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**Summary**

The in vitro digestible stability of phenolic compounds and the antioxidant capacity of five kinds of commonly consumed fruit juices in the daily diet, including apple juice (AJ), orange juice (OJ), grape juice (GJ), pomelo juice (PJ) and kiwifruit juice (KJ), were studied. Following in vitro digestion, the total phenolic (TP) content of fruit juices decreased to different extents by 35%, 25.3%, 23.5%, 22.2% and 7.8% for KJ, OJ, PJ, GJ and AJ, respectively. The individual phenolic content showed similar changes to the TP content, showing reductions of naringenin-trisaccharide in OJ and PJ, epicatechin in GJ, and chlorogenic acid in AJ by 43.74%, 27.59%, 47.11% and 33.28%, respectively. Conversely, the antioxidant capacity of fruit juices during digestion measured by ABTS assay increased from 4.79% to 35.53%, except in KJ, which decreased by 19.34%. These results show the health benefits of fruit juices after processing and contribute towards establishing suitable dietary recommendations.
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**Introduction**

Fruit is a necessary component of our daily diet as it contains various nutrients, such as vitamin C, carotenoids and amino acids (Choi et al., 2016). The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and the Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations suggest a fruit intake of 400 g per day for a healthy diet. The function of vitamins and amino acids has been reported widely, and bioactive compounds such as polyphenols found in fruit have been a recent research focus. The modern medical study pointed out that excessive reactive oxygen species, including superoxide radical, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl-free radical, singlet oxygen and nitric oxide in the human body may directly lead to chronic metabolic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, cancer and hyperglycaemia (Osman et al., 2006; Ferrazzano et al., 2009; Pawlaczky et al., 2011; Ochiai et al., 2014). Fruit polyphenols are able to quench radicals by inhibiting their initiation and changing chain propagation, which is reported to be important in preventing these metabolic diseases (Re et al., 1999).

The principal fruit polyphenols are phenolic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins and tannins, as reported by Fu’s research on 62 fruits in China (Fu et al., 2011). It is well known that all the bioactive compounds have beneficial effects at the systemic level and should be digested, absorbed and metabolised through the complex digestive system of the human body (Hanhineva et al., 2010). When estimating the potential functionality of a compound, it is more important to focus on the amount after gastrointestinal digestion rather than the original quantity since the polyphenols undergo several oxidation and polymerisation reactions with pH change, leading to the formation of other high molecular weight or low solubility phenolic derivatives that are not available for absorption (Mosele et al., 2016). Furthermore, polyphenols may bind to some dietary...
constituents such as proteins, carbohydrates, fibre and iron, leading to a significant decrease after gastrointestinal digestion (Bermudez-Soto et al., 2007; He et al., 2015a,b, 2016a,b,c).

Though it is difficult to exactly simulate the complex physiological conditions of living organisms, in vitro digestion models have been widely used in recent investigations to mimic the situation in vivo (Mosele et al., 2015), since in vivo methods are more complicated and costly, and it is difficult to control differences between animals and humans (Jang et al., 2015).

Fruit juice is a popular way to consume fruit worldwide, and changes during storage to phenolics and other bioactive compounds have been studied (Dawes & Keene, 1999; de Lima et al., 2014; Lopes et al., 2016). An increased number of studies have focused on the stability and antioxidant behaviour of polyphenols in fruit juice using in vitro-simulated digestion methods. However, these have only studied a few types of fruit juice, and little attention has been paid to changes to individual polyphenols (Ryan & Prescott, 2010; Rodriguez-Roque et al., 2013; Pereira-Caro et al., 2015; Stanisavljevic et al., 2015; Coelho et al., 2016). In addition, few studies have focused on changes to individual and total phenolics (TPs) during in vitro gastric and intestinal digestion. It is well known that changes to the pH environment affect the stability and antioxidant capacity of individual phenolics, and during the gastrointestinal digestion stage, digestive enzymes (proteins) are combined with polyphenols and thus have an impact on their characteristics (Mosele et al., 2015; Oliveira & Pintado, 2015).

