

9-1995

Where People Shop: Trade Centers In Nebraska

Lisa Valladao
Bureau of Business Research

Meghan Eary
Bureau of Business Research

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bbrbin>

Valladao, Lisa and Eary, Meghan, "Where People Shop: Trade Centers In Nebraska" (1995). *Business in Nebraska*. 153.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bbrbin/153>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Bureau of Business Research at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Business in Nebraska by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Business in Nebraska

This Issue:

Retail Trade Centers in Nebraska	1
County of the Month	8

Prepared by the Bureau of Business Research (BBR), College of Business Administration,
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 114 CBA, Lincoln, NE 68588-0406, 402/472-2334

Where People Shop: Trade Centers in Nebraska

Lisa Valladao and Meghan Eary

Local economies vary in their ability to capture retail trade customers. Factors such as proximity to major highways, population, geographic isolation, and presence of regional malls affect the viability of a community's retail base. In this issue of *Business in Nebraska*, we examine the pattern of retail activity across Nebraska. That pattern of activity results in the formation of trade centers—communities that attract or capture a surplus of retail customers from surrounding communities and regions. We also examine the employment effects resulting from the amount of external retail sales captured by trade centers.

To locate the trade centers across Nebraska, we analyzed retail sales figures in the communities featured in the monthly Nonmotor Vehicle Net Taxable Retail Sales table (see page 7). Data for the years 1990 to 1992 formed the basis of this analysis.

In order to determine whether a local economy was either capturing, breaking even, or losing retail dollars, it was necessary to multiply state per capita retail sales by population of each community. This resulted in an estimate of each community's retail activity based on the size of its population. Actual retail sales for each community were then subtracted from the population-based estimate to determine the magnitude of capture or loss. The equations for these calculations are shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} (A) * (B) &= (C) \\ (C) - (D) &= \text{estimated capture or loss} \\ &\text{of retail sales} \end{aligned}$$

Where:

- (A) = 3-year average state per capita nonmotor retail sales (1990 to 1992)
- (B) = community population (1990)
- (C) = 3-year estimated average nonmotor retail sales based on state-level consumption pattern
- (D) = 3-year average of actual community nonmotor retail sales (1990 to 1992)

The assumption underlying these equations, of course, is that per capita consumption expenditures across communities are equivalent to per capita consumption expenditures at the state level. While this probably is not true in each community analyzed, due to differences in per capita incomes as well as consumer preferences, we are confident that the state per capita expenditure figure is a reasonable proxy for Nebraska communities in general.

Some important issues must be kept in mind when considering this analysis. First, this analysis considers the sale of all goods except motor ve-

Retail Sales

hicles and food purchased at grocery or convenience stores. Second, the data presented on retail trade capture are estimates based on a hypothetical volume of retail activity that we would expect to see at the community level. Estimates are subject to error. Third, the activity generated by relatively new retail facilities, such as a major outlet mall in Gretna, are not reflected in the data if they were built after 1992. Finally, it is important to note that the trade capture figures presented are in net terms. The actual capture by a community from external consumers is offset by leakage of internal dollars to other communities. For example, it seems reasonable to assume that individuals in Bridgeport do some portion of their shopping in Scottsbluff, and that individuals in Norfolk periodically travel to Omaha to shop. Leakage is a function of the different levels of trade centers present in a given state or region.

Levels of Trade Centers

For the purpose of this analysis, we defined trade centers as those communities with an estimated \$5 million or more in trade capture. For presentation purposes we divided the trade centers into four types based on the magnitude of estimated trade capture (Table 1).

Hierarchies, or levels of retail trade activity, are based on the availability and affordability of a variety of goods ranging from basic need items such as hardware and personal care products to highly specialized items such as furniture, electronics, and specialty clothing. The larger a community, the more levels of retail activity it is capable of supporting.

