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1 Introduction

The detection and identification of targets that are obscured by foliage have been topics of great interest. Several experimental developments of such ultrawideband (UWB) radars have been published. By operating in the VHF and UHF frequency bands and using either LFM or step-frequency waveforms, these radars have demonstrated promising images of terrain and man-made objects obscured by dense foliage [1].

The University of Nebraska has developed a new technique that permits coherent processing of backscatter data acquired by a radar that transmits UWB random noise signals. This technique has been used in various applications, such as ground penetration detection of buried objects, Doppler estimation and interferometry, and SAR and ISAR imaging [2]. In this paper, by a comparative study of the radar images using step-frequency and random noise waveforms, we demonstrate the ability of the UHF band UWB random noise radar for foliage penetration (FOPEN) surveillance applications.

2 Brief Description of the Radar Systems

Simplified system diagrams of the two kinds of radar systems are shown in Figure 1. The step-frequency radar transmits CW signal and measures the amplitude and phase of the backscattered signals. The transmit waveform is stepped through a set of discrete frequencies over the operating bandwidth. The noise radar transmits a truly random noise signal within the desired frequency range and processes the target echoes by employing a heterodyne correlation receiver. Thus, both systems can obtain the I and Q signals of the scattering echoes.

3 Foliage Transmission Modeling

Based on the experimental observations by researchers in Air Force Research Laboratory, MIT Lincoln laboratory, and ERIM [3-5], a statistical-physical model for foliage transmission has been developed. The frequency, depression angle, polarization and flight path dependent attenuation and amplitude and phase fluctuation can be expressed as

\[ A(f, \gamma, \theta) = A_m[1 + \delta_f(f)\delta_p(\theta)]e^{j\delta_{\phi}(f, \theta)} \]  

(1)

where \( A_m \) is the mean attenuation, \( \delta_f(f) \) and \( \delta_p(\theta) \) represent the frequency and path dependent amplitude fluctuations, respectively, and \( \delta_{\phi}(f, \theta) \) represents the phase fluctuation.

Following M.E. Davis [1], the mean attenuation of the foliage can be modeled as

\[ A_m(dB) = \beta f^\alpha (\sin 45^\circ / \sin \gamma) \]  

(2)

where \( f \) is the frequency in MHz, \( \gamma \) is the depression angle, and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are two constants. For HH polarization, \( \alpha = 0.79, \beta = 0.05 \); and for VV polarization, \( \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.45 \).
The frequency dependent amplitude fluctuation, \( S_j(f) \), is modeled as a random process having Gamma probability density,

\[
p(x, a, b) = \frac{x^{a-1}e^{-x/b}}{b^a \Gamma(a)}
\]

where constants \( a \) and \( b \) are determined by the mean and variance of the amplitude fluctuation.

The flight path dependent amplitude fluctuation \( \delta_f(\theta) \) is modeled as

\[
\delta_f(\theta) = \varepsilon(\theta)
\]

where \( \varepsilon(\theta) \) is a Wiener process.

The phase fluctuation is related to the amplitude fluctuations and can be expressed as

\[
\delta_{\phi}(f, \theta) = \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{\delta_x \sin \phi}{1 + \delta_y \cos \phi} \right)
\]

where \( \delta_x = A \delta_j \delta_y \) and \( \phi \) has a uniform density over \([0,2\pi]\).

## 4 Simulation Results

### 4.1 Validation of the foliage transmission model

A number of simulation experiments were conducted to validate the foliage transmission model. Figure 2 shows the cumulative probability distribution of the two-way attenuation fluctuation generated by the model, with comparisons to the foliage penetration experiment data in [3]. The model results show good consistency with the experimental data.

### 4.2 Comparison of the PSFs

The foliage obscured point spread functions (PSF) in down range for the step-frequency and random noise radars are shown in Figure 3. It is seen that the PSFs of the two systems, when affected by the foliage, behave in the similar ways: the energy of mainlobes is attenuated, and the levels of the sidelobes are increased. The foliage obscured PSFs in cross range have the similar behavior.

### 4.3 Comparison of the 2-D images

The signature data of a scaled target model were acquired in an anechoic chamber using a step-frequency radar. The physical size of the model is about 0.85 m \( \times \) 0.65 m, measured at central frequency 10 GHz with a bandwidth of 6 GHz. When scaled to UHF band by a scale factor of 26.67, the equivalent central frequency is 375 MHz with a bandwidth of 225 MHz, and the full size of the target is 22.7 m \( \times \) 17 m. The two-dimensional (2-D) images of the above target for the step-frequency and the noise radar systems are illustrated in Figure 4 and 5, respectively. From these figures, it can be seen that, whether the target is or not obscured by foliage, the 2-D images of the two radar systems for each case are very similar to each other. This indicates that the random noise waveform has comparable performance to the step-frequency system.

## 5 Conclusions

We have compared the behavior of a step-frequency radar and a random noise radar for FOPEN imaging applications. It can be seen that the two radar systems are nearly
identical in the quality of images either without or with obscuring foliage. Our results demonstrate the ability of the UWB random noise radar to be used as a FOPEN SAR, with relative immunity from interference and detection.
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Figure 2: Comparison of the cumulative probability distributions.

Figure 3: Down range PSFs of the two radar systems. The dashed lines are without foliage, the solid lines are with foliage.

Figure 4: 2-D images of step-frequency SAR.

Figure 5: 2-D images of random noise SAR.