

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Eastern Pine and Meadow Vole Symposia

Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center
for

March 1983

EFFECTS OF THREE PINE VOLE POPULATIONS ON APPLE TREE GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY

Milo Richmond
Cornell University

Pamela Miller
Cornell University

Chester Forshey
Cornell University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/voles>



Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#)

Richmond, Milo; Miller, Pamela; and Forshey, Chester, "EFFECTS OF THREE PINE VOLE POPULATIONS ON APPLE TREE GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY" (1983). *Eastern Pine and Meadow Vole Symposia*. 174.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/voles/174>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Eastern Pine and Meadow Vole Symposia by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

EFFECTS OF THREE PINE VOLE POPULATIONS ON
APPLE TREE GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY

Milo Richmond¹
Pamela Miller²
Chester Forshey²

¹New York Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853

²Highland Research Laboratory
Cornell University
Highland, New York

Few attempts have been made to quantify the damage to agricultural crops resulting from known densities of a particular pest. Availability of such information, even in its most basic form, is essential to making cost-effective management decisions. The question seems straightforward and simple; however, it is neither. For example, the damage caused by rodents in an apple orchard is not easily observed or measured. Moreover, the ultimate economic effects are dependant to some degree upon tree age, variety and replacement cost; weather, productivity market prices, and a host of other manageable and unmanageable factors facing the grower. In addition to these variables we must admit to not knowing exactly how the degree of girdling damage relates to health and vigor of the tree nor do we understand cumulative or recuperative factors which likely affect a perennial species. We do have evidence that compensatory growth can occur in certain damage situations (see for example Dyer 1973, 1975, 1976; Harris 1974; Hutchinson 1971; Pearson 1965; Vickery 1972; Westlake 1963 and Woronecki et al. 1976). So, while the problem appears clear the answer can be obfuscated by a host of variables many of which can change in a single season.

In an effort to clarify the problem and answer part of this economic question we began a study of the growth and productivity characteristics of known-age McIntosh trees that were subjected to three different levels of pine vole (*Microtus pinetorum*) populations. We anticipate that results from this research will reinforce findings of Pearson (1976) and Pearson and Forshey (1978) who described a reduction in apple crop value due to the presence of voles and subsequent damage to apple trees. Likewise we expect to provide empirical data that can clarify theoretical and speculative estimates of damage such as those of Kennicott 1957; Hamilton 1938; Garlough and Spencer 1944; Biser 1967 and Byers 1974.

METHODS

Details of the design of this study are presented in a previous paper (Richmond and Miller 1982). Our approach was to 1) build four vole-proof pens around small block plantings of known-age McIntosh trees, 2) stock these pens with pine voles to achieve a high, medium

and low density population, 3) maintain these levels by performing tubal ligation to prevent reproduction and replacing adult individuals to compensate for deaths and finally 4) monitor the growth and productivity of the apple trees that were otherwise subjected to a uniform management program.

RESULTS

Data included in this report are preliminary and are the results obtained from growth and production in 1982 following release of pine voles on 8 November 1981. Twenty-four hours after releasing the individually marked animals, tunnels and burrowing activity was observed in each of the three treatment pens. On 8 December 1981 a brief (6 hour!) trapping effort revealed 13 of the 14 voles indicating that survival was excellent. January and February snow cover exceeded 6 in. at all times in these plots. Periodic checks beneath 18" x 24" tarpaper sheets revealed vole survival in all treated plots. The control plot remained vole free over this same period. In early March melting snow revealed 5 trees completely girdled and a sixth partially damaged in the high density enclosure; 5 partially girdled in the medium density enclosure and a small area of damage on one tree in the low density pen (Fig. 1). The most extensive girdling extended from just below ground level up to 3 in. above ground. In an unknown situation the nature of the trunk girdling could have been mistaken for damage caused by the closely related meadow vole (Microtus pennsylvanicus).

Livetrapping indicated that three males and one female were lost over winter. This loss was presumably due to natural mortality because no indication of predation or even visits by predators was noted.

The effects of three different population densities on the growth and vigor of the trees and on actual fruit production are known for the first year. Although some treatment effects are obvious the data are preliminary and without statistical treatment. Table 1 summarizes the effects on yield and suggests that a negative correlation exists between number of fruits per tree and vole density. The mean fruit weight from the medium and high density pens was somewhat greater however. The reduction in fruit number was not entirely compensated by an increased size (weight) particularly in the high density pen where the total yield averaged about 8 kg less per tree.

The effects of these three vole populations on spur growth and shoot and leaf growth are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. In Table 2 we see no obvious differences in spur leaf number or weight. This would not be surprising after only one year because the spur characteristics for the period that we measured them were already established in the autumn of 1981 before voles were introduced. If spur growth and vigor shows a response it will be observable in the second and subsequent years after vole damage.

Table 1. Effects of pine vole populations on yield and fruit size of 12-year-old 'McIntosh'/M26 apple trees. (Highland, NY-1982)

	Vole population - No./acre			
	0	108	217	435
No. of fruits/tree	487.6	476.4	441.4	340.3
Yield - kg/tree				
Picked	40.40	40.17	43.40	32.44
Drops	9.29	8.84	5.45	5.93
Total	49.69	49.01	48.75	38.37
Pre-harvest fruit drop - %	18.7	18.0	11.2	15.9
Mean fruit wt. - g	101.9	102.9	110.4	112.7

Table 2. Effects of pine vole populations on spur growth of 12-year-old 'McIntosh'/M26 apple trees. (Highland, NY-1982).

