quinton E. Phelps. Open Rivers and Wetlands Field Station, Missouri Department of Conservation, 3815 East Jackson Boulevard, Jackson, MO 63755, USA; Corresponding author e-mail address: kasey.yallaly@gmail.com.*

LITERATURE CITED

- Betolli, P. W., C. S. Vandergoot, and P. T. Horner. 2000. Hooking mortality of saugers in the Tennessee River. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 20:833–837.




Figure 1. Age-frequency distribution of female sauger ($r^2 = 0.82$; $P = 0.03$, $n = 75$) sampled in Upper Mississippi River Pool 22, Missouri, USA in spring 2011.




Figure 2. Mean-length-at-age (mm) with standard error bars of female sauger ($n = 75$) from Pool 13, Iowa, USA and Pool 22 Missouri, USA (RKM 301–325) of the Upper Mississippi River and Tennessee River, Tennessee, USA. Mean-length-at-age for Mississippi River Pool 13 was obtained from Pitlo et al. (2004) and for the Tennessee River from Buckmeier (1995).

Beverton, R. J. H. 1987. Longevity in fish: some ecological and evolutionary considerations. Pages 161–186 in A. D. Woodhead and K. H. Thompson, editors. *Evolution and longevity in animals: a comparative approach (basic life sciences)*, vol. 42. Plenum Press, New York, New York, USA.

Braaten, P. J., and C. S. Guy. 2002. Life history attributes of fishes along the latitudinal gradient of the Missouri River. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 131:931–945.

Buckmeier, D. L. 1995. Population structure and recruitment of sauger in the Tennessee and Cumberland River systems of Tennessee. Thesis. Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, USA.

Dames, R., and D. Brown. 2010. Evaluation of walleye and sauger populations in the Missouri portion of the Upper Mississippi River. Missouri Department of Conservation. Completion Report, Hannibal, USA.

Gerken, J. E., and C. P. Paukert. 2009. Threats to paddlefish habitat: implications for conservation. Pages 173–183 in C. P. Paukert and G. D. Scholten, editors. *Paddlefish management, propagation, and conservation in the Twenty-first Century*. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.

Graham, K. 1997. Contemporary status of the North American paddlefish, *Polyodon spathula*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 48:279–289.

Heidinger, R. C., and K. Clodfelter. 1987. Validity of the otolith for determining age and growth of walleye, striped bass, and smallmouth bass in power cooling plant ponds. Pages 241–251 in R. C. Summerfelt and G. E. Hall, editors. *Age and growth of fish*. Iowa State University Press, Ames, USA.

Hesse, L. W. 1994. The status of Nebraska fishes in the Missouri River. 6. Sauger (Percidae: *Stizostedion canadense*). *Transactions of the Nebraska Academy of Sciences* 21:109–121.

Hurley, S. T., W. A. Hubert, and J. G. Nickum. 1987. Habitats and movements of shovelnose sturgeons in the upper Mississippi River. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 116:655–662.

Jaeger, M. E., A. V. Zale, T. E. McMahon, and B. J. Schmitz. 2005. Seasonal movements, habitat use, aggregation, exploitation, and entrainment of saugers in the Lower Yellowstone River: an empirical assessment of factors affecting population recovery. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25:1550–1568.

- LePage, G. S. 1980. Sediment and erosion work group appendix. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Great River Environmental Action Team (GREAT I), St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Maceina, M. J., P. W. Bettoli, S. D. Finely, and V. J. Dicenzo. 1998. Analysis of the sauger fishery with simulated effects of a minimum size limit in the Tennessee River of Alabama. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 18:66–75.
- Maceina, M. J., and D. L. Pereira. 2007. Recruitment. Pages 121–187 in C. S. Guy and M. L. Brown, editors. Analysis and interpretation of freshwater fisheries data. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.
- Mammoliti, C. S. 2007. Mississippi Interstate Cooperative Resource Association: sauger management investigation. Report to the MICRA Gamefish Committee. Watershed Institute, Inc., Topeka, Kansas, USA.
- McMahon, T. E., and W. M. Gardner. 2001. Status of sauger in Montana. *Intermountain Journal of Science* 7:1–21.
- Meerbeek, J. R. 2008. Angler survey of Lake Pepin and Pool 4 of the Mississippi River, from 2005 to 2007. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Federal Aid in Fish and Restoration, Projects F29 R(P)-27, Job 765, Completion Report, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Meerbeek, J. R., and R. J. H. Hoxmeier. 2011. Winter catch-and-release hooking mortality of saugers below Lock and Dam 3 of the Mississippi River. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 31:197–202.
- NatureServe. 2013. NatureServe Explorer: an online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. <<http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>>. Accessed 4 February 2014.
- Nelson, W. R. 1968. Reproduction and early life history of sauger (*Stizostedion canadense*) in Lewis and Clark Lake. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 97:159–166.
- Pegg, M. A., P. W. Bettoli, and J. B. Layzer. 1997. Movement of saugers in the lower Tennessee River determined by radio telemetry, and implications for management. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 17:763–768.
- Pegg, M. A., J. B. Layzer, and P. W. Bettoli. 1996. Angler exploitation of anchor-tagged saugers in the lower Tennessee River. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:218–222.
- Pitlo, J., Jr., B. Brecka, M. Stopyro, K. Brummett, and G. Jones. 2004. Sauger. Pages 187–197 in J. M. Pitlo and J. L. Rasmussen, editors. A compendium of fishery information on the Upper Mississippi River. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee, Rock Island, Illinois, USA.
- Rawson, M. R., and R. L. Scholl. 1978. Reestablishment of sauger in western Lake Erie. Pages 261–265 in R. L. Kendall, editor. Selected coolwater fishes of North America. American Fisheries Society, Special Publication 11, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.
- Scott, W. B., and E. J. Crossman. 1998. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Ontario Galt Publishing Ltd., Oakville, Ontario, Canada.
- Slipke, J. W., and M. J. Maceina. 2010. Fishery analyses and modeling simulator (FAMS). Auburn University, Department of Fisheries and Applied Aquacultures, Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn, Alabama, USA.
- Steuck, M. J. 2006. Mississippi River investigations: an evaluation of walleye and sauger populations and associated fisheries in Pools 11 and 13 of the Upper Mississippi River. Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration, Project F-160-R, Annual Performance Report, Des Moines, USA.
- von Bertalanffy, L. 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth. *Human Biology* 10:181–213.

*Submitted 6 October 2013. Accepted 13 April 2014.
Associate Editor was Melissa Wuellner.*