Therefore, five kinds of the most common daily fruits consumed in China—apple, grape, pomelo, kiwifruit and orange fruits were chosen as the raw materials to produce juice, and changes to the individual and TP compounds of these five fruit juices and their antioxidant ability during gastrointestinal digestion using an in vitro digestion model were studied. The results would permit a better understanding of the transit and stability of polyphenols in fruit juices during digestion and how to protect fruit polyphenols from gastrointestinal digestion and maintain their health benefits through modulating the food matrix and processing conditions.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Polyphenol standards contain quercetin, naringenin, naringin, hesperidin, hesperetin, phloridzin, proanthocyanidin, gallic acid, luteolin, epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), quinic acid, ferulic acid, epigallocatechin (EGC), epicatechin (EC), chlorogenic acid, and caffeic acid, HPLC grade acetonitrile and 2,2-azinobis(3-ethyl-benzthiazoline-6-sulphonate) (ABTS) were purchased from J&K Scientific Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). Folin–Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent, pepsin (porcine gastric mucosa, ≥400 units per mg protein), pancreatin (porcine pancreas, 4 USP specifications), lipase and bile salt and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylehranom-2-carbonsaure (Trolox) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Sample preparation

Apple, grape, pomelo, kiwifruit and orange fruits were purchased at a local farmers market (Wuxi, China). Fresh and undamaged fruits were carefully washed under running tap water to remove dirt, then rinsed with distilled water and freshly squeezed to produce apple juice (AJ), grape juice (GJ), pomelo juice (PJ), kiwifruit juice (KJ) and orange juice (OJ) using a domestic squeezer (SJ3039; Supor, Zhejiang, China). The juices were filtrated by filter sieve (pore size is 0.15 mm) to remove the pulp and fibre, only the clear liquid was vacuum packed in aluminium foil bags and kept frozen at −80 °C until analysed.

In vitro gastrointestinal digestion

A simulation of the physiological situation of in vitro gastrointestinal digestion in the human stomach and intestine was carried out according to a previous publication (He et al., 2015a,b, 2016a,b,c) except for two different steps for digestion. In the gastric digestion, 20 mL of thawed fruit juice sample was mixed with 3 mL of 40 mg mL⁻¹ pepsin solution in a 50-mL tube and adjusted to 30 mL by adding 0.9% NaCl. The pH of the mixtures was adjusted to 2.0 using 0.1 m HCl and then the mixtures were flushed with N2 and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h in a Shz-82 shaking water bath (Xinhang Instrument Factory, Jintan, China) at 120 strokes per min. In the pancreatic digestion, 0.09 m NaHCO3 was used to change the pH to 5.6, then mixed with 5 mL of 24 mg mL⁻¹ bile solution and 4 mg mL⁻¹ pancreatin–lipase solution. The pH of the samples was readjusted to 7.5 using 0.1 m NaOH, then flushed with N2 and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h in a shaking water bath. Control samples were run in parallel, and an equivalent volume of purified water (20 mL) was processed using the above-mentioned gastrointestinal digestion. After digestion, 5 mL aliquots of gastric digesta and small intestinal digesta were placed into 10-mL tubes and flushed with N2 then stored at −80 °C until analysed.

TP content measurement

The TP content of the fruit juices was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu method with some
modifications. In brief, gallic acid was dissolved in methanol and used as a standard. Fruit juice sample (5 mL) was ultrasonicated for 30 min at 25 °C with 5 mL of a mixture of 1% (v/v) formic acid in 80% methanol. Then the resulting slurries were centrifuged 10 min at 4500 g. The residue was re-extracted under the same conditions. After centrifugation, the combined extracts were retained for determination of TP content. One millilitre of diluted extract fruit juice samples was mixed with 1 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent and 3 mL of 0.1 M sodium carbonate. The mixture was made up to 10 mL with distilled water and kept in the dark for 2 h at 30 °C. Control samples consisted of an equivalent volume of distilled water using the same method. The absorbance was measured at 760 nm using a UV-2800H spectrophotometer (Unico Instrument Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China), and concentrations were determined using a calibration curve generated using gallic acid. All tests were carried out in triplicate. Results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per litre of original fruit juice. The TP content of digested fruit juice during in vitro digestion was obtained by subtracting the TP value of the control digestion sample from that of the fruit juice digesta.