It is not surprising, therefore, that some of the most prosperous trade centers identified in this analysis are the largest communities in the state. The cities of Omaha, Lincoln, Grand Island, and Kearney each captured substantial surpluses of retail activity. The magnitude of retail trade dollars captured by a community, however, is not a direct function of community size. For example, the city of Scottsbluff, with a population of 14,000, captured substantially more trade than the city of North Platte, population 23,000. Relatively large populations also do not guarantee sizable retail trade capture. The city of Lincoln, for example, captured less retail sales than did the city of Grand Island. Clearly factors in addition to population combine to generate retail activity.

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the estimated geographic "reach" of the major and large trade centers. The areas attributed to each trade center are approximations based on factors including size of trade capture and

Table 1
Trade Centers by Type and
Amount of *Trade Capture
(\$ millions)

	Capture	Type
Omaha	1448.7	Major
Grand Island	139.0	Major
Lincoln	97.7	Major
Scottsbluff	93.0	Major
Norfolk	87.5	Major
Kearney	75.6	Major
Columbus	55.1	Large
Fremont	42.8	Large
McCook	41.3	Large
North Platte	41.1	Large
Hastings	35.8	Large
Ogallala	29.6	Large
York	27.7	Large
Lexington	24.2	Large
O'Neill	22.3	Large
Aurora	20.2	Large
Holdrege	18.8	Intermediate
Broken Box	16.1	Intermediate
West Point	15.3	Intermediate
Valentine	14.3	Intermediate
Seward	13.8	Intermediate
Beatrice	12.3	Intermediate
Sidney	11.0	Intermediate
Albion	9.7	Small
Hartington	8.8	Small
Blair	8.7	Small
Geneva	8.3	Small
Gordon	8.1	Small
Imperial	8.0	Small
Hebron	7.8	Small
Neligh	7.2	Small
Humphrey	7.1	Small
Ord	6.3	Small
Ainsworth	6.0	Small
Bridgeport	5.7	Small
Crete	5.6	Small
Shelton	5.2	Small
So. Sioux City	5.2	Small
Ceresco	5.1	Small

* Trade capture refers to the amount of retail sales in excess of what would be expected based on the community's population size.

Retail Sales

Figure 1
Estimated Reach of Major Trade Centers




Figure 2
Estimated Reach of Large Trade Centers





Figure 3
Intermediate and Small Trade Centers



geographic location. The areas should not be viewed as absolute trade boundaries. Figure 3 shows the location of the intermediate and small trade centers.

Geographic isolation, that is, relatively long distances from Interstate 80 and other communities with populations above 2,500 works in favor of certain communities. The cities of McCook, O'Neill, Broken Bow, and Valentine can be considered retail "oases" in that they are geographically isolated and yet capture substantial amounts of trade. Proximity to Interstate 80 also has clear benefits as can be seen in the retail trade capture in communities such as Grand Island, North Platte, Ogallala, and Sidney. Proximity to large cities can be detrimental to local retail potential. For example, our analysis indicates that retail dollars flowed from communities in Sarpy and Cass Counties to Omaha.

The presence of a major or large trade center in a particular region does not mean that other communities in the region are net losers of retail activity. In eastern Nebraska, for example, a number of communities within the estimated Omaha trade area capture substantial amounts of trade themselves.

Again, the availability and affordability of particular types of goods, as well as the convenience of access to particular communities combine to influence where consumers will shop.

Employment Impact

The capture of retail trade dollars has both a direct impact on retail employment, and an indirect impact on employment in other sectors of the economy. Direct impact occurs at the retail establishment level—the more sales generated by an establishment, the more employees the firm can support. Indirect impact results primarily from the household expenditures of retail employees, and secondarily from the goods and services purchased by retail establishments, which have a multiplying effect as they flow through the economy.