	Vole population - No./acre			
	0	108	217	435
<u>Spur leaves</u>				
No. of leaves/spur	6.3	7.3	6.9	5.8
Weight of leaves/spur - g	1.00	0.94	1.05	1.09
Mean leaf weight - g	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.18

Table 3. Effects of pine vole populations on shoot and leaf growth of 12-year-old 'McIntosh'/M26 apple trees. (Highland, NY-1982)

	Vole population - No./acre			
	0	108	217	435
<u>Terminal shoots</u>				
Mean length - cm	32.4	34.3	27.0	19.5
Mean weight - g	2.54	2.84	2.40	1.52
<u>Shoot leaves</u>				
No. of leaves/shoot	16.6	14.6	16.7	12.6
Weight of leaves/shoot - g	5.86	6.26	6.34	4.54
Mean leaf weight - g	0.35	0.43	0.38	0.36

In Table 3 shoot length and weight appears to decline markedly at the higher vole densities. Likewise the shoot leaves while maintaining similar weights across all treatments do show a reduction in number of leaves per shoot and perhaps in total weight of leaves per shoot.

While the data presented here represent only a single year of effects on one variety at a single life history (productivity) stage (years 10-11) these data provide the type of information that allow some extrapolation to an actual orchard situation. Table 4 projects the loss in gross value on either a 50 ac or 100 ac orchard of similar aged McIntosh trees when apples are averaging \$6.50 per bushel. Several additional steps are required to convert these gross values into a figure that reliably indicates loss in net profit. We will not attempt these conversions in this report but plan to do so when all of the experimental results are in hand. Suffice to say that the preliminary indications suggest a substantial negative economic impact of high vole infestations even in a single year of vole damage.

Table 4. Projected loss in yield on 50 and 100 acres (454 trees/A) of 12 year old McIntosh trees. Apples = \$6.50 bushel.

Vole Density	50A bu/A	Projected Loss		
		\$	100A bu/A	\$
Control	--	--	--	--
Low	900	5,850.00	1800	11,700.00
Medium	1100	7,150.00	2200	14,300.00
High	13600	88,400.00	27200	176,800.00

LITERATURE CITED

- Biser, D.L. 1967. Mammal damage to orchards in New York State. M.S. Thesis, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY. 81 pp.
- Byers, R.E. 1974. Pine mouse control in apple orchards. Annual West Va. State Hort. Soc. Mountaineer Grower 335:3-13.
- Dyer, M.I. 1973. Plant-animal interactions: the effects of redwinged blackbirds on corn growth. Proc. Bird Control Sem. Bowling Green State Univ., Bowling Green, OH. 6:229-241.
- Dyer, M.I. 1975. The effects of red-winged blackbirds (Agelaius phoeniceus L.) on biomass production of corn grains (Zea mays L.). J. Appl. Ecol. 57:762-772.

- Dyer, M.I. 1976. Simulation of bird damage on corn ears. Proc. Bird Control Sem. Bowling Green State Univ., Bowling Green, OH. 7:173-179.
- Garlough, F.E. and D.A. Spencer. 1944. Control of destructive mice. U.S. Dept. Int. Fish and Wildl. Serv. Con. Bull. 36. 37 pp.
- Hamilton, W.J. 1938. Life history notes on the northern pine mouse. J. Mammal. 19:163-170.
- Harris, P. 1974. A possible explanation of plant yield increases following insect damage. Agro-Ecosystems 1:219-225.
- Hutchinson, K.J. 1971. Productivity and energy flow in fodder conservation/grazing systems. Herb. Abstr. 41:1-10.
- Kennicott, R. 1957. The quadrepeds of Illinois injurious and beneficial to the farmer. Rep. Comm. of Patents (Agr.) 1856:52-110.
- Pearson, K.J. 1976. Some economic aspects of pine vole damage in apple orchards of New York state. M.S. Thesis, St. Univ. of NY College of Envir. Sci. and For., Syracuse, NY. 55pp.
- Pearson, K.J. and C.G. Forshey. 1978. Effects of pine vole damage on tree vigor and fruit yield in New York apple orchards. Hort-Science 13:56-57.
- Pearson, L.C. 1965. Primary production in grazed and ungrazed desert communities of eastern Idaho. Ecology 46:278-285.
- Richmond, M.E. and P. Miller. 1982. Effects of known densities of pine voles on apple trees. Pages 39-42 In R. Byers (ed.) Proc. East. Pine and Meadow Vole Symp., Harpers Ferry, Va. 1982.
- Vickery, P.J. 1972. Grazing and net primary production of a temperate grassland. J. Appl. Ecol. 9:307-314.
- Westlake, D.P. 1963. Comparison of plant productivity. Biol. Res. 39:385-425.
- Woronecki, P.P., C.R. Ingram, and R.A. Dolbeer. 1976. Response of maturing corn to simulated bird damage. Proc. Bowling Green Bird Control Sem. Bowling Green, OH. 7:163-172.