

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports

Animal Science Department

January 2001

The Relationship of the Characteristics of Feedlot Pens to the Percentage of Cattle Shedding *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Within the Pen

David R. Smith

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, dsmith@cvm.msstate.edu

Mark Blackford

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Spring Younts

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Rodney A. Moxley

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, rmoxley1@unl.edu

Jeff Gray

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Smith, David R.; Blackford, Mark; Younts, Spring; Moxley, Rodney A.; Gray, Jeff; Hungerford, Laura; Milton, Todd; and Klopfenstein, Terry J., "The Relationship of the Characteristics of Feedlot Pens to the Percentage of Cattle Shedding *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Within the Pen" (2001). *Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports*. 321.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr/321>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

David R. Smith, Mark Blackford, Spring Younts, Rodney A. Moxley, Jeff Gray, Laura Hungerford, Todd Milton, and Terry J. Klopfenstein




Figure 5. Effect of water application to feedlot mounds on tympanic temperature of steers. ^{ab}Values within a time with different superscripts differ ($P < .05$).

relief from heat stress conditions. Although RH over the mound is increased, the decrease in microclimate temperature associated with water application results in either no effect or a concomitant lowering of THI. The decrease in temperature is significant in allowing for a maximization of the heat gradient between animal and environment in order to allow greater heat dissipation. Our performance and TT data suggest preventing cattle from getting too hot during the day by providing external cooling in the morning is superior to providing external cooling in the afternoon. However, providing external cooling in the afternoon tended to enhance intake during very hot environmental conditions.

¹Shane Davis, graduate student, Animal Science; Terry Mader, professor, Animal Science; Wanda Cerkoney, research technician.

The Relationship of the Characteristics of Feedlot Pens to the Percentage of Cattle Shedding *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Within the Pen

David Smith
 Mark Blackford
 Spring Younts
 Rodney Moxley
 Jeff Gray
 Laura Hungerford
 Todd Milton
 Terry Klopfenstein¹

Summary

This study was designed to discover relationships between characteristics of feedlot pens and the percentage of cattle shedding Escherichia coli O157:H7. Twenty-nine pens from five Midwestern feedlots were each sampled once between June and September, 1999. Feces were collected from all cattle in each pen. E. coli O157:H7 was isolated from the feces of 714 of 3162 cattle tested (23%), including at least one animal from each of the 29 pens. Pen prevalence did not differ between feedyards, but did vary widely within feedyards. Muddy pens were more likely to have a higher pen prevalence than normal pens.

Introduction

Escherichia coli bacteria are commonly found as normal inhabitants of the intestinal tracts of humans and animals. Unfortunately, some strains including *E. coli* O157:H7, though generally harmless for cattle, carry traits that allow them to cause serious food-borne disease in humans.

Many segments of the food industry have adopted the principles of hazard-analysis-critical-control-points (HACCP) to minimize the likelihood that food will be contaminated with potentially dangerous pathogens. Unfortunately, there is insufficient knowledge of the epidemiology and

(Continued on next page)

The food-borne pathogen *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 was commonly found in pens of feedlot cattle, and the percentage of cattle shedding the organism may have been influenced by the pen environment.

ecology of *E. coli* O157:H7 to design and implement HACCP-based food safety programs in cattle feedyards.

Cattle in feedlots rarely are handled as individuals. Most conceivable control points for reducing human food-borne pathogens in feedlot cattle would be directed towards pens of cattle. Therefore, epidemiologic studies with the objective of identifying manageable factors (control points) to reduce human food-borne pathogens in the feedlot should relate the characteristics and pathogen status of managed groups of cattle (pens), rather than characteristics of cattle as individuals. The objective of this study was to describe the percentage of cattle shedding *E. coli* O157:H7 in feces within Midwestern feedlot pens and to identify potential risk factors for pen prevalence.

Procedure

Study design

The study design was cross-sectional observational at the level of the feedyard pen. The percentage of cattle within a pen shedding detectable levels of *E. coli* O157:H7 was described and compared to concurrent characteristics of the feedlot pen relating to the cattle and the pen environment. Approximately 30 g of feces was collected from the rectums of all cattle within each pen while they were restrained in a handling chute for routine management procedures (re-implanting). Concurrent samples were collected of water from water tanks, and partially consumed feed from feedbunks of the same pens. Concurrent information was collected about characteristics of the pen that may be risk factors for the prevalence of cattle shedding *E. coli* O157:H7. These factors included number of cattle, days on feed, average body weight, class and sex of cattle, culture results from water or feed, water-tank temperature and pH, and subjective assessments of pen condition and water-tank cleanliness.

Source of cattle

Five feedyards, typical of commercial feedlots in the region, were asked to

voluntarily participate in the study. The one time cattle capacity in these feedyards ranged from 3,000 to 12,000 head; approximately 40,000 head collectively in pens of 50 to 300 head. Pens were open-dirt lots, maintained by scraping, typically once per month. The feedlots involved in this study fed primarily dry-rolled corn, high-moisture corn, wet corn gluten feed, wet distillers grains, alfalfa hay, corn silage, and other byproducts. Cattle pens were selected from the 5 commercial feedyards at the time of routine re-processing. Pens were bypassed, or pens with fewer cattle were chosen for sampling, during weeks when more pens were available than could be managed by the culturing capacity of the laboratories. Sampled pens ranged from 36 to 231 (median 107) cattle. At sampling, cattle had been in the feedyards 19 to 108 (median 51) days and the mean body

weight per pen ranged from 764 to 1175 lbs (median 930 lbs).

