A Measurement of the Michel Parameters in Leptonic Decays of the Tau

R. Ammar
University of Kansas - Lawrence

Kenneth A. Bloom
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, kbloom2@unl.edu

CLEO Collaboration

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsbloom

Part of the Physics Commons

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsbloom/165

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Papers in Physics and Astronomy at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kenneth Bloom Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.
A Measurement of the Michel Parameters in Leptonic Decays of the Tau


(Cleo Collaboration)

1University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045
2University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455
3State University of New York at Albany, Albany, New York 12222
4Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210
5University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019
6Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907
7University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627
8Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309
9Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275
10Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244
11Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235
12Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061
13Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202
14California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125
15University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037
16University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106
17University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0390
18Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853
19University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611
20Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
21University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
22University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, Illinois 61801
23Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1S 5B6 and the Institute of Particle Physics, Canada

4686 0031-9007/97/78(25)/4686(5)$10.00 © 1997 The American Physical Society
Leptonic $\tau$ decays are sensitive probes of the charged weak interaction since the strong interaction plays no role in these decays. The Lorentz structure of the $\mu W\nu_\mu$ and $eW\nu_e$ currents is well established. In this paper, we investigate the $\tau W\nu_\tau$ vertex.

In the decays of the $\tau$ to $\ell\nu\bar{\nu}$, information on the decay can be extracted from the shape of the momentum distribution of the lepton $\ell$, and from its angular distribution relative to the parent $\tau$ spin direction [1–4]. After integration over the unobserved neutrino momenta and the spin of $\ell$, and neglecting radiative effects we can write the charged lepton momentum spectrum as

$$1 \frac{d\Gamma}{dx} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-x}} \left[ 12(1-x) + \frac{4x}{\sqrt{1-x}} (8x-6) + 24\eta \frac{m_t}{m_\tau} \frac{(1-x)}{x} \right],$$

where $\rho$ and $\eta$ are the spectral shape Michel parameters [1], and $x = E_\ell/E_{\text{max}}$ is the lepton energy scaled to the maximum energy $E_{\text{max}} = (m_\tau^2 + m_\ell^2)/2m_\tau$ in the $\tau$ rest frame. In the standard model (SM), $\rho = 3/4$ and $\eta = 0$. Since $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs are produced with no net polarization at $e^+e^-$ center-of-mass energies below the $Z^0$ mass, this spectrum is not sensitive to the spin-dependent parameters $\xi$ and $\delta$.

Ignoring scalar and tensor interactions, $\rho \neq 3/4$ suggests the mixing of right-handed and left-handed vector currents [4,5]. Assuming that the $V$-$A$ coupling is dominant, $\eta \neq 0$ suggests the presence of a scalar boson that couples to a right-handed $\tau$ and a right-handed $\ell$. Interference between the amplitudes of this scalar boson and the SM $W_L$ boson alters the low momentum region of the $\mu$ spectrum. This effect is helicity suppressed in the $e$ spectrum since it corresponds to a flipping of the lepton’s spin, and therefore scales with the lepton mass. In the two-Higgs-doublet model with a scalar charged Higgs boson, one would have, in the decay $\tau \rightarrow \mu\nu\bar{\nu}$, $\eta_\mu = -(m_\tau - m_\mu) \tan^2 \beta) / 2m_\mu$ [6], where $\beta$ is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the neutral components of the two Higgs doublets, and $m_H$ is the Higgs boson mass.

The data used in this analysis were produced in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ reaction at the Cornell electron storage ring CESR, operating at $E_{\text{cm}} = 10.6$ GeV, and collected with the CLEO II detector [7]. Using 3500 pb$^{-1}$ (3.20 $\times$ 10$^6$ produced $\tau$ pairs) of analyzed data, we measure $\rho_\tau$ using the $e\nu\nu$ mode, and $\rho_\mu$ and $\eta_\mu$ using the $\mu\nu\nu$ mode. Adding the assumption of $e$-$\mu$ universality we also analyze the two modes simultaneously to measure $\rho_{e\mu}$ and $\eta_{e\mu}$.