**ABTS radical scavenging activity**

The ABTS radical quenching activities of the fruit juice digesta after in vitro digestion were measured according to the method of Robards et al. (1999) with some modifications. Briefly, 10 mL of ABTS was mixed with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and kept at room temperature in the dark for 12–16 h. The ABTS solution was diluted with 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to obtain an absorbance of 0.700 (±0.02) at 734 nm before use. Next, 3.9 mL diluted ABTS solution was mixed with 0.1 mL of sample and incubated at 30 °C for 10 min. The absorbance was recorded at 734 nm on a UV-2800H spectrophotometer. An equivalent volume of purified water was subjected to the in vitro digestion and used as a blank, and 0–1000 μM Trolox was used as a standard. The ABTS scavenging capacity of the test samples was expressed as the Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) in μM Trolox. The ABTS value of digested fruit juice during in vitro digestion was obtained by subtracting the ABTS value of the blank digestion sample from that of fruit juice digested at the gastric and intestinal phases.

**UPLC-PAD-QTOF-MS analysis of the phenolic compounds**

As per our previous study (He et al., 2016a,b,c), 5 mL of fruit juice samples collected at the three phases of digestion was blended with 5 mL of 1% (v/v) formic acid in methanol. The mixture was sonicated for 20 min and centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.22-μm PTFE filter for phenolic profile analysis. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography–photodiode array detector–quadrupole time of flight–mass spectrometry (UPLC–PAD–QTOF–MS) analysis of the phenolics was performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC equipped with a Waters Acquity PDA and a Waters MALDI SYNAPT Q-TOF MS (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). Separation of the phenolic compounds was carried out on a BEH C-18 packed column (1.7 μm, 100 × 2.1 mm i.d.; Waters Corporation). The column temperature was 35 °C, and the injection volume of the samples was 10 μL. The solvent gradient consisted of acetonitrile (solvent A) and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (solvent B) with the following proportions: 0–5 min, from 10% to 30% A; 5–7 min, from 30% to 80% A; 7–10 min, from 80% to 100% A; 10–12 min, 100% A; 12–16 min, from 100% to 10% A. The flow rate of gradient elution was 0.3 mL min⁻¹. The phenolic compounds were detected at 280 nm using UPLC–PAD. The mass spectrometer operated in V mode for high sensitivity, and mass spectra in the m/z range 100–2000 were obtained by electrospray ionisation in positive-ion mode. The typical tuning parameters were as follows: the capillary voltage was 3.0 kV, and the cone voltage was 30.0 V, the cone and desolvation gas (nitrogen, 99.9% purity) flow rates were 10 and 700 L h⁻¹, respectively, the collision gas (argon, 99.9% purity) flow rate was 0.15 mL min⁻¹, and the source block and desolvation temperatures were 100 and 400 °C, respectively. The main phenolic compounds in the fruit juices were identified by comparing their retention times and spectra to those of the standards or data reported in the literature. Quantification of individual phenolic compounds was carried out by integrating the peak areas and using the calibration curves. Caffeoyl-tartaric acid and caffeoyl-glucoside were quantified as caffeic acid; naringenin-trisaccharide and naringenin-rutinoside were quantified as naringenin; querceatin-trisaccharide and sinensetin were quantified as quercetin; protocatechuic-glucoside, hesperidin-rutinoside, luteolin-rutinoside, feruloyl-glucoside and procyanidin-glucoside were quantified as epicatechin, hesperetin, luteolin, ferulic acid and proanthocyanidin, respectively. The results were expressed as milligrams of each phenolic compound per litre of fruit juice.

**Statistical analysis**

The analysis of all components was conducted in triplicate, with values reported as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical
analysis was carried out using the general linear model procedure of the statistics 9.0 software package (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, FL, USA). Analysis of variance of the results followed by the least significant difference test was performed to determine significant differences \( P < 0.05 \) among the total phenolic and the individual polyphenol content of fruit juices subjected to in vitro gastrointestinal digestion, as well as their ABTS radical scavenging activities.