Microbiology

Culture methods were specific to the type of sample but included selective enrichment and immunomagnetic separation. Isolates were confirmed by standard methods including PCR. Methods for recovery of *E. coli* O157:H7 from feces were modifications of those recently reported (Laegreid et al., 1999. *Epidemiol Infect.* pp291-298).

Statistical methods

The dependent variable was the pen-prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 defined as the percentage of cattle within a pen from which the organism was isolated from feces. The correlation between the magnitude of pen-prevalence of *E. coli*




Figure 1. Percentage of cattle shedding detectable *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in each of 29 pens of feedlot cattle in five Midwestern feedyards. Prevalence levels of fecal-shedding for individual pens are represented by bars arranged in order of increasing prevalence by feedyards of origin.

Table 1. Continuous or ordinal variables describing 29 feedlot pens from 5 Midwestern US feedyards and the correlation of the variable to the percentage of cattle within pens found shedding *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in the feces.

Variable	Range of values (Median)	Spearman's rank correlation coefficient	P-value
Pen size	336-231 (107)	r = 0.08	0.67
Days in the feedyard	19-108 (51)	r = -0.21	0.28
Average body weight	764-1175 (930)	r = 0.00	1.00
Temperature of water in tank	53.7-67.5 (61.1)	r = -0.17	0.37
pH of water in tank	6.2-8.2 (7.2)	r = -0.10	0.62
Cleanliness of water in tank	1-5 (3)	r = -0.02	0.93
pH of feed in bunk	4.2-7.3 (4.8)	r = -0.11	0.55




Figure 2. Percentage of cattle shedding detectable *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in pens subjectively classified by pen environment as: 1-dry and dusty, 2-normal condition, 3-wet and muddy. Compared to pens with normal environmental conditions, pens classified as wet and muddy had significantly greater pen prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7.

O157:H7 (rank order of pen-prevalence) and variables representing pen characteristics which were at least ordinal was tested using Spearman’s rank correlation. Association of the magnitude of pen-prevalence with categorical variables was tested using non-parametric methods.

Results

E. coli O157:H7 was isolated from the feces of 714 of 3162 cattle tested (23%), including at least one animal from each of the 29 pens. The pen prevalence of cattle shedding detectable levels of the organism ranged from 0.7% to 79.8% (median 17.1%). Feedyards did not differ by pen prevalence (Kruskal-Wallis P=0.81); however, within each feedyard the pen prevalence differed widely (chi square P<0.001; Figure 1).

E. coli O157:H7 was recovered from the water tanks of seven pens and the feed from the bunk of one pen. Pen prevalence was not associated with recovery of the agent from feed

(Wilcoxon rank sums P=0.31), or water (Wilcoxon rank sums P=0.15). Pen prevalence was not correlated with the temperature, pH, or cleanliness of water from the water tanks, or pH of the feed, number of cattle, mean body weight or number of days in the feedyard (Table 1).

The condition of the pen surface, subjectively evaluated as dry, normal, or wet, was associated with the level of pen prevalence (Kruskal-Wallis P<0.05; Figure 2). Specifically, wet pen conditions were associated with higher pen prevalence than pens in normal condition (Wilcoxon rank sums, P=0.01).

The prevalence of fecal shedding within feedlot cattle herds and pens has been reported to be low. However, the reliability of *E. coli* O157:H7 prevalence estimates in cattle may vary by the diagnostic method employed, the number of cattle sampled and the type of samples collected. The overall 23 percent of fecal shedding observed in this study is consistent with other reports suggesting that at times the organism

may be widespread in beef cattle populations. For example, in a recent Canadian study, the organism was recovered from 19.7 percent of fecal samples collected at slaughter from yearling cattle and cull cows during the summer months (Van Donkersgoed et al. 1999 Canadian Vet J. pp 332-338).

The pen prevalence of fecal shedding did not differ between the five feedyards. This finding suggests that *E. coli* O157:H7 may be ubiquitous to feedlot cattle populations. In a multistate cross-sectional study, researchers cultured the feces of 120 cattle each (4 pens x 30 fecal pats) in 100 feedyards (Hancock et al. 1997 J Food Prot. pp 462-465). This sampling strategy may prevent detection of low prevalence pens. Despite a low overall prevalence of shedding (1.6%), *E. coli* O157:H7 was found in 61% of the feedyards surveyed. Other surveys, using serology (Laegreid et al. 1998 Conf Res Workers Anim Dis P26) and bacterial culture of feces (Sargeant et al. 1998 Conf Res Workers Anim Dis Abstract 41), suggest that exposure to *E. coli* O157:H7 is widespread and most beef cattle have been exposed to the organism before weaning. Because of commingling, widespread exposure of cattle to *E. coli* O157:H7 after arrival in large cattle feedlots is plausible, at least during certain seasons. Cattle recently arriving in the feedyard have been demonstrated to be at greater risk for shedding *E. coli* O157 than cattle on feed longer (Dargatz et al. 1997 J Food Prot pp 466-470).