To isolate a pure sample, we select events in which the $\tau^-$ has decayed to $e\nu\bar{\nu}$ or $\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$ (signal) and the recoiling $\tau^+$ has decayed to $h^+\pi^0\nu_\tau$ (tag) [8], where $h$ refers to a charged $\pi$ or $K$. The $h^+\pi^0\nu_\tau$ mode is chosen because of its large branching fraction, and because events so tagged are easily distinguishable from QED and other non-$\tau$ backgrounds.

Events are required to have two oppositely charged good tracks in the barrel region of the detector [$|\cos \theta| < 0.7$], where $\theta$ is the polar angle of the track with respect to the beam axis. We consider pairs of barrel photons, above 100 MeV each, as $\pi^0$ candidates if their invariant mass lies within 3 standard deviations ($\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} = 5$–9 MeV) of the $\pi^0$ mass.

The track further away in angle from the reconstructed $\pi^0$ is required to be either an $e$ or $\mu$. Electrons above 0.5 GeV/c are identified by momentum and specific ionization measurements from the tracking systems, and energy measurements from the electromagnetic calorimeter. Muons above 1.5 GeV/c are identified by projecting tracks to hits in muon counters beyond at least three absorption lengths of material. Lepton identification efficiencies and fake rates are determined from the data.

Cuts are employed to suppress $ee(\gamma)$ and $\mu\mu$ events. We allow no more than one identified $e$. Remaining backgrounds from these sources, along with those due to $2\gamma$ annihilation, cosmic rays, beam gas interactions, and $q\bar{q}$ production [including $Y(4S) \rightarrow BB$] are all estimated to be negligible. Events with isolated photonlike unused showers above 75 MeV (100 MeV) in the barrel (endcap) are rejected to reduce background from multi-$\pi^0$ modes mimicking the tag mode.

We identify 31568 [21766] $e$’s [$\mu$’s] with an estimated misidentification background of $(0.178 \pm 0.026\%)$ [(1.08 $\pm 0.16\%)]. The number of observed events is consistent with expectations from world average branching fractions. No correction is made for the small fake electron contamination. The product of the momentum dependent fake rate (determined using the $h^\pm$ from tag decay), and the parent hadron distribution (obtained by discarding all identified $e$ and $\mu$ events on the signal side), estimates the fake muon spectrum which is subtracted from the signal spectrum. Using Monte Carlo (MC) simulation, we predict a remnant multi-$\pi^0$ background contamination of $(1.19 \pm 0.05\%)$ [(1.38 $\pm 0.06\%)] of the tag decays accompanying the electronic [muonic] decays.
The ideal Lorentz frame for measuring \( \rho \) and \( \eta \) simultaneously is the \( \tau \) rest frame. The lepton spectrum observed in the laboratory (LAB) differs from the \( \tau \) rest frame spectrum due to the Lorentz boost. All sensitivity to \( \eta \) is now restricted to the low momentum region for which muon identification at CLEO is limited. The rest frame lepton spectrum cannot be measured since the unobserved neutrinos in these events preclude the explicit reconstruction of the entire event. One can, however, utilize information from the tag \( \tau \) to estimate a pseudo rest frame (PRF) of the lepton’s parent \( \tau \) [9]. In the absence of radiation, the two \( \tau \)’s are produced back-to-back, and at the beam energy. We select events in which the direction of the tag \( h \pi^0 \) system reliably estimates the flight direction of the parent \( \tau \). In the decay \( \tau \rightarrow A\nu \), where \( A \) is the hadronic system and \( \alpha \) is the angle between the \( \tau \) and \( A \) momenta in the LAB frame, we have \( m_\tau^2 + m_A^2 = 2E_\tau E_A + 2p_\tau p_A \cos \alpha = m_\tau^2 \). \( E_A, p_A \), and \( m_A \) are all measured quantities, and we assume \( m_\tau = 0 \) and \( E_\tau = E_{\text{beam}} \) to calculate \( \cos \alpha \). For \( \cos \alpha = 1 \), the tag \( h \pi^0 \) momentum gives an excellent approximation of the parent \( \tau \) direction. Accordingly, we select events with \( \cos \alpha \gtrsim 0.970 \) (Fig. 1) and reconstruct the PRF spectrum. After the \( \cos \alpha \) requirement, one retains 18587 (12580) electrons (muons).

