University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

POD Network Conference Materials

Professional and Organizational Development Network in Higher Education

1993

Call for Proposals, 18th Annual Conference, 1993

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/podconference



Part of the Higher Education Administration Commons

"Call for Proposals, 18th Annual Conference, 1993" (1993). POD Network Conference Materials. 197. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/podconference/197

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Professional and Organizational Development Network in Higher Education at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in POD Network Conference Materials by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.



UNVEILING INHERENT VALUES, INVIGORATING VALUES INQUIRY in Classrooms, Curricula, and Campus Life

CALL FOR PROPOSALS 18TH ANNUAL CONFERENCE

Kahler Hotel Rochester, Minnesota October 14-17, 1993

Contents

- * Exploring the Conference Theme
- * Possible Topics for Conference Sessions
- * Criteria for Selecting Conference Programs
- * Instructions for Submitting a Proposal
- * Forms for Program Proposals

POD

The Professional and Organizational Development Network in Higher Education

POD is committed to improving higher education through faculty, instructional, and organizational development. The conference theme this year, *UNVEILING INHERENT VALUES*, *INVIGORATING VALUES INQUIRY*—in Classrooms, Curricula, and Campus Life, invites us to explore the values conveyed in what and how we teach, examine the values embedded in our institutional cultures, express the values we hold as educators and faculty development professionals, and learn how to promote the process of values inquiry in the classroom, in campus interactions, and in institutional decision-making.

Preconference Workshop Proposal Deadline: MARCH 12, 1993 Conference Session Proposal Deadline: APRIL 15, 1993

Daniel Wheeler Executive Director, 1992-93 OPOD C219 An Sci, East Campus University of Nebraska Lincoln NE 68583 (402) 472-5558 David Graf Manager of Administrative Services 15B Exhibit Hall South Iowa State University Ames IA 50011 (515) 294-3808 J1DLG@ISUVAX.IASTATE.EDU

EXPLORING THE CONFERENCE THEME

In Teaching Values in College (1980), Richard Morrill defines values as "standards and patterns of choice that guide persons and groups toward satisfaction, fulfillment and meaning." The term "values" encompasses "ethics," which refers to theory and standards of conduct and moral judgment, and "morality," which is usually associated with personal or professional behavior. But "values" implies a broader range of preference and choice, including the political, economic, social, and aesthetic dimensions of human experience--indeed, any area of experience in which we assign greater value to one position, approach, or action than to others.

Although there are those who maintain that we cannot or should not "teach" values in our colleges and universities, this broad definition makes it clear that we cannot, in fact, avoid doing so. "Objectivity," presumably the antidote to teaching values, is, itself, a value inherent in many academic disciplines and, some would argue, in the higher education enterprise as a whole. Hence, as Russell Edgerton has observed, values are both "taught and caught" on college campuses. Consciously or unconsciously, as faculty and administrators, we convey values; our institutions reflect myriad, often deeply-rooted values, and most college students, whatever their age, are deeply involved in absorbing, developing, refining, reformulating, and committing to values that will shape their future.

UNVEILING INHERENT VALUES, then, refers to the process of making explicit what too often remains implicit. Values are inherent in what we teach and how we teach. The campus environment conveys values. Each academic discipline and professional field is rich in values--and sometimes rent by conflicting values. Our institutional missions, policies, processes, and reward systems are value-laden. We communicate values in the ways we interact with colleagues, with students, and with those who differ in some significant way from the majority of people on campus. Only by unveiling, making explicit, these inherent values can we examine and evaluate what we are "teaching"--in the broadest sense of that term. Only by unveiling and confronting these inherent values can we pave the way for change in our classrooms, curricula, campus life, and institutional cultures.

INVIGORATING VALUES INQUIRY is a call for professional dialogue about the values embedded in our disciplines, our teaching, and our institutional life. POD members are often well-situated to take the lead in initiating such dialogues and pursuing ideas that emerge from them. It is also a call for more fully and frequently involving students in substantive discussions of values. If we are to prepare our students adequately for the plethora of personal choices they will have to make and the professional and societal issues they will have to address in our ever more complex world, we must prepare them to recognize, analyze, and evaluate the values at stake. "Values inquiry" is the application of critical thinking--and perhaps of "different voices"--to values issues. Like critical thinking and communication skills, the methods of values inquiry must be taught and practiced, preferably "across-the-curriculum." Faculty developers can play a key role in helping faculty recognize this need and identify strategies for addressing it.

POSSIBLE TOPICS FOR CONFERENCE OR PRE-CONFERENCE SESSIONS

The values focus is intended to be provocative--to generate some new topics for exploration at the POD conference, to elicit some new perspectives on traditional conference topics, and to inspire a deeper exploration of what we do and why we do it--as teachers, administrators, and faculty developers. The following list, including topics suggested by participants in the 1992 POD conference, is intended to be suggestive, but neither prescriptive nor exhaustive. Moreover, we do not expect all pre-conference or conference sessions to incorporate the values theme.

Values Dimensions of Academic Leadership

Learning Communities as Environments for Teaching Values

Incorporating Values Inquiry into the Humanities (or the Social Sciences or the Natural Sciences)

Values Inquiry Applied to Diversity Issues

Institutional Approaches to Valuing Teaching

Unveiling the Values Inherent in the Campus Environment

Faculty Developers and Student Developers: A Partnership to Promote Values Education

Moral Development in College Students

Designing Courses Incorporating the Principles of "Service-Learning"

Values Inquiry: A Critical Form of Critical Thinking

Unveiling the Values Inherent in Various Instructional Strategies

Cooperation vs. Competition as Guiding Principles for Teaching and Learning

Helping Academic Departments Uncover the Values They "Teach"

The "Values Audit" as an Organizational Development Strategy

The Role of Administrators in Conveying Institutional Values

Research Methodologies and Findings Related to Values Development

Assessing Values Outcomes

Incorporating Ethical Dimensions into Professional Education

Values Evoked by Different Voices on Today's Campuses

Implications for Teaching of Various Ethnic Traditions and Values

Values Education: An Antidote to Racism, Sexism, and Homophobia?