Table 2 shows the direct employment impact of trade capture in each of the trade centers. The direct employment impact was derived by dividing the trade capture in each trade center by the average sales per employee at the state level. Using a multiplier of 1.3, the total employment impact of the

Retail Sales

Table 2
Employment Impact of Trade Capture

	<i>Direct Impact</i>	<i>Total Impact</i>
Omaha	12,934	16,815
Grand Island	1,241	1,614
Lincoln	872	1,134
Scottsbluff	830	1,079
Norfolk	782	1,016
Kearney	675	878
Columbus	492	639
Fremont	382	496
McCook	369	480
North Platte	367	477
Hastings	320	416
Ogallala	265	344
York	247	321
Lexington	216	281
O'Neill	199	258
Aurora	180	234
Holdrege	167	218
Broken Box	143	186
West Point	137	178
Valentine	128	166
Seward	124	161
Beatrice	109	142
Sidney	99	128
Albion	87	113
Hartington	78	102
Blair	77	101
Geneva	74	96
Gordon	72	94
Imperial	71	93
Hebron	70	91
Neligh	64	84
Humphrey	63	82
Ord	57	74
Ainsworth	53	69
Bridgeport	51	66
Crete	50	65
Shelton	46	60
So. Sioux City	46	60
Ceresco	45	59

Figure 4
**Trade Capture Direct Employment as Proportion of
Total Retail Employment—Selected Trade Centers**



trade capture for each community was calculated (Table 2). (Total impact is, in effect, the sum of direct and indirect impact.) Using North Platte as an example, we find that the trade captured by North Platte supports over 360 retail jobs in the community. In addition, the household expenditures of the 360 retail employees supported by the trade capture, combined with the expenditures of the retail establishments themselves supported approximately 110 additional jobs in the North Platte economy.


The direct employment impact of trade capture can represent a sizable portion of total retail

employment in trade centers as indicated in Figure 4. (Due to disclosure suppression, data for these calculations were available only for selected trade centers.)

No single factor governs the ability of a community to capture trade dollars from outside of its borders. Communities of varying sizes and proximity to metropolitan areas and major highways throughout Nebraska are enjoying healthy doses of retail trade activity in the 1990s.



(\$000)



July 1995	% Change vs Year Ago	YTD % Change vs Year Ago
152.5	2.8	2.9
236.2	1.9	2.4
169.2	3.5	3.4

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

	Revised June 1995	Preliminary July 1995	% Change vs Year Ago
Place of Work			
Nonfarm	819,240	806,095	1.3
Manufacturing	112,255	111,795	3.0
Durables	54,183	53,980	4.0
Nondurables	58,072	57,815	2.1
Mining & Construction	35,162	36,063	-6.1
TCU*	50,565	49,028	2.1
Trade	23,953	203,765	-0.3
Retail	150,445	149,874	2.1
Wholesale	53,508	53,891	-6.3
FIRE**	52,745	52,851	1.8
Services	212,681	211,193	4.2
Government	151,879	141,400	-0.4
Place of Residence			
Civilian Labor Force	895,256	902,497	0.9
Unemployment Rate	2.7	3.0	

Source: Nebraska Department of Labor.

The State and Its
Trading Centers Employment (1)

NEBRASKA	1.2
Alliance	1.1
Beatrice	-0.4
Bellevue	2.3
Blair	2.3
Broken Bow	1.0
Chadron	-0.9
Columbus	0.1
Fairbury	0.5
Falls City	0.9
Fremont	-0.6
Grand Island	0.2
Hastings	0.2
Holdrege	0.1
Kearney	-0.5
Lexington	-0.1
Lincoln	1.5
McCook	0.5
Nebraska City	0.0
Norfolk	-0.5
North Platte	0.4
Ogallala	0.1
Omaha	2.3
Scottsbluff/Gering	-0.4
Seward	0.7
Sidney	0.4
S. Sioux City	1.4
York	0.1

Source: Nebraska Department of Labor.