In the PRF, we include 2931 muons that lie between 0.5–1.5 GeV in LAB momentum, below the efficiency plateau of the muon identification system. These muons are identified by elimination of all other possible decay hypotheses \( (\pi \nu, K\nu, e\nu\bar{\nu}, \text{and } h\pi^0\nu) \). We define \( X = E_\mu/E_{\text{max}} \) as the PRF muon energy scaled to the maximum possible energy \( E_{\text{max}} = (m_\mu^2 + m_e^2)/2m_\tau \) in the \( \tau \) rest frame (Fig. 2). For the two-body modes \( \pi\nu \) and \( K\nu \), we have \( X_{\pi(K)} = 0.89 \) (0.95) in the true rest frame. A cut at \( X \leq 0.6 \) reduces the \( \pi/K \) contamination to \( (2.63 \pm 0.21)\% \). The \( p_\mu \gtrsim 0.5 \text{ GeV/c} \) requirement, along with an explicit electron veto reduces the electron contamination to \( (0.64 \pm 0.11)\% \). No extra unmatched showers above 60 MeV are allowed, either in the barrel or the endcap region, to minimize backgrounds from \( h\pi^0\nu \) and other multi-\( \pi^0 \) modes; this contamination is estimated to be \( (0.78 \pm 0.12)\% \). These backgrounds are calculated with the MC simulation and subtracted from the data. Thus, we recover a small but pure sample of muons particularly sensitive to the \( \eta \) parameter.

The prediction \( dN_{\text{obs}}/dx \) for the charged daughter lepton spectrum, integrated over the undetected neutrino momenta, and averaged over the \( \tau \) helicity, can be expressed in terms of three MC spectra \( dN/dx(\rho, \eta) \): the standard \( V - A [3/4, 0] \) spectrum, the \( V + A [0, 0] \) spectrum and the \( \eta = 1 [3/4, 1] \) spectrum. With each reconstructed MC spectrum normalized to the total number of events, the data spectrum is represented by

\[
S \frac{dN_{\text{obs}}}{dx} [\rho, \eta] = \left( \frac{4\rho}{3} - \eta \right) \left( e_{V-A} \right) \frac{dN}{dx} [3/4, 0] + \left( \frac{1 - 4\rho}{3} \right) \left( e_{V+A} \right) \frac{dN}{dx} [0, 0] + \frac{\eta[1 + 4(m_\ell/m_\tau)](e_{\eta-1})}{1 + 4\eta(m_\ell/m_\tau)} \frac{dN}{dx} [3/4, 1],
\]

where \( S \) is the sum of the three coefficients \( \langle \{ \rangle \rangle \). The lepton momentum cutoff results in a different average efficiency \( \epsilon \) for each MC spectrum; a small efficiency correction is required. By construction, the fit function integrates to the total number of observed events for all physical values of the parameters.
We perform a $\chi^2$ fit of the data spectrum to the above function of three binned MC spectra. We use the KORALB (v2.2) [10], TAUOLA (v2.4) [11], and PHOTOS (v1.06) [12] MC packages to model the production and decay of $\tau$ pairs, and the GEANT 3.15 [13] program to simulate the response of the CLEO II detector. Small modifications to the TAUOLA package were required to generate decays with non-SM values of $\rho$ and $\eta$ [14].

