

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

POD Network Conference Materials

Professional and Organizational Development
Network in Higher Education

2001

POD Conference Evaluation

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/podconference>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

"POD Conference Evaluation" (2001). *POD Network Conference Materials*. 208.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/podconference/208>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Professional and Organizational Development Network in Higher Education at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in POD Network Conference Materials by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

POD Conference Evaluation

Interfaces, Intersections, and Gateways (October 10-14, 2001)

We invite you to provide feedback to conference organizers and presenters on the entire conference. Your feedback will help to assess the quality of plenary, concurrent, and roundtable sessions as well as other events and activities during the conference. In addition to providing summary reports on individual sessions, the POD Core Committee will use this information in conference follow-ups and the design of future conferences. Please submit your completed responses at the Registration Desk before leaving the conference. They can also be mailed to: Bill Burke; Teaching and Learning Center; University of Kentucky; 5-47 W.T. Young Library; Lexington, KY 40506-0456. We value your feedback so please complete and return your comments!

Instructions: For each section, please indicate (a) each offering or service you experienced by marking (X) before the item, (b) your overall rating of its quality (1=poor, 2=marginal, 3=satisfactory, 4=good, 5=excellent), and (c) any comments that help to clarify or elaborate your experience.

Pre-Conference Workshops

- ☐ W1. Getting Started (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W2. Using Classroom Action Research (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W3. Assessment: The Implications for Faculty Development (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W4. Individual Teaching Consultations with Faculty (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W6. The Educational Developers' Portfolio (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W6. Helping Faculty (Re) Discover Their Great Teaching: How to Organize and Host a Great Teaching Seminar (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W7. Techno-CATs (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W8. Bridging Teaching and Research Cultures (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W10. Using Collegial Qualitative Techniques to Strengthen Teaching (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W11. How People Learn (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W13. Increasing Technology Integration in Instruction (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ W14. Managing Organizational Change (quality rating: ____)

Comments (if more than one workshop, indicate which is referred to in comments):

Plenary Sessions

__ President's Welcome Address at the Thursday dinner (quality rating: ____)

Comments:

__ Edward Zlotkowski: "Academics as Public Problem-Solvers: Implications for Faculty Training and Development" (quality rating: ____)

Comments:

__ Robin Zuniga: "Where Inquiry and Pedagogy Meet: Asking Good Questions About Teaching and Learning with Technology" (quality rating: ____)

Comments:

__ Orlando Taylor: "Preparing Faculty for New Gateways in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities" (quality rating: ____)

Comments:

Any other comments or suggestions about the plenary sessions?

Concurrent and Roundtable Sessions

Which concurrent or roundtable sessions did you find particularly well done and satisfying as a participant? Also, please indicate one or two things about each exemplary session that made it outstanding for you.

Which concurrent or roundtable sessions were unsatisfactory for you? Please indicate one or two things about each unsatisfactory session that made it less than satisfying for you.

Based on your experiences at this conference, what suggestions do you have for concurrent and roundtable sessions at future POD conferences? Please be as specific as possible.

Other Conference Events

- ☐ Educational expedition (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Materials/resource fair (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Special interest meeting (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Dinner On Your Own (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Newcomers' orientation (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Other event: _____
(quality rating: ____)

Comments (if more than one other conference event, indicate which is referred to in comments):

Conference Meeting Rooms and Equipment

Meeting Rooms

(mark all you used)

- ☐ Workshop rooms (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Roundtable rooms (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Concurrent session rooms (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Plenary session rooms (quality rating: ____)

Comments (if more than one meeting room, indicate which is referred to in comments):

Equipment

(mark all you used)

- ☐ Overhead projector (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ VCR (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Slide projector (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Flip chart (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Computer projector (quality rating: ____)
- ☐ Other equipment: _____
(quality rating: ____)

Comments (if more than one equipment item, indicate which is referred to in comments):

Conference Food and Refreshments, Lodging, and Services

Conference Food and Refreshments

(mark all you used)

☐ Continental breakfasts (quality rating: ____)

☐ Lunches (quality rating: ____)

☐ Dinners (quality rating: ____)

☐ Morning Breaks (quality rating: ____)

☐ Afternoon breaks (quality rating: ____)

☐ Reception refreshments (quality rating: ____)

Comments (if more than one meal/break, indicate which is referred to in comments):

Conference Lodging

(mark the one you used)

☐ Single occupancy (quality rating: ____)

☐ Double occupancy (quality rating: ____)

Comments:

Conference Services

(mark all you used)

☐ Conference registration (quality rating: ____)

☐ Hotel registration (quality rating: ____)

☐ Hotel room services (quality rating: ____)

☐ Food services (quality rating: ____)

☐ Ground transportation (quality rating: ____)

☐ Other services: _____
(quality rating: ____)

Comments (if more than one service, indicate which is referred to in comments):

Overall Assessment and Worst/Best Experiences at the Conference

This is an opportunity to give us some idea of your assessment of the conference quality overall and what kinds of experiences at this conference were most and least satisfying for you. After indicating your overall assessment of the conference, please highlight one or two experiences that are most memorable for you and why you think they had a significant impact (positive or negative) on you.

Overall conference assessment (quality rating: ____)

Most positive experience(s):

Least positive experience(s):

Other comments or suggestions:

Is this your first POD conference? ____ If not, how many other POD annual conferences have you attended? ____ How many years have you been a member of the POD Network? ____ Have you helped to plan/ organize a previous POD conference? ____ Would you be willing to help with a future conference? ____ Are you planning to come to next year's conference? ____ Arrival date at this year's conference? ____ Departure date at this year's conference? ____

Your name: _____

Your position: _____

Your institution: _____

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR RESPONSES AND
FOR PARTICIPATING IN THIS YEAR'S PODCONFERENCE!**