All pens we observed had some cattle shedding the organism in the feces. In spite of the apparent ubiquity of the organism, the pen-prevalence of cattle shedding *E. coli* O157:H7 varied greatly within each feedyard. Pens with high and low prevalence of fecal shedding were observed in each feedyard. Factors that explain the variability in pen-prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 fecal shedding may be risk factors that could be managed as control points in a HACCP-based feedlot production food safety program.

The environmental condition of the pen was the only pen characteristic that was associated with pen prevalence.

(Continued on next page)

Pens with the greatest percentage of cattle shedding *E. coli* O157:H7 were more likely to be wet and muddy at the time of sample collection. Pens with dusty conditions were intermediate in the percentage of cattle shedding the organism. The association between the environmental condition of a pen and the percentage of cattle shedding *E. coli* O157:H7 seems plausible. Compared to the normal pen conditions, muddy or dusty conditions would be expected to facilitate fecal-oral transmission of enteric agents because of greater opportunity for the agent to move with water or dust particles.

It is unlikely that selection bias was introduced by voluntary recruitment of the feedyards or selection of cattle pens by convenience. There was no prior knowledge of the *E. coli* O157:H7 status of any of the feedyards. Pens were selected for inclusion in the study with consideration for the feedyards' re-implanting schedule and the workload of the laboratories. When there was an option, pens with fewer cattle were preferentially chosen for study to minimize costs. Pens were selected without knowledge of the results from previous pens in the feedyards.

The results of this study suggest that *E. coli* O157:H7 should be considered an ubiquitous organism in pens of feedlot cattle and that factors in the pen environment may help to explain the prevalence of cattle shedding the organism. The limited time-period of the study (summer months) and the cross-sectional nature of the study did not permit observing the effect of time dependent variables on the outcome of pen prevalence. It would be interesting to observe changes in pen prevalence over time as pen conditions change.

¹David Smith, assistant professor, Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Lincoln; Mark Blackford, graduate student, Animal Science, Lincoln; Spring Younts, graduate student, Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Lincoln; Todd Milton, assistant professor, Animal Science, Lincoln; Rodney Moxley, professor, Jeff Gray, assistant professor, Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Lincoln; Laura Hungerford, associate professor, Great Plains Veterinary Educational Center, Clay Center; Terry Klopfenstein, professor, Animal Science, Lincoln.

A Diagnostic Strategy to Classify Pens of Feedlot Cattle by the Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Fecal Shedding

David Smith
Spring Younts
Mark Blackford
Rodney Moxley
Jeff Gray
Laura Hungerford
Todd Milton
Terry Klopfenstein¹

This diagnostic strategy can be used in food-safety research or as a monitoring tool in animal production food-safety programs to classify feedlot pens by the percentage of cattle shedding *Escherichia coli* O157:H7.

Summary

This study evaluated two pen testing strategies to predict the percentage of cattle in a pen shedding detectable E. coli O157:H7. Culture of a composite fecal sample most accurately detected pens with 37% or more cattle shedding E. coli O157:H7 in feces. A new pen test device most accurately detected pens with 16% or more individuals shedding. The likelihood of detecting E. coli O157:H7 with either method increased as pen prevalence increased. If both pen-level test methods were used together, pens could be classified as high, medium or low prevalence with less labor and expense than testing individual cattle.

Introduction

The principles of hazard-analysis-critical-control-points (HACCP) were developed to minimize the likelihood that food will be contaminated with potentially dangerous pathogens. Ideally

food-safety would be maximized if HACCP principles were applied at all levels of food production and processing. Unfortunately, there is insufficient knowledge of the epidemiology and ecology of *E. coli* O157:H7 to design and implement HACCP-based food safety programs in cattle feedyards.

Research or development of on-farm HACCP programs to control *E. coli* O157:H7 in feedlot production systems have been hampered by difficulty in determining the infection status of cattle at any point in time. The difficulty in diagnosis results because infection with *E. coli* O157:H7 in cattle occurs without clinical signs, except in calves, and because there is a lack of field-validated methods to monitor livestock for food safety pathogens.

Determining if individual live cattle are shedding *E. coli* O157:H7 is expensive and impractical. For example, culture of the feces from most, if not all, animals in a feedlot pen requires considerable labor and supplies. Handling finished cattle prior to shipping is not desirable because of the loss in value to cattle due to shrink, dark cutters and bruising. It may be possible to control *E. coli* O157:H7 in feedlots without knowing the infection status of individual cattle because control points or interventions for reducing human food-borne pathogens in feedlot cattle would most likely be directed towards pens of cattle. Therefore, the *E. coli* O157:H7 status of pens of feedlot cattle is an important outcome for feedlot production food safety research and HACCP monitoring.

Research and development of HACCP-based feedlot food safety programs could advance if pens of cattle, rather than individuals, could be accurately and economically classified by