12-2023

Navigating the Green Skies: Why SAF is the Wrong Path to Take

Cameron Cannon
University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Navigating the Green Skies: Why SAF is the Wrong Path to Take

With its promise of a significant decrease in greenhouse gas emissions, sustainable aviation fuels, or SAFs, have been hailed as an environmentally friendly substitute for conventional aviation fuels. SAFs are made from sustainable resources such as plant biomass, waste oils, or agricultural wastes and are intended to mitigate environmental issues. On closer examination, though, this solution's intricacy becomes clear. Grab your mental compass and journey with me as we discover why SAFs would lead us the wrong way.

The main problem with conventional airplane fuels is that they release more greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, especially carbon dioxide (CO_2). A large portion of the world's CO_2 emissions come from aviation, as the burning of jet fuel releases a large amount of this powerful greenhouse gas into the atmosphere. The environmental impact of air travel is increasing, making it even more urgent to solve this pressing problem.

The choice of feedstock has a significant impact on the basis of SAFs. The main input used to produce biofuel is biomass, which includes waste materials, plant oils, and agricultural wastes. The choice of feedstock has a significant impact on the environment, land use issues, and sustainability in general. In this early phase, striking a balance between high production, low environmental effect, and lack of competition with food crops is extremely difficult.

A crucial first step is making sure SAFs are of a high caliber and work with current aircraft and infrastructure. To verify SAF viability for use in aviation, regulatory organizations like the International Air Transport Association (IATA) and ASTM International have created standards and certifications. Respecting these guidelines is crucial to guaranteeing the dependability and safety of biofuels in the aviation industry.

The next stage is to turn feedstock into biofuels after it has been recognized. A range of conversion procedures, including Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, pyrolysis, and hydrotreating, are utilized to convert unprocessed biomass into fuel suitable for aviation. From energy intensity to the output of useable fuel, every technique has pros and cons of its own. Research and development are still being done in the areas of process optimization for efficiency and waste minimization.

But a significant problem with SAFs is the raw resources that go into making them. There are significant worries regarding land usage and how it affects food security due to the reliance on crops and agricultural byproducts. There is a chance that the growing demand for these feedstocks may take arable land away from food production, which might worsen the world's hunger problems.

Moreover, the production process of SAFs is often energy-intensive, casting doubt on the environmental benefits they promise. The entire supply chain, from cultivation and harvesting to conversion and refining, demands substantial energy inputs. This raises questions about the net carbon footprint of SAFs and their actual contribution to mitigating climate change.

In addressing these challenges, policymakers should consider diversifying their approach to aviation sustainability. Instead of exclusively focusing on SAFs, investments in a diversified portfolio of research and development initiatives are crucial. This includes exploring electric and hybrid propulsion systems, improving fuel efficiency, and incentivizing the development of innovative technologies aligned with broader sustainability goals.

Implementing stringent regulations on carbon emissions and fuel efficiency standards for airlines represents a more immediate and effective approach. By establishing clear targets and penalizing non-compliance, governments can encourage the aviation industry to prioritize sustainability without relying solely on biofuels.

Looking beyond SAFs, electrification emerges as a promising avenue for aviation sustainability. Electric and hybrid-electric propulsion systems offer the potential for zero-emission flights. Investments in research and development of electric aircraft, coupled with advancements in battery technology, could revolutionize the aviation industry. Collaboration between governments and industry stakeholders is vital to incentivize the development and deployment of electric aircraft.

Technologies such as carbon capture and utilization (CCU) provide yet another innovative method of reducing emissions. Emissions may be reduced and a circular carbon economy can be promoted by reusing carbon dioxide that is released during burning. One revolutionary step toward resolving environmental issues might be the integration of CCU technology into aviation infrastructure.

The adoption of sustainable practices by airlines should be encouraged by international organizations and governments. This entails creating carbon trading schemes, creating tax credits for the use of environmentally friendly technology, and delivering financial support for study and advancement in sustainable aviation.

Enhancing the fuel efficiency of existing aircraft remains a practical and immediate solution. Investments in aerodynamics, lightweight materials, and advanced engine technologies can significantly reduce fuel consumption. Governments can incentivize airlines to adopt fuel-efficient practices by implementing stringent emission standards and providing tax benefits for eco-friendly aviation practices.

In summary, obtaining SAF biofuels is a difficult process that has its share of obstacles and complications. In order to have a truly sustainable future for air travel, these issues must be resolved. The skies may really grow greener as a result of technological advancements and group efforts focused on perfecting every stage of this complex process, ushering in an era of aviation that balances environmental care with efficiency.

Doliente, S. S., Narayan, A., Tapia, J. F. D., Samsatli, N. J., Zhao, Y., & Samsatli, S. (2020, May 11). *Bio-aviation fuel: A comprehensive review and analysis of the supply chain components*. Frontiers. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2020.00110/full

Office, U. S. G. A. (n.d.). *Sustainable aviation fuel: Agencies should track progress toward ambitious federal goals [reissued with revisions May 17, 2023]*. Sustainable Aviation Fuel: Agencies Should Track Progress Toward Ambitious Federal Goals [Reissued with Revisions May 17, 2023] | U.S. GAO. https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-23-105300

Peters, M. A., Alves, C. T., & Onwudili, J. A. (2023, August 21). *A review of current and emerging production technologies for biomass-derived sustainable aviation fuels*. MDPI. https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/16/16/6100

Renewable race fuel: Powering progress at 225mph. Shell United States. (n.d.). https://www.shell.us/about-us/powering-progress-usa/everybody-forward-with-shell/renewable-race-fuel-from-shell--powering-progress-at-225mph.html?gclid=Cj0KCQiAj_CrBhD-ARIsAIiMxT_tNVpUDEypxwjMQ-Z5jwP5XBtaS8AgDRL75JRc9Y6qW_rRbKwwVCAaArDaEALw_wcB&gclsrc=aw.ds#iframe=L3dlYmFwcHMvc2hlbGwtdXMtdmlkZW8taGVhZGVycy9pbmR5Lmh0bWw

Waitz, I. A., & Hileman, J. I. (n.d.). *Near-term feasibility of alternative jet fuels | Rand*. RAND. https://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR554.html