University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Publications from the Department of
Electrical and Computer Engineering

Electrical & Computer Engineering, Department of

2013

Multi-Objective Design Optimization of a Single-sided Axial Flux Permanent Magnet Machine

Xu Yang

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, xu.yang@huskers.unl.edu

Dean Patterson

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, patterson@ieee.org

Jerry Hudgins

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, jhudgins2@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/electricalengineeringfacpub>

Part of the [Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons](#)

Yang, Xu; Patterson, Dean; and Hudgins, Jerry, "Multi-Objective Design Optimization of a Single-sided Axial Flux Permanent Magnet Machine" (2013). *Faculty Publications from the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering*. 255.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/electricalengineeringfacpub/255>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Electrical & Computer Engineering, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications from the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Multi-Objective Design Optimization of a Single-sided Axial Flux Permanent Magnet Machine

Xu Yang, Dean Patterson, Jerry Hudgins

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Lincoln, NE 68588-0511

Email: xu.yang@huskers.unl.edu, patterson@ieee.org, jhudgins2@unl.edu

Abstract—This paper presents an optimal design approach for a single-sided axial flux permanent magnet (AFPM) machine. A multi-objective differential evolution based optimization algorithm is implemented, which is to maximize the output torque density (Nm/kg) and efficiency. Design constraints including geometrical and operating limits are considered. Total of seven independent variables are employed in the design. Optimization results are compared with a prototype design.

I. INTRODUCTION

Axial flux permanent magnet (AFPM) machines have gained much attention because of their disc shaped structure, which is suitable for traction systems such as in hybrid vehicles [1].

Numerous work has been done about machine design optimizations. In [2], [3], it proposes an analytical procedure for the design of a surface mounted PM machine with binary genetic algorithm in order to optimize a single objective function of material cost. In [4], [5], a multi-objective optimization of a 48 slot/4 pole IPM motor with three barriers per pole is presented. The objective is optimizing the torque and saliency to obtain a good performance in sensorless control. The optimization is carried out by FEA model and binary genetic algorithm. It uses weighted sum method, thus the multi-objective function reduces to a single objective problem. In [6], the optimization design of IPM motor is presented by means of a FEA-based multi-objective genetic algorithm (MOGA). In [7], the author includes rotor losses in the optimization process with an additional cost function. In [8], first global search MOGS is implemented. After that one solution machine is selected manually from the Pareto front on the basis of its performance.

The implementation of differential evolution in electrical machine design optimization has been studied recently. In [9]–[15]. In [9], a multi-objective optimization for the design of IPM motor based on the differential evolution and finite element model is presented. The objective is to minimize active volume and while maximizing the power output in the flux weakening area. In [10], an optimal design practice of IPM machine with modular stator structure based on finite element analysis (FEA) and differential evolution is discussed. Single and multi-objective of maximum torque and minimum THD of back EMF is implemented. In [11], an automated machine design process with differential evolution techniques is proposed to maximize the torque and efficiency. In [12],

[13], a bi-objective optimization of PM machine with 11 parameter variables using computationally efficient-FEA and differential evolution are employed to minimize torque ripple and maximize the torque production per unit volume. Four different machine topologies are evaluated through comparing Pareto-optimal design set. In [14], a multi-objective optimization of a surface PM motor with 5 variables comprises the minimization of total weight and maximizing a goodness function, which is defined as torque per root square of losses at rated load is studied. The results using by differential evolution (DE) is compared with response surface (RS) method. It shows DE has better capability dealing with large candidate designs. In [15], a optimal design of surface PM of with 8 variables with the objective of relative cost of active materials per cost is presented by differential evolution. Stopping criteria for DE algorithm is discussed based on solution space and design space.

This paper is focused on the optimization by means of a multi-objective differential evolution algorithm of a single-sided non-overlapped windings AFPM machine. Design objects are to maximize the output torque density (Nm/kg) and efficiency. Design constraints including geometrical and operating limits are considered. Total of seven independent variables are employed in the design. Optimization results are compared with a prototype design.

II. FEA MODEL OF AN AXIAL FLUX PM MACHINE

The target machine is used as an integrated starter-alternator for hybrid vehicles. The rated torque is 22.8 Nm, rated speed is 2800 rpm. A prototype machine was previously designed but not optimized.

Ideally the FEA model should be in 3-D to better evaluate the performances as in Fig. 1, however, due to the computation time, 2-D model is used in the optimal design with transient solution.

The approach to model the AFPM in 2-D is to view the machine from the side. The geometry is a cylindrical cross-section taken at the average radius as shown in Fig. 1. And rotational motion is assigned to model it as a very small portion of a radial flux machine with a very large radius (e.g. the radius is 100 m). Depending on the number of slots and poles, only a fraction of the machine is modelled. For the 24 slot/22 pole machine, the 2-D model contains 12 slots and 11 poles. The symmetric multiplier is 2 with the master and slave

boundary conditions are applied. The modelling is shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. 2D-FEA Model of a 24 slots/22 poles double layer winding AFPM

A. Design Variables

2D-FEA model of the machine is shown in Fig. 1. These seven variables are slot depth, slot width, stator yoke thickness, magnet thickness, magnet span to pole pitch ratio, rotor yoke thickness and split ratio, which is defined as the ratio of stator inner diameter and stator outer diameter.