Results and discussion

TP content of fruit juices during in vitro GI digestion

The TP content of five different fruit juices was estimated using the Folin–Ciocalteu method, which relies on the transfer of electrons from phenolic compounds to the agent in an alkaline medium (Ballus et al., 2015). As shown in Fig. 1, the TP content before digestion varied from 593.89 ± 11.08 to 1481.08 ± 24.45 mg GAE/100 g. OJ showed the highest TP content, followed by AJ and KJ, while GP and PJ were lowest. Despite juice containing some constituents that are not phenolic compounds, such as vitamins and minerals that react with the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and may lead to overestimation (de Oliveira et al., 2009), the TP content of fruit juices was similar to those previously reported, except GP which showed different values (Tagliazucchi et al., 2010). This may have been caused by a different maturation stage and growing conditions of the grape (Bresciani et al., 2015).

As shown in Fig. 1, in vitro gastrointestinal digestion influenced the TP content of fruit juices to a different extent. After gastric digestion, there was a significant \( P < 0.05 \) increase in the TP content of AJ and OJ, whereas a non-significant \( P > 0.05 \) increase was found for PJ. The TP content of AJ was increased by 47.23%, which is the highest value among these five juices, followed by OJ (15.09%) and PJ (13.78%). Apple contains an abundance of fibres (de Lima et al., 2014) which hinders the release of phenolic compounds from the fruit pulp, and after pepsin hydrolysis during the gastric digestion, most of the bound phenolic compounds became free and were detected by Folin–Ciocalteu method. This result is in accordance with previous research (Rodriguez-Roque et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014; Celep et al., 2015) showing that a number of polyphenols increased after the gastric phase of in vitro digestion, and the increase could be a synergistic effect between the original phenolic compounds released from the fruit and some new polyphenol generated by acidic conditions or oxidation. In addition, Folin–Ciocalteu reagent does not specifically react with phenolic compounds and can also be reduced by other substances including sugars, amines, small peptides hydrolysed by pepsin and organic acid, thus leading to an overestimation of the phenolic content in samples (Oliveira & Pintado, 2015; Mokrani et al., 2016). TP in GJ and KJ decreased by 4.32% and 13.20%; however, this was not statistically significant \( P > 0.05 \), and it is possible that a proportion of the phenolics was interaction with other macronutrients found in the matrix or digestive system such as digestive enzyme, polysaccharides, which can modify the stability of these molecules (Carbonell-Capella et al., 2014; Barba & Orliena, 2017). Another explanation could be that a part of the polyphenol compounds were transformed into different structural forms when

![Figure 1](image_url)  
**Figure 1** TP content of AJ, GJ, OJ, PJ and KJ during in vitro gastrointestinal digestion. Data are means ± SD \((n = 3)\). Different lower case letters show significant differences \( P < 0.05 \) in the TP content. TP, total phenolic; AJ, apple juice; GJ, grape juice; OJ, orange juice; PJ, pomelo juice; KJ, kiwi juice.
exposed to acid conditions (Sengul et al., 2014), meaning the polyphenol compounds could not be detected using the Folin–Ciocalteu method.

After in vitro pancreatic digestion, a significant decline ($P < 0.05$) in TP content in AJ, OJ and KJ was observed (Fig. 1). Compared with gastric digestion, the TP content of AJ decreased by 37.34%, followed by OJ, PJ and KJ, with decreases of 35.09%, 32.79% and 25.14%, respectively. GJ showed the lowest decrease in 18.70%. The decreases in TP content of fruit juices during pancreatic digestion were attributed to an increase in pH. Phenolic instability under the alkaline environment of the intestinal tract indicated that the majority of polyphenols were highly sensitive to the neutral or near-alkaline conditions (Bouayed et al., 2011; Rodriguez-Roique et al., 2014), especially polyphenols in AJ and OJ which were released from cells and pectin at gastric digestion, and the anthocyanins and the chlorogenic acid became significantly lost after pancreatic digestion (Bouayed et al., 2011, 2012).