Dealing with Discordant Values in Classroom Discussions

Exploring the Values Embodied in the Academic Reward System

Professional Ethics for Faculty Developers

A Dialogue on the Values of POD

Use and Abuse of Power in the Classroom

Dislodging Deeply Embedded Values: The Role of the Change Agent

How and Why to Teach Academic Integrity

Redefining Scholarship: A Matter of Values

Values Implications of "Total Quality Management"

"Transforming the Curriculum "--Changing Underlying Values?

Values Implicit in Different Approaches to Performance Evaluation

Adding Social Consciousness to the College Curriculum

<u>Note</u>: It's a lot easier to concoct titles than to come up with substantive sessions. Feel free to adopt, adapt, or distort any of the above!

CRITERIA FOR SELECTING CONFERENCE PROGRAMS

The program committee and the pre-conference workshop committee will review program proposals on the basis of the following criteria:

- * Relevance to the conference theme
- * Relevance and importance to POD members
- * Likelihood of offering new data, ideas, or insights
- * Likelihood of providing usable information
- * Likelihood of generating lively interaction
- * Significance in terms of major issues in contemporary higher education
- * Appropriateness of format to the topic.

In accord with a number of comments and recommendations from 1992 conference participants, we are requesting that no one submit more than one proposal (as session organizer) for a pre-conference workshop and one proposal for a concurrent program session. Further, we would encourage people not to plan to be a participating presenter in more than two sessions. It would violate the "value" of flexibility to make this an iron-clad rule, so please regard it as a request, recognizing that some exceptions may be made as we try to develop the best possible program for the 1993 conference.

As is the case in other professional associations, session presenters are expected to register for the conference at their own expense (those presenting preconference workshops are not required to pay the additional workshop fee).

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTING A PROPOSAL

Please submit **one copy** of the attached **Cover Sheet**, providing the Session Title; name, position, address, and phone number of the Session Organizer, and names, positions, and institutions of other participants. The Session Organizer will be responsible for all contact with other session participants.

Complete the **Program Session Proposal Form** without making any reference to names or institutions of presenters. Submit **five copies** of the Program Session Proposal Form. Be sure that the single Cover Sheet is enclosed and that it contains the same Session Title as the Program Session Proposal Forms. We are trying to insure that review of the proposals will be blind.

Send one copy of the Cover Sheet and five copies of the Program Session Proposal Form to

Dr. Suzanne Brown, POD Program Committee Chair State System of Higher Education 301 Market Street, P.O. Box 809 Harrisburg, PA 17108.

Preconference Workshop Proposal Deadline - MARCH 12, 1993 Conference Session Proposal Deadline - APRIL 15, 1993

PROGRAM PROPOSAL COVER SHEET 1993 POD National Conference

Unveiling Inherent Values, Invigorating Values Inquiry in Classrooms, Curricula and Campus Life

<u>Proposal for</u> (please check one <u>or</u> the othernot both)		
Pre-conference workshop Concurrent Conference Session		
Program Title:		
<u>Session Organizer</u> (please list name as it should appear in the conference program):		
Name		
Position		
Institution		
Address		
Phone Number		
E-Mail Address		
<u>Collaborating Presenters</u> (please list names, positions, and institutions legibly as they should appear in the conference program):		

Submit one copy of this sheet, along with five copies of the "blind" program session proposal form.

 $\underline{\text{Note}}$: The Pre-Conference Workshop Coordinator and Conference Program Chair will only contact the Session Organizer, who is responsible for all contact with collaborating presenters.

PROGRAM SESSION PROPOSAL FORM 1993 POD National Conference

Unveiling Inherent Values, Invigorating Values Inquiry in Classrooms, Curricula, and Campus Life

DO NOT INCLUDE ANY PRESENTER'S NAMES OR INSTITUTIONS ON THIS FORM. Proposal for (check one or the other) ____ Pre-conference Workshop ____ Concurrent Conference Session Preferred Time Allotment ____ 3 hrs. ____ 6 hrs. ____ 90 min. ____ 75 min. ____ 45 min. (Pre-Conference only) Could you reduce the time if necessary? ____ Yes ____ No Session Title:

<u>Abstract</u>: (about 50 words, appropriate for inclusion in the conference program, if the proposal is accepted; if the session targets any particular group, e.g., new faculty developers or academic administrators, please indicate this in the abstract):

<u>Format</u> (brief description of intended format, including plans for audience interaction):

Equipment r	<u>needed</u> (please check):
	overhead projector
	1/2" VHS video tape player and monitor
	flip chart and pens
	carousel slide projector and screen
	audio cassette recorder/player
	other (specify, but may not be available at site):

<u>Program Description</u> Either on the bottom of this sheet or on a <u>single</u> attached sheet, please provide a more detailed description of the goals of the program you are proposing and how you intend to fulfill them. Do not include the names of any institutions or individuals in the description.

<u>Proposal Submission</u> - Submit <u>one copy of the Cover Sheet</u> and <u>five copies of the Program Session Proposal Form including the detailed description</u> to: Dr. Suzanne Brown, POD Program Committee Chair, State System of Higher Education, 301 Market Street, P.O. Box 809, Harrisburg, PA 17108.

Preconference Workshop Proposals must be submitted no later than MARCH 12, 1993 because preconference workshops are listed in the conference registration materials.

Conference Program Session Proposals must be submitted no later than APRIL 15, 1993.