TABLE I
DESIGN VARIABLES AND RANGES

	Variables	Range	Unit
x1	Slot Depth	[25, 40]	mm
x2	Slot Width to Slot Pitch Ratio	[0.3, 0.8]	
x3	Stator Yoke Thickness	[8, 20]	mm
x4	Magnet Thickness	[3, 5]	mm
x5	Magnet Span to Pole Pitch Ratio	[0.5, 0.9]	
x6	Rotor Yoke Thickness	[5, 10]	mm
x7	Split Ratio	[0.5, 0.7]	

B. Design Constrains

There are geometry constrains and operating limits as shown in Table II.

The stator outer diameter is fixed at 196 mm which is usually the case due to space limitations in reality. The air gap length is fixed at 1 mm since a slightly adjustment of air gap will result in significant difference in machine performance, which makes it difficult to evaluate the impact of other parameters.

Current density is fixed at 4.1 A/mm^2 due to cooling requirements, which is also same as the reference machine. The maximum stator tooth and back iron flux is 1.5 T. The material properties can be changeable and included in the optimal design. However, here the materials types are fixed as the electrical steel type is M19-29G. The permanent magnet material is NdFeB 40H with the residual induction $B_r=1.26\text{T}$.

TABLE II
DESIGN CONSTRAINS

Variables	Value	Unit
Number of slots	24	-
Number of poles	22	-
Stator outer diameter	196	mm
Air gap length	1	mm
Slot fill factor	0.4	-
Current density	4.1	A/mm^2
Maximum stator tooth flux	1.5	T
Maximum stator back iron flux	1.5	T

C. Design Objectives

The purpose of the optimal design is to design a machine with high torque density and high efficiency with a minimum torque requirement of 22.8 Nm to guarantee the 6700 W output power.

A multi-objective optimization algorithm is implemented. The objectives are to maximize the output torque density (Nm/kg) and efficiency:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize : } f1 &= \frac{T_{em}}{W_C + W_S + W_M} \\ \text{maximize : } f2 &= \frac{P_o}{P_o + P_s + P_r + P_c} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

in which, W_C, W_S, W_M are the weight of used copper, steel and magnets. P_o is the output power, P_s is the stator core loss, P_r is the eddy current loss in rotor back iron and magnets, P_c is the copper loss.

Once the Pareto front is obtained, the designer can select the best design one with reasonable comprise between different objectives.

III. OPTIMIZATION PROCESS

A. Flowchart of the Design Optimization

The flowchart of the optimal design is shown as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of optimization with MATLAB/Maxwell

Fig. 2 show the flowchart of the optimal design. The FEA model is in Maxwell. MATLAB is interfaced with Maxwell to change the input parameters and postprocessing the simulation data. Differential evolution algorithm is selected as the optimal algorithm. First an initial input parameter is generated in MATLAB, it passed the value into Maxwell FEA parametric model. The machine geometry is redraw automatically. After the simulation in Maxwell is completed, the output parameters

such torque, losses will be exported to MATLAB. Fitness function will be calculated. If the machine performance does not meet the requirement, the differential evolution algorithm will generate the next design parameters. The process will be repeated.

IV. OPTIMIZATION RESULTS

A. Optimization Results

The population size for the differential evolution is 40, generation size is 20, which leads to total 800 design. Cr is 0.9426, Fr is 0.6607. The simulation time is 25 hours in a single computer.

Fig. 3. shows the optimization results and the plotted Pareto front. One optimized M1 is selected for the design as the blue dot. The purple dot is the reference prototype machine. it could be seen that both the torque density and efficiency are improved.

Fig. 3. Optimization results

TABLE III
OPTIMIZATION RESULTS

	Variables Compare	Initial Design	Optimized-M1	U_{rate}
x1	Slot Depth	35	40	-
x2	Slot Width to Slot Pitch Ratio	0.3918	0.5149	-
	Slot Width	8	10	n
x3	Stator Yoke Thickness	10	14.81	n
x4	Magnet Thickness	4	5.0	n
x5	Magnet Arc	14	9	d
x6	Rotor Yoke Thickness	6	5.49	n
x7	Split Ratio	0.5918	0.5257	-
	Stator Inner Diameter	116	103	n
	Performance Compare	Initial Design	Optimized-M1	U_{rate}
	Torque Density	2.78	2.9	N
	Efficiency	92.38 %	94.50 %	-
	Max Tooth Flux	1.33	1.34	T
	Max Back Iron Flux	1.07	0.7172	T
	Output Torque	23	29	N

B. Parameter Profile

Fig. 4. shows the input and output results as Generation 1 and 20. It could be seen that as the generation number increase, the results will be more close to the Pareto front.