### Individual phenolic compounds of fruit juices during in vitro GI digestion

As described in Table 1, the main individual polyphenols of the five fruit juices during in vitro digestion were identified by UPLC–MS and quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Apple contains flavonol monomers, chlorogenic acid and small quantities of hydroxycinnamic acids (Contreras-Calderón et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2011), and phloridzin is a specific compound in AJ (Bouayed et al., 2011). In addition, anthocyanins and proanthocyanidin exist in grape (He et al., 2016a,b,c; Lingua et al., 2016), and hesperidin is commonly found in orange and pomelo (Pereira-Caro et al., 2015). The main polyphenols of kiwifruit were identified as derivatives of coumaric, caffeic acids containing chlorogenic acid, quinic acid and caffeoyl-glucoside, and flavonols were present as sinensetin (Dawes & Keene, 1999; Park et al., 2015; Izli et al., 2017). The flavonoids and their derivatives are the most abundant polyphenol in OJ, including the naringenin, naringenin-trisaccharide, luteolin-rutinoside, hesperetin-rutinoside and a type of flavanone (quercetin-trisaccharide). The chemical characteristics of polyphenolic compounds, such as solubility, hydrophobicity, molecular weight or even isomer configuration, are influencing their stability in the course of digestion (Barba et al., 2017), since after in vitro gastric digestion, a significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) was observed in the naringenin-trisaccharide, naringenin and hesperetin-rutinoside content of OJ (17.16%, 53.33%, and 19.93%, respectively). Chlorogenic acid in AJ also showed a

#### Table 1 Main individual phenolic compound content (mg L$^{-1}$) from five fruit juices subjected to different phase of in vitro digestion test*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruit juice name</th>
<th>Phenolic compounds</th>
<th>[M + H]$^+$</th>
<th>Fragment ions (m/z)</th>
<th>Initial sample</th>
<th>Gastric digestion</th>
<th>Pancreatic digestion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange juice</td>
<td>Caffeoyl-glucoside</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>342, 179</td>
<td>356.71 ± 76.8b</td>
<td>538.33 ± 34.7a</td>
<td>208.12 ± 41.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naringenin-trisaccharide</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>579, 434, 271</td>
<td>273.44 ± 2.8a</td>
<td>226.50 ± 5.4a</td>
<td>153.84 ± 0.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quercetin-trisaccharide</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>463, 301, 203</td>
<td>79.01 ± 9.6b</td>
<td>83.49 ± 13.7b</td>
<td>35.73 ± 3.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>429, 271</td>
<td>431.71 ± 34.4a</td>
<td>201.49 ± 54.7b</td>
<td>106.12 ± 8.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Luteolin-rutinoside</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>593, 285</td>
<td>219.33 ± 7.1b</td>
<td>217.01 ± 1.0b</td>
<td>150.83 ± 3.9b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hesperetin-rutinoside</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>74.21 ± 9.6b</td>
<td>59.42 ± 2.1b</td>
<td>54.89 ± 3.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>337, 293, 193</td>
<td>5.83 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple juice</td>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>191, 173, 135</td>
<td>132.22 ± 3.7b</td>
<td>108.12 ± 14.4b</td>
<td>88.21 ± 3.2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hesperidin</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>301, 205</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>5.83 ± 2.0b</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phloridzin</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>435, 273, 167</td>
<td>12.56 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>13.07 ± 0.6b</td>
<td>9.14 ± 0.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape juice</td>
<td>Protocatechuic-glucoside</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>7.78 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>8.02 ± 0.0b</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caffeoyl-tartaric acid</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>179, 149, 133</td>
<td>41.71 ± 0.7b</td>
<td>53.97 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>39.11 ± 0.2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proanthocyanidin</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.0b</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>163, 119</td>
<td>9.87 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>10.47 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomelo juice</td>
<td>Feruloyl-glucoside</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>357, 195</td>
<td>12.87 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>13.66 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>9.94 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naringenin-trisaccharide</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>579, 434, 271</td>
<td>9.17 ± 0.0b</td>
<td>9.19 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>6.64 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proanthocyanidin-glucoside</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>577, 206</td>
<td>8.92 ± 1.6b</td>
<td>8.99 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naringenin-rutinoside</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>741, 578, 509</td>
<td>56.10 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>59.87 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>43.28 ± 2.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiwi juice</td>
<td>Sinensetin</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>191, 173</td>
<td>32.68 ± 2.0b</td>
<td>39.98 ± 1.6b</td>
<td>33.89 ± 3.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quinic acid</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>383, 191, 111</td>
<td>182.05 ± 16.8a</td>
<td>169.94 ± 9.0b</td>
<td>120.63 ± 15.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGC</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>305, 203</td>
<td>6.01 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.9b</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caffeoyl-glucoside</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>342, 179</td>
<td>6.06 ± 0.8b</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>191, 173, 136</td>
<td>8.94 ± 3.3b</td>
<td>10.52 ± 0.4b</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.4b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscripts in the same row show significant differences ($P < 0.05$) among the same phenolic content at different phase of digestion.