Nonmotor Vehicle Net Taxable Retail Sales in Nebraska Cities

	May 1995	YTD	YTD % Chg vs Yr Ago		May 1995	YTD	YTD % Chg vs Yr Ago
Ainsworth, Brown	1,668	8,133	-5.9	Juniata, Adams	152	915	-2.6
Albion, Boone	1,791	7,690	6.3	Kearney, Buffalo	26,373	121,978	2.7
Alliance, Box Butte	5,515	25,489	4.4	Kenesaw, Adams	121	488	4.9
Alma, Harlan	678	3,045	4.6	Kimball, Kimball	1,569	7,283	-7.8
Arapahoe, Furnas	572	2,763	-3.8	La Vista, Sarpy	6,346	28,955	4.3
Arlington, Washington	178	853	-6.1	Laurel, Cedar	322	1,580	-7.3
Arnold, Custer	219	1,225	-0.8	Lexington, Dawson	6,891	33,831	2.8
Ashland, Saunders	820	4,082	-14.2	Lincoln, Lancaster	149,598	730,697	5.1
Atkinson, Holt	655	3,211	-3.4	Louisville, Cass	359	1,548	-4.0
Auburn, Nemaha	2,229	11,280	-1.1	Loup City, Sherman	474	2,466	-8.2
Aurora, Hamilton	2,419	12,280	8.2	Lyons, Burt	381	1,864	-8.9
Axtell, Kearney	85	395	-2.0	Madison, Madison	574	2,837	-17.5
Bassett, Rock	357	1,810	-15.6	McCook, Red Willow	9,908	43,230	8.7
Battle Creek, Madison	506	2,840	-13.2	Milford, Seward	566	3,647	-11.8
Bayard, Morrill	424	2,185	7.2	Minatare, Scotts Bluff	239	1,106	2.3
Beatrice, Gage	8,747	41,754	0.0	Minden, Kearney	1,460	7,500	1.1
Beaver City, Furnas	116	583	-8.9	Mitchell, Scotts Bluff	717	4,042	-2.0
Bellevue, Sarpy	13,756	66,629	1.1	Morrill, Scotts Bluff	382	1,832	0.1
Benkelman, Dundy	422	2,022	-8.8	Nebraska City, Otoe	4,336	21,242	2.5
Bennington, Douglas	355	1,217	-0.2	Neligh, Antelope	1,198	5,690	-2.9
Bertrand, Phelps	98	526	-16.0	Newman Grove, Madison	273	1,504	27.1
Blair, Washington	6,046	28,106	3.7	Norfolk, Madison	25,024	116,509	7.9
Bloomfield, Knox	526	2,457	-10.7	North Bend, Dodge	402	1,996	0.8
Blue Hill, Webster	326	1,684	5.6	North Platte, Lincoln	19,861	92,085	2.3
Bridgeport, Morrill	843	4,654	1.7	Oakland, Burt	535	2,772	-7.9
Broken Bow, Custer	4,719	19,718	8.1	Ogallala, Keith	5,121	22,456	2.7
Burwell, Garfield	612	2,887	-7.6	Omaha, Douglas	390,147	1,850,120	2.3
Cairo, Hall	174	841	-11.8	O'Neill, Holt	4,275	18,396	1.2
Cambridge, Furnas	941	3,299	29.0	Ord, Valley	1,765	8,593	-2.3
Central City, Merrick	1,306	6,836	-1.8	Osceola, Polk	737	3,464	-3.0
Ceresco, Saunders	1,045	5,028	1.2	Oshkosh, Garden	475	2,249	12.7
Chadron, Dawes	3,051	15,268	5.3	Osmond, Pierce	341	1,557	-4.4
Chappell, Deuel	364	1,916	6.2	Oxford, Furnas	317	1,653	0.7
Clarkson, Colfax	470	1,878	1.5	Papillion, Sarpy	3,195	15,800	-3.4
Clay Center, Clay	195	1,076	-13.8	Pawnee City, Pawnee	280	1,495	3.6
Columbus, Platte	18,158	86,425	-2.2	Pender, Thurston	578	2,743	-2.0
Cozad, Dawson	2,744	12,942	-0.6	Pierce, Pierce	564	2,950	4.1
Crawford, Dawes	370	1,639	-0.5	Plainview, Pierce	536	3,135	1.6
Creighton, Knox	879	4,674	5.4	Plattsmouth, Cass	2,919	13,240	2.8
