

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Great Plains Wildlife Damage Control Workshop Proceedings Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for

April 1995

CURRENT RESEARCH ON IMPROVING CAPTURE DEVICES FOR COYOTES

Robert L. Phillips

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Kenneth S. Gruver

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/gpwcwp>



Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#)

Phillips, Robert L. and Gruver, Kenneth S., "CURRENT RESEARCH ON IMPROVING CAPTURE DEVICES FOR COYOTES" (1995). *Great Plains Wildlife Damage Control Workshop Proceedings*. 447.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/gpwcwp/447>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Wildlife Damage Management, Internet Center for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Plains Wildlife Damage Control Workshop Proceedings by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

CURRENT RESEARCH ON IMPROVING CAPTURE DEVICES FOR COYOTES

ROBERT L. PHILLIPS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Denver Wildlife Research Center, P.O. Box 25266, Denver, CO 80225

KENNETH S. GRUVER, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Denver Wildlife Research Center, P.O. Box 25266, Denver, CO 80225

Abstract: Nine types of footsnare were tested on captive coyotes (*Canis latrans*) at the United States Department of Agriculture Predator Research Facility. Preliminary results indicate that the E-Z Lee, Nelson, Freemont, and Godwin leg snares can be effective in restraining coyotes while causing only minor leg injuries. Additional testing will be required to determine the effectiveness of these devices in different field situations. Four types of coyote leghold traps were evaluated to determine the injuries they inflict on captured coyotes. The No. 3 1/2 McBride padded trap caused the least injury in comparison to 3 other trap models that were tested. Available data on injuries associated with different traps will assist in determining what types of capture devices meet standards set by the International Organization for Standardization. The potential implementation of International Trap Standards will most likely determine the future use of traps and snares in much of the world. Wildlife managers should continue to search for improvements if they desire to maintain the use of traps in the future.

Page 91 in R.E. Masters and J.G. Huggins, eds. Twelfth Great Plains Wildl. Damage Control Workshop Proc., Published by Noble Foundation, Ardmore, Okla.

Key words: *Canis latrans*, coyote, footsnare, trap.