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD ($n = 3$).
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Izquierdo et al. (2017) reported that the gastric environment had no negative influence on the stability of flavonoids in grape and OJ. These differences could be explained by a synergistic or antagonistic interaction between the phenolic compounds with other substances according to the food matrix (D’Archivio et al., 2010). In addition, the differences in structure affected the stability of the flavonoids; for instance, Aschoff et al. (2015) reported that some flavonoids have a closed-loop precipitation under acidic conditions. By contrast, the caffeoyl-glucoside content of OJ and the caffeoyl-tartaric acid and proanthocyanidin content of GJ were significantly increased (50.91%, 38.98% and 70.12%, respectively; P < 0.05) after gastric digestion. The other phenolic compounds of these five fruit juices showed no statistically significant (P > 0.05) decrease or increase during gastric digestion (Table 1). These results indicate that the stability of polyphenols in gastric acid conditions might depend on some factors, for instance, the physicochemical characteristics of phenolic compounds and their interactions with gastric and dietary constituents. In addition, pepsin action and the pH environment of gastric digestion could release some phenolics that are bound to proteins and carbohydrates present in the food matrix, thus increasing the content of the polyphenol compounds (Tagliazucchi et al., 2010; Pinacho et al., 2015; Ti et al., 2015).

During small intestinal digestion, a significant decrease (P < 0.05) of phenolic concentration among the major polyphenols in these five fruit juices was observed, ranging from 15.23% to 75.41%. In AJ, chlorogenic acid, the most abundant hydroxycinnamic acid, was completely unstable during intestinal digestion, similar to a previous report (Bouayed et al., 2012), and was reduced by 33.17%. Chlorogenic acid from the KJ also showed a significant decrease in 31.27%. It was reported that there was a 30% decrease in chlorogenic acid content from black chokeberry during the intestinal digestion period due to the alkaline environment and the formation of neochlorogenic acid (Bermudez-Soto et al., 2007). Flavonoids in the five fruit juices showed a significant decrease (P < 0.05), ranging from 26.31% to 47.33%, especially in OJ which contains a large amount of flavonoids. While some researchers found many pure flavonoid aglycones were stable in the mild alkaline environment (Tagliazucchi et al., 2010), the flavonoids in the fruit juice were their derivatives. In fact, under alkaline conditions, 55–65% of flavanones transform into another compound with different chemical and physical properties, such as chalcones. The interaction between the flavonoids and digestive enzymes could make detection using HPLC analysis difficult (Rodriguez-Roque et al., 2013; Pereira-Caro et al., 2014). Proanthocyanidin and derivatives in the GJ decreased by 29.87% and 24.33% (P < 0.05), respectively. During the transition from the acidic gastric to the alkaline environment, since the proanthocyanidin which is compounds of high molecular weight has to be degraded into smaller molecules at the intestinal phase (Barba et al., 2017), these results are in agreement with the previous report of Liang et al. (2012). In addition, proanthocyanidin from GJ seemed stable compared with the initial conditions. While proanthocyanidin is degraded at the alkaline pH, it could be that the proanthocyanidin linked closely to the cell wall in the grape skin cells and was released during the digestive gastro–pancreatic digestion phase in the acidic condition of the stomach and the alkaline environment of the intestine (Mosele et al., 2015).