Events which survive the $\cos \alpha$ constraint are analyzed in the PRF (Fig. 3), the remainder being analyzed in the LAB frame. In the electronic decay mode, only the first two terms in the previous equation are relevant. We use a weighted average of the two independent frame results to measure $\rho_e = 0.732 \pm 0.014$ with a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. of 51.5/46 (36.2/44) in the PRF (LAB frame). In the muonic decay mode, the parameters $\rho_x$ and $H_x$ are strongly correlated and are simultaneously measured. The weighted average yields $\rho_x = 0.747 \pm 0.048$ and $H_x = 0.010 \pm 0.149$, with a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. of 26.9/34 (28.1/33) in the PRF (LAB frame). The correlation coefficient $C_{\rho_x H_x}$ is 0.949. Omission of the low momentum muons, recovered without conventional muon identification results in significantly larger errors. Results obtained on analyzing the two frames of reference independently are consistent with each other; the errors in the LAB frame are twice as large.

The high precision on $\rho$ obtained in the electron mode analysis is now used to constrain the $\eta$ parameter in a simultaneous fit to both modes. Assuming lepton universality in the vectorlike couplings, and no tensor interactions, we constrain $\rho_e = \rho_x$. We use $\eta_\mu$ to denote the value of $\eta_x$ measured with this constraint. No constraint is placed on $\eta_e$ since we are insensitive to it. We measure $\rho_\mu = 0.735 \pm 0.013$, $H_\mu = -0.015 \pm 0.061$, $C_{\rho_x H_x} = 0.614$ with a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. of 69.5/75 (62.7/78) in the PRF (LAB frame). Again the two frames yield consistent results. We show in Fig. 4 results of the combined mode fit along with the measurements from the individual modes.

Table I lists the systematic error contributions from all dominant sources. Although the MC samples are each a factor of 10 times larger than the data, MC statistics...
remains one of the largest sources of systematic error. The complete CLEO MC simulation is used to determine the efficiencies of all applied cuts, along with their effects on the lepton momentum distributions; independent data samples are used to calibrate the MC simulation wherever possible. Lepton identification efficiencies are measured from the data. Statistical uncertainties in these measurements lead to small systematic errors. The MC estimates for the trigger efficiencies are close to 100% in both modes. The absolute trigger efficiency measured in the data varied to small systematic errors. The MC estimates for the absolute trigger efficiency measured in the data varied to small systematic errors.

MC generator-level tests, without any detector simulation, confirm that the fit procedure tracks both parameters over their respective allowed ranges in parameter space; no systematic bias is associated with the fitting procedure. The results obtained for the different parameters measured, along with the previous world average measurements [15], are presented in Table II.

In conclusion, all results are consistent with previous measurements, and with the V-A theory. They are more precise than all previous measurements, and help constrain the new world average results considerably. This measurement of the $\eta$ parameter provides a lower limit on the charged Higgs mass: $M_{H^\pm} > (0.97 \times \tan \beta)$ GeV at the 90% confidence level.
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TABLE I. All significant sources of errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$\rho_e$</th>
<th>$\rho_\mu$</th>
<th>$\eta_e$</th>
<th>$\rho_{e\mu}$</th>
<th>$\eta_{e\mu}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electron ID</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon ID</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake electron</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake muon</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed-down</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bin migration</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlations</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC statistics</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total systematics</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data statistics</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Results obtained in this analysis along with the corresponding previous world average results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>This result</th>
<th>World average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_e^\tau$</td>
<td>0.732 ± 0.014 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.736 ± 0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_\mu^\tau$</td>
<td>0.747 ± 0.048 ± 0.044</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_e^\tau$</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.149 ± 0.171</td>
<td>−0.24 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{e\mu}^\tau$</td>
<td>0.735 ± 0.013 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.742 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{e\mu}^\tau$</td>
<td>−0.015 ± 0.061 ± 0.062</td>
<td>−0.01 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>