Crete, Saline	3,327	16,475	-3.6	Ponca, Dixon	414	2,132	-1.7
Crofton, Knox	327	1,450	-13.9	Ralston, Douglas	2,730	12,100	1.5
Curtis, Frontier	261	1,294	-3.1	Randolph, Cedar	325	1,557	2.3
Dakota City, Dakota	585	2,559	11.6	Ravenna, Buffalo	544	3,255	-8.3
David City, Butler	1,414	6,680	-2.5	Red Cloud, Webster	542	3,203	-9.4
Deshler, Thayer	199	1,001	3.0	Rushville, Sheridan	502	2,468	-5.9
Dodge, Dodge	195	1,060	-4.9	South Sioux City, Dakota	7,601	35,146	-2.0
Doniphan, Hall	214	2,497	6.4	Sargent, Custer	160	888	-8.0
Eagle, Cass	272	1,185	4.3	Schuyler, Colfax	1,612	8,229	-14.0
Elgin, Antelope	301	1,795	-6.0	Scottsbluff, Scotts Bluff	18,378	84,636	-2.3
Elkhorn, Douglas	1,508	6,512	-2.9	Scribner, Dodge	371	1,799	-10.4
Elm Creek, Buffalo	166	851	-17.6	Seward, Seward	4,390	21,219	-1.6
Elwood, Gosper	387	1,482	-0.4	Shelby, Polk	206	1,326	-8.6
Emerson, Dakota	0	1,199	-29.8	Shelton, Buffalo	480	2,712	-19.3
Fairbury, Jefferson	2,867	13,731	-3.7	Sidney, Cheyenne	6,099	26,751	6.6
Fairmont, Fillmore	148	688	2.8	Springfield, Sarpy	179	784	3.3
Falls City, Richardson	2,266	11,153	1.4	St. Paul, Howard	1,076	5,176	-9.7
Franklin, Franklin	423	2,069	-5.0	Stanton, Stanton	451	2,444	-8.1
Fremont, Dodge	18,358	94,592	-0.9	Stromsburg, Polk	846	3,395	-12.5
Friend, Saline	424	2,412	8.1	Superior, Nuckolls	1,424	6,706	-19.1
Fullerton, Nance	400	2,588	1.6	Sutherland, Lincoln	219	1,036	-9.0
Geneva, Fillmore	1,704	7,880	1.6	Sutton, Clay	1,037	4,968	15.5
Genoa, Nance	228	1,096	-4.5	Syracuse, Otoe	895	4,648	3.0
Gering, Scotts Bluff	2,977	15,072	-3.6	Tecumseh, Johnson	956	4,809	-3.3
Gibbon, Buffalo	672	3,364	-1.3	Tekamah, Burt	944	4,556	-6.5
Gordon, Sheridan	1,587	7,363	-5.2	Tilden, Madison	393	1,949	1.1
Gothensburg, Dawson	1,758	8,958	1.5	Utica, Seward	180	1,059	12.4
Grand Island, Hall	44,925	213,542	6.0	Valentine, Cherry	3,591	14,937	8.1
Grant, Perkins	736	3,819	-3.4	Valley, Douglas	1,097	4,317	-11.5
Gretna, Sarpy	3,292	14,305	4.6	Wahoo, Saunders	2,616	11,033	-0.5
Hartington, Cedar	1,366	7,599	-3.5	Wakefield, Dixon	293	1,577	-21.5
Hastings, Adams	19,108	90,763	1.5	Wauneta, Chase	234	1,392	-1.4
Hay Springs, Sheridan	281	1,448	-12.0	Waverly, Lancaster	456	2,485	-4.2
Hebron, Thayer	1,323	7,969	-1.4	Wayne, Wayne	2,911	13,697	-15.2
Henderson, York	469	2,341	6.8	Weeping Water, Cass	549	2,757	-6.3
Hickman, Lancaster	164	960	-2.6	West Point, Cuming	3,385	14,980	2.4
Holdrege, Phelps	4,372	21,309	1.8	Wilber, Saline	345	2,091	-4.4
Hooper, Dodge	262	1,297	-11.0	Wisner, Cuming	452	2,376	-19.2
Humboldt, Richardson	405	2,198	-10.7	Wood River, Hall	451	1,757	7.8
Humphrey, Platte	680	2,838	-4.4	Wymore, Gage	330	1,840	-4.1
Imperial, Chase	1,521	7,119	-0.2	York, York	7,847	37,095	5.4