Fig. 4. Optimization results

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the relationship between the each input parameter and the output parameter.

Fig. 5. Variables vs TorqueDensity

Fig. 6. Variables vs Efficiency

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an automatic optimal machine design approach is presented. An optimized design of a 24 slots/22 poles single-side axial flux machine is illustrated with 2-D transient FEA model in Maxwell and in MATLAB as an example.

Further improvements may be applied. For the optimization, it is ideal case that we might run more generations get more optimal solution sets. Considering the time constraints, this runs only implements 800 design, using 25 hours on a single lab computer. Also the input parameters relationship with the output parameters may be analyzed by some statistic tools. It should be noted that this optimization focuses on only one type of axial flux machine. It has not compared with other types, like the Torus type AFPM or different machine types like radial flux and etc.

The machine optimized is with fixed slot/pole numbers. The broad concept of an 'optimized machine' should include different slot/pole combinations. However, the difficult in including the slot number and pole number as variables is that, the machine winding, excitation and boundary conditions setting would be different in the FEA model, which is not easy to define them automatically. But it would be possible, as the developed software 'KOIL' used to design the winding of rotating electric machinery automatically.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.-S. Kwon, S.-K. Sul, L. Alberti, and N. Bianchi, "Design and control of an axial-flux machine for a wide flux-weakening operation region," *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1258–1266, July-Aug. 2009.
- [2] N. Bianchi and S. Bolognani, "Brushless dc motor design: an optimization procedure based on genetic algorithms," in *Electrical Machines and Drives, 1997 Eighth International Conference on (Conf. Publ. No. 444)*, Sep 1997, pp. 16–20.
- [3] N. Bianchi and S. Bolognani, "Design optimisation of electric motors by genetic algorithms," *Electric Power Applications, IEE Proceedings*, vol. 145, no. 5, pp. 475–483, Sep 1998.
- [4] N. Bianchi, D. Durello, and E. Fornasiero, "Multi-objective optimization of a pm assisted synchronous reluctance machine, including torque and sensorless detection capability," *IET Conference Publications*,

- vol. 2012, no. CP592, pp. B34–B34, 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://link.aip.org/link/abstract/IEECPS/v2012/iCP592/pB34/s1>
- [5] N. Bianchi, D. Durello, and E. Fornasiero, "Multi-objective optimization of an interior pm motor for a high performance drive," in *International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM), 2012 IEEE*, September 2–5 2012.
- [6] G. Pellegrino and F. Cupertino, "Ipm motor rotor design by means of fea-based multi-objective optimization," in *Industrial Electronics (ISIE), 2010 IEEE International Symposium on*, July 2010, pp. 1340–1346.
- [7] G. Pellegrino and F. Cupertino, "Fea-based multi-objective optimization of ipm motor design including rotor losses," in *Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2010 IEEE*, Sept. 2010, pp. 3659–3666.
- [8] F. Cupertino, G. Pellegrino, E. Armando, and C. Gerada, "A syr and ipm machine design methodology assisted by optimization algorithms," in *Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2012 IEEE*, Sept. 2012, pp. 869–876.
- [9] D. Zarko, D. Ban, and T. Lipo, "Design optimization of interior permanent magnet (ipm) motors with maximized torque output in the entire speed range," in *Power Electronics and Applications, 2005 European Conference on*, 0–0 2005, pp. 10 pp. –P.10.
- [10] W. Ouyang, D. Zarko, and T. Lipo, "Permanent magnet machine design practice and optimization," in *Industry Applications Conference, 2006. 41st IAS Annual Meeting. Conference Record of the 2006 IEEE*, vol. 4, Oct. 2006, pp. 1905–1911.
- [11] M. Schonning, "Automated electrical machine design with differential evolution techniques," in *Electric Drives Production Conference (EDPC), 2011 1st International*, Sept. 2011, pp. 221–224.
- [12] G. Sizov, D. Ionel, and N. Demerdash, "Multi-objective optimization of pm ac machines using computationally efficient - fea and differential evolution," in *Electric Machines Drives Conference (IEMDC), 2011 IEEE International*, May 2011, pp. 1528–1533.
- [13] G. Sizov, P. Zhang, D. Ionel, N. Demerdash, and M. Rosu, "Automated bi-objective design optimization of multi-mw direct-drive pm machines using ce-fea and differential evolution," in *Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2011 IEEE*, Sept. 2011, pp. 3672–3678.
- [14] Y. Duan and D. Ionel, "A review of recent developments in electrical machine design optimization methods with a permanent magnet synchronous motor benchmark study," in *Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2011 IEEE*, Sept. 2011, pp. 3694–3701.
- [15] Y. Duan and D. Ionel, "Non-linear scaling rules for brushless pm synchronous machines based on optimal design studies for a wide range of power ratings," in *Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2012 IEEE*, Sept. 2012, pp. 2334–2341.