The ABTS radical scavenging activity of fruit juices during in vitro GI digestion

The ABTS radical scavenging activity is a common indicator in scientific study that aimed at assessing some functional properties (Granato et al., 2017), and the result is shown in Fig. 2. There was a significant variation (P < 0.05) in the TEAC value for GJ and KJ after gastrointestinal digestion, whereas little change (P > 0.05) was observed for AJ, OJ and PJ. The antioxidant capacities of the AJ increased by 58.16% after gastric digestion, which showed the highest value (15.481.59 ± 421.79 μM Trolox) among these fruit juices, while a significant decrease (P < 0.05) was observed when the pH changed to mildly alkaline. Though the TEAC values of GJ and KJ slightly decreased after the gastric digestion, the antioxidant ability of GJ increased by 35.53% after the intestinal digestion while the antioxidant ability of KJ decreased by 19.34%. The antioxidant ability of OJ and PJ showed no significant change (P > 0.05) whether after gastric or intestinal digestion. The TEAC value change of KJ and PJ was similar to their TP content change after the gastric intestinal digestion. However, there was a different change in trend between the TP content and the TEAC values for the AJ, GJ and OJ. It is well known from the literature that the ABTS method is based on reducing the radical derived from ABTS back to the parent substrate ( Parsa & Salout, 2016). In addition to the polyphenols having quenching activity, these radical cations can be scavenged by digestive enzymatic (Granato et al., 2017), chemicals and electrochemical means, such as the vitamin C and E originally found in the fruit juices, the pepsin added for digestion (Zheng et al., 2016), and some antioxidant peptides produced by digestion enzyme. Meanwhile, the radical scavenging ability of polyphenols is
strongly pH-dependent due to the transition from the gastric to the intestinal conditions that may bring about structural changes in the phenolic compounds (Kahle et al., 2011). For instance, polyphenols in apple showed higher antioxidant ability at lower pH conditions, whereas the apple polyphenols oxidised into quinones at higher pH conditions which performed lower ABTS radical scavenging activity. In addition, protein or peptides can bond with polyphenols at the antioxidant group sites through hydrogen bonding, covalent bonding, hydrophobic interactions and affect the antioxidant ability of the polyphenol (Wootton-Beard et al., 2011).

Although the antioxidant capacities measured by the ABTS assay might be affected by these conditions, there was a correlation between the TEAC value and the TP content of the five fruit juices (Fig. 3). A highly positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.8606$) showed the polyphenols in the fruit juices could be the main components responsible for the antioxidant ability of the fruit juice.

**Conclusion**

In this study, an *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion model was used to study the change of phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity of five fruit juices.
during digestion. The results showed that the TP content of the five fruit juices was generally stable or increased after gastric digestion, but the TP content of AJ, OJ and KJ significantly decreased during intestinal digestion due to the transition from lower pH conditions to a mildly alkaline intestinal environment. From the viewpoint of individual phenolics, the content of naringenin-trisaccharide and naringenin in OJ and chlorogenic acid in AJ decreased significantly after gastric digestion, while the others remained stable or increased. After intestinal digestion, most individual phenolic content decreased significantly except for proanthocyanidin in GJ and sinensetin in KJ. Antioxidant ability estimated by the ABTS assay showed a diverse trend compared with the TP content. Although the TP content was reduced, AJ and OJ still showed a relatively high antioxidant activity, and in vitro digestion did not induce a significant effect, only KJ decreased significantly. The aim of this study was to mimic the in vivo process using in vitro gastrointestinal digestion. Our findings contribute to the knowledge of changes to fruit juice polyphenol during digestion and provide a scientific basis for future study of suitable processing and a matrix to protect phenolics from gastrointestinal digestion.
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