Source: Nebraska Department of Revenue

County of the Month

Scotts Bluff

Gering—County Seat



License plate prefix number: 21

Size of county: 725 square miles, ranks 32nd in the state

Population: 36,025 in 1990, a change of -6.0 percent from 1980

Median age: 35.1 years in Scotts Bluff County, 33.0 years in Nebraska in 1990

Per capita personal income: \$18,223 in 1993, ranks 44th in the state

Net taxable retail sales (\$000): \$319,625 in 1994, a change of 3.9 percent from 1993; \$124,152 during January - May 1995, a change of 1.7 percent from the same period one year ago

Number of business and service establishments: 1,194 in 1992, 56.7 percent had less than five employees

Unemployment rate: 4.2 percent in Scotts Bluff County, 2.9 percent in Nebraska for 1994

Nonfarm employment (1994):

	State	Scotts Bluff County
Wage and salary workers	795,486	15,539
	(percent of total)	
Manufacturing	13.7%	11.4%
Construction and Mining	4.4	4.1
TCU	6.1	5.6
Retail Trade	18.5	25.2
Wholesale Trade	6.5	6.8
FIRE	6.5	5.1
Services	25.4	22.8
Government	<u>19.0</u>	<u>19.0</u>
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture:

Number of farms: 821 in 1992, 892 in 1987

Average farm size: 509 acres in 1992

Market value of farm products sold: \$191.2 million in 1992 (\$232.991 average per farm)

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Nebraska Department of Labor, Nebraska Department of Revenue

Copyright 1995 by Bureau of Business Research, University of Nebraska-Lincoln. ISSN 0007-683X. *Business in Nebraska* is published in ten issues per year by the Bureau of Business Research (BBR). Subscription orders and inquiries should be directed to Bureau of Business Research, 114 CBA, University of Nebraska-Lincoln 68588-0406. E-mail: cboyd@bbbr.unl.edu. Annual subscription rate is \$10.

SEPTEMBER 1995, VOLUME 51 No. 603

University of Nebraska-Lincoln—Joan R. Leitzel, *Interim Chancellor*
College of Business Administration—John W. Goebel, *Dean*

Bureau of Business Research

John S. Austin, *Research Associate*
 Kevin Bolling, *Undergraduate Assistant*
 David Bennett, *Programming Assistant*
 Carol Boyd, *Secretary*
 Clayton Buss, *Programming Assistant*
 Charlie Curran, *Undergraduate Assistant*
 David DeFruiter, *Information Systems Manager*
 Meghan Eary, *Graduate Assistant*
 Mahbubul Kabir, *Graduate Assistant*
 F. Charles Lamphear, *Director*
 Jan Laney, *Project Assistant*
 Jeanette Mann, *Information Systems Coordinator*
 Michelle McNally, *Graduate Assistant*
 Rohit Singh, *Undergraduate Assistant*
 Lisa Valladao, *Research Coordinator*
 Rollie Zumbrunn, *Undergraduate Assistant*

